Analysis of Driving Behavior by Applying LDA Topic Model at Intersection Using VR Simulator
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Abstract: The present study aims to analyze driving style and latent driving behavior typically at intersections where various driving habits show up. To this end, 6 different scenarios were simulated and data on the gaze of the drivers were analyzed using topic modeling. Their driving styles (topics) latent in the driver’s driving behaviors (words) following a driving scenario (document) were analyzed by using the latent dirichlet allocation of topic modeling, the most frequently used in discovering latent topics in documents generally made up of words. For the study, six participants in their twenties were selected whose driver licenses were more than a year old. They were asked to drive in a virtual reality simulator, while wearing a head mounted display capable of tracking their gazes. The experimental results showed that the less experienced the drivers were, the more frequently and longer they gazed at the navigation and the speed instrument panel and repeated the start and stop. On the other hand, the more experienced the drivers were, the more they gazed briefly at the objects within the car, maintained speed after glancing at the most distant objects, and applied braking only when necessary.

1 INTRODUCTION

Driving is a complex activity which requires high capability of visual recognition of traffic situations, such as vehicles, traffic signs, and traffic lights, and driving efficiency to control the vehicle, such as speed control and steering. For safe driving, proper visual recognition is critical in identifying certain latent danger elements, such as pedestrians waiting for the traffic signal before crossing or the vehicles changing lanes (Miller et al., 2021). Furthermore, a long gaze on a single object or a visual search can distract attention while driving. This can lead to intermittent mistakes such as losing control of the vehicle or delayed response to abrupt events (Sun et al., 2018).

To prevent accidents, it is imperative that the visual information collected by gazing the road objects is identified correctly and the driving is adjusted accordingly. Such driving through visual search and vehicle control depends on various factors, such as age, occupation, personality and driving experience of the driver. Even under the same conditions, drivers can show different driving behaviors (de Zepeda et al., 2021). In this regard, by evaluating the various driving styles habitually...
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adopted by the drivers, inconsistent or dangerous driving styles (e.g. fatigue driving, drunk driving, or aggressive driving) can be detected and distinguished (Martinelli et al., 2020). Studies with classified driving styles as aggressive, anxious, economic, keen or sedate from ratings of simulated scenarios, and into aggressive, anxious and keen from Fuzzy Logic Model based on the accelerator data (Liao et al., 2022; Bar et al., 2011). However, since the driving behaviors classified considered only the speed and acceleration data, drivers with the same personalities can exhibit different driving behaviors. Thus, to analyze personalized driving styles, recent studies tried to discover the latent meanings from the behavioral data using the topic model with pLSA (Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis) and LDA (Latent Dirichlet Allocation) for searching latent subjects in natural language process (Chen et al., 2019).

At intersections, where traffic environment is complicated, driving requires a lot more attention, and the driver’s driving behavior appears in various ways, such as decision making at traffic light change in the dilemma region (yellow light), yielding to the right of way vehicles, and attention to pedestrian crossing (Li et al., 2019). Generally, driving is evaluated through actual driving on road. However, if dangerous driving is evaluated the same way on the roads, there is a high chance of accident during the test, particularly if the driver is inexperienced. Therefore, such type of driving should be conducted in a Virtual Reality (VR) driving simulator environment due to repetitive experimental procedures and the safety issue. The environment of a VR driving simulator is very similar to that of real driving on actual road. The VR driving simulator has an advantage to evaluate the responses of participants to life threatening driving situations, which are impossible in the actual driving, by controlling certain driving events, such as the degree of difficulty of driving routes, and traffic jams. Especially, the VR driving simulator comprising a Head Mount Display (HMD) has the advantage of providing higher concentration to driving, immersion, and interest than the existing 3D environmental devices (e.g. Full HD, Smart TV) (Lang et al., 2018; Gonzalez et al., 2020).

In the current study, scenario of driving at intersection was designed where the driving behaviors are most diverse in the VR environment. Data related to drivers’ gaze while driving and vehicle control (viz. accelerometer, brake and the current speed) were collected. The data were then converted to words applying LDA topic modeling to analyze and compare the drivers’ driving habits. The latent driving habits of each driver, that is, the dangerous behaviors, were identified by confirming the probability distributions of driving behavior by topic for each scenario. Through the results obtained, the present study contributed to the identification and analysis of a driver's dangerous behaviors that can cause traffic accidents.

2 METHODS AND MATERIALS

2.1 Experiment Participants

This study was conducted 6 healthy volunteers (3 males and 3 females) aged between 20 and 30 years were selected. All the participants already held license for at least one year. Whether the participants had actual driving experience or had their own cars did not matter. Table 1 shows the annual driving distance during the latest year and the driving frequency during the latest month of four participants, and the remaining two did not have any driving experience.

Table 1: Characteristics and the information on driving of participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age (Gender)</th>
<th>License (years)</th>
<th>Mileage (last 1 year)</th>
<th>Frequency (last 1 month)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject 1</td>
<td>24 (Male)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 2</td>
<td>24 (Female)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 3</td>
<td>26 (Male)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2478 km</td>
<td>7 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 4</td>
<td>25 (Female)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2087 km</td>
<td>6 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 5</td>
<td>27 (Male)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21783 km</td>
<td>20 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 6</td>
<td>29 (Male)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22524 km</td>
<td>25 times</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 VR Driving Simulator Scenarios

Eye tracking data (sampling rate: 30 Hz) were obtained by VIVE PRO EYE with the participants wearing an HMD (resolution 1440 x 1600 pixels per eye, scanning rate 90 Hz) of VIVE PRO EYE product. Figure 1 shows the simulator which comprised a steering wheel capable of a 900 degree turn, a bottom pedal to which accelerator and brake were integrated, a 6 speed H pattern gear shift, and a
Logitech G29 Driving Force connected to operate the VR environment vehicle.

Figure 1: The experimental apparatus and environment.

The participants performed autonomous driving for about 10 minutes after they were told how to operate the simulator. Figure 2 shows a 4-minute driving scenario comprising pedestrians crossing (Jaywalk) after going straight and turning left, intruding into the next lane due to stopped cars (Reversing vehicle collision), turning left at an intersection without a traffic light (turning left), a 30 km/hour speed limit section (Speed limit), and a red traffic light (Traffic light) after stopping and turning left at an intersection (Stop and go). The participants were asked to drive at least at a speed of 50 km/h according to their usual driving habits. The session ended when it was difficult to continue to drive due to a collision or VR sickness.

Table 2 shows the driving scenario by sections. First, the ‘Jaywalk’ is a sudden situation while turning right at an intersection with a traffic light. A pedestrian crosses the crosswalk at the same time when the traffic light turns to red. Participants should control the vehicle appropriately by recognizing the pedestrian and try not to hit the pedestrian. Second, for ‘Reversing vehicle collision’, participants should recognize a vehicle which trespasses the centerline from the opposite direction to the driving direction, and the participant should hit the brake or turn the steering wheel. Third, for ‘Turning left’, the participants should turn left at an intersection with no traffic light after searching for any neighboring car so that they do not collide with the car. Fourth, for ‘Speed limit’, the participants should recognize a 30 km/h speed limit sign and slow down the vehicle. Fifth, for ‘Stop and go’, participants should identify a yellow signal or a green left turn signal, and drive the vehicle accordingly. Last, for ‘Traffic lights’, the participants should recognize a red stop signal on a four-lane street and stop the vehicle before the stop line.

Table 2: Driving scenario by sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jaywalk</td>
<td>A pedestrian crosses a crosswalk as soon as the traffic light turns to red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reversing vehicle collision</td>
<td>A vehicle crosses the centerline and drives in the wrong direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Turning left</td>
<td>Turn left safely at uncontrolled intersection while watching neighboring car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Speed limit</td>
<td>Slow down after seeing a 30 km/h speed limit sign on a two-lane highway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Stop or go</td>
<td>Stop or turn left after identifying yellow or left turn signal at controlled intersection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Traffic lights</td>
<td>Stop on the stop line on a four-lane highway after identifying the red signal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3 Probabilistic Topic Model

In general, a topic model is a statistical model to find latent “topics” in a document. The topic model is used to find hidden meaning structures in the text and is used in various fields, such as text mining, image classification, tag recommendation, and social network. The Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA), the most general method of topic modeling used at present, is used to form latent topics in documents composed of words (Jelodar et al., 2019; Merino et al., 2018). Therefore, we used the LDA model to analyze the driving style (topic) latent in the driving behavior (words) for each driving scenario (document) in the current work. Figure 3 shows a schematic diagram of probability graph model and the formation process of the LDA model.

![Figure 3: Graphical model of Topic Modeling with LDA.](image)

In Figure 3, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are Dirichlet hyper parameter values that represent the distribution of driving styles of drivers and the density of the driving behaviors. $K$ indicates the hyper parameter value of the number of driving styles. $M$ means the total number of scenarios, $N$ the number of driver’s driving behaviors in the $M^{th}$ scenario, $w$ means driving behavior, and $z$ means driving style. Here, if the initial parameter values ($\alpha$, $\beta$, and $K$) are set, $\theta_d$ can be determined at the Dirichlet probability distribution of driving style in the $d^{th}$ scenario, $\phi_k$ at the Dirichlet probability distribution of the kth driving behavior. According to the determined probability distribution, the driving behavior of the nth driver under the dth scenario, $W_{d,n}$ data was allocated to the driving style of the nth driver under the $d^{th}$ scenario. When all the driving behaviors ($W$) were allocated to the driving style ($Z$), it converged to the set Dirichlet distribution ($\theta_d$).

To apply the topic model in this study, the quantitative data of driving behaviors, viz. increase and decrease in the vehicle speed, the frequency and strength on the accelerator and brake depending on the objects of gaze in each scenario were classified into five intervals by dividing the maximum values. Table 3 shows the converted words corresponding to the five intervals. Generally, drivers drive at different average speeds in each scenario. So, the relative feel on the speed can be different, for example the feel of driving at 10 km/h will be different for an average speed of 30km/h and 60 km/h. Therefore, after the maximum speed in each scenario was set to 100% and divided into five intervals, the quantitative data were converted to words. For example, in the first scenario, when the maximum speed, the maximum brake pressure, and the longest gaze were 70 km/h, 40 kgf, and 10 seconds, respectively, if a driver’s driving speed, brake pressure, and the gaze were 50 km/h, a 15 kgf, and 2 seconds, the words converted from the data will be: “high speed, brake light, very short”. Moreover, if the present ($t$) and next ($t+1$) driving behaviors are identical in terms of converted words, the next driving behavior was converted to ‘keep’. For driving at a constant speed, it was converted to ‘keep speed’. The latent driving styles were analyzed and the differences were compared depending on the driving experiences based on the words regarding the driver’s driving behavior.

Table 3: The words for conversions for the five intervals in percent of speed, brake, and gaze time data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value (%)</th>
<th>Speed (km/h)</th>
<th>Brake (kgf)</th>
<th>Gaze time (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>81-100</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>Very hardly</td>
<td>Very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-80</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Hardly</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-60</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-40</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Softly</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>Very softly</td>
<td>Very short</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 RESULTS

Among the participants, Subject 1 and Subject 6 were expected to show the largest difference in the driving speed due to differences in their driving experiences. Figure 4 shows the driving speeds in different scenario sections of Subject 6 with the most driving experience and Subject 1 with no driving experience. The average driving speed (34.2 km/h) of Subject 1 was faster than the average speed (25.4 km/h) of Subject 6. Both drove at similar speeds in the scenarios of jaywalk, reversing vehicle collision, and turning left at the controlled intersection. However, while Subject 1 started and stopped frequently and repeatedly in the scenario of turning left at the uncontrolled intersection (Section A), Subject 6 turned left while gazing at neighboring vehicles with little change in speed. At the intersection with traffic signals (Section B), Subject 1 turned left without braking actions, but Subject 6 drove and turned left while controlling the speed.
Table 4 shows the most frequently appearing, top 5 driving behaviors and the objects that the drivers gazed upon at the intersection with no traffic signals where the drivers’ driving behaviors were the most diverse. Figure 5 shows the distribution of driving styles of participants with the topic model applied. In Table 4, the first driving style (Style 1) gazes briefly at the navigation and the side mirror, and then, turns left at a relatively fast speed. The second (Style 2) gazes at the navigation and the front road and turns left at a slow speed while maintaining the speed. The third (Style 3) repeats fast starts and stops.

Table 4: Top 5 driving behaviors on the scenario at the intersection with no traffic signal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Style 1 (Counts)</th>
<th>Style 2 (Counts)</th>
<th>Style 3 (Counts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>High speed (69)</td>
<td>low speed (58)</td>
<td>Very high speed (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Brake softly (44)</td>
<td>Keep speed (47)</td>
<td>Brake very hardly (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Look navigation shortly (34)</td>
<td>Look front road medium (22)</td>
<td>Very low speed (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Look left side mirror medium (17)</td>
<td>Look navigation medium (7)</td>
<td>Look speed pointer short (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Brake very softly (12)</td>
<td>Keep brake (4)</td>
<td>Look traffic light medium (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5 shows the distribution of driving styles composed of the driving behaviors of each participant. Subject 1 exhibited Style 3 the most (83%), indicating that he showed a driving behavior of repeating very fast starts and stops. Subject 2 exhibited Style 2 the most (79%), indicating that she showed a driving behavior of turning left at a slow speed while maintaining the speed. Subjects 4, 5, and 6 exhibited Style 1 the most (52%, 66%, and 71%, respectively), indicating that they showed driving behavior of turning left at a relatively fast speed after gazing briefly at the side mirror. Subject 3 exhibited a mixed driving style (Style 1 33%, Style 2 36%, and Style 3 31%), different from the other participants.

4 DISCUSSION

In most of the driving scenario, the drivers with little driving experiences exhibited a repeating tendency to depart and brake suddenly. This is because they recognized relatively late the events and danger...
factors that occurred after they had gazed at the objects in short distances on the road. Additionally, they only gazed at the road near ahead and the cars on the side rather than the cars or the danger factors at longer distance. This is because they gazed long at the navigation and the speed instrument panel of the car. The behavior maintaining speed limit was most found for the drivers having a long driving experience. The experienced drivers were also found to move at a higher speed than the less experienced ones while turning at intersections or on the road with no neighboring cars. For sudden braking in unexpected situations, the drivers with little driving experience braked with 60% or more pressure, while the experienced drivers used 30% pressure. It was also found that the experienced drivers recognized relatively quickly braked in an appropriate distance when a vehicle moving through the opposite lane crossed the centerline due to stopped cars. Upon applying the topic model, Subject 1 exhibited the most the driving behavior of repeating fast starts and stops. Such driving behavior seems to be caused due to gazing often at short intervals at the navigation panel inside the car and side mirrors rather than the objects in front due to inexperience in controlling the vehicle. Subject 2, similar to Subject 1, also did not have driving experience, but exhibited the driving behaviors of not pressing the accelerator, moving at low speed, gazing at the objects for a while when there were objects ahead, and not increasing the speed even if there were no objects. On the other hand, Subjects 4, 5 and 6, having a lot of driving experience, exhibited the driving behavior of gazing at the side mirror briefly, turning left at a relatively fast speed, gazing briefly at the traffic signs and lights and slowing down or braking lightly. It appears that they made quick judgments based on the actual driving experiences by gazing briefly at the objects related to the traffic (traffic lights, signs, pedestrians) and by controlling the vehicle speed or the brake only when necessary. Subject 3 exhibited a mixture of the classified driving styles. It can be said that Subject 3 exhibited the characteristics of drivers with little driving experiences in unpredictable situations maybe because she has weakness toward particular situations, and in general situations, showed the characteristics of experienced drivers.

5 CONCLUSIONS

This study collected the data regarding the gaze of drivers and driving behavior to control the vehicle, such as accelerator, brake, and speed. The driving habits of drivers were analyzed and compared by applying LDA topic modeling converted the collected data into words. To this end, 6 driving scenarios were developed, namely intersections with traffic signals and without signals, pedestrians illegally crossing the driving lanes, sudden events such as vehicles driving in the wrong direction, and traffic information to be recognized visually such as neighboring vehicles, traffic signs, and traffic lights. Six participants, with different driving frequencies and distances in the previous year were compared. The results showed that lesser the driving experience the drivers had, the slower was their speed to recognize events and information related to traffic, and the longer was their gaze. Especially, while turning left, a large differences in driving behavior was observed—the drivers with less driving experiences frequently repeated sudden starts and stops, whereas the drivers with a lot of experiences exhibited driving with little changes in speed.

Future studies need a more detailed classification by optimizing the number of topics. Studies on the driving behavior of drivers need to be done with various driving situations other than intersections. Moreover, the vehicle control parameters, such as steering angle and moving out of the lane should be expanded. The driving behaviors of elderly drivers by their ages and professional drivers like taxi drivers should also be compared. In addition, if the driving behaviors of elderly drivers are studied by expanding the vehicle control parameters like steering angle and moving out of the lane, it will be possible to objectively identify dangerous driving behaviors and give alarms to restrict driving.
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