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Abstract: Ubiquitous digital technology has facilitated the collect of multi-dimensional numerical data that are analyzed by specialists. Their need to explore and to explain this data to non-specialists is important. With categorical data, we construct various diagrams on a color-coded paradigm associating colors with data classes. Depending on the number of classes or the geometry of diagrams, the class-color assignment choice can become a complicated task, with the number of permutations growing in a factorial way with the number of categories. The goal of this research is to develop an algorithm aiming at assigning the best color, among a user given color palette, for each class of objects of a categorical data visualization. We optimize the ability, for a viewer, to distinguish classes’ geometrical objects one from another using a concept of contrast importance factors expressing the need to get for a pair of objects classes a high color contrast. The method relies on a fitness function separation between palette color distances and geometrical contrast need. We indicate applications of the concept to two kinds of categorical visualizations: streamgraphs and chord diagrams for which optimized color assignment has never been published so far.

1 INTRODUCTION

The current pandemic has increased the data visualization presence in the public everyday world. Updated time series are often depicted on various media, sometimes demonstrating general audience lesser comprehensible visualization types, like heat maps.

The goal of the presented research is, for a user set categorical colormap and a categorical visualization diagram of which the number of categories is equal to the number of colors of the colormap, to aim at obtaining the best color-class assignment while optimizing the ability to distinguish class depicted objects one from another. Obviously, this assignment can be set manually by data scientists with data containing a few categories and when the neighboring of graphical metaphors is simple enough. We can also choose a colormap with a large hue interval, easing therefore legibility, but degrading the visualization diagram aesthetic.

In the presented method, we estimate the distinguishability between two categories using color distance and graphical properties. In fact, color distance formulas have been continually improved to evaluate human perception of color difference and similarity. The recent developments have come with validity for the whole cube of colors and the last DE2000 (Luo et al., 2001) possesses almost all the mathematical properties of a mathematical distance, though being not exactly symmetrical.

To optimize category legibility while assigning colors to categories, we express an overall fitness function using for each category pair a contrast need function providing a scalar value, which represents, given the class object geometries, the need to assign to class objects a large color difference to distinguish one from the other. We denote this value in the following contrast importance factor or in a shorter way importance coefficient. Such contrast need functions are enumerated in the chapter 6 for streamgraphs and for chord-diagram visualizations.

As detailed in the following, the final fitness scalar for a given color assignment is expressed using a contrast importance matrix, containing the contrast importance coefficients, and a color distance matrix, containing the color distance between colors of the colormap.

The paper is organized as follows. After a review of published methods about class-color assignment in case of categorical visualization, we explain the pro-
posed approach. Then, two applications of the concept, to streamgraph and to chord diagram, are detailed. A discussion chapter follows before a conclusion and future work section, which completes the paper.

2 RELATED WORKS

In image understanding, Bertin (Bertin, 1983) wrote that color is associative, selective and ordered. Various works in psychology explore color human response, especially that color precedes size, shape and orientation. From empirical studies in visualization to crowd sourced color aesthetics experiments and distance perception between visual objects, color human reception has been explored.

2.1 Colormap Choice and Color Assignment

Research papers provide guidance on colormap design (Ware, 2012) and emphasis on optimizing for color harmony and aesthetics (Wang et al., 2008) while others propose an interactive tool (Meier et al., 2004) to mix, organize colors and explore color combinations. Zhou and Hansen have published a survey on colormap design in 2016 (Zhou and Hansen, 2016).

Tasks that could be performed about visualization have been systematically studied (Brehmer and Munzner, 2013). Given a dataset, a kind of visualization diagram and a task, there is a lot of research about defining rules to determine which colormaps should be applied (Mittelstadt et al., 2015). In practice, an appropriate color mapping scheme is often obtained by a two-step procedure, selecting a categorical color palette (Harrower and Brewer, 2003; Gramazio et al., 2017), assigning colors to the classes through a trial-and-error process.

Aupetit et al. (Aupetit and Sedlmair, 2016) published in 2016 a state-of-the-art on visual class separation measures, applied mostly to scatterplots. Sedlmair et al. (Sedlmair et al., 2012) developed a taxonomy of factors that influences the human perception of visual class separation on scatterplots, where most factors are derived from the positions of the data points. Researches are also concerned with factors like scatterplots class visibility (Lee et al., 2013), and
perceptual distance. Fang et al. (Fang et al., 2016) proposed, for scatterplots, to maximize the perceptual distance among a set of given colors while incorporating a set of user-defined constraints. They further compared three optimization algorithms solving this problem and found that a Genetic Algorithm (GA) can ease the effect of sticking to a local maximum. Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2018) also developed an approach in class-color assignment to optimize visual class separability in scatterplot diagrams. Based on forms, distinctness and contrast with background, it considers user colormap and optimizes through genetic algorithm. They provide a parameter study with numerical measure.

Line colors assignment is explored by Hurter et al. (Hurter et al., 2010) who proposed an optimization method to choose lines colors in a metro map, assigning close routes with the most distinguishable colors. Kim et al. (Kim et al., 2014) proposed a perception-driven color assignment method for assigning colors to segmented image, emphasizing on color aesthetics and where contrast is incorporated.

2.2 Various Approaches

Some categorical visualization researches use data graphical properties to optimize color assignment, in which some are pixel-based (Lee et al., 2013; Zeng et al., 2019) and others are segmented-based or else mark-based (Fang et al., 2016; Hurter et al., 2010; Lu et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2018).

To optimize color assignment, researchers estimated various factors like aesthetics, harmony, class visibility, legibility, color distance, semantics, density, overlapping or fidelity to user preferences. Factors are summed using weights to get an energy formula. Among the optimized encoding methods, authors take advantage of simulated annealing, genetic algorithm, stochastic or gradient-based optimization.

We can find another partition among these works. Some are optimizing for both colormap and color assignment (Fang et al., 2016; Hurter et al., 2010; Zeng et al., 2019) and others are based on a user set colormap (Lu et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2018). Wang et al. present a general view of existing techniques and introduced their approach using point distinctness, non-separability, and genetic algorithm to optimize scatterplots diagrams. In 2021 (Lu et al., 2021), the algorithm was extended to line and bar charts. Another paper (Hurter et al., 2010) close to our approach is about air-trafic and metro map diagrams optimization. Their method, besides creating a colormap, and changing the diagram object’s location, computes distances between lines to optimize color differences through a result quality estimation.

Lee et al. (Lee et al., 2013) introduced the concept of class visibility and a color optimization algorithm based on their class visibility metric. Via color saturation, their pixel-based algorithm tries to increase the saturation difference for small regions. The class visibility factor is calculated through integrating point saliency, which measures how much a particular point color differs from its surrounding color.

Our method includes neither semantic color preferences nor object geometry modifications. The paper contribution is twofold, first, introducing a contrast oriented general class-color assignment framework using a user set colormap, demonstrating its versatility and opening the way to express visualization type specialized contrast importance matrix calculations and second, detailing novel assignment color to stacked-graphs/streamgraph and chord diagrams, by applying the previous concept. The author has already developed concept applications to line charts and polygon-based map (Languenou, 2022). It can be noted that some notions, expressed in the above mentioned papers, like point distinctness, point saliency and class visibility, could be integrated into our contrast importance matrix by re-organizing the corresponding mathematical expressions.

3 THE APPROACH

This section explicates the principles of the energy expression necessary in our class-color assignment optimization process. Let us remind that our contrast importance concept relies on an energy function separated in two parts, a color part, independent of the data and a geometrical one, depending only on the visualization type and the data.

Let us consider a categorical visualization displaying $n$ categories/groups/classes $G = \{g_1, ..., g_n\}$. Visualization specialists have colormap preferences which leads the author to choose an approach allowing the user to select a colormap, say $C = \{C_1, ..., C_n\}$. The optimization process tries to compute the best assignment between $n$ object classes and $n$ color samples to maximize contrast while preserving distinguishability.

The expression of a fitness function, which separates color distance values from the need to get a color contrast between categories, gives the flexibility to adapt the calculation of this "contrast need" factor calculation to multiple categorical visualization diagrams. In the following, we denote this contrast need evaluation factor as "importance factor".
3.1 Importance Matrix and Color Matrix

The optimization fitness function taking as input a color-class association (i.e., a permutation) is based on two symmetrical matrices:

- $D$ is the color matrix, made of $n \times n$ elements, that contains $D(i, j)$ the color distance between colormap samples $C_i$ and $C_j$. We use, for this matrix $D$, the most recent color distance DE2000 (or CIEDE2000) formula (Luo et al., 2001).

- $M$, which we call importance matrix, also made of $n \times n$ elements, that codes $M(i,j)$ the need to assign a high color contrast between classes $g_i$ and $g_j$. The associated calculations involve graphical object’s size, neighborhood, frontier, distance between objects and therefore depend on depicted data and diagram type. We display, in figure 2, a representation of the importance matrix corresponding to the streamgraph examples in figure 1 displayed using viridis colormap\(^1\).

The importance matrix, as we define it, is symmetrical and possesses null diagonal values.

Depending on the diagram kind, we may associate a null importance value to a class pair that does not share a frontier. This shortcut is, for example, used in our streamgraph importance matrix calculus. We propose several diagram type specialized importance matrix evaluation formulas in section 6, naming streamgraphs and chord diagrams.

Once the user has chosen the colormap, the color distance matrix remains constant, and for a visualization type displaying some given data, the importance matrix is constant as well. We then express the fitness function for a color permutation $p$ using an inner matrix product of the two matrices $D$ and $M$ where we permute $D$ using the permutation $p$. The next section details this energy function.

3.2 Energy Evaluation

If we assume that the adequacy $A(i \rightarrow k, j \rightarrow l)$ of a single assignment of two color samples $C_i, C_j$ to two data classes $g_k, g_l$ is given by the product of the inter-color distance $D(i,j)$ and the class pair importance value $M(k,l)$, then the overall adequacy for a permutation $p$ represented by $(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ can be expressed by the sum of the individual products, where the color distance is taken from $D(p_i, p_j)$ to handle the color permutation:

\[
A(p_1, \ldots, p_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} [D(p_i, p_j) \times M(i, j)]
\]

Using matrix notation, we express the fitness function $A(p)$, for a class-color association $p$, by a simple matrix inner product\(^2\) (denoted $<A, B>$) of the two matrices where the color matrix $D$ is permuted using $P_p$, the square matrix coding the permutation $p$ mapping.

\[
A(p) = <P_p D P_p^T, M>
\]

Because the matrices are symmetrical and the elements $i = j$ are of no importance, the complexity of the fitness function evaluation is then reduced to $n$ re-directions (via the permutation), $n(n-1)/2$ multiplications, $(n(n-1)/2) - 1$ additions, where $n$ is the number of classes.

4 SOLVING FOR PERMUTATIONS

Once we have computed the two matrices, trying to get the best permutation is performed by optimization. The class-color assignment optimization can be a complicated problem (known as a NP-Complete problem) when data visualization is complex and the number of classes high. Let us remind that the cardinal of permutations for $n$ classes is equal to $n$ factorial, thus growing drastically fast with $n$.

Luckily, for a number of classes $n$, say $n \leq 8$, the number of permutations ($\leq 40,320$) is low enough to perform an exhaustive evaluation in a reasonable time and keep the best permutations. So far in our language R implementation, the exhaustive evaluation is

\(^1\)Nathaniel Smith and Stéfan van der Walt, 2015

\(^2\)also called Frobenius product
automatically performed for $n \leq 8$, but as the fitness formula is only expressed in term of the permutation values, the contrast importance matrix and the color distance matrix, the evaluation could be calculated in an implementation that could take advantage of currently available multi-core processors. This would allow the exhaustive search to be performed up to 12 or 13 categories. A comparison between the assignments obtained by exhaustive search (top) and genetic algorithm (bottom) is displayed in figure 3. Even if the results are different, the two assignments can be evaluated as contrasted.

It appears that the splitting in two matrices of the energy expression proposed here before for color assignment problem has already been published in economics field (Beckman and Koopmans, 1957). Known as the Quadratic Assignment Problem (QAP), it aims at achieving an economic objective of assigning facilities to locations to minimize the overall transport cost. Surveys (Zaied et al., 2015; Loiola et al., 2007; Burkard et al., 1998) discuss QAP resolution methods like dynamic programming, enumeration, local search algorithms, metaheuristics, simulated annealing, ant colony optimization, neural networks and markov chains, metaheuristics, simulated annealing, ant colony optimization, neural networks and markov chains, metaheuristics.

4.1 Genetic Algorithm Optimization for Permutation

To get an assignment in a reasonable amount of time, heuristic approaches may be exploited. In the Data Visualization literature, various methods, simulated annealing, genetic, non-linear gradient and greedy, has been experimented, but when the number of quite satisfying solutions is high enough, genetic approaches gives an advantage as various solutions may be obtained when conducting several optimizations or keeping the best results among which the user may choose. In case of permutation search, the classic genetic optimizer has to be adapted. Chromosomes are specifically coded using the bijection from initial colors to the categories, which can be represented as $(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$ where $p_i \in [1, n]$ and $p_i \neq p_j \forall i \neq j$. Here, crossover methods, inheriting from two chromosomes, have to generate valid permutations and many crossover techniques have been developed for permutation specialized chromosomes (Umbarkar and Sheth, 2015). Mutation must be adapted as well by performing a simple swap between two random indexes.

5 VISUAL PROPERTIES AND COLOR SEPARATION

Our contrast importance factor is designed to increase the visual discriminability of the classes composing the categorical diagram. Identification capability is let to the user colormap choice, or made possible by labeling the graphical objects. The visual solid angle should be used to express the ability of the viewer to separate visually one object from another, but so far, we calculate the importance factors through object size ratio, therefore the result is independent of the future context of viewing (viewing distance and diagram display size).

Many graphical objects may compose the category, and they have to be incorporated into the calculus. The eye ability to visually "follow" a continuous graphical object (a line, a layer, a thick arrow, etc.) fosters the class visual separability. But the layers of a streamgraph can be either continuous along the time interval, or appearing only on a part of the diagram, or else be somewhere reduced to a null thickness and visible again later.

The object visual separation is critical for adjacent objects to avoid spreading (the visual grouping of close objects caused by too much similar colors). In consequence, identifying the frontier along adjacent objects is an important aspect.

In fact, to fit in our framework, as the need for contrast for each category pair is expressed through a single scalar, i.e. an element of the importance matrix, there must be a synthesis of the many local needs for contrast, corresponding to various parts of the graphical metaphors. This aspect is detailed in the next section.

5.1 Elementary Importance Coefficients

Visualizations diagrams are usually composed of several marks (scatterplots, bar-charts) or composed of curves or polygons (stacked-graphs, streamgraphs, polygon maps, chord diagrams). For example, in scatterplots diagram, numerous discs may represent a class, but in streamgraph visualization, a category layer may be a single object. In this later case, we decompose the layer into smaller parts to evaluate local discriminability on parts of the class graphical metaphor. Let us denote these local evaluations, elementary importance factors (or in a shorter way: elementary importances).

In the section 6, where we explain the importance matrix calculation, the diagram specific expressions used to compile/synthesize the elementary importance factors into a single factor will be detailed.
In case of adjacent class objects visualization, besides the importance of the width and area of the colored region, and when objects discrimination is needed, Newhall (Newhall, 1955) noticed that the length of the frontier does not affect the discriminability. The perpendicular distance from the interface frontier to the other side of the region (a perpendicular thickness) seems to represent a better criterion. The thinner the region, the higher the risk of spreading (region visual aggregation) when using similar colors. To the knowledge of the author, there are no published formula, in term of color distance and mutual thickness (or visual angle) that evaluate this risk.

The object’s visual angle is the main property to be considered, but usually there is no information on the final display size or knowledge on the distance from the screen (or paper) to the viewer’s eye. Though, there are published researches about discriminability in visualization. For example, Healey and Sawant published a paper (Healey and Sawant, 2012) about the limits of pixel-based visualizations and visual angles while Maureen Stone examined the size consequences on spreading effect and distinctness when generating colormap in the software “tableau” (Stone, 2012). To explore colors which are subjectively considered as the same, the concept of Just Noticeable Difference (JND) has been expressed as well. Unfortunately, no result of the previous publications applies directly to our cases.

6 APPLICATIONS

This section details two segmented-based categorical visualization applications of the presented concept: streamgraph and chord diagram for which we propose expressions to evaluate the need for color contrast calculation (i.e. the importance matrix specialized calculations).

6.1 Streamgraphs and Stacked Graphs

Classical stacked-graphs displays polylines stacked vertically on top of the horizontal axis. In a New York Times article (Byron and Wattenberg, 2008), Lee Byron and Martin Wattenberg popularized streamgraph diagrams (see figure 1) which were published before by Havre et al. (Havre et al., 2002).

Since the first scientific publication many improvements have been added, by categories aggregation, by optimizing the layer order, to correct the layer thickness in case of significant slope and developing multi-resolution streamgraphs (Cuenca et al., 2018).

6.1.1 Visualization Principles and Graphical Rules

Usually chosen to depict time varying categories, streamgraph layers height is related to a specific dimension value. The category layers are stacked on y, while respecting a constant y order along x axis (various constant orders can be chosen, depending on preferences or data criteria) but layers can present a null thickness for some time intervals. Therefore, layers may share a frontier with many other layers and may be thin on a part of the diagram and thick on another part. The number of layers, depending on the data, can be large, causing complicated legibility and preventing any category identification by color. Interactive diagrams or labeling the layers are then mandatory.

6.1.2 Graphical Criteria for Color Assignment

The main visual criterion is concerned with a visual separation between layers, therefore, effortless detection of layer borders by the viewer is an important property. As some layer parts may be thin, it is important that layers’ color choice demonstrates enough color contrast to satisfy legibility. Therefore, in our case, where colormap is provided by the user, a trade-off has to be found to optimize legibility.

6.1.3 Importance Computation

Consequently, given a layer ordering and depicted data, we must identify the frontier sharing between layers and calculate corresponding contrast importance factors that rely on mutual layers thickness to prevent from visual “spreading”.

6.1.4 Elementary Importance Computation

As layer thickness and frontiers with other layers evolve along the time dimension, evaluating elementary importance factors which code the local need to have a large color contrast for two adjacent layers is a first step, before compiling a global importance factor along horizontal dimension interval. Let us denote $T$ the set of time samples $t$ used to display a streamgraph composed of $n$ layers for which $h^i_t$ is the height of layer $i$ for time value $t$.

Let us also define $\gamma^{i,j}$ a neighborhood descriptor which codes the fact that layers $i$ and $j$ share a frontier on time sample $t$. This descriptor is a function of data values and of layers chosen order and $\gamma^{i,j}$ is equal to 1 if the two layers share a frontier and 0 otherwise. If we assume that the thinner the layer, the less visible the layer frontier is, therefore a decreasing function...
could be a starting point to get the desired behavior. We consider in this research that the need to assign high contrasted colors to the layer couple depends on the minimum of the two layers thickness (which can be discussed). In absence of knowledge on the human response in such geometrical cases, we can evaluate the \( i, j \) inter-layer elementary importance factor \( \delta^{i,j}_t \) for time \( t \), using the inverse of layers height:

\[
\delta^{i,j}_t = \gamma^{i,j}_t \times \max\left(1/h^i_t, 1/h^j_t\right)
\]  

(3)

Then, the expression for the whole interval \( T \) of \( t \) values for layers \( i \) and \( j \) gives an array \( \delta^{i,j} \) which has to be reduced to a single factor \( M(i, j) \), the importance factor for the couple of layers \( i, j \). So far, we do not calculate the visual thickness as a layer perpendicular thickness, but we use directly the data value corresponding to \( y \) size, with the consequence of an under-valuation of elementary importance with layer having large slopes. This could be enhanced by generating streamgraphs with a more correct thickness (Bu et al., 2021).

6.1.5 Synthesizing to Obtain an Importance Factor

We must, thus, compile the elementary importance factor array values \( \delta^{i,j} \) to get \( M(i, j) \) the global \( (i, j) \) inter-layers importance factor. We experimented the synthesis process via several functions:

- maximum value

\[
M(i, j) = \max_{t \in T} \delta^{i,j}_t
\]

(4)

- average value

\[
M(i, j) = \frac{1}{\text{card} (T)} \times \sum_{t \in T} \delta^{i,j}_t
\]

(5)

The risk of averaging while synthesizing leads in compensation would sometimes cancel problems. Using maximum formula could, for some data, result in stating that all couples of layers share the same importance value. Examining the data properties which make a specific synthesis more appropriate would need further researches. Maximum synthesis is applied in the top part of figure 1 and in figure 3.

6.1.6 Discontinuous Layers

The presented method aims to increase the contrast between categories in which graphical metaphors are neighboring. The diagram legibility and the category identification depend on the chosen colormap. Using a narrow hue range color palette involves category identification difficulties, which could sometimes be solved by labeling graphic objects.

In streamgraph diagrams, a layer can be made of a single thick curve or composed of several disconnected thick curves when streams become null and expand later (called discontinuous layers in the following). In this case, they must be assigned with colors that must be contrasted with potential other layers colors. As our vision can follow a continuous layer, only the category layers which are stacked on the part of the diagram where a discontinuous layer is re-appearing may be excluded from the need for contrast. Therefore, all the other layers must show a color contrasted with the discontinuous layer color.

The corresponding contrast importance matrix values could be increased to handle the special contrast need between these categories.

![Streamgraph showing 11 layers, with reduced viridis colormap. Top: exhaustive search assignment, bottom: genetic search assignment.](image)

Figure 3: Streamgraph showing 11 layers, with reduced viridis colormap. Top: exhaustive search assignment, bottom: genetic search assignment.

6.2 Chord Diagram

Martin Krzywinski proposed chord diagrams in a 2007 New York Times article called "Close-ups of the Genome, Spieces by Spieces by Spieces". Chord diagrams depict fluxes (directional type) and weighted relationships between entities (un-directional type).

The left part of the figure 4 depicts\(^3\) a directional chord diagram (using simple direct viridis colormap color assignment) which is visible on the data-to-viz website\(^4\) which presents migration between world regions, using data provided by Abel (Abel, 2018).

The same chord diagram is displayed in the right

\(^3\)with permission

\(^4\)www.data-to-viz.com/graph/chord.html
part of figure 4 for which the assignment has been optimized using our method. The improvement is, for example, noticeable in the lower left part of the diagram (Oceania) where the very narrow arrows present contrasting colors and are therefore more visually recognizable.

6.2.1 Visualization Graphical Rules

In a chord diagram, we display the $n$ categories along a circle perimeter with grouped arrows (fluxes) arriving on or starting from each category. Moreover, arrows of the chord-diagram depict non-null fluxes $F(i,j)$ coming from group $i$ and arriving on group $j$ and the scale factor used to calculate each arrow width is constant and depends only on the data.

There are various options while displaying chords diagrams and the type examined here after depicts arrows filled using the starting flux category color. Therefore, all the fluxes starting from a group are filled using the same color. For each group, incoming arrows are located after outgoing arrows. Last rule states that for a group, incoming fluxes are displayed in decreasing order. Therefore, within each group, the neighboring of arriving arrows involves various colors and various thicknesses depending on the depicted data.

6.2.2 Graphical Criteria for Color Assignment

In every group, to allow the user to interpret the diagram, the arrows must be visually distinct one from another. We then focus the importance factor calculation on the arrows neighborhood and on their visual width. As, along the circle perimeter, a margin separates each category from its two neighboring categories, there is no need to insure inter-group visual separability at this level.

On the contrary, the center of the chord diagram can be visually confusing, and trying to optimize the legibility of the center part of the diagram could also be an objective which is not addressed here. But in term of data flux understanding, the thickness and the depicted order of the arrows on each group are essential.

6.2.3 Aspects of Importance Computation

To get contrast need factors, several important aspects have therefore to be computed for a group $i$ which can be expressed by the following interrogations:

- What are the incoming groups of the arrows arriving on group $i$?
- What are their thicknesses?
- In which order are they depicted? What are these arrows neighborhood?
- What is the width of the grouped outgoing arrows of group $i$?
- What is the width of the grouped outgoing arrows of group $i$?

6.2.4 Notation

To evaluate geometrical properties of the arrows, let us first denote $O'$ as the decreasing ordered list of the group indexes of incoming arrows/fluxes for the group $i$ and $nb_{in}^i$ as the number of incoming fluxes for the group $i$.

$$O' = \{ x_k^i \} \text{ with:}$$

$$x_k^i \in [1,nb_{in}^i],$$

$$k \in [1,n]$$

As $O'$ is ordered in decreasing order, we have

$$F(x_k^i, i) \geq F(x_{k+1}, i) \text{ for } k \in [1,nb_{in}^i - 1].$$

To ease expression writing, let us call:

- $o_p^i$, the index of group $p$ in $O'$.

6.2.5 Flux Neighborhood

Using previous notations, let us define $\gamma_{q,r}^i$, a directional chord diagram neighborhood descriptor which codes the fact that the fluxes coming from the groups $p$ and $q$ share a frontier on the arrival part of group $i$.

$$\gamma_{q,r}^i = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } p \in O' \text{ and } q \in O' \text{ and } |o_p^i - o_q^i| = 1 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

(6)

6.2.6 Elementary Importance Matrices

As there are $n$ parts on the circle perimeter corresponding to the $n$ categories in the chord diagram, group arrows may be neighbors in each of these $n$ parts. Therefore, for each category $i$, we construct a local importance matrix that codes the need to assign color contrast between (arriving and outgoing) arrows on a single group $i$ visual part.

We denote these $n$ matrices as elementary importance matrices and the calculation of their elements involves the frontier descriptor $\gamma_{q,r}^{i}$ and the flux values $F(i,j)$. If a category possesses no incoming flux from other groups, we fill its elementary importance matrix with 0 values, as there are no neighboring groups to be considered. We depict the elementary importance matrix for the Europe category in figure 5.

Let $M'$ be the elementary importance matrix for group $i$, and $m'(q,r)$ its elements. $M'$ is a square...
optimization, we must produce a single importance matrix. Synthesizing the n elementary matrices Mi in a chord.

6.2.7 Final Frontier

A remaining neighboring possibility has to be considered, which corresponds to the frontier for a group i between the overall outgoing arrows and the first incoming arrow. This case can be observed on the right part of figure 4, on West Asia group, purple outgoing arrow is neighboring an arriving green arrow. The index of the first incoming flux is given by $x_i$ which is also the greatest incoming flux for group i.

The size of grouped outgoing fluxes is expressed by $\sum_{u \in [1,n]} F(i,u)$. Its neighboring flux, i.e. the incoming greatest flux, is provided by $F(x_i, i)$ (if $x_i$ exists and $x_i \neq i$).

The corresponding in/out frontier importance, if $x_i$ exists and $x_i \neq i$, is given by:

$$m'(i, x_i) = \max \left( \frac{1}{\sum_{u \in O} F(i,u)} \cdot \frac{1}{F(x_i, i)} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Finally, we update the element $m'(i, x_i)$ of the group i elementary importance matrix by getting the maximum of the importance calculated on the frontier by equation 8 and the previous corresponding $m'(i, x_k)$ about incoming flux (see equation 7). Note that if $x_k = i$ the formula has to be slightly adjusted as the arriving flux is adjoining the outgoing flux increasing thus the corresponding shape width.

6.2.8 Resulting Importance Matrix

To be used by the class-color assignment optimization, we must produce a single importance matrix. Synthesizing the n elementary matrices $M'$ in a chord.
diagram importance matrix $M$ containing $n \times n$ elements, is performed by getting, for each element $M(l,m)$, the maximum of the corresponding elements $m'(l,m)$ of the $n$ elementary importance matrices.

$$M(l,m) = \max_{i \in [1,n]}(m'(l,m))$$

(9)

The synthesized importance matrix for the migration data chord diagram (figure 6.2) is depicted in figure 6.

![Figure 6: Chord Diagram: Synthesized importance matrix.](image)

6.3 Multiple Diagrams

To compare data, we often create multiple figures. Therefore, the color-category assignment must be the same for the figures. Some categories may appear in one figure and not be present in some other diagrams.

The method described in section 6.2.8 to synthesize importance matrices for the chord-diagram is used to get the color assignment for the multiple diagrams case. Therefore, an elementary contrast importance matrix is generated for each diagram. We construct then the synthetic category set as the union of the categories appearing in the elementary contrast matrices. Finally, the aggregated contrast matrix is calculated by taking for every category pair the maximum value encountered in the elementary matrices. If a category pair does not appear in any of the elementary matrices, the corresponding importance value is set to zero.

Solving for the synthetic matrix and a colormap, of size equal to the cardinal of the synthetic category set, provides with a color-category assignment trade-off.

7 Evaluation

As, to the knowledge of the author, there is no previous work on class-color assignment algorithm about streamgraph or chord diagram, a comparison with existing color-category assignment work is impossible.

Though, a controlled-user study is mandatory to evaluate the proposed method. Even if random assignments examples are presented here before, comparison with random assignment would not be realistic as data scientists manually modify the color assignment and test various colormaps to achieve legibility. The main goal of the presented work lies in the possibility to improve visualization aesthetic (by avoiding rainbow colormap, when possible) while maintaining legibility. Therefore, it would be possible to ask specialists to create diagrams which would be compared to contrast-driven assignment visualization for aesthetic and ability to perform some given data tasks in a user-controlled experiment.

However, we present dedicated diagrams showing adequacy between color distances and contrast importance values in the case of the migration chord diagram using viridis colormap in figures 7, 8 and 9. We depict the color distances as green discs and the contrast importance values as red discs, discs for which diameters are scaled to a same max value. The more red in the figure, the less the assignment corresponds to contrast asked values. These visualizations only prove that the optimization process converges using our fitness function and does not prove the adequacy between the legibility goal and our fitness function.

![Figure 7: Chord Diagram: comparison : contrast driven optimized assign.](image)
9 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The article proposes a framework that calculates a color category assignment in the case of categorical visualization. It focuses on class color contrast needs, using a user-selected color palette and separation between diagram geometric information (contrast importance matrix) and color palette sample distances (color distance matrix using DE2000). Optimization is then reduced to the choice of a good color permutation which is carried out using a genetic algorithm. Two diagram-type applications, streamgraph and chord-diagram, are detailed. The method has been implemented in Java (streamgraph) and in R language (streamgraph, chord-diagram) for which a package will be available.

A full evaluation of the results, in term of legibility, aesthetic and tasks, via user-controlled testing will have to be conducted.

More importantly, to improve the quality of color category assignment, a perceptual model must be carefully designed for both printed and on-screen visualizations. Therefore, since the current expression of the need for contrast is based on the size ratio of displayed graphic objects, which does not correspond to the way the human eye reacts, the visual angle of the objects (actual diagram size, distance visualization) should be taken into account.
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