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Abstract: In the United States, heart disease continues to be the leading cause of death in the United States. The National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute reports that heart disease and cancer account for about 50 percent of deaths among people aged 45 to 64. This article used cardiac patient data from all 50 states in the United States in 2015. In this paper, the author conducted the research by analyzing the data via ANOVA and multiple linear regression. The number of heart disease deaths (per 100,000 people) also varied significantly by gender, race and region. Men were more likely to die from heart disease than women; Native Americans and blacks have higher rates of death from heart disease than any other race; Regionally, people in four states — the District of Columbia, Massachusetts, Minnesota and Rhode Island — were less likely to die from heart disease than in the rest of the country.

1 INTRODUCTION

The 2019 report of the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (Sally and Curtin 2019) shows that the death rate of heart disease in the United States has a downward trend. As can be seen from the graph, the death rate of men is still higher than that of women, and the death rate of blacks is higher than that of whites.

Heart disease has a huge impact on the American people. The study published by the American Heart Association and the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute looked at trends and numbers in the number of people with heart disease. The factors that contribute to heart disease have not been thoroughly studied. So, this is a big gap in the current research.

It is of great significance for the state government to adjust the medical policy and the state people to seek more effective health resorts.

Therefore, heart disease is a major cause of death in the United States. This paper intends to explore whether there is a significant difference in the number of heart disease deaths among different genders, races and regions. It also means that there are significant differences in the chances of dying from heart disease among people of different genders, races and regions.

2 THE BACKGROUND OR THE DEFINITION OF THE HEART DISEASE

Heart disease is the leading cause of death in both men and women in the United States. In the United States, someone dies of cardiovascular disease every 36 seconds (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2020). Each year, about 655,000 Americans die of heart disease — 1 in 4 of them (Virani, Alonso, Benjamin, Bittencourt, Callaway, Carson, et al 2020).

Every 40 seconds someone in the United States has a heart attack. Every year, about 805,000 Americans suffer a heart attack. Of these, 605,000 were first-time heart attacks and 200,000 occurred in people who had already had a heart attack.

From 2014 to 2015, heart disease cost the U.S. an estimated $219 billion annually. This includes health care services, medicines and lost productivity due to death (Fryar, Chen and Li 2019).

Coronary heart disease is the most common type of heart disease, causing 365,914 deaths in 2017. Approximately 18.2 million adults age 20 and older have coronary heart disease (approximately 6.7%). Approximately two in 10 coronary heart disease deaths occur in adults under 65 years of age (Benjamin, Muntner, Alonso, Bittencourt, Callaway,
Carson, et al 2019). About a fifth of heart attacks are silent — the damage is done but the patient doesn’t realise it.

Heart disease is the leading cause of death among minority groups in the United States, including African-Americans, American Indians, Alaska Natives, Hispanics and whites. For women from the Pacific Islands, as well as Asian American, American Indian, Alaska Native and Hispanic women, heart disease is only second to cancer (Heron 2019).

Death rates from heart disease and diabetes rose significantly in the United States in 2020, and experts believe a big reason may be that many people with dangerous symptoms made the fatal mistake of staying out of the hospital for fear of contracting the coronavirus. It’s been the deadliest year in U.S. history, and that’s largely because of COVID-19. But death rates from heart disease and diabetes increased by the most in at least 20 years, the data showed. The death rate from heart disease rose to 167 per 100,000 from 161.5 the previous year. This is only the second time in 20 years that the rate has risen. That’s more than 3 percent, up from less than 1 percent in 2015. In the raw numbers, there were about 32,000 more deaths from heart disease than in the previous year.

3 RESULTS OF THE STUDY

3.1 Data Frequency and Descriptive Statistics

First, the author sorted out the frequency table of variables as shown below.

Table 1: Frequency statistics of each variable.
As can be seen from Table 1, there are 13,870 samples in total, including 7,135 males and 6,735 females, with a proportion of 51.44% and 48.56% respectively. Among ethnic groups, the Indian sample was the least, with 753 people, accounting for 5.43%; The white sample was the largest, 6,353 people, accounting for 45.80%; Except for Indians and Asians, there are more than 1300 people of other ethnic groups, and the samples of other ethnic groups account for more than 10%. There were only 10 samples in the least area, accounting for 0.07%; The largest region, Texas, had 1,331 samples, or 9.60%.

In order to display the frequency information of data more clearly, the author made the pie chart of gender distribution of each race as shown below.

As can be seen from Figure 1, in our data, white people have the largest sample size (6353 samples), followed by black people (3430 samples), Hispanics (2160 samples), Asians (1174 samples) and American Indians (753 samples). The overall sample size is large, and the sample ratio of male and female of each race is close to 1:1, avoiding the deviation caused by too little data.

Further, the author made a bar chart of the frequency and proportion of data in each region of the United States, as shown in the figure below.

Figure 1: Gender distribution of each ethnic group.

Figure 2: Frequency and proportion of data by region.
As can be seen from Figure 2, Texas has the largest number of data samples, with 1,331 samples. Far more than Georgia’s 731 samples.

As for the number of deaths from heart disease, we classified the number of deaths from heart disease by gender and race in different regions of the United States in 2015, and then conducted descriptive statistics on the number of deaths from heart disease per 100,000 people to observe its distribution characteristics, as shown in the figure below.

![Figure 3: Descriptive statistics of deaths from heart disease (per 100,000) in the United States in 2015](image)

As can be seen from Figure 3, the average number of deaths from heart disease in the United States was 348.1 and the median was 331.5. Where the minimum value is 0; 25% quantile was 233.1; the 75% quantile is 442.9, while the maximum value is 5161.7. It can be seen that the data shows a right-biased distribution, with more data below the mean on the whole, and a few data are larger. It can be seen that the number of deaths from heart disease in the United States as a whole is low and well controlled, and only a few areas have poor outcomes and outliers.

### 3.2 One-way ANOVA

To analyze whether there are differences in the number of heart disease deaths among different genders, different races and different regions, one-way ANOVA was used for each variable (Wilkinson and Rogers 1973).

First, we conducted one-way ANOVA for gender to verify whether there is a significant difference in the number of heart disease deaths of different genders. The original hypothesis of ANOVA is that there is no significant difference in the number of heart disease deaths of different genders.

![Figure 4: One-way ANOVA for gender grouping.](image)

As can be seen from Figure 4, the death rate of heart disease in females is about 272±107, and that in males is about 420±174. After one-way ANOVA, the F statistic was 3619 and P value <0.05, indicating that we rejected the null hypothesis at the significance level of 5%. There are significant differences between the sexes in the number of heart disease deaths.
Figure 5: Data distribution of different genders It can be further seen from Figure 5 that the average number of heart disease deaths was 420.10 for males and 271.76 for females. The average number of male deaths is about 1.55 times that of female deaths. The overall data distribution was significantly higher for men than for women.

Second, the author conducted a one-way ANOVA on race to verify whether there was significant difference in the number of heart disease deaths among different races. The original hypothesis of ANOVA was that there was no significant difference in the number of heart disease deaths among different races.

As can be seen from Figure 6, the death toll from heart disease among American Indians is about 415.76±190.81, Asians 168.30±71.77, African Americans 440.51±169.48 and Hispanics 215.06±118.20. The number of heart disease deaths among white Americans was 368.58±122.13. The
The results of one-way ANOVA showed that the F statistic was 1506 and the P value was <0.05, indicating that we rejected the null hypothesis at the significance level of 5%. There are significant differences in heart disease deaths among ethnic groups. The data distribution of specific ethnic groups is shown in the figure below.

As can be seen from Figure 7, from the overall distribution of data, Asians have the lowest number of heart disease deaths, while black people have the largest number, and the maximum value of black people is also the largest, reaching more than 5000.

Similarly, we conducted a one-way ANOVA for regions to verify whether there is a significant difference in the number of deaths from heart disease in different regions. The original assumption of ANOVA was that there was no significant difference in the number of deaths from heart disease in different regions. Because of the excessive grouping of regions, we omit the results of mean and standard deviation for regions.

Figure 7: Distribution of heart disease deaths by race.

As can be seen from Figure 7, from the overall distribution of data, Asians have the lowest number of heart disease deaths, while black people have the largest number, and the maximum value of black people is also the largest, reaching more than 5000.

Similarly, we conducted a one-way ANOVA for regions to verify whether there is a significant difference in the number of deaths from heart disease in different regions. The original assumption of ANOVA was that there was no significant difference in the number of deaths from heart disease in different regions. Because of the excessive grouping of regions, we omit the results of mean and standard deviation for regions.
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Figure 8: Analysis of variance in different regions.
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Figure 8: Analysis of variance in different regions.

FIG. 8 shows that the one-way ANOVA shows that the F statistic is 47.23 and the P value is <0.05, indicating that the null hypothesis is rejected. The number of deaths from heart disease varies significantly by region. The data distribution in different areas is shown in the figure below.
As can be seen from Figure 9, only the District of Columbia, Massachusetts, Minnesota and Rhode Island have lower mean lines, indicating that the average number of deaths from heart disease in these four states is lower.

3.3 Multiple Linear Regression

In order to analyze the impact of each variable on the number of deaths from heart disease, we adopted multiple linear regression, adding gender, race and region as independent variables into the model. The model fitting results are as follows (the regression coefficients of each variable are not shown one by one due to the excessive classification of regions).

As shown in Figure 10, the $R^2$ of multiple linear regression was 0.601, and the adjusted $R^2$ was 0.599, indicating that gender, race, region and other variables explained 60% of the changes in the number of deaths from heart disease, and the overall model had a good fitting degree. The $F$ statistic is 371.5, and the corresponding P value <0.05 indicates that the regression coefficients of the model are not all 0.

Further, we conduct model diagnosis on the multiple linear regression model, and the diagnosis results are shown in the figure below.

It can be seen from the upper left of Figure 11 that the relationship between the fitted value and the residual is a horizontal line, indicating that there is no systematic correlation between the residual and the fitted value, and there is no problem with the linear hypothesis of the model.

It can be seen from the above figure on the right that some of the QQ charts of residuals deviate from the dotted line, indicating that residuals violate the normality hypothesis.

As you can see from the lower left figure, the points around the horizontal line are randomly...
distributed, indicating that the homovariance hypothesis is satisfied.

As can be seen from the figure below, there are three outliers in the value of Cook’s D, which may have a little influence on the fitting effect of the model due to the extreme data. However, the data sample size in this paper is large, so the influence can be ignored theoretically.

On the whole, from the model diagnosis of multiple linear regression analysis, there is no problem in model setting and no missetting model.

Finally, ANOVA was used to verify whether each variable of the above multiple linear regression model could not be deleted. The results of the analysis of variance are as follows.

Figure 12: ANOVA results of multiple linear regression.

It can be seen from the figure above that the P-values of the F-test of different variables removed are all less than 0.05, which indicates that these variables have a significant impact on the model and there are significant differences between the removed model and the original model.

4 DISCUSSION

From the above analysis, there was a significant effect on the number of heart disease deaths (per 100,000) by sex, race and region. The average death toll for men was nearly 1.55 times higher than for women, probably because women were better at taking care of themselves.

In terms of race, the number of heart disease deaths of American Indians and blacks was higher than that of white Americans, with blacks having the highest number. Asians and Hispanics are below the average for white Americans. This may be related to the high cost of medical treatment in the United States, which is unaffordable for ordinary people without medical insurance. The income of American Indians and blacks is generally lower than that of white Americans, resulting in fewer people buying health insurance and thus more deaths. Asians and Hispanics who move to America tend to be wealthy locals, have better health insurance, and die less. It could also have something to do with the smaller sample sizes of Asians and Hispanics.

In terms of regions, the distribution of most regions is similar, and only the District of Columbia, Massachusetts, Minnesota and Rhode Island have lower mean values, which should be related to the environment and income and expenditure of each region.

In the multiple linear regression, we found that gender, race and region were all independent variables that could not be ignored. In ANOVA, no matter which variable was deleted, it would have a significant impact on the multiple linear regression model.

Compared with the previous analysis, the previous data were mainly used for trend analysis to study the changing trend of the number of deaths from heart disease. Or to study the related causes of death from heart disease, to see which causes have a higher number of deaths. This paper does not stop at the description and summary of data. Further analysis of variance, multiple linear regression and other methods are used to reveal the relationship between data from the perspective of statistics.

5 CONCLUSIONS

This study found that the number of heart disease deaths (per 100,000 people) was significantly different by gender, race and region. Men were more likely to die from heart disease than women, and women were better able to take care of themselves. Indians and blacks have higher rates of death from heart disease than other races, which is probably related to the lower income of Indians and blacks. Regionally, people in the District of Columbia, Massachusetts, Minnesota and Rhode Island died less frequently from heart disease than people in other regions.

Due to the data, there are still many conjectures that cannot be verified. For example, the reason for the higher death rate of heart disease among Indians and blacks, and the reason for the higher death rate of men than women remains to be revealed.
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