A Review on Quality Assurance in MANET

Samir Srivastava¹ and P. K. Singh²

¹Computer Sc. & Engg. Deptt. KNIT, Sultanpur UP, India ²Computer Sc. & Engg. Deptt. MMMTU, Gorakhpur UP, India

Keywords: Mobile Ad Hoc Networks (MANETs), Mobility, Quality of Service, Routing.

Abstract: Requirement of MANET is increasing day by day in the applications of wireless sensor networks. The cause behind the expansion of MANET is the role of mixed media type applications use in different scenario. With the expansion of MANET the research challenges are facing issues related to framework , power constraints and dynamic mechanism hence the improvement is also growing in parallel to the problems due to security and safety. This article covers different types of network attacks observed in MANET applications and the protocols that are used to minimize the effect of these attacks. In this review paper the relations in between the network protocols and routing mechanism are considered in respect to QoSand detailed survey to recognize the parametric challenges related to MANET applications.

1 INTRODUCTION

Mobile Ad hoc Networks (MANET) are flexible and decentralized infrastructural less organizations with nodes mobility and information communication over multi-hop remote connections (C. Xiuzhen et al. 2013, Pande H.K et al. 2020, Kanellopoulos et al.2029), They had been concentrated widely in research and were first set in the US military, however because of their reasonable benefits, for example, minimal effort, fast deployment time, simple design and secure use, the utilization of MANETs have been generally spread and applied in different fields like industry and medication(C. Singh et al. 2015). In spite of MANET's difficulties like its dynamic topology and constraints related to nodes limitation on computational and processing power, their dependence on battery and transmission speed dependent on remote connections. It has different applications and crucial jobs having the requirement for information communication that require quality affirmation(QoS) in all the participating nodes of MANETs.

Introducing a efficient routing protocol in MANTs is critical which requires an ideal Quality Assurance(QoS) approch which has been recommend through a Quality confirmation Mobility-Aware Routing protocol dependent on AODV (Pande H.K et al. 2020, Kanellopoulos et al.20219,S. Banik et al. 2019). There have been different investigations concerning routing optimization and communication models in MANETs in dominant part of which, the huge job of mobility and its impact on QoS has been underestimated (V. Part et al. 2012,Robinson et al. 2019, B. M. Nyambo et al. 2014, HemlataArya et al. 2019). Mobility of node is a powerful issue in link failures which prompts packet loss and consequently, information retransmissions. Likewise, route failures produce error packets and require additional time for connection establishment of network and a novel route finding scheme is performed, all of which cause more load in data transmission process and thus causes a hindrance to acquire desired Quality of Service.



Figure 1: Way of Communication of Ad-hoc Networks.

2 UNIQUENESS OF MANET

2.1 Dynamic Network Topologies

The nodes in MANETs are permitted to move arbitrarily toward any directions. The remote

154

topology of network can be changed haphazardly at eccentric events, periodically and on fundamentally includes bidirectional associations.

2.2 Low Bandwidth

These networks have limited transmission capacity as compared to conventional networks. The throughput of far off correspondence of data is lesser than wired correspondence considering the effect of the different access, noise, attack, and circuit conditions.

2.3 Confined Battery Power

The nodes or hosts are having limited battery power in MANETS, hence the protocols uaed for transmission with QoS capabilities utilizing minimum power capcity is the most crucial in the design models.

2.4 Decentralized Control

Because of flexibility in network associations, the working of MANET depends on cooperation of participating Hosts/Nodes/hubs. Hence, use of any protocol that incorporates a specific Host/Node/Hub designated as authority in the MANET causes hindrance is achieving the desired level of QoS.

2.5 Versatility

Because of the limited memory and dealing with power on portable batteries, the adaptability is a central question when we consider a significant network estimate. Network of 10,000 or even 100,000 hubs are envisioned, and versatility is one of the genuine design concerns.

3 USES OF MANET

MANET are utilized in security based activities for defence, military applications, inter correspondence among PCs and PC in local independent companies. It is additionally utilized in remote sensor organizations, sending basic sensor information to a base station from versatile nodes.



Figure 2: Mobile ad-hoc Network's main Task.

4 LITERATURE REVIEW

Because of MANET's particular properties like dynamic topology, their routing protocols and route finding schemes significantly changes from different network's; in this way, the presented conventions for MANET are planned as needs to overcome unsuitable overhead and improper functionalities. Protocols for MANETs are arranged into four classes of Re-active, Pro-active, Hybrid/cross breed and topographical routing protocols.

In the Re-active class which incorporates protocols like AODV, ACOR, DSR and ABR, no past node to node ways exist and route establishment starts just when an data packet needs to get to a specific objective(Node); henceforth, if no data communication over an networks lifetime happens. no route will be found too. In a rout establishment process the source node communicates Route Request (RREQ) packets to any remaining nodes, until it arrives at the objective which answers with a Route Reply (RREP) packet back to the source. These kinds of protocols require less memory for rout disclosure and directing and because of their on-request based monitoring protocol; force on overhead load is low compared with others. While on account of disposing of their unneeded ways, for any new objective, rout establishment needs to run which causes more complexity (A. Iftikhar et al. 2016, A. Chopra et al. 2014, O. Georgiou et al. 2016, S. Mostafavi et al. 2019)?

This Pro-active class incorporates protocols like DSDV, OLSR, WRP, CGSR and FSR in which route establishment occurs before any information transferred when demands are created and each node has routes to all other nodes in the network regardless of whether no data transmission had been made previously. In this class network route refreshes are conveyed on a periodical duration on a normal of five seconds which is utilized by nodes to refresh their routing information tables. These update packets cause overhead however since all rout are accessible in nodes' tables, measure postpone or delay are nearly negligible (C. V. Raghavendran et al. 2013, S. Mostafavi et al., L. Baccouche et al. 2015, Y. Qin. et al. 2015, V. Attada et al. 2015)

Protocols including ZRP, ARPAM, OORP, HSR and CGSR make up Hybrid/Cross breed class, which utilize a mix of the procedures utilized by re-active and pro-active routing protocols, i.e., update packets are sent like proactive conventions however with longer spans and on-request directing happens just when there is no practical way from source node to destination node. These conventions are used both in wired networks with fixed framework and remote networks, for example, MANETs as indicated by the networks data transmission needs (L. Abusalah et al. 2008, M. Sanaei et al.2008, .

The protocols of Topographical Routing protocols depend on the Global Positioning System (GPS) like the Greedy Perimeter Stateless Routing (GPSR) protocol, the most ordinarily known in this classification [24-25].

MANET's routing protocols expressed above and their varieties are summed up dependent on their properties in Table1below

MANET Routing Protocols								
Source Initiate	Table Driven	Hybrid	Position Based	Multi Path	Hierarchical	Multicast	Geographical Multicast	Power Aware
DSR	DSDV	ZRP	AODV	CHAMP	HSR	DCMP	DGR	DEAR
AODV	OLSR	FSR	TORA	AOMDV	CEDAR	ADMR	GAMER	
TORA	HOLSR	RDMARSLURP	ABR	SMR	/	PPMA	GeoGRID	
ABR	CGSR	ZHLS	SSBR	NTBR		ALMA	GeoTORA	
SSBR	WRP	DST	AQOR			Fireworks		
AQOR	GSR	DDR	ARA	SMORT		AQM		
ARA	STAR	A4LP	ROAM	TMRP		CBM		
ROAM	20	LRHR	FORP	REEF	.OGY F	PUBL	ICATIO	ຍ
SCaTR		FZRP	DAR					
DAR			FDG					

Table 1. MANET's routing protocols categorized based on their features.

In various experimental works, network congestion and energy control schemes among the nodes have been focused to improve QoS. Table I. sums up these results and presents a portion of their merits and demerits.

 Table 2: Recent Studies Regarding Improvement In

 MANET And Some of Their Limitations

Work	Insufficiency	References
Study and Proposed a delay- sensitive QoS improvement information transfer scheme with minimum delay, route length and energy consumption	Doesn't uphold steadiness because of not considering congestion'impact; doesn't utilize a multifaceted routing scheme.	[9]

Study and Proposes a priority based QoS improvement in addition to energy effectiveness scheme	Disregards some QoS influencing factors; doesn't utilize a multifaceted approach with various worth coefficients for each factor, based on traffic load; protocol relaibilty with expanded transitional node mobility	[10]
Proposes a convention dependent on halfway nodes' traffic load, routes flexibility tracing, remaining energy and association quality for data transmission streamlining	Not productive in various heavy traffic load designs; doesn't utilize a multifaceted component with various qualities for each factor dependent on various network conditions	[17]

Proposes an interlayer cooperation system called DYMO to utilize extraordinary layers' benefits toward quality improvement	Doesn't think doesn't thinkabout impact of QoS parameters on intermediated nodes, in the route discovery cycle	[12]
Proposes a route convention dependent on clustering by considering about changes and failure of middle nodes in a route	Doesn't think about essential quality factors in directing; doesn't utilize a multifaceted system	[14]
Proposes a QoS routing protocol on AODV by considering blockage, overloading, network delay and energy effectiveness	Doesn't think about the unique idea of MANETs; doesn't utilize a multifaceted system;	[16]
Proposes a reliability aware routing scheme based protocol called RA-AODV in which rout are obliged with start to finish dynamics of network communication and data transmission bandwidth to give QoS	Doesn't consider congestion load and energy proficiency in its routing interaction; delivers overhead by continually associating adjoining nodes having fast speed.	
Proposes a versatile experience based stable and energy proficient protocol utilizing network load, least channel rate and link accessibility	Doesn't think about soundness of associations between middle nodes; doesn't consider end-to end delay	[20]

Four most oftenly used routing protocols in MANETs are Destination-Sequenced Distance-Vector DSDV [13] and Ad Hoc On-Demand Distance Vector type AODV [14], DSR [15] and TORA [16]. DSDV is a table-driven in which each hosts/Nodes maintain a route table consisting of routes to every other mobile hosts/nodes in the MANETS, since MANETS Topology is dynamic in nature thats why every mobile node in the MANWTS has to update its routing table automatically and periodically which increases the congestion in the MANET and badly effect the

QoSachievments. While AODV, DSR and TORA are Re-active or On demand routing protocol in which route will be discovered by the source to destination when it is required/Needed by using Route Discovery and Route Maintenance phase.In DSR entire path to destination Node is stored as a header in the packet hence when the network grows the path to destination will also increase resulting in the increase of data size of the packet which consequentially slow down the MANET performance by increasinfa end to end delay. While in the case of AODV the routing information to the destion is maintained in a table hence degration of network performance of MANET is less.

AODV utilizes a table-driven coordinating plan and target plan numbers, DSR utilizes a source controlling, anyway TORA utilizes an affiliation inversion coordinating structure. Reliably, the last three experience a less controlling difficulty and the past has a less beginning to end delay. [17] and [18] have examined and observed the execution of different existing node coordinating schemes under various conditions.

5 CONCLUSION

MANETs are fragile against various kinds of attacks because of its infra-structure less association. Unquestionable trust based frameworks are constituted to anticipate such sorts of attacks and to push ahead Quality Assurance(QoS) requirements. These trust thinking and incorporating trust based schemes in the current routing protocols will definitely enhance the QoS achievement degree level. In this paper, we have given a smaller thought on several types of QoS parameters impact on various routing protocols that persists in MANETs. in the process of route discovery, route maintenance and security.

REFERENCES

- A. Chopra, and R. G. Vishwakarma, "Comparison of Ad hoc Reactive Routing Protocols: AODV and DSR with Respect to Performance Parameters for Different Number of Nodes," IT in Business, Industry and Government (CSIBIG), pp. 37-41, 2014.
- A. Iftikhar, U. Ashraf, and A. Ghafoor, "A comparative QoS survey of mobile ad hoc network routing protocols," Journal of the Chinese Institute of Engineers, Vol. 23, pp. 1-8, 2016.

- B. M. Nyambo, G. K. Janssens, and W. Lamotte, "Quality Of Service In Mobile Ad Hoc Networks, Carrying Multimedia Traffic," International Journal on Information Technologies & Security, Vol. 7, pp. 41-68, 2014.
- C. Singh, "A Review: Comparative Study of Routing Protocols for Adhoc Networks," International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering, Vol. 4, pp. 319-321, 2015.
- C. V. Raghavendran, G. N. Satish, P. S. Varma, K. Kumar, "Challenges and Advances in QoS Routing Protocols for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks," International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering, Vol. 3, pp. 121-127, 2013.
- C. Xiuzhen, X. Huang, and D. Z. Du, "Ad hoc wireless networking" Vol. 14, pp. 1-44, Springer Science & Business Media, University of Minnesota USA, 2013.
- Hemlata Arya, Atul Chauhan, "Survey on Various Routing Protocols and Mobility Models used in Mobile Ad Hoc Network", Current Trends in Information Technology, vol. 9, no. 3, pp.40–45, 2019.
- Kanellopoulos, Dimitris N. "Recent Progress on QoS Scheduling for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks," Journal of Organizational and End User Computing (JOEUC), vol. 31, 2019.
- L. Abusalah, A. Khokhar, and M. Guizani, "A survey of secure mobile ad hoc routing protocols," Communications Surveys & Tutorials, Vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 78-93, 2008.
- L. Baccouche, and J. D. Rekik, "A QoS Architecture for Real-Time Transactions Guarantee in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks," Wireless Personal Communications, Vol. 83, pp1595-1616, 2015.
- M. Sanaei and S. Mostafavi, "Multimedia Delivery Techniques over Software-Defined Networks: A Survey," 5th International Conference on Web Research (ICWR), 2019, pp. 105-110.
- O. Georgiou, et al, "Connectivity of Cooperative Ad hoc Networks," Computer Science Networking and Internet Architecture, Vol.4, pp. 1-7, 2016.
- Pande H.K., Srivastava K.K., Mangal L.C., "A Resource Allocation Protocol to Meet QoS for Mobile Ad-hoc Network (MANET) in Tactical Scenario". In Advances in VLSI, Communication, and Signal Processing. Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, vol 587. Springer, Singapore, 2020.
- Robinson, Y.H., Julie, E.G., Saravanan, K. et al., "FD-AOMDV: fault-tolerant disjoint ad-hoc on-demand multipath distance vector routing algorithm in mobile ad-hoc networks.", J Ambient Intell Human Comput, vol. 10, pp. 4455–4472, 2019.
- S. Banik, M. M. Mowla and I. Ahmad, "A Strategic Routing Analysis for Agro Sensor Communications in Mobile Ad hoc Networks," 2019 1st International Conference on Advances in Science, Engineering and Robotics Technology (ICASERT), Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2019, pp. 1-6.

- S. Mostafavi and V. Hakami, "A new rank-order clustering algorithm for prolonging the lifetime of wireless sensor networks", International Journal of Communication Systems, 2019.
- S. Mostafavi, W. Shafik, "Fog Computing Architectures, Privacy and Security Solutions", Journal of Communications Technology, Electronics and Computer Science, Vol. 24, pp. 1-14.
- V. Attada, and S. P. Setty, "Cross Layer Design Approach to Enhance the Quality of Service in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks," Wireless Personal Communications, Vol. 85, pp.141-156, 2015.
- V. Part, "Advances in Ad hoc Networking: MANET, VANET, WSN, DTN, etc," Advances in Ubiquitous Networking: Proceedings of the UNet'15 366. 2012.
- Y. Qin, L. Li,X. Zhong, Y. Yang, and C. L. Gwee, "A Cross-Layer QoS Design with Energy and Traffic Balance Aware for Different Types of Traffic in MANETs," Wireless Personal Communication Springer Journal, Vol. 85, pp.1429–1449, 2015.