An Effective 3D ResNet Architecture for Stereo Image Retrieval

E. Ghodhbani¹[®]^a, M. Kaaniche²[®]^b and A. Benazza-Benyahia¹[®]^c

¹University of Carthage SUP'COM, LR11TIC01, COSIM Lab., 2083, El Ghazala, Tunisia ²Institut Galilée, L2TI, Université Sorbonne Paris Nord, France

Keywords: Image Retrieval, Color Stereo Images, Disparity Maps, Deep Learning, Residual Neural Networks.

Abstract: While recent stereo images retrieval techniques have been developed based mainly on statistical approaches, this work aims to investigate deep learning ones. More precisely, our contribution consists in designing a twobranch neural networks to extract deep features from the stereo pair. In this respect, a 3D residual network architecture is first employed to exploit the high correlation existing in the stereo pair. This 3D model is then combined with a 2D one applied to the disparity maps, resulting in deep feature representations of the texture information as well as the depth one. Our experiments, carried out on a large scale stereo image dataset, have shown the good performance of the proposed approach compared to the state-of-the-art methods.

1 INTRODUCTION

3D sensing mechanisms have witnessed a rapid evolution in recent years. A particular attention has been paid to the stereoscopic imaging paradigm. The main advantage of this technique is the ability to recover the depth information of the target scene by simply capturing two images with two slightly different viewing angles, mimicking in such way the human visual system. This particular 3D image representation has been widely integrated in several active applications such as augmented reality displays (Kim et al., 2014), obstacle detection for autonomous vehicle navigation (Bernini et al., 2014), and laparoscopic surgeries (Sdiri et al., 2019). This growing deployment has led to an expanding generation of large-scale Stereo Image (SI) databases. Hence, efficient retrieval systems that grant both fast and accurate access to these repositories is of major concern. The core objective of retrieval systems is to extract discriminating features in order to accurately characterize the rich content of the images.

Regarding SI retrieval, different approaches have been developed in the literature. 1 For instance, the first proposed approach (Feng et al., 2011) performs the SI retrieval using MPEG-7 edge histograms extracted from the left image. Then, the selected image candidates are further refined through a re-ranking procedure based on the disparity cues. Peng *et al.* (Peng et al., 2015) proposed to retrieve optical satellite SI using features extracted from digital surface models and ortho-images. Other works have relied on a statistical modeling framework in the wavelet domain to generate salient features (Chaker et al., 2015; Ghodhbani et al., 2019). The main idea behind these works is to resort to an adequate parametric modeling to fit the distributions of the wavelet coefficients.

Recently, Deep Neural Networks (DNN) (LeCun et al., 2015) have received considerable attention in the retrieval community. The common objective of the proposed DNN-based approaches is to train deep architectures to capture high-level features that efficiently abstract image attributes. Although this research area has rapidly evolved towards developing more efficient retrieval systems, it is important to note here that most deep learning based retrieval methods have been devoted to the context of single views (Babenko et al., 2014; Tolias et al., 2015), and very few works have been developed for multi-view images (Su et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2018).

Therefore, we propose in this paper to investigate deep learning methods for the stereo image retrieval. In this respect, a 3D residual network architecture is first developed to exploit the high correlations existing between the left and right views of the stereo pair. Moreover, another 2D architecture is applied to the disparity maps. Finally, the resulting texture

380

Ghodhbani, E., Kaaniche, M. and Benazza-Benyahia, A.

An Effective 3D ResNet Architecture for Stereo Image Retrieval. DOI: 10.5220/0010261103800387

ISBN: 978-989-758-488-6

Copyright © 2021 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

^a https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6685-7117

^b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1874-3243

^c https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4562-2757

In Proceedings of the 16th International Joint Conference on Computer Vision, Imaging and Computer Graphics Theory and Applications (VISIGRAPP 2021) - Volume 4: VISAPP, pages 380-387

and depth features are combined by a fusion DNN for the retrieval purpose.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the basic concept of residual networks. In Section 3, the proposed residual networks based retrieval methods are described. Experimental results are provided and discussed in Section 4, and some conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2 BACKGROUND ON RESIDUAL NETWORKS

2.1 Motivation of Residual Networks

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) are a prominent category of artificial neural networks thanks to their ability to capture local spatial coherence of images. They have shown a notable success in abstracting semantic features, useful in a wide range of computer vision tasks, including object detection (Ji et al., 2018), action recognition (Zhang et al., 2018), semantic segmentation (Long et al., 2015) and face identification (Zheng et al., 2019).

However, very deep CNNs have been frequently exposed to the notorious problem of vanishing gradient during the training phase (He et al., 2016). For this reason, several attempts were made to build novel CNNs that cope with this shortcoming. Residual networks (ResNet) are ones of the effective alternatives (He et al., 2016). These networks make use of shortcut connections that allow flow of information to shallower layers without attenuation frequently caused by successive non-linear transformations. The intuition behind this reformulation is that nonlinear layers are unlikely to approximate an identity mapping that enables to propagate larger gradients to initial layers, which could potentially alleviate the vanishing gradient issue. Formally, denoting by $\mathcal{H}(x)$ the underlying mapping to learn from a set of stacked layers whose input is x. The mapping $\mathcal{H}(x)$ should be an identity operator in order to alleviate the degradation issue. The residual learning consists in fitting a difference mapping (namely residual mapping) defined as: $\mathcal{F}(x) = \mathcal{H}(x) - x$ instead of fitting the conventional $\mathcal{H}(x)$. Hence, it is much easier to optimize the residual mapping $\mathcal{F}(x)$ than fitting an identity mapping.

Fig. 1 displays a residual block (also called an identity block) of a ResNet.

Empirical attainment of this paradigm is threefold. First, extremely deep residual networks converge faster than their plain counterparts. Secondly, in-



Figure 1: A basic residual block architecture.

creasing the depth of residual networks improves the accuracy gain unlike conventional very deep CNNs. Finally, residual networks with very high depth still have low complexity than conventional deep CNNs as VGG nets (Simonyan and Zisserman, 2015).

ResNets consist of three main parts. The first part involves a series of stacked residual blocks, each block has 3 convolution layers with different number of filters. The feature maps resulting from the last residual block is then abstracted in a compact vector using a global average pooling layer. Finally, a fully connected (FC) layer followed by a softmax activation function is used to perform a categorical classification task.

For the retrieval task, the feature vector obtained at the global average pooling layer could serve as an efficient semantic representation of each input image. Indeed, a forward pass over the ResNet is firstly performed to both query and database images. Then, an adequate similarity measure is retained to assess the closeness between the query image and each of the candidate ones using their related feature vectors.

2.2 3D Residual Networks

In the conventional 2D residual networks, only the spatial correlations are captured. However, using only 2D kernels may ignore other kinds of correlation like those existing in multi-component images and video sequences. To this end, multi-dimensional CNNs present a suitable alternative to resolve this issue. These networks perform joint convolutions on feature maps instead of operating in a separate manner as conventional spatial 2D based CNNs.

Driven by the compelling advantages of exploiting residual networks, researchers have focused on extending such networks to the spatio-temporal domain. For this purpose, three-dimensional residual networks (namely 3D ResNet) have been introduced (Hara et al., 2018). These networks perform 3D convolution and pooling operations in order to model the spatial information and simultaneously capture temporal connections across frames. They were mainly devoted to process multiple video frames. For instance, authors in (Tran et al., 2017; Hara et al., 2017) have proved the outperformance of 3D ResNets in the task of action recognition, compared to the first proposed 3D CNN (Karpathy et al., 2014). For the same task, Hara *et al.* (Hara et al., 2018) have empirically demonstrated that 3D ResNets trained on largescale video datasets, have reached competitive accuracy rates outperforming several 2D CNNs. While such ResNet architecture has been mainly exploited in the context of recognition and classification, and to the best of our knowledge, this current work is the first one exploring ResNets for stereo image retrieval.

3 RESNET-BASED STEREO IMAGE RETRIEVAL

3.1 ResNet-based Independent Stereo Image Representation

A straightforward deep learning-based SI retrieval method may consist in applying a conventional single view retrieval method to each view of the stereo pair. This approach could be considered as a univariate SI representation in the sense that each view is processed independently from the other. Fig. 2 illustrates this approach. Formally, for each input view (for example the left one), the resulting feature vector is given by:

$$\mathbf{p}^{(1)} = [v_1^{(1)}, v_2^{(1)}, \dots, v_K^{(1)}]^\top, \text{ with } v_k^{(1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^H \sum_{j=1}^W \mathcal{X}_k^{(1)}(i, j)}{H \times W}.$$
(1)

where $\chi_k^{(l)}$ is the *k*-th feature map of size $W \times H$, and *K* denotes the whole number of maps at the last residual block. In a similar way, the deep feature vector $\mathbf{p}^{(r)}$ is defined. As a result, the final feature vector of the stereo pair is given by:

$$\mathbf{p} = \left(\mathbf{p}^{(l)}, \mathbf{p}^{(r)}\right). \tag{2}$$

Afterwards, the similarity between a query SI $(I^{(q,l)}, I^{(q,r)})$ and each database SI $(I^{(db,l)}, I^{(db,r)})$ is measured using the sum of the Euclidean distances between their associated feature vectors. It is expressed as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(I^{(q)} \| I^{(db)}) = \mathcal{D}(I^{(q,l)} \| I^{(db,l)}) + \mathcal{D}(I^{(q,r)} \| I^{(db,r)}),
= \| \mathbf{p}^{(q,l)} - \mathbf{p}^{(db,l)} \|_2 + \| \mathbf{p}^{(q,r)} - \mathbf{p}^{(db,r)} \|_2,
(3)$$

where $\mathbf{p}^{(q)} = (\mathbf{p}^{(q,l)}, \mathbf{p}^{(q,r)})$ and $\mathbf{p}^{(db)} = (\mathbf{p}^{(db,l)}, \mathbf{p}^{(db,r)})$ represent respectively the global feature vector of the query and the database SI.

3.2 Joint SI Representations using 3D ResNet

While 3D CNNs attempt to capture temporal correlations across consecutive inputs, we are interested in using such network to fully exploit the correlations existing between the RGB channels of the left and right views of the input color SI. More precisely, the first proposed approach consists in using simultaneously the resulting six channels of both views as an input of our 3D ResNet architecture. Thus, as shown in Fig. 3, the main difference of the latter with respect to the previous 2D ResNet architecture is that the 3D convolution steps are updated to take into account the correlations between the two views. Besides, contrary to the straightforward approach which outputs two separate feature vectors (one vector per view), this architecture enables to represent both stereo views in a single compact feature vector.

During the training stage, the network learns to encode the semantics of the color stereo components in a joint fashion. Denoting by $(I_i^{(1)}, I_i^{(r)})$ the *i*-th training SI and its corresponding class y_i , the categorical cross-entropy loss is used to backpropagate gradients. It is expressed as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{c=1}^{C} y_{(i,c)} \log(p_{(i,c)}),$$
(4)

where *C* is the total number of classes in the training dataset, $y_{(i,c)}$ is a binary indicator of value 1 if the class label *c* is the corresponding exact label y_i) for the *i*-th SI sample, and 0 if not. $p_{(i,c)}$ is the network predicted probability that the *i*-th SI sample is of class *c*.

Later on, and similarly to the straightforward approach, the global average pooling layer of the trained network is used as a feature extractor to generate representative features for each SI. Finally, given $\mathbf{p}^{(q)}$ and $\mathbf{p}^{(db)}$ the feature vectors of the query SI and the candidate SI, the Euclidean distance is used to measure their similarity as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(I^{(q)} \parallel I^{(db)}) = \|\mathbf{p}^{(q)} - \mathbf{p}^{(db)}\|_2.$$
(5)

3.3 Fusion with Depth Maps-based Representation

Driven by the compelling benefits of the depth information in the SI retrieval task (Chaker et al., 2015; Ghodhbani et al., 2019), we propose an improved approach that takes into account both texture and depth attributes of the SI. In this regard, the proposed architecture consists of two branches. The first branch is a 3D ResNet used to extract relevant cues from both



Figure 2: SI representation using two ResNets.

stereo views as described in the previous proposed approach, while the second branch is a 2D ResNet, adopted to learn how to analyze and abstract depth data properties. The proposed architecture undergoes a two-stage learning process. The first stage aims at learning a distinctive high-level cues associated to texture and color in the SI. It has a 3D input shape consisting of the six color channels of the stereo pair. The second stage aims at training the second branch, i.e. the 2D ResNet on the disparity maps of the training set. Both training are performed using the categorical cross-entropy loss function to back-propagate gradients and adjust the network weights. Consequently, the resulting two-branch architecture is used to extract salient features from each SI and its corresponding disparity map. Indeed, a couple of feature vectors is generated for each stereo pair, reflecting in such formulation both texture and depth attributes. It could be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{p} = \left(\mathbf{p}^{(c)}, \mathbf{p}^{(d)}\right). \tag{6}$$

The similarity measurement between a query and database SI characterized by their feature vectors $\mathbf{p}^{(q)} = (\mathbf{p}^{(q,c)}, \mathbf{p}^{(q,d)})$ and $\mathbf{p}^{(db)} = (\mathbf{p}^{(db,c)}, \mathbf{p}^{(db,d)})$ respectively, is performed using the Euclidean distance as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(I^{(q)} \parallel I^{(db)}) = \|\mathbf{p}^{(q,c)} - \mathbf{p}^{(db,c)}\|_2 + \|\mathbf{p}^{(q,d)} - \mathbf{p}^{(db,d)}\|_2.$$
(7)

4 EXPERIMENTS

4.1 Training Setup

The proposed approaches have been conducted using a ResNet-50. This network mainly consist of 5 stages. The first one involves a convolutional layer with 64 filters of size 7×7 and a stride of 2, a ReLu activation, followed by a max-pooling layer. The remaining stages are a stack of identity and convolution blocks as illustrated in Fig. 4. When input and output tensors of an identity block do not have the same dimensions, a 1×1 convolution operation is added to the shortcut connection in order to match the dimensions before performing the element-wise adding operation. The modified identity block is referred to as a convolutional block.

During all training stages, both 2D and 3D ResNets are fed with inputs of size 480×270 . Besides, the batch size and learning rate are set to 16 and 10^{-4} , respectively. Moreover, the Adam optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2014) is retained to update the network weights. All experiments have been conducted using a Nvidia Quadro P5000 GPU with 16 GB of memory.

4.2 Dataset Overview

To evaluate the retrieval performance of the proposed approaches, a database with a large-scale training set is crucial for training deep ResNets. However, to the best of our knowledge, the only color SI dataset satisfying the large scale criteria is the FlyingThings3D dataset (Mayer et al., 2016). It consists of 25,000 SI of size 960×540 and their associated ground truth disparity maps. Since this database is not mainly dedicated neither for classification nor for retrieval tasks, we propose to build upon it to generate our appropriate dataset as follows. The training dataset is initially partitioned into 10-frame subsets rendered from small clips. Images in the same subset share visual content, and are considered as a class of similar images. It is obvious that training deep CNNs such as ResNets requires largely higher number of samples in each subset. To this end, we resort to an offline data augmentation framework in order to enlarge the training subsets, and help the network to better generalize and prevent overfitting. We have considered 300 subsets and have performed 20 affine data transformations such as rotation, translation, shearing and



Figure 4: A ResNet-50 architecture.

flipping over each stereo pair. The new dataset is composed of 63,000 SI, split into 80% for training (i.e. 50,400 stereo pairs), and 20% for test (i.e. 12,600 stereo pairs). Some class samples of this new dataset are shown in Fig. 5. Note that the same transformations are carefully performed for both stereo pairs and their associated disparity maps in order to preserve their spatial correlation.

4.3 Comparison Methods

We will consider the following proposed and state-ofthe-art methods:

• GC-MGG-7-LRD (Ghodhbani et al., 2019): A statistical-based approach to retrieve color SI.

This method considers a Gaussian copula-based modelling in the wavelet domain in order to emphasize different dependencies between the stereo pair, as well as those existing between the stereo views and their associated depth maps. Note that this approach has reached the best retrieval performance relatively to other proposed methods, and several state-of-the art statistical methods as well.

• CroW (Kalantidis et al., 2016): A deep learningbased retrieval approach devoted for mono-view images. This approach mainly relies on a crossdimensional weighting pipeline, followed by an aggregation scheme in order to abstract output tensors derived from the last convolutional layer of the used network. The aggregated outputs are



Figure 5: Some class samples of the generated database.

considered as salient features to perform the retrieval task. We propose to test this approach in the context of SI through joining convolutional output tensors of both left and right views before performing the weighting and aggregation procedures.

- ResNet-LR: This method relies on an independent stereo view representation by separately applying the conventional ResNet architecture to the left and right views. It should be noted that this method can also be seen as a direct application of a conventional ResNet-based state-of-the-art method.
- ResNet-3D-LR: The proposed joint representation that highlights texture correlations among the stereo pair using a 3D ResNet.
- ResNet-3D-LR+ResNet-D: The proposed method that aims at characterizing texture and depth data using a two-branch network.

Regarding deep learning based approaches, Table 1 illustrates the number of trainable parameters as well as the length of the resulting feature vectors used for the retrieval task.

4.4 Results

In order to evaluate the performance of the retrieval task, several objective metrics were defined. The most commonly used metrics are:

• The precision PR *versus* recall RC ratios. The precision $PR = N^r/N$ is the ratio between the number of relevant images in the returned ones N^r and the number of returned images N, whereas the recall $RC = N^r/N^t$ is the ratio between N^r and the number of relevant images in the database N^t . These two metrics are commonly used to plot PR-RC curve in order to illustrate the exhaustive retrieval performance of the target algorithm. • The mAP presents the mean over all queries of average precision associated to each query. It is expressed as follows:

$$mAP = \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{q=1}^{N} AP(q) \right)$$
(8)

where N is the total number of images in the test set, and AP is the average precision of each query, defined as:

$$AP = \frac{1}{N^t} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{R_{index}(i)}{i}.$$
 (9)

Given the ordered candidate images relatively to the query, and N^t the number of relevant images in the database, let NR(i) is the number of relevant images till the *i*-th position in the ranked list. Thereafter, $R_index(i)$ is defined as:

$$R_index(i) = \begin{cases} NR(i) & \text{if the } i\text{-th ranked image} \\ & \text{is relevant,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(10)

Note that a retrieved image is considered as relevant if it shares the same class of the query one.

To evaluate the retrieval performance of deep features obtained using the proposed architectures for both independent and joint SI representations as well as the above described state-of-the-art methods, Tab. 2 outlines the reached mAP rates of each method on the generated database. This table shows that the different tested deep learning based approaches significantly outperform the classical statistical based retrieval method. Besides, we remark that the proposed approaches, even the ResNet-LR that relies on an independent SI representation, outperform the CroW method. This could confirm the performance of the ResNet relatively to the VGG network retained in the CroW approach. For this reason, we propose

Table 1: The proposed approaches wih the number of trainable parameters (in millions) and the length of the final feature vector.

| Model | Number of | Length of |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | parameters | feature vector |
| CroW-SI (Kalantidis et al., 2016) | 138M | 1,024 |
| ResNet-LR | 25.7M | 4,096 |
| ResNet-3D-LR | 46.8M | 2,048 |
| ResNet-3D-LR+ResNet-D | 72.5M | 4,096 |

Table 2: mAP rates of the state-of-the-art and proposed methods.

| Methods | mAP |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| GC-MGG-7-LRD (Ghodhbani et al., 2019) | 0.23 |
| CroW-SI (Kalantidis et al., 2016) | 0.52 |
| ResNet-LR | 0.84 |
| ResNet-3D-LR | 0.87 |
| ResNet-3D-LR+ResNet-D | 0.91 |



Figure 6: PR-RC curves of the independent and joint-based SI representations.

now to focus on the t3D ResNet-based retrieval methods and study their performance in terms of PR-RC. Thus, as it can be seen from Fig. 6, the proposed 3D ResNet architecture leads to better precision-recall results compared to the conventional ResNet architecture applied separately to each view of the stereo pair. This confirms the interest of the joint feature extraction method compared to the independent one. It is important to note here, as shown in Table 1, that another main advantage of the joint method is that the size of its resulting output feature vector is half of that generated by the independent process of the two views. This allows to accelerate the retrieval process, especially for large scale databases. Moreover, further improvements are obtained by combining the previous deep features of the texture information with those of the depth information.

5 CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

In this paper, a two-branch neural network is proposed for color stereo image retrieval. More precisely, a 3D ResNet is employed to exploit the high correlations existing between the left and right views of the stereo pair. Then, a 2D ResNet architecture is added to extract deep feature from the depth information. Experimental results have shown the benefits of the proposed methods compared to state-of-the-art image retrieval methods. In future work, we propose to extend this architecture by designing a multi-modal feature learning framework.

REFERENCES

- Babenko, A., Slesarev, A., Chigorin, A., and Lempitsky, V. (2014). Neural codes for image retrieval. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 584–599. Springer.
- Bernini, N., Bertozzi, M., Castangia, L., Patander, M., and Sabbatelli, M. (2014). Real-time obstacle detection using stereo vision for autonomous ground vehicles: A survey. In 17th International IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC), pages 873– 878.
- Chaker, A., Kaaniche, M., and Benazza-Benyahia, A. (2015). Disparity based stereo image retrieval through univariate and bivariate models. *Signal Processing: Image Communication*, 31:174–184.
- Feng, Y., Ren, J., and Jiang, J. (2011). Generic framework for content-based stereo image/video retrieval. *Electronics Letters*, 47(2):97–98.
- Ghodhbani, E., Kaaniche, M., and Benazza-Benyahia, A. (2019). Depth-based color stereo images retrieval using joint multivariate statistical models. *Signal Processing: Image Communication*, 76:272–282.
- Hara, K., Kataoka, H., and Satoh, Y. (2017). Learning spatio-temporal features with 3d residual networks for action recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision Workshops*, pages 3154–3160.
- Hara, K., Kataoka, H., and Satoh, Y. (2018). Can spatiotemporal 3d CNNs retrace the history of 2d cnns and imagenet. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 6546–6555.
- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., and Sun, J. (2016). Deep residual learning for image recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 770–778.
- Ji, Y., Zhang, H., and Wu, Q. J. (2018). Salient object detection via multi-scale attention CNN. *Neurocomputing*, 322:130–140.
- Kalantidis, Y., Mellina, C., and Osindero, S. (2016). Crossdimensional weighting for aggregated deep convolutional features. In *European conference on computer* vision, pages 685–701. Springer.
- Karpathy, A., Toderici, G., Shetty, S., Leung, T., Sukthankar, R., and Fei-Fei, L. (2014). Large-scale video classification with convolutional neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vi*sion and Pattern Recognition, pages 1725–1732.
- Kim, M., Lee, J., and Whon, K. (2014). Sparogram: the spatial augmented reality holographic display for 3d visualization and exhibition. In *IEEE VIS International Workshop on 3DVis*, pages 81–86, Paris, France.
- Kingma, D. P. and Ba, J. (2014). Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980.
- LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., and Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature*, 521(7553):436–444.

- Long, J., Shelhamer, E., and Darrell, T. (2015). Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3431–3440.
- Ma, C., Guo, Y., Yang, J., and An, W. (2018). Learning multi-view representation with lstm for 3-d shape recognition and retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 21(5):1169–1182.
- Mayer, N., Ilg, E., Hausser, P., Fischer, P., Cremers, D., Dosovitskiy, A., and Brox, T. (2016). A large dataset to train convolutional networks for disparity, optical flow, and scene flow estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4040–4048.
- Peng, F., Wang, L., Gong, J., and Wu, H. (2015). Development of a framework for stereo image retrieval with both height and planar features. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observation and Remote Sensing*, 8(2):800–815.
- Sdiri, B., Kaaniche, M., Cheikh, F. A., Beghdadi, A., and Elle, O. J. (2019). Efficient enhancement of stereo endoscopic images based on joint wavelet decomposition and binocular combination. *IEEE Transactions* on Medical Imaging, 38(1):33–45.
- Simonyan, K. and Zisserman, A. (2015). Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- Su, H., Maji, S., Kalogerakis, E., and Learned-Miller, E. (2015). Multi-view convolutional neural networks for 3d shape recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 945–953.
- Tolias, G., Sicre, R., and Jégou, H. (2015). Particular object retrieval with integral max-pooling of cnn activations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.05879*.
- Tran, D., Ray, J., Shou, Z., Chang, S.-F., and Paluri, M. (2017). Convnet architecture search for spatiotemporal feature learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.05038.
- Zhang, B., Wang, L., Wang, Z., Qiao, Y., and Wang, H. (2018). Real-time action recognition with deeply transferred motion vector cnns. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 27(5):2326–2339.
- Zheng, L., Huang, Y., Lu, H., and Yang, Y. (2019). Poseinvariant embedding for deep person re-identification. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 28(9):4500– 4509.