Subjective Well-being and Self-acceptance among Scavengers

Sarah Hafiza, Marty Mawarpury, Dahlia, Maya Khairani Department of Psychology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Aceh, Indonesia

Keywords: Subjective Well-Being, Self-Acceptance, Scavengers, Banda Aceh.

Abstract:

Working as a scavenger provides positive and negative experiences. It relates to the individual's self-esteem and subjective well-being. The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship between subjective well-being with self-acceptance on scavengers in Banda Aceh. This study using a quantitative approach with non-probability sampling and incidental sampling techniques which involved 94 scavengers consisting of 48 males and 46 females (17-65 years). Subjective well-being was measured using Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS) and Scale of Positive and Negative Experience (SPANE), while self-acceptance was measured using Berger's Self-Acceptance Scale. Data analysis using correlation analysis Spearman-Brown Formula with the result of correlation coefficient (r)=0.036 and significance value (p)=0.727 (p>0.05). These results indicated that there was no relationship between subjective well-being and self-acceptance on scavengers. In separate, data showed that more than 50% of scavengers with the highest category, both for subjective well-being (67.1%) and self-acceptance (61.7%). It happens caused by several factors in the meaning of life.

1 INTRODUCTION

The population continues to occur in Indonesia. According to Bahar (2014), a country will increasingly get poorer population and economic growth does not increase in harmony. Indonesia's Central Statistics Agency (BPS) 2019, the number of poor people in March 2019 reached 25.14 million people with the number of poor people in Aceh as of March 2019 depending on the number of 819 thousand people or 15.32 percent and the highest in Sumatra. Poor population in Indonesia, including Aceh, decreased population from the previous year, but the poor population will affect the social life of the community (Bahar, 2014; Nurnaningsih, 2017).

The results of Fitrah, Isnaini, and Asshary (2017) research stated that scavengers are alternative jobs chosen by several individuals so they will not be accepted. Scavengers, according to Shalih (2003), are individuals who collect, collect, and search for trash, both individuals and groups. The positive impact of working as a scavenger is to get an education and be able to finance education for families, free from work rules (KumparanNews, 2017), and also avoid deviant policies (Magdalena, 2015). Another benefit of scavengers for the surrounding environment can reduce the amount of

waste in the city while classifying waste by type (Pardede, 2017). On the other hand, the negative impact of working as a scavenger is that some people consider working as a scavenger representing a profession that is considered low (Perdanaffebri, 2016), risks to health due to uncleanliness and the safety factor of the scavengers working in 'mountains of garbage' (KumparanNews, 2017).

Working as a scavenger is one part of economic development to get income. Efforts to increase income to meet the needs needed and can improve individual welfare (Rochaida, 2016). According to Diener (2000), subjective well-being is determined by how individuals evaluate information or events experienced. The methods used to evaluate an event are also influenced by temperament, standards set by the individual, mood, situations that occur and are experienced as well as cultural influences. In other words, subjective well-being includes cognitive and affective evaluations (positive and negative feelings) of the individual.

In addition to subjective well-being, the impact that is received by the scavengers is very influential on the individual, so that this condition indirectly relates to self-acceptance with the situations and conditions that are undertaken. According to Osborne (1992) self-acceptance as an attitude of

accepting themselves both weaknesses and strengths they have. Self-acceptance is the key to achieving success because individuals can walk with confidence. Individuals with low self-acceptance conditions will tend to close themselves off from others, ashamed, to make certain efforts towards various things that are still lacking (Ridha, 2012). Conversely, individuals with high self-acceptance will be able to accept all the weaknesses and strengths they have to create self-confidence, joy, enthusiasm, not feel inferior, be able to communicate, adjust, and be able to carry out social interactions with others and be more focused in business optimizing one's potential for success (Santrock, 2003; Prihadhi, 2004).

Working as a scavenger is not something that every individual wants, but rather a life demand that forces the scavenger to continue to live his life as well as possible and accept his life sincerely (Ulina, Kurniasih, & Putri, 2013; Diansari, 2016). Individuals with low self-acceptance will tend to close themselves off from others, ashamed, to make certain efforts towards various things that are still lacking (Ridha, 2012). Based on this, this study aims to see whether there is a relationship between subjective well-being with self-acceptance in scavengers.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Subjective Well-being

In early 1969 Bradburn introduced welfare having two approaches which are usually called hedonists and eudaemonic. Hedonic well-being refers to good feelings, while eudaimonic well-being refers to a good life. Hedonic well-being is also often called subjective well-being (Hederson & Knight, 2012). According to Diener and Tov (2013), subjective well-being is the way a person evaluates himself and the experiences that occur from their lives. Subjective well-being is also better known as happiness, it is because positive feelings become an important thing for the welfare aspect. According to Diener and TOV (2013), subjective well-being has three components, including; a) life satisfaction, b) pleasant effect, and c) Negative Affect. Diener (1984) explains that 6 factors influence subjective well-being, some of which are subjective satisfaction, income, behavior results, and personality, and biological influence.

2.2 Self Acceptance

In the 20th century, experts discussed the acceptance of acceptance (Williams & Lynn, 2010). In the late 1940s acceptance (acceptance) was divided into two domains, namely self-acceptance and acceptance of others (Sheerer, 1949). Self-acceptance is an individual's assessment of him by giving rise to confidence in living life, being responsible, being able to accept criticism and suggestions objectively, not blaming yourself, not feeling rejected, not thinking of himself differently from others, and not ashamed and feeling inferior (Berger, 1952). Selfacceptance behavior according to Berger (1952) are: a) Internal standards for individuals are guidelines for behavior where the individual does not rely on external pressure to behave; b) Have self-confidence in living life; c) Responsible for accepting the consequences of each behavior, d) Receiving praise and criticism objectively, e) Individuals do not try to reject and deny the feelings, motives, limitations, and abilities possessed, f) Feel valuable and equal with others; g) Individuals do not feel that others will reject it; g) Individuals do not consider themselves strange, abnormal, and different from others; h) Individuals do not feel ashamed or selfconscious of others.

3 RESEARCH METHOD

This research is a type of quantitative research using a non-probability sampling method with incidental sampling techniques. The subjects in this study were 94 scavengers with the following subject criteria: collecting or collecting used goods in various places to make a living, do not have a permanent job, aged 15 years and above. Measuring instruments used in the study are divided into three, on subjective wellbeing measured using two scales, namely Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS) (Diener, et al., 1985) which measure the components of life satisfaction and positive and negative affect components measured using Scale of Positive and Negative Experience (SPANE) (Diener, et al., 2009). Self-acceptance was measured using Berger's Self-Acceptance Scale compiled by Berger (1952). Analysis of the data used in this study uses nonparametric methods, namely Spearman-Brown Formula because the two variables are not linear.

4 RESULT

The results of data analysis using the assumption test that is the normality test on the subjective well-being variable have a significance value (p) = 0.634 and the self-acceptance variable shows a significance value (p) = 0.390, and a linearity test with a significance value (p) = 0.759. The assumption test results indicate that the research data is normally distributed and not linear, so the hypothesis test is performed using the nonparametric method, namely the Spearman-Brown Formula correlation. This method is used to analyze the relationship between subjective well-being and self-acceptance in scavengers. Both variables show a correlation coefficient of (r) = 0.036, with a significance value (p) = 0.727 (p> 0.05), thus, it can be interpreted that there is no relationship between self-acceptance and subjective well-being of scavengers. demographic data of research subjects can be seen in table 1.

5 DISCUSSION

The results showed that there was no relationship between subjective well-being with self-acceptance in scavengers, in other words, the hypothesis put forward in the study was rejected. The absence of a relationship between subjective well-being and selfacceptance in individuals can be caused by several factors. According to Diener (1984) which can affect subjective well-being in individuals, for example, subjective satisfaction, income, age, gender, culture, religion, work, education, marriage, and personality. The same thing was expressed by Hurlock (2009) that several factors affect individual self-acceptance, namely the existence of self-understanding, having realistic expectations, the absence of environmental barriers, appropriate social behavior, the absence of emotional stress, and self-concept stable one. Further study is conducted at the level of subjective welfare categorization and self-acceptance. Can be seen in the following Table 2.

Table 2: The level of subjective welfare categorization and self-acceptance.

	Categorization		Percentage
Variable	High (%)	Low (%)	(%)
Subjective well-	63	31	100
being	(67.1%)	(32.9%)	
Self Acceptance	58 (61.7%)	36 (38.3%)	100

Table 1: Demographic data of study samples.

Gender Male	Description	Number of	Percentage	Total
Male Girl 46 48,9 % Age 17-25 years 19 20,2 % 26-35 years old 29 30,8 % 36-45 years old 46-55 years old 16 17 % 56-65 years 2 2,1 % 65 and above 1 1,06 % Elementary school 8MA / SMK / STM D2 / D3 2 2,1 % S1 2 0,01 % Marital status Married 65 70 % Single 20 21,2 % Widow 6 63,3 % Widower 3 3,1 % Suku Aceh Flores 1 1,1 % Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % Nias 2 2,1 % Religion Islam 94 100 % 100 Elength of work 3 years 43 45,7% 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 10-12 years 18 19,1% 13-15 years 6 6,3 % 70 Pkp50,000 Rp 50,000 CRp 50,000 Rp 50,000 Rp 50,000 Rp 50,000 Rp 150,000			(%)	(%)
Girl Age 17-25 years 19 20,2 % 26-35 years 29 30,8 % 36-45 years old 27 28,7 % old 36-45 years old 16 17 % 56-65 years 2 2,1 % 65 and above 1 1,06 % Last education Elementary school 38 40,4 % STM 39 41,4% 100 Marital status Married 65 70 % Single 20 21,2 % Widow 6 6,3 % Widower 3 3,1 % Suku Aceh 82 87,2 % Flores 1 1,1 % Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % Nias 2 2,1 % Religion Islam 94 100 % 100 Length of work <3 years 43 45,7% 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 10-12 years 18 19,1% 13-15 years 6 6,3 % > 16 years old 1 1% Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 - Rp50,000 Rp 50,000 - Rp50,000 - Rp200,000 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000	Gender			
Age 17-25 years 26-35 years old 36-45 years old 36-45 years old 46-55 years old 56-65 years 2 2,1 % 65 and above Last education Elementary school Middle School SMA / SMK / STM D2 / D3 S1 Q Marital status Married Single Widow 6 6,3 % Widower 3 3,1 % Suku Aceh Flores 1 1,1 % Java Religion Islam Sham P4 100 % 100 Mandailing Nias 2 2,1 % Religion Islam 94 100 % 100 Length of work 3 years 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 10-12 years 13 13,8 % 100 Marital status Married 65 70 % Single 20 21,2 % Widow 6 6,3 % Widow 6 6,3 % Widow 7 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % Nias 2 2,1 % Religion Islam 94 100 % 100 Length of work 3 years 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 10-12 years 18 19,1% 13-15 years 6 6,3% > 16 years old Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 - Rp50,000 Rp 50,000 Rp 50,000 to Rp 100,000 IDR 100,000 IDR 100,000 IDR 100,000 IDR 100,000 Rp150,000 Rp150	Male	48		100
17-25 years		46	48,9 %	
26-35 years old 36-45 years old 27 28,7 % 100 36-45 years old 16 17 % 56-65 years old 16 17 % 56-65 years 2 2,1 % 65 and above 1 1,06 % Last education Elementary school 38 40,4 % STM 39 41,4% 100 SMA / SMK / 39 41,4% 100 STM D2 / D3 2 2,1 % 51 2 0,01 % Marital status Married 65 70 % 5ingle 20 21,2 % Widow 6 6,3 % Widower 3 3,1 % 100 Suku Aceh 82 87,2 % Flores 1 1,1 % Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % Nias 2 2,1 % Religion Islam 94 100 % 100 Length of work <3 years 43 45,7% 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 10-12 years 18 19,1% 13-15 years 6 6,3% 100 Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 - Rp50,000 to Rp 150,000 - Rp50,0000 Rp 50,000 TDR 150,000 - Rp200,000		4.0		
old 36-45 years old 46-55 years old 46-55 years old 56-65 years 2 2,1 % 65 and above Last education Elementary school Middle School SMA / SMK / STM D2 / D3 S1 2 0,01 % Marital status Married Single Widow 6 6,3 % Widower 3 3,1 % Suku Aceh Flores 1 1,1 % Java Bava Bass Mandailing Nias 2 2,1 % Religion Islam P4 100 % Sals Religion Islam P4 100 % Length of work 3 years 43 45,7% 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 10-12 years 13 13,8 % Mandailing 1 1,1 % Nias 2 2,1 % Religion Islam P4 100 % 100 100 100 100 100 100 10		19	20,2 %	
36-45 years old 46-55 years old 16 17 % 56-65 years 2 2,1 % 65 and above 1 1,06 % Last education Elementary school 38 40,4 % SMA / SMK / 39 41,4% 100 SMA / SMK / 39 41,4% 51	-	29	30,8 %	
old 46-55 years old 56-65 years 2 2,1 % 65 and above Last education Elementary school Middle School SMA / SMK / STM D2 / D3 S1 2 0,01 % Marital status Married Single 20 21,2 % Widow 6 6,3 % Widower 3 3,1 % Suku Aceh Flores 1 1,1 % Java 8 8,5 % Widow 6 6,3 % Widower 3 3,1 % Suku Aceh Flores 1 1,1 % Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % Nias 2 2,1 % Religion Islam 94 100 % 100 Length of work <3 years 43 45,7% 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 10-12 years 18 19,1% 13-15 years 6 6,3% > 16 years old Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 — Rp50,000 Rp 50,0000 Rp 50,0000 Rp 50,0000 Rp 100,000 IDR 100,000 IDR 100,000 IDR 150,000 Rp150,000 — Rp200,000 Rp150,000 — Rp200,000 Rp150,000 — Rp200,000 I 1,06 %			,	
46-55 years old 56-65 years 2 2,1 % 65 and above Last education Elementary school Middle School SMA / SMK / STM D2 / D3 S1 Q Marital status Married Single Widow G Widower Suku Aceh Flores Fl	•	27	28,7 %	100
old 56–65 years 2 2,1 % 65 and above Last education Elementary school Middle School 38 40,4 % SMA / SMK / STM 39 41,4% D2 / D3 2 2,1 % S1 2 0,01 % Marital status Married 65 70 % Single 20 21,2 % Widow 6 6,3 % Widow 6 6,3 % Widower 3 3,1 % Suku Acch 82 87,2 % Flores 1 1,1 % Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % Nias 2 2,1 % Religion Islam 94 100 % 100 Length of work <3 years 43 45,7% 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 10-12 years 18 19,1% 13-15 years 6 6,3% > 16 years old 1 1% Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 — Rp50,000 Rp 50,000 Rp 50,000 Rp 50,000 Rp 100,000 - Rp50,000 Rp 100,000 - Rp50,000 Rp150,000 - Rp100,000 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000				100
56-65 years 2 2,1 % 65 and above 1 1,06 % Last education 1 1,06 % Elementary school 38 40,4 % SMA / SMK / STM 39 41,4% 100 SMA / SMK / STM 39 41,4% 100 D2 / D3 2 2,1 % 100 Marital status Married 65 70 % 70 % Single 20 21,2 % 100 100 Widow 6 6,3 % 100 100 Widower 3 3,1 % 100 100 Suku Aceh 82 87,2 % 100 100 100 Suku Aceh 82 87,2 % 100 100 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % 100 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % 100 100 Length of work <3 years		16	17 %	
1		2	2 1 9/-	
Last education Elementary school 13 13,8 %				
Elementary school Middle School SMA / SMK / STM D2 / D3 S1 2 0,01 % Marital status Married Single Widow 6 6,3 % Widower 3 3,1 % Suku Aceh Flores 1 1,1 % Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % Nias 2 2,1 % Religion Islam 1Slam 94 100 % 100 Length of work <3 years 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 10-12 years 13-15 years 6 6,3% > 16 years old Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 - Rp50,000 Rp 50,000 to Rp 100,000 IDR 100,000 Rp150,000 Rp150,0		1	1,00 /0	
school 13 13,8 % Middle School 38 40,4 % SMA / SMK / STM 39 41,4% 100 D2 / D3 2 2,1 % 100 S1 2 0,01 % 100 Marital status Married 65 70 % 100 Single 20 21,2 % 100 Widow 6 6,3 % 100 Widower 3 3,1 % 100 Suku Aceh 82 87,2 % 100 Flores 1 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,1 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 % 1,2 %				
Middle School 38 40,4 % SMA / SMK / STM 39 41,4% 100 D2 / D3 2 2,1 % 100 S1 2 0,01 % 100 Marital status Married 65 70 % 100 Single 20 21,2 % 100 100 Widow 6 6,3 % 100 100 Suku Aceh 82 87,2 % 100 100 Suku Aceh 82 87,2 % 100 100 Mandailing Nias 1 1,1 % 100 100 Mandailing Nias 2 2,1 % 100 100 Religion 		13	13,8 %	
SMA / SMK / STM 39 41,4% 100 D2 / D3 2 2,1 % 100 S1 2 0,01 % 100 Marital status Married 65 70 % 100 Single 20 21,2 % 100 Widow 6 6,3 % 100 Widower 3 3,1 % 100 Suku Aceh 82 87,2 % 100 Flores 1 1,1 % 1,1 % Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % 100 Religion Islam 94 100 % 100 Length of work <3 years		38	40,4 %	
STM D2 / D3 S1 2 Q,01 % Marital status Married Single Widow 6 Single Widow 6 Single Widow 7 Suku Aceh Flores 1 Java 8 Seligion Islam Length of work 3 3,1 % Length of work 4-6 years 10-12 years 13-15 years 13-15 years 16 years old Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 - Rp50,000 Rp 50,000 to Rp 100,000 Rp150,000 Rp			,	100
S1 2 0,01 % Marital status Married 65 70 % Single 20 21,2 % 100 Widow 6 6,3 % 100 Widower 3 3,1 % 100 Suku Aceh 82 87,2 % 15 Flores 1 1,1 % 100 Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % 100 Religion Islam 94 100 % 100 Length of work 43 45,7% 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 100 100 13-15 years 6 6,3% 5 100 Earnings (per day) 8 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % Rp 50,000 49 52,1 % 100 IDR 100,000 5 5,3 % 100 IDR 150,000 7 5,3 % 100 Rp 50,000 8 5,3 % 100 <td></td> <td>39</td> <td>41,4%</td> <td></td>		39	41,4%	
S1 2 0,01 % Marital status Married 65 70 % Single 20 21,2 % 100 Widow 6 6,3 % 100 Widower 3 3,1 % 100 Suku Aceh 82 87,2 % 15 Flores 1 1,1 % 100 Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % 100 Religion Islam 94 100 % 100 Length of work 43 45,7% 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 100 100 13-15 years 6 6,3% 5 100 Earnings (per day) 8 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % Rp 50,000 49 52,1 % 100 IDR 100,000 5 5,3 % 100 IDR 150,000 7 5,3 % 100 Rp 50,000 8 5,3 % 100 <td>D2 / D3</td> <td>2</td> <td>2,1 %</td> <td></td>	D2 / D3	2	2,1 %	
Married 65 70 % Single 20 21,2 % Widow 6 6,3 % Widower 3 3,1 % Suku Aceh 82 87,2 % Flores 1 1,1 % Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % Nias 2 2,1 % Religion Islam 94 100 % 100 Length of work <3 years 43 45,7% 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 10-12 years 18 19,1% 13-15 years 6 6,3% > 16 years old Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 - Rp50,000 Rp 50,000 to Rp 100,000 - IDR 100,000 - IDR 150,000 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 IDR 100,000 - Rp200,000 IDR 100,000 - Rp200,000 IDR 100,000 - Rp200,000 IDR 100,000 - Rp200,000		2	0,01 %	
Single 20 21,2 % 100 Widow 6 6,3 % 100 Widower 3 3,1 % 100 Suku Aceh 82 87,2 % 1 Flores 1 1,1 % 1 Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % 1 Nias 2 2,1 % 100 Religion 1slam 94 100 % 100 Length of work 3 years 43 45,7% 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 100 100 10-12 years 18 19,1% 100 13-15 years 6 6,3% 5 16 years old 1 Earnings (per day) 38 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 4	Marital status			
Widow 6 6,3 % 100 Widower 3 3,1 % Suku Aceh 82 87,2 % Flores 1 1,1 % Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % 100 Nias 2 2,1 % 2,1 % 100 Religion 1slam 94 100 % 100 Length of work 43 45,7% 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 100 100 10-12 years 18 19,1% 100 13-15 years 6 6,3% 5 100 Earnings (per day) 8 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % Rp50,000 8 49 52,1 % 100 IDR 100,000 - IDR 150,000 5 5,3 % 100 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 1 1,06 % 100	Married	65		
Widow 6 6,3 % Widower 3 3,1 % Suku Aceh 82 87,2 % Flores 1 1,1 % Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % 100 Nias 2 2,1 % Religion 100 100 Islam 94 100 % 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	Single	20	21,2 %	100
Suku Aceh R2 Relores 1 1,1 % Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing Nias 2 2,1 % Religion Islam 94 100 % 100 Length of work <3 years 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 10-12 years 18 19,1% 13-15 years 6 6,3% > 16 years old Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 - Rp50,000 Rp 50,000 to Rp 100,000 IDR 100,000 IDR 100,000 Rp150,000 R	Widow	6	6,3 %	100
Aceh	Widower	3	3,1 %	
Aceh				
Flores Java B Java B S S S S Mandailing Nias C Religion Islam S Length of work 3 years 4-6 years 14 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		IBLI		
Java 8 8,5 % 100 Mandailing 1 1,1 % 100 Nias 2 2,1 % 2,1 % Religion 18lam 94 100 % 100 Length of work 45,7% 46.9 years 43 45,7% 45,7% 4-6 years 14 14,8% 12,7% 100 10-12 years 18 19,1% 100 13-15 years 6 6,3% 516 years old 1 1% Earnings (per day) 8 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40				
Mandailing 1 1,1 % Nias 2 2,1 % Religion 100 % 100 Islam 94 100 % 100 Length of work 43 45,7% 46 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 100 100 13-15 years 6 6,3% 5 16 years old 1 1% Earnings (per day) 8 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 % 40,2 %				
Nias 2 2,1 % Religion Islam 94 100 % 100 Length of work <3 years 43 45,7% 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 10-12 years 18 19,1% 13-15 years 6 6,3% > 16 years old 1 1% Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 - Rp50,000 Rp 50,000 to Rp 100,000 IDR 100,000 - IDR 150,000 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 I 1,06 %				100
Religion Islam	_			
Islam 94 100 % 100 Length of work 43 45,7% 46 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 100 10-12 years 18 19,1% 100 13-15 years 6 6,3% > 16 years old 1 1% Earnings (per day) 38 40,2 % Rp50,000 49 52,1 % IDR 100,000 - IDR 100,000 - IDR 150,000 5 5,3 % 100 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 1 1,06 %		2	2,1 %	
Length of work <3 years		0.4	100.0/	100
work <3 years 43 45,7% 4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 10-12 years 18 19,1% 13-15 years 6 6,3% > 16 years old 1 1% Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 - Rp50,000 49 52,1 % Rp 100,000 5 5,3 % 100 IDR 100,000 - Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 1 1,06 %		94	100 %	100
<3 years	_			
4-6 years 14 14,8% 7-9 years 12 12,7% 10-12 years 18 19,1% 13-15 years 6 6,3% > 16 years old 1 1% Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 - Rp50,000 49 52,1 % IDR 100,000 5 5,3 % 100 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 1 1,06 %		42	45 70/	
7-9 years 12 12,7% 100 10-12 years 18 19,1% 13-15 years 6 6,3% > 16 years old 1 1% Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 - Rp50,000 49 52,1 % IDR 100,000 5 5,3 % 100 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 1 1,06 %				
10-12 years 18 19,1% 13-15 years 6 6,3% > 16 years old 1 1% Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 - Rp50,000 49 52,1% IDR 100,000 5 5,3 % 100 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 1 1,06 %				
13-15 years 6 6,3% > 16 years old 1 1% Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 - Rp50,000 49 52,1 % IDR 100,000 5 5,3 % 100 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 1 1,06 %				100
> 16 years old		_		
Earnings (per day) Rp1,000 -				
day) Rp1,000 - Rp50,000 Rp 50,000 to Rp 100,000 IDR 100,000 - IDR 150,000 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 1 1,06 %		1	1%	
Rp1,000 – Rp50,000 Rp 50,000 to Rp 100,000 IDR 100,000 - IDR 150,000 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 1 1,06 %				
Rp50,000 Rp 50,000 to Rp 100,000 IDR 100,000 - IDR 150,000 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 1 1,06 %	• /			
Rp 50,000 to Rp 100,000 IDR 100,000 - IDR 150,000 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 1 1,06 %	•	38	40,2 %	
Rp 100,000 49 52,1 % IDR 100,000 - 5 5,3 % 100 Rp150,000 - 1 1,06 %				
IDR 100,000 - IDR 150,000 5 5,3 % 100 Rp150,000 - Rp200,000 1 1,06 %	•	49	52,1 %	
IDR 150,000 5 5,3 % Rp150,000 1 1,06 %	•			100
Rp150,000 – Rp200,000		5	5,3 %	100
Rp200,000				
=		1	1,06 %	
200,000 1 1,0070	-	1	1.06 %	
	200,000		-,/-	

According to the results of the study, researchers suspect the role of religious and cultural factors that greatly affect the level of subjective well-being and self-acceptance of waste pickers in Aceh. Of the 94 subjects, there were 82 Acehnese and all of them were Muslim. According to Ishak (2013), Islam is the identity of the people of Aceh, so that Islam becomes a way of life that is settled in a system and social structure that will become a way of life. Umami (2009) further explained that Aceh was known to have a culture with strong religious values, attitudes, and a hard character in dealing with life's problems, and patience and sincerity towards things that were God's will. According to Abdullah (2010), there are several characteristics of Acehnese according to the socio-cultural side of Aceh built based on religion and tradition which forms a source of social structuring that takes place in Aceh, Acehnese people uphold the values of collectivity, it can also be explained that culture and Acehnese adat is nothing but the Islamic norm itself. Culture or adat in the context of religion is very important and Islamic teachings have become the way of life of the people of Aceh (Samad, 2017).

Diener in 1984 said that religion and culture were one of the factors that influenced subjective well-being. In general, individual trust tends to increase higher subjective well-being, such as prayer and worship have also been linked to higher subjective well-being (Diener & Ryan, 2009). Badaria and Astuti (2004) also revealed that religion can be one of the factors that influence selfacceptance, where individuals will accept all trials and see as something natural, consider themselves as people chose to be tested, as trials of life, and maybe things are not liked but that's the best thing for him. individual self. The same thing was expressed by Subandi (1997) that individuals who can accept their nature with all their shortcomings and weaknesses by trusting to foster an attitude of self-acceptance. This is supported by the results of the research conducted. Mukti and Dewi (2013) found that there is a relationship between the role of religion and self-acceptance.

When conducting research, researchers also obtain information verbally in the field that shows several reasons why subjects choose to work as scavengers that can affect the level of subjective well-being and subject self-acceptance. Some reasons for choosing to work as a scavenger include; because it went bankrupt from the business that was undertaken before, did not get a job despite getting a bachelor's degree, and other reasons because working as a scavenger did not beg for others and

avoid deviant behavior (stealing). According to Diener (2013) individuals who have a job, have higher subjective well-being than those who do not. work can also affect the feelings and happiness of individuals. The results also showed that some of these subjects showed high levels of subjective well-being.

Based on the results of the analysis conducted separately showed that more than 50% of research subjects are in the high-level categorization both for the variable subjective well-being and self-acceptance variable, where there are 67% of subjects have a high level of subjective well-being, and there are 61.7% subjects have a high level of self-acceptance. Furthermore, there were only 32.9% of subjects in the low categorization for subjective well-being and 38.2% of subjects for low self-acceptance. This is because there are factors that influence subjective well-being and self-acceptance in each of these variables.

6 CONCLUSIONS

The results showed that there was no relationship between self-acceptance and the subjective well-being of scavengers in the city of Banda Aceh. However, if the two variables are examined separately it can be seen that more than 50% of scavengers in the city of Banda Aceh have subjective well-being and high self-acceptance. Based on the results of further analysis of each variable, it is known that there are factors that influence subjective well-being and self-acceptance, namely the role of Acehnese culture and religion, in which Acehnese culture makes Islam as a guide or guideline in the values of life.

The next researcher can use qualitative methods through observation and interviews to deepen the results of the research variables, especially related to the dynamics or the description of subjective wellbeing and self-acceptance in the scavengers. Research can also be done not only with scavengers but can be compared with beggars or individuals who have similar criteria.

REFERENCES

Abdullah, I. (2010). Konstruksi dan Reproduksi Kebudayaan, Yogyakarta:Pustaka Pelajar,
Azhari, S, K. (2009). Sketsa masyarakat pemulung kota Bandung. Jurnal Sosioteknologi, 17(8), 696-701.

- Badaria, H., & Astuti, Y, D. (2004). Religiusitas dan penerimaan diri pada penderita diabetes mellitus. Psikologika. 17, 21-30.Berger, E. M. (1952). The relation between expressed acceptance of self and expressed acceptance of other. The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 47(4), 778-782. DOI: 10.1037/h0061311.
- Bahar, W. S. (2014). Pengaruh pertumbuhan penduduk terhadap perkembangan sosial dan kebudayaan. Kompasiana.com.
 - https://www.kompasiana.com/wildanshauqi/552fbfbc6ea834ac298b4610/pengaruh-pertumbuhan-pendudukterhadap-perkembangan-sosial-dan-kebudayaan.
- Diansari, D. (2016). Subjective well-being mantan pemulung yang mendapatkan beasiswa magister. Jurnal Ilmiah Psikologi, 9(2), 175-186.
- Diener, E. (1984). Subjective well-being. Psychological Bulletin, 95, 542-575.
- Diener, E. (2000). Subjective well-being: the science of happiness and a proposal for a national index. American Psychologist Journal, 55(1), 34-43.
- Diener, E. (2009). New measures of well-being: Flourishing and positive and negative feelings. Social Indicators Research, 39, 247-266.
- Diener, E., & Ryan, K. (2009). Subjective well-being: a general overview. South African Journal of Psychology, 39(4), 391-406.
- Diener, E., & Tov, W. (2013). Subjective well-being. Research Collection School of Social Sciences, 1-8.
- Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The satisfaction with life scale. Journal of personality assessment, 49, 71-75.
- Diener, E., Oishi, S., & Lucas, R, E. (2003). Personality, culture, and subjective well-being: emotional and cognitive evaluation of life. Annual Reviews Psychology. 54, 403-425. DOI: 101601.145056.
- Diener, E., Suh, E., & Oishi, S. (1997). Recent findings on subjective well-being. Indian Journal of Clinical Psychology, 1-24.
- Diener, E., Wirtz, D., Biswan-Diener, R., Tov, W., Kim-Prieto, C., Choi, D., & Oishi, S. (2009). New measures of well-being: Flourishing and positive and negative feelings. Springer, 39, 247-266.
- Fitrah, A, S., Isnaini., & Assahary, S. (2017). Diskriminasi warga terhadap pemulung. Diakses pada tanggal 19 Desember 2017 dari http://jim.stkip-pgrisumbar.ac.id/prodi/XP?page=14
- Hurlock, E. B. (2009). Psikologi perkembangan suatu pendekatan sepanjang rentang kehidupan. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Ishak, O. S. (2013). Aceh Pasca Konflik: Kontestasi Tiga Varian Nasionalisme, Banda Aceh: Bandar Publishing.
- Khiththati. (2008). Para pemulung. Pantau. Diakses pada tanggal 27 Januari 2018 dari https://www.pantau.or.id/?/=d/447
- Kurnianingtyas, R. (2009). Penerimaan diri pada wanita bekerja usia dewasa dini ditinjau dari status pernikahan. (Skripsi Thesis). Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta.

- Magdalena, D. (2015). Kehidupan seorang pemulung. Kompasiana. Diakses pada tanggal 19 Desember 2017 dari
 - https://www.kompasiana.com/desymagdalena/kehidup an-seorang-pemulung 54f3717d745513932b6c75fe
- Makino, Y., & Tagami, F. (1998). Subjective well-being and self-acceptance. The Japanese Journal of Psycjology. 69(2), 143-148.
- Myers, D. G., & Diener, E. (1995). Who is happy? Psychological Sciences, 6, 10-19.
- Nurnaningsih. (2017). Kemiskinan menjadi suatu masalah sosial yang terjadi di kalangan masyarakat. Kompasiana. Diakses pada tanggal 3 Februari 2018 dari
 - https://www.kompasiana.com/nurnningsih/kemiskinan-menjadi-suatu-masalah-sosial-yang-terjadi-di-
 - kalangan-masyarakat_ 596779fb32386d4a3d320a32
- Pardede, F. (2017). WUF bantu 600 pemulung Medan. Harian Analisa. Diakses pada tanggal 19 Januari 2018 dari http://harian.analisadaily.com/kota/news/wuf-bantu-600-pemulung-medan/356193/2017/06/04\
- Perdanaffebri, I. (2016). Pemulung dari Kota Pendidikan. Kompasiana.com.
 - https://www.kompasiana.com/amp/fatiharrazaq/pemulung-dari-kota-
 - pendidikan_58576d06f37a61030defa8b5.
- Psikologika. Jurnal Pemikiran dan Penelitian Psikologi, 3(2), 7-18.Badan Pusat Statistik. (2017). Statistik Indonesia. Indonesia: BPS.
- Ridha, M. (2012). Hubungan antara body image dengan penerimaan diri pada mahasiswa aceh di Yogyakarta. Empathy, 1(1), 111-121.
- Rochaida, E. (2016). Dampak pertumbuhan penduduk terhadap pertumbuhan ekonomi dan keluarga sejahtera di provinsi kelimantan timur. Forum Ekonomi, 18(1), 14-24.
- Samad, S. A. A. (2017). Agama, budaya, dan perubahan sosial perspektif pendidikan islam di Aceh. Jurnal Mudarrisuna, 7(1), 23-46.
- Santoso, S. (2003). Statistik deskriptif konsep dan aplikasi dengan Microsoft excel dan SPSS. Yogyakarta: Andi.
- Santrock, J. W. (2003). Adolescence: perkembangan remaja (edisi keenam). Jakarta: Erlangga
- Shalih. (2003). Pengemis antara Kebutuhan dan Penipuan. Jakarta: Darul Falah.
- Subandi. (1997). Tema-tema pengalaman Beragama pada pengamal Dzikir (Themes of religious experiences among people practicing dzikir).
- Suhartono. (2015). Sosio kultur pemulung dan perannya dalam keseimbangan lingkungan sekitar dengan mengkategorisasikan barang bekas. Sosio Didaktika, 2(1), 38-49.
- Taufik, I. (2013). Persepsi masyarakat terhadap pemulung di pemukiman TPA Kelurahan Bukit Pinang Kecamatan Samarinda Ulu. Jurnal Sosiologi, 1(4). 85-95
- Ulina, M, O., Kurniasih, O, I., & Putri, D, E. (2013). Hubungan religiusitas dengan penerimaan diri pada masyarakat miskin. PESAT, 5, 17-22

Umami, K. (2009). Tasawuf Aceh: Merangkai kata damai. Banda Aceh: Katahati Institude.

Xu, W., Oei, T., Liu, X., Wang, X., & Ding, C. (2014). The moderating and mediating roles of self-acceptance and tolerance to others in the relationship between mindfulness and subjective well-being. Journal of Health Psychology, 1-11. DOI: 10.1177/135910531.

