C4.5 Implementation to Predict the Rate of Resignation of Students in the University

Darsono Nababan¹, Parasian D. P. Silitonga², Magdalena Simanjuntak³, Rusmin Saragih⁴, Yoseph P. K. Kelen⁵

¹Department of Information and Technology, Timor University, Kefamenanu, Indonesia

²Faculty of Computer Science, Santo Thomas Catholic University, Medan 20132, Indonesia

⁴Department of Information System, STMIK Kaputama Binjai 20714, Indonesia

⁵Department of Information and Technology, Timor University, Kefamenanu, Indonesia

Keywords: Data Mining, Classification, Decision Tree, C4.5, Achievement Index Rating

Abstract : Classification is a process in data mining that is used to find models or functions that explain or differentiate concepts or data classes. Classification of data is used to estimate a class of an object whose label is unknown. One of the classification models is in the form of a decision tree and decision rules. The main function of decision tree implementation is a decision tree's ability to break down the complex decision process into a simpler one. This study uses the C4.5 method which is used to form a decision tree carried out on the data of students at the University. Based on the decision tree that is produced, the causes that affect the resignation of college students can be found. The attributes that are used in the decision tree in this research are student Achievement Index Rating, parents' income and student attendance rate at lectures. Based on the results of the research conducted, it was concluded that the parents' small income factors and small Achievement Index

Rating became the dominant factors that caused students to resign.

1 INTRODUCTION

Classification is a process in data mining that is used to find models or functions that explain or differentiate concepts or data classes (Saputra, Rizal., 2014). Classification of data is used to estimate the class of an object whose label is unknown (Sharma, Jitendra, Sanjeev, 2013). One of the classification models is in the form of decision tree and decision rules.

C4.5 algorithm is the algorithm used to form a decision tree that can be used to predict a decision by implementing a series of decision rules (Larose, Daniel T., 2005). A decision tree is a flow chart where each internal node denotes the attribute being tested,

each branch presents certain classes or classes distribution.

The main function of the application of the decision tree is a decision tree's ability to break down complex decision-making processes into more simple one (Sharma & Asst., Prof. Rupali, Bhartiya, 2012). Through the decision tree, the decision-maker will better interpret the solution to the problem (Dai, W., Ji, W., 2014). Besides this, decision tree are useful for exploring data, finding hidden relationships between several input variables with output variables. The decision tree combines data exploration and modeling.

The problem of student resignation is a problem that occurs at every college. The resignation of students can occur due to several things, including

Nababan, D., Silitonga, P., Simanjuntak, M., Saragih, R. and Kelen, Y.

C4.5 Implementation to Predict the Rate of Resignation of Students in the University.

DOI: 10.5220/0009319600050011

In Proceedings of the International Conferences on Information System and Technology (CONRIST 2019), pages 5-11 ISBN: 978-989-758-453-4

Copyright © 2020 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

³Department of Computer Science, STMIK Kaputama Binjai 20714, Indonesia

parent's job transfer, job factors, inability to continue their education, tuition fees, and many other factors.

The factors that resulted in the student's resignation were varied and several cases did not explain the reason for the resignation. But in general cases of student resignation are caused by factors such as poor student Achievement Index Rating, attendance rates and income of parents that affect the tuition fee.

Based on this, a study was conducted to find factors that influenced the level of the resignation of students at the University by using the C4.5 algorithm. The results of this study were expected to help the college to anticipate the level of student resignation so that it did not become too high.

2 DATA MINING

Data mining is a term that is often said to be a way to describe and to search for knowledge discovery in a database. One of the difficulties to define data mining is the fact that data mining inherits many aspects and techniques from various established fields of science.

Data mining is a process that uses statistical techniques, mathematics, artificial intelligence and machine learning to extract and identify useful information and related knowledge from various large databases (Silitonga, Parasian, Irene Sri Morina., 2018). According to Partner Group, Data Mining is a process of finding meaningful relationships, patterns, and tendencies by examining in a large collection of data stored in storage using pattern recognition techniques such as statistical and mathematical techniques (Larose, 2005).

One of the data mining techniques is classification. Classification is the process of finding a model or function that explains or distinguishes a concept or class of data, to be able to estimate the class of an object which label is unknown. The model itself can be an if-then rule, decision tree, mathematical formula or neural network.

2.1 Classification

Classification is a process in data mining that is used to find models or functions that explain or differentiate concepts or data classes (Saputra, Rizal., 2014). Classification of data is used to estimate a class of an object whose label is unknown (Sharma, Jitendra, Sanjeev, 2013).

Data mining classification is done by placing objects into one of several predetermined categories.

Classification is used widely to predict classes on a particular label. That is by classifying data (building models) based on training sets and values (class labels) in classifying certain attributes and using them in classifying new data (Breiman, et al., 1984). The stages of classification in data mining consist of (Lior Rokach & Oded Maimon., 2005):

- 1. Building a model, in this stage, a model is created to solve the problem of class classification or attributes in the data, this model is built based on a training set - an example of data from a problem encountered, this training set already has complete information both attributes and classes.
- 2. Implementation of the model, at this stage the model that has been built previously is used to determine the attribute/class of new data whose attributes/class is not known before.
- 3. Evaluation, at this stage the results of the application of the model in the previous stage are evaluated using measured parameters to determine whether the model is acceptable.

2.2 Decision Tree

Decision tree and decision rules are data mining methodologies that applied widely as a solution to classify problems (Arcega, et al., 2013). Data mining is a term that is often said to be a way to describe and find discoveries in the form of knowledge in a database (Silitonga, Parasian.2017).

The main function of the application of the decision tree is a decision tree's ability to break down the complex decision making processes more simple (Sarma, Sunil, 2013). Through the decision tree, decision-makers will interpret the solution to the problem more (Dai, W., Ji, W., 2014). Besides this, decision tree are useful for exploring data, finding hidden relationships between several input variables with output variables. The decision tree combine data exploration and model.

Decision tree representations are considered *as* a logical method which often used in the discussion of applied statistics and learning machine (Ling., Charles X., et. Al., 200 4). *Decision tree making uses a supervised learning method is* a learning process where new data is classified based on existing training samples.

Decision tree consist of nodes that are attributes of the sample data. Branches come out of the node the values or outcomes that are associated with the attributes (nodes). While the leaves in the decision tree show the class of the tested data sample.



Figure 1. Illustration of Decision Tree

In general, the steps in the C4.5 algorithm for building decision tree are as follows (Adhatrao, et al., 2013):

- Select an attribute as root. 1.
- 2. Create a branch for each value.
- 3. Divide the case into the branches.
- Repeat the process for each branch until all 4. cases in the branch have the same class.

To select an attribute as root, select the one with the highest Gain value from all the attributes that present. To calculate the value of a Gain attribute is used following equation 1 ().

Gain(S,A)=Entropy (S)-
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|S_i|}{|S_i|}$$
*Entropy S_i (1)

Where:

S =	Case Set
A =	Attribute
n =	Attribute partition A
$ \mathbf{S}_i =$	Number of cases on the i partition
$ \mathbf{S} =$	Number of cases in S

While the calculation of Entropy values is carried out by Equation 2.

Entropy(S)=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$$
 - pi* log₂ pi (2)

Where:

S =	Case Set
n =	Total Partition of S
pi =	Proportion of S _i to S

2.4 Achievement Index Rating

Achievement Index Rating is an average credit value which is the final value unit that describes the value of the teaching and learning process every semester or can also be interpreted as a quantity or number that states the achievement of success in the teaching and learning process of students in one semester (H, Burhanuddin Salam., 2003).

The Achievement Index Rating is divided into Semester Achievement Index Rating and Cumulative Achievement Index Rating (Nasir et al., 2011). Semester Achievement Index Rating is an index of achievement that is calculated based on subjects covered during a certain semester. While Achievement Index Rating is a student achievement index based on all courses taken. Calculation of Achievement Index is carried out by Equation 3.

$$AIR = \frac{\sum SKS^*N}{\sum SKS}$$
(3)

Where :

AIR	=	Achievement Index Rating
SKS	=	Semester Credit System Weight
Ν	=	Course weight

Course weight

3 IMPLEMENTATION

The purpose of this study was to determine the classification factors that resulted in students who resigned from college. The variable that becomes the decision criterion consists of the Cumulative Achievement Index, Attendance Level in Lecture and Parental Income. Whereas variables become the destination parameter is the Decision Variable. Research conducted on students of the College in the year 2014 and 2015 as many as 180 students with a table structure as in Table 1.

No.	Variable	Information
1.	SID	Student ID Number
2.	Name	Student Name
3.	AIR	Achievement Index
		Rating
4.	Attendance	Attendance Rate
5.	Income	The income of Parents
		Every Month
6.	Decision	The decision to stay.
		(Yes or No)

Table 1. Table Structure Problem

The first step was taken after the data collection process was a pre-batch-process, grouped the data into classes (K) by using Equation 4 (Drs. Riduwan, MBA, 2003).

Number of Classes (K) = $1 + 3.3 \log n$. (4)

Where: n = amount of data

So: K = 1 + 3.3 log(180) K = 1 + 3.3 * 2.25527 K = 8.443239

Based on the class grouping process, there are 8 classes (K).

Achievement Index Rating

The Student's Achievement Index Rating is grouped according to table 2.

Table 2.	Classification of Cumulative Achievement
	Index Rating

Achievement Index Rating (AIR)	Category
0.00 <= AIR <=0.50	1
0.50 < AIR <= 1.00	2
1.00 < AIR <= 1.50	3
1.50 < AIR <= 2.00	4
2.00 < AIR <= 2.50	5
2.50 < AIR <= 3.00	6
3.00 < AIR <= 3.50	7
AIR >3.50	8

1. Income (Parents Monthly Income)

The grouping of income variables for each month is presented as in table 3.

Table 3. Classification of Parent's Income

Parents Monthly	
Income (Rupiah)	Category
500.000 <= Income <= 1.500.000	1
1.500.000 < Income <= 3.000.000	2
3.000.000 < Income <= 4.000.000	3
4.000.000 < Income <= 5.000.000	4
5.000.000 < Income <= 6.500.000	5
6.600.000 < Income <= 7.500.000	6
7.000.000 < Income <= 8.500.000	7
Income > 8.500.000	8

1. Students' Attendance Level

The students' attendance level is categorized is presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Student Attendance Level Classification

Student Attendance Percentage (%)	Category
0 <= Attendance <= 12.5	1
12.5 < Attendance <= 25	2
25 < Attendance <= 37.5	3
37.5 < Attendance <= 50	4
50 < Attendance <= 62.5	5
62.5 < Attendance <= 75	6
75 < Attendance <= 87.5	7
Attendance > 87.5	8

2. Decision

Decision Variable is the objective parameter in the case of student resignation. Decision Variable categorized on Yes and No.

Decision Tree To Predict Students Who Resign

1. Decision Tree Root Attribute (Node Level 0)

Determination of the root attribute in the decision tree is based on the highest Gain value of each attribute. The calculation of the Gain value of the attribute is done after the total entropy value of the case is obtained and the entropy of each attribute corresponds to Equation 2.

Entropy (Case)

$$= \left(-\frac{141}{180} * \log_2\left(\frac{141}{180}\right)\right) + \left(-\frac{39}{180} * \log_2\left(\frac{39}{180}\right)\right)$$

$$= 0.754034056$$

Entropy AIR

Entropy (1)

$$= \left(-\frac{0}{9} * \log_2\left(\frac{0}{9}\right)\right) + \left(-\frac{9}{9} * \log_2\left(\frac{9}{9}\right)\right)$$

$$= 0$$

Entropy (2)
=
$$\left(-\frac{0}{5}*\log_2\left(\frac{0}{5}\right)\right) + \left(-\frac{5}{5}*\log_2\left(\frac{5}{5}\right)\right)$$

= 0

This is done so on each partition on each variable. After obtaining the entropy value of each attribute, next is determining the Gain attribute value by equation 3.

Gain (Case, AIR) =

$$Entropy(S) - \sum_{1=1}^{n} \frac{|IPK|}{|Total|} * Entropy (IPK_i).$$

= 0.754034056 - $\left(\left(\frac{9}{180} * 0\right) + \left(\frac{5}{180} * 0.99107606\right) + \left(\frac{10}{200} * 1\right) + \cdots\right)$
= 0.473613716

Thus, it is done to obtain the Gain value of each attribute. The results of the calculation of Gain and Entropy for each attribute in the prediction process of student resignation are presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Calculation of Node 1.

Node			Total	Total Decision To Stay		Entropy	Gain
		Category	Case (S)	Yes (S ₁)	No (S ₂)		
1	Case		180	141	39	0.754034056	
	AIR						0.473613716
		1	9	0	9	0	
		2	5	0	5	0	
		3	10	3	7	0.881290899	_
		4	38	24	14	0.949452015	
1	_	5	63	59	4	0.088628184	
		6	28	28	0	0	
_		7	18	18	0	0	
		8	9	9	0	0	Í
	Attendance						
		1	0	0	0	0	0.378020383
		2	0	0	0	0	
		3	0	0	0	0	
		4	24	2	22	0.41381685	
		5	13	5	8	0.961236605	
		6	36	31	5	0.581321499	
		7	70	68	2	0.187176257	
		8	37	35	2	0.303374836	
	Income						
		1	9	0	9	0	0.289148361
		2	21	7	14	0.918295834	
		3	26	18	8	0.89049164	
		4	34	30	4	0.522559375	
		5	29	28	1	0.216396932	
		6	22	21	1	0.266764988	
		7	23	22	1	0.258018669	
		8	16	15	1	0.337290067	

Based on the results in Table 5 shows that the School Attendance Highest Gain value, thus Attendance me njadi roots in a decision tree. The Node 1 decision tree is presented in Figure 1.



Figure 2: Node Decision Tree of Node Level 0.

1. Node Level 1 Decision Tree Attributes

Next, determine the node in Node 1.1 and Node 1.2 of the remaining attributes, namely the Achievement Index and the Parent's Earnings every Month. Calculation results of Gain and Entropy Node 1.1, Node 1.2 and Node 1.3 are presented as in Table 6 Table 7 and Table 8.

	Node		Catogory	Total Case (S)	Decision To Stay Yes No (S1) (S2)		Entropy	Gain
	1.1	AIR (3)		10	3	7	0.881290899	
		Attendance						
			4	5	0	5	0	0.605802149
L			5	1	0	1	0	
4			6	3	2	1	0.918295834	
			7	1	1	0	0	
		Income						
			1	2	0	2	0	0.681290899
L			2	3	0	3	0	
			3	1	1	0	0	
Ŀ			4	1	1	0	0	
ľ	-		5	2	1	1	1	
L			7	1	0	1	0	

Table 7. Calculation of Node 1.2

		Jumlah Kasus Decision To Stay				
Node	Categor	(S)	Yes	No	Entropy	Gain
	у	(5)	(S1)	(S2)		
					0.94945201	
AIR (4)		38	24	14	5	
A 44 - 1						0.55047150
Attendance						3
	4	7	0	7	0	
	5	3	0	3	0	
	6	5	5	0	0	
					0.59167277	
	7	14	12	2	9	
					0.76420450	
	8	9	7	2	7	
Income						
						0.39828209
	1	4	0	4	0	8
					0.99572745	
	2	13	7	6	2	
	3	8	4	4	1	
	4	4	4	0	0	
	5	5	5	0	0	
	6	1	1	0	0	
	7	2	2	0	0	
	Node AIR (4) Attendance Income Income	Node Categor y AIR (4)	NodeCategor yJumlah Kasus (S)AIR (4)AIR (4)Income <t< td=""><td>Node Category Jumlah Kasus (S) Decisi Yes (S) AIR (4) </br></td><td>Node Categor y Jumlah Kasus (S) Decision To Stay Yes No (Si) Docision To Stay No (Si) AIR (4) </td><td>Node $C_{ategory}$ Jumlah Kasus (S) $\frac{Decision To Stay}{Yes}$ (Si) Entropy AIR (4) </td></t<>	Node Category Jumlah Kasus (S) Decisi 	Node Categor y Jumlah Kasus (S) Decision To Stay Yes No (Si) Docision To Stay No (Si) AIR (4)	Node $C_{ategory}$ Jumlah Kasus (S) $\frac{Decision To Stay}{Yes}$ (Si) Entropy AIR (4)

		Catogory	Jumlah Kasus (S) 63	Decision To Stay			
	Node			Yes (S1) 59	No (S2) 4	Entropy 0.341153893	Gain
1.3	AIR (5)						
	Attendance						0.120609708
		5	3	2	1	0.918295834	
		6	16	13	3	0.69621226	
		7	30	30	0	0	
		8	14	14	0	0	
	Income						0.118813931
		3	6	4	2	0.918295834	
		4	15	13	2	0.566509507	
		5	15	15	0	0	
		6	10	10	0	0	
		7	12	12	0	0	
		8	5	5	0	0	

Table 8. Calculation of Node 1.3

The results of calculations in Table 6 show that parents' income gets the highest Gain value. Whereas the calculations in Tables 7 and 8 show that the student attendance level has the highest Gain score. Based on the results in Table 6, Table 7 and Table 8 obtained the Level 1 decision tree as in Figure 2.





Next is completing to calculate level 2 Nodes. The results of calculating Gain and Entropy Node level 2 are presented in the form of a decision tree as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 4: Decision Tree of Node Level 2

4 CONCLUSION

- 1. Based on the results of data collection, it was found that as many as 21% of 2014 and 2015 class year students who resigned from the College.
- 2. Achievement index rating, students' attendance level and small parents' income greatly influence the level of the resignation of students from the College.
- 3. Based on the decision tree produced, that the students who resigned from the College is the students who have achievement index between 1.00 and 2.50.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research has limitations, especially in determining the variables and methods used. The author is open to all suggestions and critics and hopes that this research can be used for other researches.

REFERENCES

- Adhatrao, Kalpesh, Aditya, Gaykar, Amiraj, Dhawan, Rohit, Jha & Vipul Honrao. (2013).
 Predicting Students' Performance Using Id3 And C4.5 Classification Algorithms. *International Journal of Data Mining & Knowledge Management Process (IJDKP)*, Vol.3, No.5, September, DOI: 10.5121/ijdkp.2013.3504.
- Arcega, Anilu Franco, Jesús Ariel Carrasco Ochoa, Guillermo Sánchez Díaz & José Francisco Martínez Trinidad. (2013). Decision Tree based Classifiers for Large Datasets. *Computación y Sistemas*, Vol. 17 No.1, 2013 pp. 95-102, 1405-5546.
- Breiman, L., Friedman, J., H., Olshen, R., A. & Stone, C., J. (1984). *Classification and Regression Tree*. Chapman & Hall, New York.

Dai, W., & Ji, W. (2014). A Map-Reduce Implementation of C4. 5 Decision Tree Algorithm. *International Journal of Database Theory and Application*, Vol.7, No.1, pp. 49-60. DOI : 10.14257/ijdta.2014.7.1.05.

H., Burhanuddin Salam. (2003). Successful Learning Methods in Higher Education. Rineka Cipta. Jakarta.

H., Li & X., M., Hu. (2008). Analysis and Comparison between ID3 Algorithm and C4. 5 Algorithm in Decision Tree. *Water Resources and Power*, Vol. 26, No. 2, pp. 129-132.

- Larose, Daniel, T. (2005). Discovering Knowledge in Data: An Introduction to Data Mining. A Jhon Wiley & Sons, Inc Publication. Canada.
- Lin, Charles, X., Qiang Yang, Jianning Wang &Shichao Zhang. (2004). Decision Trees with Minimal Costs. *Appearing Proceedings of the* 21st International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), Banff. Canada.
- Lior, Rokach & Oded Maimon. (2005). Data Mining With Decision Tree. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. Series in Machine Perception Artificial Intelligence Volume 69.
- Nasir, Anthony, Tariq Mahmood Ali, Sheikh Shahdin, Tariq Ur Rahman. (2011). Technology Achievement Index 2009: Ranking And Comparative Study of Nations, *Scientometrics*, 87:41–62, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-010-0285-6.
- Riduwan. (2003). Research Variables Measurement Scale. CV. Alvabeta. Bandung.
- Saputra, Rizal Amegia. (2014). Comparison of Classification Data Algorithm for Predicting Tuber Culosis (TBC): Case Study of Karawang Health Center. *National Seminar on Innovation and Trends (SNIT)*.
- Sharma, Himani & Sunil, Kumar. (2013). A Survey on Decision Tree Algorithms of Classification in Data Mining. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, Index Copernicus Value: 6.14, 2319-7064.
- Sharma, Seema, Jitendra, Agrawal & Sanjeev, Sharma. (2013). Classification Through Machine Learning Technique: C4.5 Algorithm based on Various Entropies. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, Volume 82, No. 16, 0975 – 8887.
- Sharma, Pooja & Asst., Prof. Rupali, Bhartiya. (2012). Implementation of Decision Tree Algorithm to Analysis of the Performance. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*, Vol. 1, Issue 10, December 2012, 2319-5940.
- Silitonga, Parasian. (2017). Clustering of Patient Disease Data by Using K-Means Clustering, International Journal of Computer Science and Information Security (IJCSIS), Vol. 15, No. 7, July 2017, 1947-5500.
- Silitonga, Parasian & Irene, Sri, Morina, (2018). International Journal of Computer Science Engineering (IJCSE), Vol. 7 No.1 Jan-Feb 2018, 2319-7323.