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#### Abstract

In the National Climate Data Center of Korea Meteorological Administration, quality control methods of meteorological observations are applied to identify erroneous observation values. The type of quality control methods we have been using is to check the value from one station, either instantly or temporally. The spatial checking methods that find errors by comparing values of several stations at a time are difficult to apply because calculating the threshold using a large amount of observations is time consuming and various conditions for applying are required. In this study, we develop a new spatial checking method for temperature observation data using cluster analysis for meteorological observations that can be performed fast and effective in clarifying errors that have not been discovered.


## 1 INTRODUCTION

Data quality control of meteorological observations is the process of examining data to detect missing values and errors in order to eliminate errors and provide high-quality data for users (WMO, 2013). The National Climate Data Center (NCDC) of the Korea Meteorological Administration (KMA) has applied quality control methods automatically to observation data collected from more than 600 stations. The methods that have been applied to temperature observation data are single station checks using instant observation or one time series data from one station, e.g., range checks, step checks and consistency checks.

Figure 1 shows the maximum temperature distribution in South Korea on March 8, 2003 using the MK (Modified Korean)-PRISM (Parameterelevation Regressions an Independent Slopes Model) (Kim et al., 2012). The circled parts in Figure 1 indicate points where the temperature difference with the surrounding points is approximately 15 to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The values of the points are likely to be errors. The single station checking methods currently used cannot detect this type of errors because the methods have the same spatial error-determination criteria; however, the spatial checking method can be used to find erroneous data by comparing observation values at several stations. Several spatial tests have been
reported in the literature, such as the Cressman scheme (Cressman, 1959) and Barnes scheme (Barnes, 1964). However those spatial checks have not been used in the NCDC because it is time consuming to calculate the threshold to be compared with the actual data value and various conditions are required. Therefore, we develop a new spatial quality control method for temperature observations using clustering analysis for meteorological stations that can quickly find errors by comparing values at various stations.


Figure 1: Maximum temperature distribution in South Korea on March 8, 2013.

## 2 PROCESSING OUTLINE

The development of spatial quality control method is divided into two stages: Cluster analysis for meteorological stations and setting of error determination criteria.

### 2.1 Cluster Analysis

This section describes the result of Kim et al. (2017) that includes the cluster analysis by month for meteorological observation stations using the gridded data of numerical weather prediction.

### 2.1.1 Grid Data Clustering by Month

For cluster analysis, Kim et al. (2017) use gridded data from numerical model instead of meteorological observation data from stations to reflect the climate characteristic of South Korea evenly. The numerical model data used are the KLAPS model data for 20062014. The model data are $5 \mathrm{~km} \times 5 \mathrm{~km}$ gridded observations in time unit (Kim et al., 2013). The grid points corresponding to the land and coast of South Korea are used for analysis, and the number of grid points used is 20,044 . Daily temperature datasets calculated by averaging hourly data of the numerical model are used as input datasets for cluster analysis.

The methods used in the cluster analysis are the Ward method and K-means method. The Ward method is a hierarchical cluster analysis method considering the incremental sum of squares in the cluster and the intersection of squares between the clusters (Ward, 1963; Murtagh and Legendre, 2014). The K-means method, which is a non-hierarchical cluster analysis method, requires that the number of clusters be defined in advance and that the center value of the initial clusters affects the results (Dillon and Goldstein, 1984; Wagstaff et al., 2001). The Ward method is applied to determine the appropriate number of clusters for gridded data. The number of clusters determined by the Ward method and the centroid calculated from the clusters are used as initial values to apply the K-means method (Mirkin, 2005). By applying the K-means method combined with values from the Ward method, the clustering of gridded data can be determined. Figure 2 shows an example of clustering results for gridded data in South Korea for April.

### 2.1.2 Cluster Assign for Meteorological Sites

The clustering of meteorological observation stations is based on the results of cluster analysis of gridded


Figure 2: Clustering result for April temperatures using gridded data on South Korea.
data. The grid points are clustered with temperature characters. The observation stations are clustered such that they are assigned to the cluster to which the nearest grid points belonged. The distance between the grid point and station is calculated as the minimum distance using latitude and longitude.

This grouping method of observation stations can allocate clusters easily if the location information of the stations exists, even if new observation stations are placed or the location of the existing stations are changed.

### 2.2 Setting of Error Determination Criteria

The criteria for determining errors in the temperature data is set using the method of determining abnormal values in a normal distribution. In the spatial quality method, we judge the error based on the hourly mean and standard deviation calculated from the minute temperature data for stations identified as a cluster. Using the mean and standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) hourly by cluster, the value in the range below (1) can be determined as normal or an error.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Mean- } k \times \sigma<\text { value }<\text { Mean }-k \times \sigma \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain $k$ with an appropriate error rate, we conduct a case study on the observation data of the minute temperature from 2013 to 2017.

### 2.2.1 Test Dataset

More than 600 meteorological observation stations of KMA exist in South Korea; among them, 525 stations have been observed continuously for more than 10 years. We use those 525 stations for our case study. Table 1 shows the number of clusters for the temperature data of the 525 stations, and Figure 3 shows the example of clustering results for observation stations in South Korea for April.

The period of data used for the case study is for 2013-2017, and the meteorological parameter is the temperature observed every minute. Using the result of clustering, the hourly mean and standard deviation of the minute temperature for stations classified as a cluster are calculated.

### 2.2.2 Test for Setting Error Determination Criteria

Using the results of the previous calculation, we confirm whether the values in the standard deviation interval are normal or errors. Table 2 shows the error rate by each standard deviation range of every minute temperature data determined through a case study. The error rate is calculated as the percentage of the number of data determined to be errors in the total data within the range. If the absolute value of the difference from the mean is less than $7 \sigma$, it is confirmed to be normal; if the absolute value of the difference is more than $8 \sigma$, it is confirmed to be an error. However, if the absolute value of the difference is more than $7 \sigma$ and less than or equal to $8 \sigma$, the error rate is $33.9 \%$, implying that the value in the distribution range may be normal or an error.

Table 1: Number of clusters by month for meteorological stations.

| Month | The number of clusters |
| :---: | :---: |
| January | 8 |
| February | 7 |
| March | 9 |
| April | 9 |
| May | 10 |
| June | 9 |
| July | 12 |
| August | 10 |
| September | 8 |
| October | 7 |
| November | 7 |
| December | 9 |



Figure 3: Clustering result for temperatures of meteorological stations in South Korea for April.

In such a case, a manual quality check should be performed through confirmation of the quality control.

Therefore, in the spatial quality control method, which is performed automatically, the value is regarded as an error when the absolute value of difference from the mean is more than $8 \sigma$.

Table 2: Error rate by standard deviation range of every minute temperature data for 2013-2017.

| Range of $\sigma$ | Error rate | Number of data <br> (error / total) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6 \sigma<$ rangel $\leq 7 \sigma$ | $0 \%$ | $0 / 6501$ |
| $7 \sigma<\mid$ rangel $\leq 8 \sigma$ | $33.9 \%$ | $97 / 286$ |
| $8 \sigma<\mid$ rangel $\leq 9 \sigma$ | $100 \%$ | $226 / 226$ |
| $9 \sigma<\mid$ range $\leq 10 \sigma$ | $100 \%$ | $68 / 68$ |
| $10 \sigma<\mid$ rangel $\leq 11 \sigma$ | $100 \%$ | $55 / 55$ |
| $11 \sigma<$ \|rangel $\leq 12 \sigma$ | $100 \%$ | $27 / 27$ |
| $12 \sigma<$ rangel $\leq 13 \sigma$ | $100 \%$ | $48 / 48$ |
| $13 \sigma<$ \|rangel $\leq 14 \sigma$ | $100 \%$ | $52 / 52$ |
| $14 \sigma<$ \|rangel $\leq 15 \sigma$ | $100 \%$ | $62 / 62$ |
| $15 \sigma<\mid$ rangel $\leq 16 \sigma$ | $100 \%$ | $13 / 13$ |
| $16 \sigma<\mid$ rangel $\leq 17 \sigma$ | $100 \%$ | $7 / 7$ |
| $17 \sigma<\mid$ rangel $\leq 18 \sigma$ | $100 \%$ | $11 / 11$ |
| $18 \sigma<\mid$ rangel $\leq 19 \sigma$ | $100 \%$ | $2 / 2$ |
| $19 \sigma<\mid$ rangel $\leq 20 \sigma$ | $100 \%$ | $4 / 4$ |

## 3 QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS

### 3.1 Result of Quality Improvement

We confirm the data quality improvement by applying the spatial checking method with the criteria for determining errors derived from the case study. We apply the spatial method to every-minute temperature observations for 595 meteorological observation stations from January 2006 to November 2018. As the period of the numerical model data used for cluster analysis is from 2006, it is applied to the data after 2006. By applying the test, 5,915 values that have been judged normal in the existing methods are treated as errors. Examples of error handling through the spatial methods are as follows.

Figure 4 shows the every-minute temperature distribution at a station in Gageo Island, on June 3, 2016, and the circle is the error-determined part through the spatial method. Table 3 shows the temperature data of an error-determined time zone and the shaded part is the value of the error. The value of $3: 47$ is null because it has been refined through the step check as the variation with the previous value is more than $3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, the value of 3:48 has been determined as normal because the previous value is refined as an error and no object is available to calculate the variation, and it is a weak point of the step check. The spatial method treats that value as an error, and it is evident that spatial checking can complement the vulnerable point of the step checking.


Figure 4: Temperature distribution in a station in Gageo Island, South Korea on June 3, 2016.


Figure 5: Temperature distribution in a station in Yeosu airport, South Korea on August 29, 2014.

Table 3: Temperature distribution in station in Gageo Island, South Korea on June 3, 2016.

| Time | Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time | Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3: 44$ | 17.1 | $3: 50$ | 17.2 |
| $3: 45$ | 17.1 | $3: 51$ | 17.2 |
| $3: 46$ | 17.1 | $3: 52$ | 17.1 |
| $3: 47$ | (null) | $3: 53$ | 17.1 |
| $3: 48$ | 0 | $3: 54$ | 16.9 |
| $3: 49$ | 17.3 | $3: 55$ | 16.9 |

Table 4: Temperature distribution in a station in Yeosu airport, South Korea on August 29, 2014.

| Time | Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time | Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12: 11$ | 22. | $12: 16$ <br> $\sim 13: 05$ | 0 |
| $12: 12$ | 22. | $13: 06$ | (null) |
| $12: 13$ | 22. | $13: 07$ | 20.4 |
| $12: 14$ | 22. | $13: 08$ | 21.2 |
| $12: 15$ | (null) | $13: 09$ | 21.9 |

Figure 5 shows the every-minute temperature distribution at a station in Yeosu airport, on August 29, 2014, and the circle is the error-determined part through the spatial method. Table 4 shows the temperature values of the error-determined time zone, and the shaded parts of 12:16-13:05 are the values of errors. The values of 12:16-13:05 have not been refined through the consistency checking because the duration of 0 fluctuation is less than 180 min . The spatial method treats those values as errors; as shown the spatial checking can complement the vulnerable point of the time consistency checking.

### 3.2 Result of Application To Be Performed Automatically

We apply the spatial checking method to be performed automatically once daily for data received later than one day after the observation time. We measure the time to perform the spatial check of temperature data in minutes from 595 stations for one day. The test is performed thrice, and the performance times are 93 seconds, 59 seconds, and 64 seconds. The average of performance time is 72 seconds, and it is considered appropriate to apply the spatial check automatically to the observation data in minutes.

## 4 CONCLUSIONS

We develop a new spatial data quality control method to find errors of temperature observation data based on clustering for meteorological observation stations. The threshold of the single station checking method is the same for all sites; however, the spatial checking method comprise a segmented threshold spatially and temporally. Existing spatial tests are time consuming points to be compared by calculation must be obtained every time; however, the new spatial test developed in this study can be performed quickly because the cluster is set based on the similar climate characteristics for comparison in advance. Another advantage is that the spatial checking method can find errors that have not been found by the methods used previously. When the observation value of one point is examined, it cannot be found; however, it is effective to find an erroneous value that indicated a large deviation compared with the surrounding points.

High-quality observation data management and service are anticipated by applying the spatial quality control method.

## REFERENCES

Barnes, S. L., 1964. A technique for maximizing details in numerical weather map analysis. Journal of Applied Meteorology, 3(4), 396-409.
Cressman, G. P., 1959. An operational objective analysis system. Mon. Wea. Rev, 87(10), 367-374.
Dillon, W. R., \& Goldstein, M. 1984. Multivariate analysis methods and applications (No. 519.535 D5).
Kim, H., Kim, K., Lee J., Lee, Y., 2017. Cluster analysis by month for meteorological stations using a gridded data for numerical model with temperatures and precipitation, Journal of the Korean Data \& Information Science Society 2017, 28(5), 1133-1144.
Kim, H., Oh, S., Lee, Y. 2013. Design of heavy rain advisory decision model based on optimized RBFNNs using KLAPS reanalysis data. Journal of Korean Institute of Intelligent Systems, 23(5), 473-478.
Kim, M., Han, M., Jang, D., Baek, S., Lee, W., Kim, Y., 2012. Production Technique of Observation Grid Data of 1 km Resolution, Climate research, 7(1), 55-68.
Mirkin, B. 2005. Clustering for data mining: a data recovery approach. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
Murtagh, F. and Legendre, P. 2014. Ward' s hierarchical agglomerative clustering method: which algorithms implement Ward' s criterion?. Journal of classification, 31(3), 274-295.
Wagstaff, K., Cardie, C., Rogers, S., Schrődl, S. 2001. June). Constrained k-means clustering with background knowledge. In Icml (Vol. 1). 577-584.

