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#### Abstract

Let $\chi(G)$ be a chromatic number of vertex coloring of a graph G . A bijection $f: E \rightarrow\{1,2,3, \ldots,|E(G)|\}$ is called local antimagic vertex coloring if for any adjacent vertices do not share the same weight, where the weight of a vertex in $G$ is the sum of the label of edges incident to it. We denote the minimum number of distinct weight of vertices in $G$ so that the graph $G$ admits a local antimagic vertex coloring as $\chi_{l a}(G)$. In this study, we established the missing value of $\chi_{l a}$ for a case in wheel graph and $\chi_{l a}$ for helm graph.


## 1 INTRODUCTION

Suppose $G(V, E)$ be a connected simple graph such that $v, u \in V(G)$. We define local antimagic vertex coloring of $G$ as a bijection $f: E \rightarrow$ $\{1,2,3, \ldots,|E(G)|\}$ such that for any adjacent vertices $v$ and $u, w(v) \neq w(u)$, which $w(v)=\sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)$ for every edge $e$ incident to $v$. We are able to distinguish weights of vertices by assigning distinct colors for every distinct weights. Using a wellknown notation, $\chi(G)$ denoted as the chromatic number of $G$. The local antimagic vertex chromatic number $\chi_{l a}(G)$ is the minimum number of colors for vertices taken over all colorings induced by local antimagic vertex coloring of $G$. A remark written by Arumugam et al. (2017) tells us that for any graph $G, \chi_{l a}(G) \geq \chi(G)$.

Hartsfield \& Ringel (1990) introduced the term of antimagic labeling of a graph. We can see many variations of this antimagic labeling. One of many variations is a concept of local antimagic vertex coloring introduced by Arumugam et al. (2017). They also give the exact values for $\chi_{l a}$ for wheel $W_{n}$ when $n \not \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. For $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, they found only the interval.

Arumugam et al. (2018) found the exact value $\chi_{l a}(G)$ for some corona product graphs. Nazula et al. (2018) established the exact value of $\chi_{l a}(G)$ for certain unicyclic graphs, which are kite graphs and cycle graphs with two neighbour pendants. Lau et al. (2018) showed further results of local antimagic vertex coloring for some graphs and established a
sharp lower bound for graphs which we use in our proof. Haslegrave (2018) proved a conjecture proposed by Arumugam et al. whether any connected graph other than $K_{2}$ admits a local antimagic vertex coloring, by using probabilistic method.

In this paper, we study local antimagic vertex coloring for wheel graphs and helm graphs. We establish an exact value of $\chi_{l a}$ for a case in wheel graph, that has not been proved yet by Arumugam et al. Also, we have exact values of $\chi_{l a}$ for helm graphs. Silaban et al. (2009) gave an efficient way of labeling by defining some conditional function which we use much in our paper.

## 2 SUPPLEMENTARY PROPERTIES

For convenience, we would like to introduce some simpler notations that we use in this paper. Firstly, we denote $i \in[a, b]$ as $i$ being an integer greater or equal to $a$, while lower or equal to $b$. Next, we add additional index of $e$ or $o$, as in $i \in[a, b]_{e}$ that has additional information of $i$ an even integer, while using $o$ simply means $i$ an odd integer.

Silaban et al. (2009) introduced a function which checks a condition of certain value and returns according to whether the condition is satisfied. One of the example is the $\operatorname{odd}(x)$ function which defined as follows

$$
\operatorname{odd}(i)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1, & \text { if } i \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

We will use this convenient function in our proofs. Other than that, we would like to introduce another function called modulo congruency check. Modulo congruency check $m(x, t)$ is a function that values to 1 if $x$ is equivalent $t$ by $\bmod 4$, while otherwise 0 . Formally, we write as follows

$$
m(i, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1, & \text { if } i \equiv t(\bmod 4) \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

We use a definition of the wheel graph $W_{n}$ of order $n+1$ with the vertex set

$$
V\left(W_{n}\right)=\left\{c, v_{i} \mid i \in[1, n]\right\}
$$

and the edge set

$$
E\left(W_{n}\right)=\left\{v_{n} v_{1}, c v_{n}, v_{1} v_{i+1}, c v_{i} \mid i \in[1, n-1]\right\}
$$

Arumugam et al. (2017) proved the exact value of $\chi_{l a}$ in many cases of wheel graphs as follows
Theorem 1 (Arumugam et al., 2017). For the wheel $W_{n}$ of order $n+1$, we have

$$
\chi_{l a}\left(W_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
4, & \text { if } n \equiv 1,3(\bmod 4) \\
3, & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, the authors found only the interval $3 \leq \chi_{l a}\left(W_{n}\right) \leq 5$. They also found a sharp lower bounds for arbitrary tree graph. Lau et al. (2018) generalizes this theorem as follows

Theorem 2 (Lau et al., 2018). Let $G$ be a graph having $k$ pendants. If $G$ is not $K_{2}$, then $\chi_{l a}(G) \geq$ $k+1$ and the bound is sharp.

The preceding theorem is useful for finding a lower bound of $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{n}\right)$. We continue this reasoning to have sharp lower bounds for this particular helm graphs.

## 3 MAIN RESULTS

We start to establish our main theorem.
Theorem 3. Let $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Then $\chi_{l a}\left(W_{n}\right)=3$. Proof. It is known that

$$
\chi\left(W_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}4, & \text { if } n \text { is odd }  \tag{1}\\ 3, & \text { if } n \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, for $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4), \chi_{l a}\left(W_{n}\right) \geq 3$. To show $\chi_{l a}\left(W_{n}\right) \leq 3$ for $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, we will define $f: E\left(W_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left\{1,2,3, \ldots,\left|E\left(W_{n}\right)\right|\right\}$ that admits local antimagic vertex coloring of $W_{n}$.

Case 1: $n=4$


Figure 1: Local Antimagic Vertex Coloring of W4.
Label the edges of $W_{n}$ isomorphic to the following figure. Therefore, for a small case of $n=$ $4, \chi_{l a}\left(W_{4}\right)=3$.

Case 2: $n \equiv 0 \bmod 8$
Label the edges $W_{n}$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(c v_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{n-2 i+10}{8}+m(i, 3) \frac{n+4}{8}, & \text { if } i \in\left[1, \frac{n}{2}+1\right]_{o}^{\prime}, \\
\frac{3 n-2 i+12}{8}+m(i, 0) \frac{n+4}{8}, & \text { if } i \in\left[2, \frac{n}{2}\right]_{e}^{\prime} \\
\frac{3 n+4}{4}, & \text { if } i=\frac{n}{2}+2, \\
\frac{5 n+2 i+8}{8}+m(i, 3) \frac{n-4}{8}, & \text { if } i \in\left[\frac{n}{2}+3, n-1\right]_{o}^{\prime},
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{5\left(v_{i} v_{i+1}\right)}{8}, \frac{n-4}{8}, & \text { if } i \in\left[\frac{n}{2}+4, n\right]_{e} . \\
\frac{7 n+2 i+4}{8}+m(i, 0) \frac{n-4}{8}, & \text { if } i \in\left[\frac{n}{2}+2, n-2\right]_{e} \\
\frac{4 n-i+1}{2}, & \text { if } i \in\left[\frac{n}{2}+5, n-1\right]_{o}
\end{array}\right. \\
& f\left(v_{n} v_{1}\right)=\frac{n n}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The weights of vertices are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w\left(v_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{27 n}{8}+1, & \text { if } i \text { is odd }, \\
\frac{29 n}{8}+2, & \text { if } i \text { is even }\end{cases} \\
& w(c)=\frac{n(n+1)}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that these three weights are distinct. Therefore, $\chi_{l a}\left(W_{n}\right) \leq 3$ for $n \equiv 0 \bmod 8$. We conclude that $\chi_{l a}\left(W_{n}\right)=3$ for $n \equiv 0 \bmod 8$.
Case 3: $n \equiv 4 \bmod 8$ and $n \geq 12$
Label the edges of $W_{n}$ as follows

$$
f\left(c v_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{n}{2}+m(i, 3) \frac{n}{2}, & \text { if } i \in[1,3]_{o} \\
\frac{i+2}{4}+m(i, 0) \frac{n}{8}, & \text { if } i \in\left[2, \frac{n}{2}\right]_{e} \\
\frac{2 n+2 i-2}{8}+m(i, 3) \frac{n}{8}, & \text { if } i \in\left[5, \frac{n}{2}-1\right]_{o} \\
\frac{3 n+4}{8}+m(i, 1) \frac{n-2}{2}, & \text { if } i \in\left[\frac{n}{2}+1, \frac{n}{2}+3\right]_{o} \\
\frac{3 n-i+4}{4}+m(i, 2) \frac{n}{8}, & \text { if } i \in\left[\frac{n}{2}+2, n\right]_{e} \\
\frac{4 n-i+1}{4}+m(i, 3) \frac{n}{8}, & \text { if } i \in\left[\frac{n}{2}+5, n-1\right]_{o}
\end{array}\right.
$$



Figure 2: Local Antimagic Vertex Coloring of $W_{8}$.

$$
f\left(v_{i} v_{i+1}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{11 n+4}{8}+m(i, 1) \frac{5 n-4}{8}, & \text { if } i \in[1,2], \\
\frac{5 n+i+1}{4}+m(i, 1) \frac{n}{8}, & \text { if } i \in\left[3, \frac{n}{2}-1\right]_{o} \\
\frac{4 n-i+2}{2}, & \text { if } i \in\left[4, \frac{n}{2}+2\right]_{e} \\
\frac{5 n+1,}{4 i-2}, & \text { if } i=\frac{n}{2}+1, \\
\frac{5 n-i+6}{4}+m(i, 0) \frac{n}{8}, & \text { if } \left.i \in\left[\frac{n}{2}+3, n-1\right]_{o}^{2}+4, n-2\right]_{e}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The weights of vertices are

$$
\begin{gathered}
w\left(v_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{29 n+12}{8}, \quad \text { if } i \text { is odd } \\
\frac{29 n+12}{8}-\frac{n}{4}, \quad \text { if } i \text { is even. }
\end{array}\right. \\
w(c)=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

It is clear that these three weights are distinct. Hence, $\chi_{l a}\left(W_{n}\right) \leq 3$ for $n \equiv 4 \bmod 8$. We conclude that $\chi_{l a}\left(W_{n}\right)=3$ for $n \equiv 4 \bmod 8$.


Figure 3: Local Antimagic Vertex Coloring of $W_{12}$.
Therefore, f is a local antimagic vertex coloring for $W_{n}$ with $\chi_{l a}\left(W_{n}\right)=3$.

Helm graph is acquired by attaching a pendant to every vertices in the wheel graph except the center. Helm graph $H_{n}$ is formally defined with the vertex set

$$
V\left(H_{n}\right)=\left\{c, v_{i}, x_{i} \mid i \in[1, n]\right\}
$$

and the edge set

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left(H_{n}\right)=\left\{v_{n} v_{1}, c v_{n}, v_{i} v_{i+1}, c v_{i}, x_{i} v_{i}, x_{n} v_{n} \mid i\right. \\
\in[1, n-1]\}
\end{gathered}
$$

We start to call vertex $c$ as a center, and vertices $x_{i}$ as pendants. In preceding theorem, we use the chromatic number of the graph to prove the lower bound. Next, we try to use reasoning similar with Theorem 2 to establish the lower bound.

The center $c$ incident to $n$ number of edges. This results the weight of center is at least the sum of natural integers up to $n$. Meanwhile, the weight of pendants is at most $3 n$ since pendants incident to only one edge. Vertices other than those are incident to four edges, the weight is at least the sum of four smallest available labels. By having assumptions and showing contradictions, this reasoning effectively adjusts the lower bound to be equal to the upper bound, giving an exact value for helm graphs.
Theorem 4. For integer $n \geq 3$, helm graphs $H_{n}$ have

$$
\chi_{l a}\left(H_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
n+3, & \text { if } n \neq 4 \\
6, & \text { if } n=4
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. From the definition, helm graph $H_{n}$ has $n$ number of pendants. Using Theorem 2 directly, we are guaranteed to have $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{n}\right) \geq n+1$.

Let $f$ be a labeling of helm graph. We divide the problems into cases.

Case 1: $n=3,4,5$
To prove the upper bound, labels the edges of $H_{n}$ isomorphic as the following figures.


Figure 4: Local Antimagic Vertex Coloring of $H_{3}, H_{4}$, and $H_{5}$.

Hence, we have

$$
\chi_{l a}\left(H_{n}\right) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
6, & \text { if } n=3,4 \\
8, & \text { if } n=5
\end{array}\right.
$$

Subcase 1.1: $n=3$
Suppose $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{3}\right) \leq n+2=5$. Then, there exists $w\left(v_{i}\right)$ that equals $w\left(x_{j}\right)$ for some $i, j$. Notice that every $v_{i}$ incident to four edges, which means $w\left(v_{i}\right) \geq 1+2+3+4=10$, if we chose smallest labels on edges incident to $v_{i}$. Meanwhile, $w\left(x_{j}\right) \leq$ 9 because pendants only have one label. It contradicts the fact the existence of $w\left(v_{i}\right)$ that equals $w\left(x_{j}\right)$ for some $i, j$. Therefore, $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{3}\right) \geq$ $n+3=6$. We conclude that $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{3}\right)=6$.

Subcase 1.2: $n=4$
Suppose $\chi_{l a} \leq n+1$. Therefore, there exists two $v_{i}$ such that each one $w\left(v_{i}\right)$ equals to $w\left(x_{j}\right)$ for some $i, j$. The sum of those $w\left(v_{i}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{8} i=36$. While the sum of weights from pendants $w\left(x_{i}\right) \leq 2(3 n)=$ $6 n<36$. It contradicts the fact that each one $w\left(v_{i}\right)$ equals to $w\left(x_{j}\right)$ for some $i, j$. Hence, $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{4}\right) \geq n+$ $2=6$. We conclude that $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{4}\right)=6$.
Subcase 1.3: $n=5$.
A similar reasoning with previous case, that there is no two $v_{i}$ such that each one $w\left(v_{i}\right)$ equals to $w\left(x_{j}\right)$ for some $i, j$ which means $\chi_{l a} \geq n+2$. Suppose $\chi_{l a} \leq n+2$. Therefore, there exists at least one $v_{i}$ and center $c$ such that each one $w\left(v_{i}\right)=w\left(x_{j}\right)$ and $w(c)=w(x k)$ for some $i, j, k$. Notice that $w\left(x_{j}\right) \leq$

15 for any $j$. There is only one possibility to satisfy $w(c)=15$, by giving $c v_{i}$ labels from 1 to 5 . Hence, we have a new least weight of $w\left(v_{i}\right) \geq 1+5+6+$ $7=19$. It contradicts the fact that $w\left(v_{i}\right)=w\left(x_{j}\right) \leq$ 15 for some $i, j$. Therefore, $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{5}\right) \geq n+3$. We conclude that $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{5}\right)=n+3=8$.
Case 2: $n \geq 6$.
Suppose $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{n}\right) \leq n+1$. Then, $w(c)$ will equal to $w\left(x_{j}\right)$ for some $j$. Notice that $w(c) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} i=$ $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, while $w\left(x_{j}\right) \leq 3 n$ for any $j$. It is clear that $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}>3 n$, if $n \geq 6$. Therefore, contradiction exists so that $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{n}\right) \geq n+2$.

Suppose $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{n}\right) \leq n+2$. Since $w(c)$ is unique, then there exists $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ number of $v_{i}$ such that each one $w\left(v_{i}\right)$ equals to $w\left(x_{j}\right)$ for some $i, j$. The sum of those at least $w\left(v_{i}\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{4\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor} i=2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\left(4\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$. While the sum of weights from pendants $w\left(x_{i}\right) \leq$ $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor(3 n)$. It is not hard to prove the inequality $2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\left(4\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)>\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor(3 n)$ for $n \geq 6$, which contradicts the fact that each one $w\left(v_{i}\right)$ equals to $w\left(x_{j}\right)$ for some $i, j$. Hence, $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{n}\right) \geq n+3$.
Subcase 2.1: $n \geq 6, n$ is even.
To prove the upper bound, labels the edges of $H_{n}$ as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(v_{i} v_{i+1}\right)=i, \text { if } i \in[1, n-1], \\
f\left(v_{n} v_{1}\right)=n, \\
f\left(c v_{i}\right)=2 n+1-i, \text { if } i \in[1, n], \\
2 n+2, \\
f\left(x_{i} v_{i}\right) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { if } i=1, \\
3 n-i+1+2 \text { odd }(i), \quad \text { if } i \in[2, n]
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

The weights of the vertices are

$$
\begin{gathered}
w\left(x_{i}\right)=f\left(x_{i} v_{i}\right) \\
w\left(v_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5 n+1, \quad \text { if } i \text { is even, } \\
5 n+3, \quad \text { if } i \text { is odd. } \\
w(c)=\frac{n(3 n+1)}{2}
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{n}\right) \leq n+3$ for $n$ is even. We conclude $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{n}\right)=n+3$ if $n$ is even.


Figure 5: Local Antimagic Vertex Coloring of $H_{7}$.
Subcase 2.2: $n \geq 6, n$ is odd.
To prove the upper bound, labels the edges of $H_{n}$ as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(v_{i} v_{i+1}\right)=i+1, \text { if } i \in[1, n-1], \\
f\left(c v_{n}\right)=2 n+2-i, \text { if } i \in[1, n], \\
2 n+3,
\end{gathered} \quad \text { if } i=1, ~ i n, ~ i f\left(v_{i} v_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1, & \text { if } i=2, \\
3 n-i+2+2 \operatorname{odd}(i+1), & \text { if } i \in[3, n] .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The weights of the vertices are

$$
\begin{gathered}
w\left(x_{i}\right)=f\left(x_{i} v_{i}\right) \\
w\left(v_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 n+6, \quad \text { if } i=2 \\
5 n+5, \quad \text { if } i \text { is even and } i \neq 2, \\
5 n+7, \quad \text { if } i \text { is odd. }
\end{array}\right. \\
w(c)=\frac{n(3 n+3)}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Notice that $w\left(v_{2}\right)=w\left(x_{n-4}\right)$. Hence, $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{n}\right) \leq$ $n+3$ for $n$ is odd. We conclude $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{n}\right)=n+3$ if $n$ is odd.

Since every case is covered, then the theorem holds.


Figure 6: Local Antimagic Vertex Coloring of $\mathrm{H}_{8}$.

## 4 CONCLUSIONS

With preceding researchs, we have completed all exact value of $\chi_{l a}\left(W_{n}\right)$ and $\chi_{l a}\left(H_{n}\right)$ for any integer $n$. Future researchers are recommended to study the value of $\chi_{l a}$ for any other class of graphs.
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