An Improved Secure Image Transmission Technique via Mosaic Images by Nearly Reversible Color Transformations

Freddy Acosta Buenaño^{1,2}, Gonzalo Olmedo Cifuentes¹, Inmaculada Mora-Jiménez² and José Luis Rojo Álvarez²

¹Departamento de Eléctrica y Electrónica, Universidad de las Fuerzas Armadas ESPE, Av. General Rumiñahui s/n, Sangolquí, 171-5-231B, Ecuador

²Department of Signal Theory and Communications, Telematics and Computing, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Madrid, 28943, Spain

Keywords: Image Transmission, Mosaic Image, Color Transformation, Statistical Simplification.

Abstract: Current multimedia communication systems seek to transmit images supporting contents by the data stream, which requires specific effort for their bandwidth optimization. In this scenario, security of data and information that is being transmitted is highly relevant. A new concept has been recently used in the field of secure image transmission, known as secret-fragment-visible mosaic image. In this case, a mosaic image is created and used as a camouflage for a secret image. The mosaic image is similar to a target image freely chosen. We propose here a technique based on a statistical simplification approach to reduce the number of required bits for recovering the secret image. Experimental results showed that the number of bits with the proposed method was dramatically reduced (close to 3 to 1), and quality metrics were about Root Mean Squared Error of 32 and Mean Structural Similarity of 0.7 for the created mosaic image. Our proposal paves the way towards improved procedures that are suitable for multimedia systems like digital terrestrial television and similar applications.

1 INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, images are transmitted through most of the communication systems for countless applications, and new technologies are creating a plethora of platforms for content exchange. Many methods have been proposed for securing image transmission. Two usual approaches are image encryption and data hiding. Image encryption techniques provide a noisy image, which may arouse attacker's attention during transmission (Fridrich, 1998; Chen et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2013; Kwok and Tang, 2007; Behnia et al., 2008; Xiao et al., 2009; Patidar et al., 2011; Suresh and Ajai, 2016; Senthil Kumar and Anandhi, 2016; Sarwate and Dakhore, 2015). Data hiding methods mainly use Least Significant Bit (LSB) substitution (Chan and Cheng, 2004), histogram shifting (Ni et al., 2006), difference expansion (Tian, 2003; Hu et al., 2008), prediction-error expansion (Sachnev et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011), recursive histogram modification (Zhang et al., 2013), or discrete cosine or wavelet transformations (Fridrich et al., 2001; Chang et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2007;

Lin et al., 2008; Jitha and Sivadasan, 2015). A main drawback of methods for hiding data is the difficulty in handling a large amount of data into a single image. Specifically, to hide a secret image into a camouflage image of the same size, the secret image must be highly compressed in advance. (Lee and Tsai, 2014).

In this paper, a statistical approach is proposed for getting the standard deviation values of the secret image and the statistical and structural parameters of the target image. The process is controlled by secret images statistical parameters and a secret key, and with knowledge of these parameters and the key anyone can recover the secret image with an acceptable loss. It decreases the amount of required data remarkably, with the subsequent bandwidth reduction.

The proposed method is inspired by the one developed by (Lee and Tsai, 2014), where an image transmission technique by Nearly Reversible Color Transformation (NRCT) was proposed to automatically transform a secret image into a so-called secretfragment-visible mosaic image. The mosaic image has the same size of the secret image and looks simi-

DOI: 10.5220/0006477900870092

In Proceedings of the 14th International Joint Conference on e-Business and Telecommunications (ICETE 2017) - Volume 5: SIGMAP, pages 87-92 ISBN: 978-989-758-260-8

Copyright © 2017 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

Buenaño, F., Cifuentes, G., Mora-Jiménez, I. and Álvarez, J.

An Improved Secure Image Transmission Technique via Mosaic Images by Nearly Reversible Color Transformations.

lar to a target image freely chosen. It is also used as a camouflage for the secret image by dividing the secret image into blocks and transforming their color characteristics to be similar to those of the corresponding target image. Limitations of this approach come from the number of required bits for recovering secret images embedded to a disguising mosaic image with no compression.

Since it is highly desirable to optimize the bits for recovering secret images, our goal is to propose an algorithm to reduce as much as possible the information embedded into the mosaic image. Secret image data related with block position indices, mean values, and rotation angles, are generated as in (Lee and Tsai, 2014). The required target image data are not integrated in the bit stream, but instead they will be estimated in reception. The exponential probability density function (*pdf*) is used to estimate the standard deviation of secret image blocks, therefore reducing the number of transmitted bits. In this case, the receiver has to be efficient enough to reconstruct the whole secret image all by itself.

Regarding the organization of this paper, ideas of the proposed method and procedural aspects are described in Section 2. Algorithm for creating the stream of statistical data is detailed in Section 3. In Section 4, experimental results and performance evaluation are presented to show the feasibility of the proposed method, followed by conclusions in Section 5.

SLIENCE AND TELF

2 METHODOLOGY

The flow diagram of our approach is in Fig. 1. It includes two main phases, mosaic image creation with data stream assembling, and secret image recovery.

In the first phase, a mosaic image is yielded to serve as a container to include the data stream to be



Figure 1: Flow diagram of the proposal.

recovered in reception. The mosaic image consists of blocks of an input secret image with NRCT application and embedded data stream. This phase consists of four stages: (1) matching blocks of the secret image into those of the target image; (2) rotating each secret image block to get the minimum Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) with respect to its corresponding target block, and transforming the color characteristic of each block in the secret image by NRCT; (3) simplifying the content related to the standard deviation in the secret image blocks through the parameters of the underlying exponential pdf; and (4) preparing the data stream for the recovery of the secret image in reception.

In the second phase, the data stream is used to recover a fairly good version of the secret image. This phase includes three stages: (1) estimating blocks position indices, mean and standard deviation values, and target image by assuming an exponential pdf and using mosaic image information and blocks rotation; (2) reverse NRCT processing; and (3) recovering the secret image through estimated values.

2.1 NRCT Principles

Previously (Reinhard et al., 2001) proposed a color transfer scheme converting the image statistics associated with each color component to those of another image by considering the $l\alpha\beta$ color space. In (Lee and Tsai, 2014), the same idea was adopted but using the RGB color space.

More specifically, let secret blocks (T) and target blocks (B) be described as two pixel sets $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$ and $p'_1, p'_2, ..., p'_n$, respectively. Let the color of p_i be denoted by (r_i, g_i, b_i) and that of p'_i by (r'_i, g'_i, b'_i) . At first, we compute the mean and standard deviation values of blocks in T and B for each color channel, denoting c_i and c'_i with c = r, g, or b as components of pixels p_i and p'_i , respectively. Next, we compute new values (r''_i, g''_i, b''_i) for each p_i in T by

$$c_i'' = q_c(c_i - \mu_c) + \mu'_c, \tag{1}$$

where $q_c = \sigma'_c / \sigma_c$ is the standard deviation quotient and μ_c and μ'_c are the mean values of the corresponding block components in the secret and target images, respectively.

Authors in (Lee and Tsai, 2014) expressed a bit stream M as

$$M = t_1 t_2 \dots t_m r_1 r_2 m_1 m_2 \dots m_{48} q_1 q_2 \dots q_{21} d_1 d_2 \dots d_k, \quad (2)$$

where bit segments $t_1t_2...t_m$, r_1r_2 , $m_1m_2...m_{48}$, $q_1q_2...q_{21}$, and $d_1d_2...d_k$ codify the indices of target blocks B, the rotation angle of T, the mean values of T and B, the standard deviation quotients, and the

overflow/underflow residuals, respectively. Stream M varies in size for each block, since segment d_k depends on the number of residuals (Huffman encoding for residuals). The number of required bits for M is discussed next with more detail: (1) the index of B needs m bits to codify it, with m computed as

$$m = \left\lceil \log_2 \left(\frac{W_S H_S}{N_T} \right) \right\rceil,\tag{3}$$

where N_T is the size of the image block, and W_S and H_S are the width and height of secret image S, respectively; (2) 2 bits are required to code the rotation angle (4 possible orientations); (3) 48 bits are necessary to represent the average value of every block (secret and target, 8 bits per component); (4) 21 bits are used to represent the standard deviation quotients in the three color channels, with 7 bits per channel; and (5) k bits for representing the residuals, depending on the number of overflows and underflows.

3 PROPOSED METHOD

We propose an algorithm to reduce the required number of bits for recovering the secret image. This goal is achieved by estimating the underlying distribution of standard deviation values in blocks of the secret image, which can be modelled by an exponential pdf. Then, the information required to recover a block T can be coded by a bit stream with three segments of the form

$$M_t = t_1 t_2 \dots t_m r_1 r_2 m_1 m_2 \dots m_{24}, \tag{4}$$

where bit segments $t_1t_2...t_m$, r_1r_2 , and $m_1m_2...m_{24}$ code indices of one secret blocks T, the rotation angle of T, and the mean values of blocks in T, respectively. Then, bit streams of all blocks are ordered and concatenated into a single bit stream M_t for the entire secret image. M_t , together with the mean parameter of the exponential *pdf* that represents the standard deviation values of secret image blocks (S_t shown in Section 3.1), make the total bit stream M_T of the form

$$M_T = M_t S_t \tag{5}$$

The number of bits to code M_T is next discussed. For the three segments in M_t we use the method in (Lee and Tsai, 2014): (1) *m* bits to code block indices of secret image; (2) 2 bits to represent the rotation angle; and (3) 24 bits for the average of secret image blocks (8 bits per color channel). The bit stream associated to the standard deviation values of the secret image blocks needs 8 bits to represent the mean parameter of the exponential *pdf*.



Figure 2: Adjustment between exponential *pdf* of standard deviation values and experimental distribution.

3.1 Exponential Estimation Coding

Experiments were carried out with other pdfs, being the log normal the best suited to the experimental distribution. Since values close to zero do not significantly influence, the exponential pdf was considered instead of the log normal one, mainly to reduce the mathematical complexity of the method.

We use the average of the standard deviation in the secret image blocks as the value to be sent, represented by $S_t = s_1 s_2 \dots s_8$. The reason for this approach is shown in Fig. 2(a). Note that the standard deviation distribution can be modelled by an exponential *pdf*, hence avoiding the need to transmit the standard deviation value of each block in the secret image and transmit just a single value that can be represented with eight bits. Note in Fig. 2(a) that the empirical distribution moves away from the exponential *pdf* in values very close to zero. Nevertheless, they have little influence on the obtained results, so it was obviated.

3.2 Mosaic Image Information Coding

With respect to the target image information (standard deviations, means, and position indices), it was estimated in reception by using the mosaic image statistical parameters. Means and standard deviations of target and mosaic images are represented in Fig. 2(b) and (c), respectively, clearly showing that an estimation of these parameters can be considered in the receiver without need to transmit target image retrieval information.

4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Using a set of images with size $W_S \ge H_S$ pixels, a series of experiments were conducted to test the proposed algorithm. Fig. 3 shows the number of required bits for recovering the secret image with different block size (namely, $8 \ge 8$, $16 \ge 16$, and $32 \ge 32$) when our approach and that by (Lee and Tsai, 2014) are compared. Note that the number of bits increases as the block size is reduced.

Performance measures were considered to evaluate differences between the recovered and the original secret images, and also between the mosaic and the target images. On the one hand, the RMSE was obtained. On the other hand, the Mean Structural Similarity Index (MSSIM) was provided to evaluate the overall image quality. Fig. 4 shows the performance evaluation results.



Figure 3: Number of required bits for recovering the secret image.

We can see from Fig. 4(d) that the MSSIM value of the created mosaic image with respect to the target image has an average value close to 0.8, showing that the similarity of the details of the created mosaic image to those of the target image is good enough for the estimation consideration in Section 3.2.



Figure 4: RMSE and MSSIM versus block sizes $(8 \times 8, 16 \times 16, 32 \times 32)$ with secret and target images coming from a large dataset: (a) RMSE between the recovered secret images and the original ones; (b) MSSIM between the recovered secret images and the original ones; (c) RMSE between the mosaic and the target images; (d) MSSIM between the mosaic and the target images.

A comparison of the results yielded by the proposed method with those by (Lee and Tsai, 2014) is shown in Fig. 5. Here, panel (a) refers to the secret image, panel (b) is the target image, panel (c) shows



(e)

Figure 5: Comparison of results of (Lee and Tsai, 2014) and proposed method; (a) Secret image; (b) Target image; (c) Mosaic image, created from (a) and (b) by (Lee and Tsai, 2014); (d) Mosaic image, created from (a) and (b) by proposed method; (e) and (f) Recovered secret images by (Lee and Tsai, 2014) and proposed method.

(f)

the mosaic image created by (Lee and Tsai, 2014) with MSSIM=0.76, panel (d) is that created by the proposed method with MSSIM=0.95, panels (e) and (f) shows recovered secret images by (Lee and Tsai, 2014) and proposed method with MSSIM=0.96 and MSSIM=0.98 respectively.

In order to show the flexibility of the proposed method to choose any target image, we selected the secret image in Fig. 6 (a), and the two target images shown in panels (b) and (c) of Fig. 6. The resulting mosaic images are in panels (d) and (e). Note that the recovered secret images look similar to the respective secret images (panels (f) and (g)) even though the target images look quite different from the secret images. Images presented in this paper can be accessed on the internet¹.

For guidance, the processing time in our programming simulator using MATLAB in a computer with 8 GB RAM, i5 2.5 GHz processor and 500 GB SATA hard disk, for a process with block size N = 8, was in the transmitter $t_t = 95s$, and in the receiver $t_r = 54s$. We can see in Fig. 7 that the processing time decreas es with increasing block size N.



Figure 6: Mosaic and recovered images with the same secret image; (a) Secret image; (b) Target image One; (c) Target image Two; (d) and (f) Mosaic images, and (e) and (g) Recovered secret images from (a) and (b), and (a) and (c), respectively.



Figure 7: Processing time required by the method in transmitter and receiver with different block size N, in a computer with 8 GB RAM, i5 2.5 GHz processor and 500 GB SATA hard disk.

5 CONCLUSIONS

An algorithm has been proposed to reduce the amount of bits required to retrieve the secret image, based on the method proposed in (Lee and Tsai, 2014). This algorithm allows us to get better bandwidth performance for transmission, by the use of an exponential pdf to model the standard deviation of the secret image, as well as an estimation by statistical parameters of mosaic image to model target image parameters.

¹Images are available at https://www.pexels.com/ and http://gratisography.com/

The number of required bits was dramatically reduced (close to 3 to 1). Also, the original secret images can be recovered nearly losslessly from the created mosaic images. Good experimental results have shown the feasibility of the proposed method. Future studies may be directed to apply further statistical simplifications to the position indices and means, among other low-complexity options.

REFERENCES

- Behnia, S., Akhshani, A., Mahmodi, H., and Akhavan, A. (2008). A novel algorithm for image encryption based on mixture of chaotic maps. In *Chaos Solit. Fract.*, vol. 35, pp. 408 - 419.
- Chan, C. K. and Cheng, L. M. (2004). Hiding data in images by simple lsb substitution. In *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 37, pp. 469 - 474.
- Chang, C. C., Lin, C. C., Tseng, C. S., and Tai, W. L. (2007). Reversible hiding in dct-based compressed images. In *Inf. Sci.*, vol. 177,pp. 2768 - 2786.
- Chen, G., Mao, Y., and Chui, C. K. (2004). A symmetric image encryption scheme based on 3d chaotic cat maps. In *Chaos Solit. Fract.*, vol. 21, pp. 749 - 761.
- Fridrich, J. (1998). Symmetric ciphers based on twodimensional chaotic maps. In Int. J. Bifurcat. Chaos, vol. 8, pp. 1259 - 1284.
- Fridrich, J., Goljan, M., and Du, R. (2001). Invertible authentication. In Proc.SPIE, vol. 3971, pp. 197 - 208.
- Hu, Y., Lee, H. K., Chen, K., and Li, J. (2008). Difference expansion based reversible data hiding using two embedding directions. In *IEEE Trans.Multimedia*, vol. 10, pp. 1500 - 1512.
- Jitha, R. and Sivadasan, E. T. (2015). A survey paper on various reversible data hiding techniques in encrypted images. In *IEEE International Advance Computing Conference (IACC)*, pp. 1139 - 1143.
- Kwok, H. S. and Tang, W. K. S. (2007). A fast image encryption system based on chaotic maps with finite precision representation. In *Chaos Solit. Fract.,vol. 32*, pp. 1518 - 1529.
- Lee, S., Yoo, C. D., and Kalker, T. (2007). Reversible image watermarking based on integer-to-integer wavelet transform. In *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forens. Secur.*, vol. 2, pp. 321 - 330.
- Lee, Y. and Tsai, W.-H. (2014). A new secure image transmission technique via secret-fragment-visible mosaic images by nearly reversible color transformations. In *IEEE Transactions on circuits and systems for video* technology, VOL. 24.
- Li, X., Yang, B., and Zeng, T. (2011). Efficient reversible watermarking based on adaptive prediction-error expansion and pixel selection. In *IEEE Trans.Image Process.*, vol. 20, pp. 3524 - 3533.
- Lin, W. H., Horng, S. J., Kao, T. W., Fan, P., Lee, C. L., and Pan, Y. (2008). An efficient watermarking method based on significant difference of wavelet coefficient

quantization. In IEEE Trans. Multimedia, vol. 10, pp. 746 - 757.

- Ni, Z., Shi, Y. Q., Ansari, N., and Su, W. (2006). Reversible data hiding. In *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Tech*nol., vol. 16, pp. 354 - 362.
- Patidar, V., Pareek, N. K., Purohit, G., and Sud, K. K. (2011). A robust and secure chaotic standard map based pseudorandom permutation- substitution scheme for image encryption. In *Opt. Commun., vol.* 284, pp. 4331 - 4339.
- Reinhard, E., Ashikhmin, M., Gooch, B., and Shirley, P. (2001). Color transfer between images. In *IEEE Comput. Graph. Appl., vol. 21, pp. 34 - 41.*
- Sachnev, V., Kim, H. J., Nam, J., Suresh, S., and Shi, Y. Q. (2009). Reversible watermarking algorithm using sorting and prediction. In *IEEE Trans.Circuits Syst. Video Technol.*, vol. 19, pp. 989 - 999.
- Sarwate, S. and Dakhore, H. (2015). An approach to color transformation for image encryption and data hiding. In *Global Conference on Communication Technologies*, pp. 792 - 796.
- Senthil Kumar, A. and Anandhi, T. (2016). Multi image integration and encryption algorithm for security applications. In *Industrial Electronics Society - 42nd Annual Conference of the IEEE, pp. 986 - 991.*
- Suresh, A. and Ajai, R. (2016). Vlsi implementation of text to image encryption algorithm based on private key encryption. In *International Conference on Electrical*, *Electronics, and Optimization Techniques, pp. 4879 -*4881.
- Tian, J. (2003). Reversible data embedding using a difference expansion. In *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 13, pp. 890 - 896.*
- Xiao, D., Liao, X., and Wei, P. (2009). Analysis and improvement of a chaos- based image encryption algorithm. In *Chaos Solit. Fract., vol. 40,pp. 2191 - 2199.*
- Zhang, W., Hu, X., Li, X., and Yu, N. (2013). Recursive histogram modi- fication: Establishing equivalency between reversible data hiding and lossless data compression. In *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 22,pp. 2775 - 2785.