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Abstract: The communication networks of low-resources applications require implementing cryptographic protocols and operations with less computational and architectural complexities. In this paper, an efficient method for high speed calculations of square (SQR) root is proposed over Galois Fields GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. The method is based on using the results of certain pre-computations, and transforming the SQR root calculations into a system of linear equations. The computational complexity of our proposed method for computing the SQR root in GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m})$ which is significantly better than existing methods such as Tonelli-Shanks and Cipolla. Our proposed method was implemented using different types of multipliers over several polynomial degrees. Software and hardware implementations were developed in NTL-C++ and VHDL, respectively. Our software experimental results show up to 38 times faster than Doliskani \& Schost method. Moreover, our method is 840 times faster than Tonelli-Shanks method. In terms of hardware implementation and since Tonelli-Shanks requires less resources than Doliskani \& Schost, we compare our method with Tonelli-Shanks. The hardware experimental results show that up to $50 \%$ less LUTs with a speedup of $18 \%$ that can be obtained compared to Tonelli-Shanks method.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The task of computing square ( SQR ) roots in Galois fields GF ( $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ) has a practical importance to the cryptography such as point counting, the primeproving algorithms and asymmetric encryption scheme in the elliptic curves (ECs) (Menezes, 1993), where SQR operation is required. In the basic ELGamal encryption scheme (ElGamal, 1985), a point $P$ is defined to represent a message on a selected elliptic curve $E(x, y)$ over $\operatorname{GF}\left(p^{m}\right)$, where $E(x, y): \mathrm{y}^{2}=\mathrm{x}^{3}+\mathrm{ax}+\mathrm{b}$ for $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \operatorname{GF}\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. If $\mathrm{M} \in$ GF $\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ denotes the message, then the point P has a form of $(M, \sqrt{E(M, 0)})$ in a basic cryptographic scheme.

Finding the points on a curve in EC cryptography (ECC) requires the SQR root operation. If $x \in \mathrm{GF}$ $\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ is given, the success SQR root $y=$ $\pm \sqrt{\left(x^{3}+a x+b\right)}$ indicates that this point is located on the curve and applicable for different EC operations. The SQR root operation is used widely in compression and restoration points on ECC (Boneh \& Franklin, 2003) (Galbraith, et al., 2003). A point with coordinates $(x, y)$ on the curve is compressed to the
form $(x, \beta)$, where $\beta \in\{0,1\}$. To restore the numeric value $(y)$ by $(x, \beta)$, it is necessary to solve the quadratic equation $y^{2}=P(x)$, which is similar to compute the SQR root of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ i.e. $\sqrt{P(x)}$. As mentioned earlier, SQR computations of GF $\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ is a significant operation, especially for ECC and other asymmetric cryptosystems (Galbraith, et al., 2003). Computing SQR root of the binary GF ( $2^{\mathrm{m}}$ ) finite field is a time-consuming operation. The effectiveness of cryptosystems that use SQR operations critically relies on their implementations in finding SQR roots.

With the development of distributed computing in recent years, the capabilities of computer systems that can be used in security and critical fields have significantly increased (Bryen, 2015) (Bitzinger \& Vlavianos, 2016). The simplest measure to improve security of systems that use $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ is to increase the number of bits ( $m$ ) in the intermediate operands, where $(m)$ is the operand length. Usually, the increase in the number of bits $(m)$ leads to dramatic slowdown of the performance of cryptographic protection systems (Barreto \& Voloch, 2006). When ( $m$ ) is increased, the execution time of SQR operations of GF $\left(2^{m}\right)$ is proportionally increased to $\left(m^{2}\right)$. As a
result, the execution time of SQR root operations increases significantly. Hence, reducing the execution time of the SQR operation is critical for practical applications (ElGamal, 1985) (Galbraith, et al., 2003). In this paper, we propose a new method to accelerate the SQR root computations using precomputed weights over many $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ finite fields. Based on the chosen GF ( $2^{\mathrm{m}}$ ), our proposed method computes the weights only one time and stores them to be used in data processing. Our experimental results show high improvements in terms of the utilized area and the execution time.

## 2 ANALYSIS OF SQR ROOT METHODS IN FINITE FIELDS

The practical importance of SQR root computations in finite fields has resulted in intensive research. Many methods to compute SQR root that use GF ( $2^{\mathrm{m}}$ ) were proposed with two prominent methods which are: Cipolla (Cipolla, 1903) and Tonelli (Tonelli, 1891). These methods had been extended to the case of fields GF $\left(\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, where q is a prime, as in TonelliShanks (Shanks, 1973) and Alderman-MandersMiller (L.M. Aldeman \& Miller, 1977) methods. In 1977, Tonelli-Shanks method was extended to the case of extracting the root of arbitrary degrees (L.M. Aldeman \& Miller, 1977) (Z. Cao \& Fan, 2011). A specialized method for computing the cubic root, characterized by its high speed was developed by ( N . Nishihara \& Sueyoshi, 2009).

In Galois fields GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, addition and multiplication are the basic polynomial operations for the elements $\left\{0, \quad\left(2^{m}-1\right)\right\}$. Addition operation corresponds to a XOR logic and is denoted as ' + '. Multiplication operation in GF ( $2^{\mathrm{m}}$ ) consists of two steps: polynomial multiplication (multiplication without carry), indicated by the symbol ' $\otimes$ ' and reduction.

Reduction requires finding the remainder, denoted as 'rem' or 'mod', through dividing the multiplication result by the selected field irreducible polynomial $P(x)$ of the corresponding degree ( $m$ ) (I. Blake \& Smart, 1999) (IEEE, 2002). For each element in GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, generated by the irreducible polynomial $P(x)$ of degree $(m)$, there is a multiplicative cyclic group of order $(n)$ that does not exceed ( $2^{m}-1$ ) (Atkin \& F.Morain, 1993) (D. Hankerson \& Vanstone, 2004). The cyclic group of element $i$, where ( $2 \leq i \leq 2^{m}-1$ ), can be generated by multiplying the result ( $s$ ) of a previous element in GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ with $i$ until getting $i$ as a multiplication result
(IEEE, 2002). For example, a GF $\left(2^{4}\right)$ is formed by the irreducible polynomial:

$$
P(x)=x^{4}+x^{3}+1,\left(\mathrm{P}=25_{10}=11001_{2}\right)
$$

The cyclic groups are generated in table 1. In each of the cyclic group of GF $\left(2^{m}\right)$, a cyclic subgroup can be found where each element is the SQR of the previous group. These subgroups of the field that are formed by a polynomial $P(x)=x^{4}+x^{3}+1$ are given in table 2. Clearly, the order of each of the quadratic subgroups does not exceed $\log _{2} m$, which is less than or equal to ( $m$ ).

Table 1: Cyclic Groups of the Field GF ( $2^{4}$ ).

| Element | Cyclic Power Groups |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2 | $2,4,8,9,11,15,7,14,5,10,13,3,6$, <br> $12,1,2$ |
| 3 | $3,5,15,8,1,3$ |
| 4 | $4,9,15,14,10,3,12,2,8,11,7,5$, <br> $13,6,1,4$ |
| 5 | $5,8,3,15,1,5$ <br> 6$6,13,5,7,11,8,2,12,3,10,14,15$, <br> $9,4,1,6$ |
| 7 | $7,12,15,6,11,3,9,13,8,10,4,5,2$, <br> $14,1,7$ |
| 8 | $8,15,5,3,1,8$ |
| 9 | $9,14,3,2,11,5,6,4,15,10,12,8,7$, <br> $13,1,9$ |
| 10 | $10,11,1,10$ |
| 11 | $11,10,1,11$ |
| 12 | $12,6,3,13,10,5,14,7,15,11,9,8$, <br> $4,2,1,12$ |
| 13 | $13,7,8,12,10,15,4,6,5,11,2,3$, <br> $14,9,1,13$ |
| 14 | $14,2,5,4,10,8,13,9,3,11,6,15$, <br> $12,7,1,14$ |
| 15 | $15,3,8,5,1,15$ |, 

Table 2: Quadratic Cyclic Subgroups of the Field GF (24).

| Element | Power Cyclic Quadratic Subgroups |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2 | $2,4,9,14,2$ |
| 3 | $3,5,8,15,3$ |
| 4 | $4,9,14,2,4$ |
| 5 | $5,8,15,3,5$ |
| 6 | $6,13,7,12,6$ |
| 7 | $7,12,6,13,7$ |
| 8 | $8,15,3,5,8$ |
| 9 | $9,14,2,4,9$ |
| 10 | $10,11,10$ |
| 11 | $11,10,11$ |
| 12 | $12,6,13,7,12$ |
| 13 | $13,7,12,6,13$ |
| 14 | $14,2,4,9,14$ |
| 15 | $15,3,5,8,15$ |

For any GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, there is at least one irreducible polynomial, which implies having different cyclic
groups of two or more irreducible polynomials for the same GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. For example, GF $\left(2^{4}\right)$ has another $P(x)=x^{4}+x+1$, which has cyclic groups and quadratic subgroups presented in table 3. In Tonelli-Shanks method, computing $\sqrt{\mathrm{A}}$ in GF ( $2^{\mathrm{m}}$ ) requires finding the quadratic cyclic subgroup of A until the element that is preceding A is found. For example, according to table 2 , if $\mathrm{A}=15$, the preceding element in the quadratic sub group is 8 , which is the SQR root of $A=15$. Indeed: $8 \otimes 8 \bmod 25=64 \bmod$ $25=15$.

Table 3: Cyclic Groups of the Field GF $\left(2^{4}\right)$.

| Element | Cyclic Power Groups | Cyclic Quadratic <br> Subgroups |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $2,4,8,3,6,12,11,5,10$, <br> $7,14,15,13,9,1,2$ | $2,4,3,5,2$ |
| 3 | $3,5,15,2,6,10,13,4$, <br> $12,7,9,8,11,14,1,3$ | $3,5,2,4,3$ |
| 4 | $4,3,12,5,7,15,9,2,8$, <br> $6,11,10,14,13,1,4$ | $4,3,5,2,4$ |
| 5 | $5,2,10,11,7,8,14,3$, <br> $15,6,13,12,9,11,1,5$ | $5,2,4,3,5$ |
| 6 | $6,7,1,6$ | $6,7,6$ |
| 7 | $7,6,1,7$ | $7,6,7$ |
| 8 | $8,12,10,15,1,8$ | $8,12,15,10,8$ |
| 9 | $9,13,15,14,7,10,5,11$, <br> $12,6,3,4,2,1,9$ | $9,13,14,11,9$ |
| 10 | $10,8,15,12,1,10$ | $10,8,12,15,10$ |
| 11 | $11,9,12,13,6,15-314$, <br> $8,7,4,10,2,5,1,11$ | $11,9,13,14,11$ |
| 12 | $12,15,8,10,1,12$ | $12,15,10,8,12$ |
| 13 | $13,14,10,11,6,8,2,9$, <br> $15,7,5,12,3,4,1,13$ | $13,14,11,9,13$ |
| 14 | $14,11,8,9,7,12,4,13$, <br> $10,6,2,15,5,3,1,14$ | $14,11,9,13,14$ |
| 15 | $15,10,12,8,1,15$ | $15,10,8,12,15$ |

The passage through the quadratic cyclic subgroup is equivalent to the operation of exponentiation ( Z . Cao \& Fan, 2011):

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=A^{2^{m-1}} \bmod P(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the idea of calculating the SQR root in GF $\left(2^{m}\right)$ is theoretically quite simple, but its implementation involves a significant amount of computing resources since the calculation of equation (1) assumes ( $m-1$ ) operations of root squaring and reduction. Root squaring follows the rules of polynomial multiplication, which ignores the carries. The operation of a polynomial squaring has an important property: odd-positioned bits of the squared polynomial of number A are zeros, and the even-positioned bits are double bits of A, that is, if:

$$
\mathrm{A}=a_{0}+a_{1} \cdot 2^{1}+. .+a_{m-1} \cdot 2^{m-1}
$$

Then, $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A} \otimes \mathrm{~A}=a_{0}+a_{1} \cdot 2^{2}+. .+a_{m-1} \cdot 2^{2 m-2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The importance of this property is that the calculation of the SQR of a polynomial does not require any computational overheads, and is basically a one-position shifting process for the bits in the initial number. When assessing the computational complexity of the SQR root operation using TonelliShanks method, it should be noticed that in practice, the operand length of the field elements ( $m$ ) significantly exceeds the processor word length $(l)$. Therefore, the elements of the field are divided into $t$ sections, where $t=m / l$.

The reduction operation puts the result of a polynomial multiplication into the limits of the field GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. The polynomial division involves performing ( $m-1$ ) cycles. For each cycle, one bit is shifted in the $(m+1)$-bit- $P(x)$ code, and added logically to the current remainder when the last significant digit is one.

Shifting the $(m+1)$-bit- $P(x)$ code by one digit requires $(t+l)$ shift operations. Since this operation is performed in each of the ( $m-1$ ) cycles of reduction, the total number of shift operations is: $(t+1) .(m-1)$. Based on the fact that the reduction operation involves addition in half of the cycles, the average number of such operations is $(m-1) / 2$. Implementing this operation on a $l$-bit processor requires $(t+l)$ logical addition operations to be computed. Therefore, a single reduction operation requires $(t+1) .(m-1) / 2$ operations. Accordingly, the average running time of a single reduction operation of a SQR is: $1.5 \cdot(t+1) \cdot(m-1) \cdot \tau$, where $(\tau)$ is the execution time of a single logical operation.

Given that SQR root requires ( $m-1$ ) squaring operations, then the average number $\left(N_{T}\right)$ of logical operations that are required by the SQR root in GF $\left(2^{m}\right)$ is given by equation (3).

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\tau}=1 \cdot 5 \cdot(t+1) \cdot(m-1)^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar estimation of the computational complexity of $O\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ for Cipolla-Lehmer method is given in (Menezes, 1993). With the increasing productivity of distributed computer systems that can potentially be used in a cryptanalysis system (Feng Wang \& Morikawa, 2005), the easiest way to improve the reliability of these systems is to increase the word length of the operands. Increasing operands’ lengths increases the computational complexity dramatically according to equation (3). Previous research in calculating the SQR in $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ has not
addressed this problem in real implementation of cryptosystems, which results in higher complexity.

The algorithm that was proposed in (Ozdemir, 2013), has showed improvements in the computation of the SQR root in finite fields GF (p). The algorithm is based on a probabilistic theory and gives higher probabilities of success than the Tonelli-Shanks and Cipolla algorithms. The algorithm uses polynomial factorization through locating a random point P over an elliptic curve $E(x, y): y^{2}=x^{3}+a x ; Q=m P$, where $m$ is an odd integer. If $Q$ is not the identity point of the selected curve, then there is a possibility to obtain the SQR root $\sqrt{\mathrm{a}}$ by computing the $2^{e} . Q$ value. The algorithm requires a modular multiplication and squaring operations for the addition and doubling calculations. The time complexity in (Ozdemir, 2013) is $O\left(\log ^{2+u} p\right)$, where $u \geq 0$ is the bit operation for the EC calculations. The algorithm requires large number of operations that consume resources and time to compute the root of a number. The complexity is increased for large odd values of $p$.

## 3 SPEEDING UP <br> CALCULATIONS OF SQR ROOT BY STORING MEMORY WEIGHTS

In practical GF $\left(2^{m}\right)$ cryptosystems, the generated polynomials and the used fields are considered fixed. Keeping this in mind, an effective approach to accelerate the operation of SQR root in GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ is to use pre-computed weights for the generated polynomials, where these weights are computed only one time. The pre-computed weights are stored in memory and used whenever the SQR root operation on GF $\left(2^{m}\right)$ is required. The proposed method is based on the idea of using the results of pre-computations to speed up SQR root computations on GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. It is demonstrated as follows:

The number A is represented as a logical sum as: $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{a}_{0}+\mathrm{a}_{1} \cdot 2^{1}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \cdot 2^{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}-1} \cdot 2^{\mathrm{m}-1}$, where $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1} \in\{0,1\}$. For the logical summation, the following is valid:

- When $n$ is even, $(a+b)^{n}=a^{n}+b^{n}$ is true.
- By using equation (1) to calculate the SQR root of $A(B=\sqrt{A})$ on $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$, it can be re-written as shown in equation (4).
$B=A^{2^{m-1}} \bmod P(x)$

$$
\begin{align*}
=\left(a_{0}+\right. & a_{1} \cdot(2)^{2^{m-1}}+a_{2} \cdot\left(2^{2} 2^{2^{m-1}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+. \cdot+a_{m-1} \cdot\left(2^{m-1}\right)^{2^{m-1}}\right) \bmod P(x)  \tag{4}\\
=a_{0}+ & a_{1} \cdot(2)^{2^{m-1}} \bmod P(x) \\
& +. .+a_{m-1} \cdot\left(2^{m-1}\right)^{2^{m-1}} \bmod P(x)
\end{align*}
$$

If $m$ values are pre-calculated as:
$W_{0}=1$
$W_{1}=(2)^{2^{m-1}} \bmod P(x)=(2)^{2^{m-1}} \bmod P(x)$
$W_{2}=(4)^{2^{m-1}} \bmod P(x)=(2)^{2^{m}} \bmod P(x)$
$W_{m-1}=\left(2^{m-1}\right)^{2^{m-1}} \bmod P(x)$
$=(2)^{(m-1) 2^{m-1}} \bmod P(x)$
Then the calculation of SQR root in equation (4) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=a_{0} \cdot W_{0}+a_{1} \cdot W_{1}+\cdots+a_{m-1} \cdot W_{m-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, equation (5) can be directly used to calculate the SQR root of A . As it is shown in figure 1 , once all ( $m$ ) weights are generated, each bit $x \in$ $\{0, m-1\}$ in A is forwarded to the corresponding $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{x}}$. If the $x$-bit is 1 , the weight $\left(W_{x}\right)$ is selected and logically added to other selected weights. Otherwise, the weight is discarded by selecting 0 .


Figure 1: Calculation of the square root of input A.
Example: The proposed method is illustrated by the following example:
Suppose we have GF $\left(2^{4}\right)$ that is formed using the polynomial $P(x)=x^{4}+x^{3}+1$, then the precomputed weights are:
$W_{0}=1$
$W_{1}=(2)^{8} \bmod \left(x^{4}+x^{3}+1\right)=14$
$W_{2}=(2)^{16} \bmod \left(x^{4}+x^{3}+1\right)=2$
$W_{3}=(2)^{24} \bmod \left(x^{4}+x^{3}+1\right)=5$
Assume A = 15 and the bit values of A are: $a_{0}=$ 1, $a_{1}=1, a_{2}=1, a_{3}=1$. To calculate the SQR root of A, we apply the logical sum operation on the weights at the one bits of A as:
$B=W_{0}+W_{1}+W_{2}+W_{3}=1+14+2+5=8$
Hence, the total number $\mathrm{N}_{\tau}$ of logical operations that are needed to compute the SQR root using precalculations depends on the number of bits in the binary code of A. If the number of the one-bits in the code of A is equal to half of the total number of bits $m$, then the value of $N_{\tau}$ is determined by the following equation (6).

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\tau}=0.5 . \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{m} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing expression (6) with expression (3), we can conclude that the use of the proposed method to compute the SQR root in GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ reduces the number of operations by a considerable factor which is almost $1 / 3 \mathrm{~m}$. Consequently, this reduction in the number of the required operations reduces the executions time of calculating the SQR root of an input that uses GF ( $\left.2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$.

## 4 SOFTWARE/HARDWARE REALIZATIONS AND TIME EVALUATION

### 4.1 Software Implementation and Time Analysis

To prove the correctness and effectiveness of the proposed SQR root method, a software implementation for the SQR root method was developed using $\mathrm{C}++$ programming language with a special powerful Galois field plug-in called NTL (NTL, 2016). NTL is a high-performance C++ framework that offers various data structures and algorithms for manipulating polynomial operations over integers and finite fields. All computations are performed using Pentium Dual-Core processor running on 2.6 GHz clock with 1 GB RAM.

Implementing the proposed SQR root method involves initially constructing the irreducible polynomial for GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ and creating ( $m$ ) weights to calculate the root of A using the logic operation (XOR) or ' + '. As shown in figure 2, creating the weight requires several steps. The first step starts by
taking the constant value 2 . Then, the loop (L1) iterates from 0 to $(m-1)$ to perform ( $m$ ) SQR operations on the constant value 2 . This step computes the base weight $\left(W_{1}\right)$. The second loop (L2) iterates from 0 to $(m-2)$ to perform the multiplication operation on the base weight $\left(W_{1}\right)$. At In each iteration of (L2), the base weight is multiplied with the previous ( $W_{x}$ ), starting from the base weight $\left(W_{1}\right)$.

For example, using a polynomial $P(x)=x^{4}+$ $x^{3}+1, m=4$, we compute:
$W_{0}=1$
Using L1 loop:
$W_{1}=14 / /(2)^{8} \bmod \left(x^{4}+x^{3}+1\right)=14$
Using L2 loop:
$W_{2}=2 / /(14)^{2} \bmod \left(x^{4}+x^{3}+1\right)=2$
$W_{3}=5 / /(14)^{3} \bmod \left(x^{4}+x^{3}+1\right)=5$
Once all ( $m$ ) weights are generated, the third loop (L3) is executed to calculate the SQR root of A using a logic operation (XOR). The XOR operation is executed according to the number of ones in the binary code of A. This processing step is similar to applying an on/off process on the weights.


Figure 2: Data flow of generating (m) weights.
Table 4 presents an approximate time that is needed to construct ( $m$ ) weights using the proposed method over different GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, where $(m)$ is from 16 to 768 bits. The irreducible polynomials for ( $m$ ) are generated automatically using a built-in function in NTL plugin called BuildIrred. As expected, when the value of ( $m$ ) increases, the time to generate $(m)$ of weights increases as well. The execution time to calculate the SQR root for any $m$-bit of input $A$ is
almost negligible since the calculations involve only the logic operation (XOR).

Table 4: Required Time to Generate (M) Weights (NTL).

| $\mathbf{M}$ | Time(sec) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 0.0017 |
| 64 | 0.0024 |
| 128 | 0.0065 |
| 160 | 0.0073 |
| 233 | 0.0257 |
| 512 | 0.0434 |
| 768 | 0.6391 |

Figure 3 presents an average execution time comparison between our SQR root NTL implementation for elements over GF ( $2^{\mathrm{m}}$ ), and our NTL implementation of the famous Tonelli-Shanks method, where $(m)$ is from 500 to 10000 . In each field, one hundred of random tests were performed for our method, while ten of random tests were performed for the Tonelli-Shanks method. As shown in the figure, our proposed method is much faster than the Tonelli-Shanks method for all random tests. The bottleneck of the Tonelli-Shanks method is in the use of the exponentiation, which takes ( $m$ ) of squaring operations to form the cyclic quadratic group for an element. The method selects the previous element as the SQR root result.


Figure 3: Average execution time for our proposed method vs. Tonelli-Shanks algorithm (Software implementation).

On the other hand, our proposed method requires logic operations (XOR) with one-time precomputation of weights. It takes XOR operations equal to the number of ones at the element or $m / 2$ XOR operations on average.

Figure 4 shows a comparison between our proposed method over GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ and the method of (Doliskani \& Schost, 2014). Both were implemented in NTL framework. As shown in the figure, our method outperforms (Doliskani \& Schost, 2014) method in terms of the average execution time. The average execution time in (Doliskani \& Schost, 2014) is $O\left(M(m) \cdot \log p+m^{2} \cdot \log m\right)$ when $p=2$. This concludes that our proposed method, which is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m} / 2)$, does not have bottlenecks in computing the SQR root for any input over GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ due to the logic operation (XOR). Our method does not need to use the costly field multiplications, inversions, and squaring operation.


Figure 4: Average execution time for our proposed method vs. (Doliskani \& Schost, 2014) method (Software implementation).

### 4.2 Hardware Implementation, Resource, and Time Analysis

Basically, our proposed implementation concentrates on achieving efficient and high-speed SQR root calculation in Galois fields to improve throughput, speed, area, and/or power consumption. We have developed hardware components for the SQR (L1) and Multiplication (L2) loops that were investigated in subsection 4.1. These implementations were developed using VHDL language. The hardware platform that was used is Xilinx Virtex-5 FPGA family.
Our implementation is based on equation (7). Two phases to perform a field multiplication in GF ( $2^{\mathrm{m}}$ ) were applied in order to compute the polynomial multiplication $U(x)$. A reduction phase is then used on the selected irreducible polynomial.

Figure 5 shows the average number of LUTs/F.Fs
resources and the gained frequencies that are needed to generate one-time weights for each degree ( $m$ ) from 16 to 233 bits. A loop, Karatsuba (Che Wun Chiou \& Lin, 2015) and interleaved multipliers (Karatsuba \& Ofman, 1963) (Rodriguez-Henriquez \& Koc, 2003) (D. Narh Amanor \& Schimmler, 2005) (Gathen \& Shokrollahi, 2005) were used to generate and store weights in order to be used on the received input data. The process of generating the weights is performed once. This results in achieving higher frequencies and less logic elements. Figure 5 illustrates the results.

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z(x)=U(x) \bmod P(x), \text { where }  \tag{7}\\
U(x)=A(x) \cdot B(x)
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 5: Average number of the required resources using loop, Karatsuba and interleaved multipliers.

To prove the effectiveness of our SQR root method, we have implemented it using Xilinx Virtex-5 FPGA device with values of $(m)$ that range from 16 to 233 bits. Our evaluation involves the efficient TonelliShanks algorithm since it shows less average computing time and resources requirements than the Doliskani \& Schost method. Figure 6 and figure 7 compare our method to the Tonelli-Shanks method over GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. As shown in figure 6, our method requires less LUTs than the Tonelli-Shanks method. Also, it achieves a higher frequency because of having only one type of operations (XOR) as shown in figure 7. The proposed method does not require the exponentiation operations as in Tonelli-Shanks method. In Tonelli-Shanks method, the loop multipliers are used to perform exponentiation operations. In the proposed SQR root method, the weights at a specific selected $(m)$ and an irreducible polynomial $P(x)$ are generated one time only. In case of changing the field, a variable can be inserted to indicate whether to regenerate the weights or not.


Figure 6: Number of LUTs needed in our method vs. Tonelli-Shanks algorithm.


Figure 7: Achieved frequencies $(\mathrm{MHz})$ in our method vs Tonelli-Shanks algorithm

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

An efficient and high speed SQR root method over Galois fields GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ is proposed in this paper. It is based on using pre-calculated weights. The weights are calculated one time only and then stored in a storage memory. Software and hardware implementations were provided in the paper to verify the proposed method. The computational complexity of the proposed method is $O(\mathrm{~m})$ which is significantly less than existing methods that require a time complexity of $O\left(m^{2}\right)$.

The software implementation of the proposed method achieves less execution time than both of

Tonelli-Shanks and Doliskani \& Schost methods using several random tests. The hardware implementation uses different types of multipliers including loop, Karatsuba, and interleaved multipliers. The experimental results show that our method outperforms the Tonelli-Shanks method over GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ in terms of the required resources and frequencies using several polynomial degrees.
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