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Abstract: The challenge of detecting sleep disorders from consumer wearable sensors is attracting more and more researchers in the field. Sleep apnea has been the target of many sleep studies because this disorder has many health, physical, and mental consequences. Because obstruction in the airway is the direct cause of sleep apnea, overnight pulse oximetry provides valuable information to simplify the obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) screening. In this study, we aimed to estimate the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) from consumer-grade low-granularity oximetry data. We used 5804 sleep records from the Sleep Heart Health Study (SHHS) dataset for training and testing six different regression models. The best model achieved an R-square of 0.64 ± 0.019 and ICC of 0.77 ± 0.015. The estimated AHI was further converted to 4 levels of severity (i.e., normal, mild, moderate, and severe). The macro F1-score, precision and recall were 0.576 ± 0.044, 65.16 ± 4.58 and 56.28 ± 3.42, respectively. Central tendency measure, sample entropy and zero crossing of the oximetry data are the most important features for AHI estimation. Differences between male and female groups indicate a promising direction to improve the models' performance.

1 INTRODUCTION

It is reported that nearly 1 billion people aged 30-69 are affected by sleep apnea worldwide (Adam et al., 2019 Aug). By the definition of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM), obstructive sleep apnea occurs when there are partial reductions or complete pauses in breathing that last 10 seconds or more. In most cases, apnea events last between 10 and 30 seconds (Iber, 2007). This pattern can repeat 5 to 30 times or more each hour, all night long. According to the National Sleep Foundation, up to 20% of people may be affected by sleep apnea, and 85% of those affected by the condition are unaware of their condition (Eric & Abhinav, July 11, 2023).

The apnea-hypopnea index is a measure to evaluate the severity of sleep apnea. By definition, this is the average number of apnea and hypopnea events that happen per hour of sleep. There are four levels of severities based on the AHI. The criteria for categorizing apnea levels are slightly different between children and adults. In grown-up, an AHI < 5 events per hour is considered normal, for 5 ≤ AHI < 15 is mild apnea, for 15 ≤ AHI < 30 is moderate apnea, and severe apnea for AHI ≥ 30 events per hour (Deepak & Arjun, 2014).

People with sleep apnea might not be aware of their interrupted sleep. Sleep apnea symptoms appear both day and night-time, but most of the obvious signs occur during sleep when people are unconscious. A bed partner may notice sleep apnea more than the sleeper due to loud snoring and the sudden silence of breathing pauses. Remarkably, people with obstructive sleep apnea (OSA)—a most common type of sleep apnea—usually do not have any breathing problems while awake. The fluctuation of breathing only happens during the unconscious periods, which makes diagnosing more difficult. People with OSA usually suffer from various health consequences. OSA patients tend to sleep with their mouth open, waking up with a dry mouth. Severe apnea events can last for one minute or longer.
Undiagnosed sleep apnea is directly tied to an increased risk of cardiovascular, metabolic, and other health problems (Nestor et al., 2021 Sep). Although having apnea in the long term can cause serious health problems, many patients do not have perceivable symptoms and thus have no motivation to receive a diagnostic test.

On the other hand, recent consumer wearable devices can provide general information about sleep (e.g., sleep wake cycle, sleep structure, sleep efficiency) for long-term study (Liang & Chapa-Martell, 2018, 2021). However, they still need an algorithm to give more insight into human sleep and detect sleep disorders. Those devices have embedded sensors to measure blood oxygen saturation levels and could provide similar functionality as oximetry. However, the granularity of the data retrievable from consumer wearables is lower than that of medical oximetry, which presents a research challenge to be tackled. This study aimed to develop an automatic method for estimating AHI and OSA severity with low-granularity oximetry data.

2 RELATED WORKS

Many fields use machine learning, including medicine, computer vision, speech recognition, and predictive analytics. Due to the robust algorithms in programs and the effectiveness of the machines, artificial intelligence offers many advantages. Various researchers undertook different investigations on the detection of sleep apnea occurrences (Lazazzera et al., 2021; Papini et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2023). At the same time, some of them adopted deep learning approaches, while others combined feature engineering with conventional machine learning techniques. A study conducted in France used a support vector regressor (SVR) and linear regression to predict AHI and a support vector machine (SVM) and random forest (RF) models for classification using 19 variables extracted from 313 sleep records (Mencar et al., 2019). The regression results showed a minimum achieved root mean square error of 22.17, while the classification result for four classes showed around 42.0 for F1-score, 41.8 for precision and 44.7 for recall. Another study used at-home oximetry data to estimate AHI and achieved high intra-class correlation coefficients within 0.889-0.924 (Gutiérrez-Tobal et al., 2021). They then classified apnea severity using three typical AHI thresholds: 5 events/hour, 15 events/hour, and 30 events/hour, respectively. The potential benefits of utilizing machine learning techniques in conjunction with automatically collected information from pulse oximetry data indicate that SpO2 may be a viable option for simplifying OSA diagnosis. Previous studies provided evidence that AHI can be estimated with acceptable results. However, one of the main limitations of clinical tests is the lack of ability to track sleep conditions in the long term.

With the development of wearable technologies, sleep parameters can now be tracked in a home-based environment for longer periods. However, in contrast to clinical equipment, data obtained from wearable devices are low in frequency and resolution, which makes it challenging to capture all vital information for diagnosing OSA. The development and evaluation of a single model with the ability to reach high diagnostic performance using consumer trackers are still pending. In this study, we aimed to develop a clinically useful tool that can be applied to consumer devices to estimate OSA severity using blood oxygen saturation (SpO2). We choose to focus on regression models because of their explainability. Explainability is an important aspect of ensuring that the model is reliable and can be integrated into clinical practice.

3 METHODOLOGIES

3.1 Database

To train and test the regression models, we used 5804 sleep records from the SHHS database (Quan et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2018). This database contains subjects who are at least 40 years old and underwent unattended at-home overnight sleep experiments. As part of the procedure, SpO2 data were available, as well as the AHI scored by specialists following the current recommendations of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine.

3.2 Preprocessing and Extracting Features

Unlike medical devices, consumer wearables usually aggregate data into low granularity to save storage space in their databases, e.g., the oximetry data retrievable from Fitbit database is 1/60 Hz.
Therefore, we down-sample the oximetry data from 1Hz to 1/60Hz by using an non-overlapping rolling window with a size of 60s. The data now match with the reality of consumer devices. Recordings with technical faults annotation and total sleep time less than 4 hours were excluded. After applying the exclusion criteria, 5675 records (with 2984 records from female subjects and 2691 records from male subjects) were used to train and test the regression models. In addition to the SpO2 signal, two demographic features were used (i.e., age and Body Mass Index (BMI)) since population-based and longitudinal studies have shown that body weight and aging were the most contributing factors to the risk of having OSA [9].

Before extracting the feature, any SpO2 value below 60% was considered as hardware malfunction and set to nan. Then, SpO2 features were computed using the open POBM library (Levy et al., 2021). In total, we had 43 features divided into five categories: General Statistics, Complexity, Periodicity, Desaturations and Hypoxic Burden. Extracted features that were contaminated by nan values (>20% of total data) or zero values (>40% of total data) were excluded. While carefully examining selected features, we noticed that the relation between the Central Tendency Measure (CTM) and Approximated Entropy (ApEn) with AHI is non-linear. A polynomial equation might fit better for these features. Therefore, we added the 2nd, 3rd and 4th-degree polynomials of each feature in the data as new features. The final selection of 34 features was then used for developing regression models. We used the nrss_ahi_hp3r_aasm15 as a reference to evaluate the prediction result.

### 3.3 Regression Models

The SHHS database was split into a 90% training set and 10% test set. The training set was split into 70% training and 30% validation for 5-fold cross validation. Regression models of interest in this study were LinearRegression (LR), Ridge, Lasso, Random Forest (RF), XGBoost and CatBoost. The important parameters of a model were found using the grid search method, which basically means trying all possible combinations of the parameters of interest.

To evaluate the regression results, we use Bland-Altman and correlation plots to analyse the agreement between the estimated AHI and the ground truth. The agreement was illustrated by the median difference between two AHI and the 5th and 95th percentile of their differences. Also, the Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) was calculated using the equation below:

\[
ICC = \frac{MS_I - MS_E}{MS_I + (O - 1)MS_E + O \cdot \frac{MS_O - MS_E}{n}}
\]  

(1)

Where O is the number of observers (two, in this case), MS_I is the instance mean square, MS_E is the mean square error, and MS_O is the observer mean square. In addition to ICC, we also use Root Mean

---

**Figure 1:** Data preprocessing and features extracting procedure. In most subject, the Desaturation features cannot be extracted and return 0 (accounted for around 80% of dataset). These features will be excluded because they are not able to provide valid information.
Figure 2: Bland-Altman, correlation plots and confusion matrix for OSA severity estimation. The plot shows a small bias (mean = 0.31) and fairly good correspond between predicted and actual AHI.

Table 1: Summary of regression and classification results tested with different regression models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression model</th>
<th>Regression parameters</th>
<th>Classification parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>ICC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>9.642</td>
<td>0.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridge</td>
<td>9.823</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasso</td>
<td>10.096</td>
<td>0.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Forest</td>
<td>9.695</td>
<td>0.661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XGBoost</td>
<td>10.501</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CatBoost</td>
<td>9.470</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Squared Error (RMSE) to measure how concentrated the data is around the line of best fit.

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(AHI_{predicted} - AHI_{actual})^2}{N}} \tag{2}
\]

We also wanted to evaluate the classification of apnea severity based on estimated AHI. The estimated AHI was converted to 4 levels of severity, and the macro precision, recall and F1-score were reported as the measurement of diagnosis accuracy. These parameters were computed as follows:

\[
Recall_m = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{4} \frac{TP_k}{TP_k + FN_k} \tag{3}
\]

\[
Precision_m = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{4} \frac{TP_k}{TP_k + FP_k} \tag{4}
\]

\[
F1_m = 2 \frac{Precision_m \times Recall_m}{Precision_m + Recall_m} \tag{5}
\]

Where \(k\) is the number of classes (four, in this case), \(TP_k\) is the number of true positives, \(FP_k\) is the number of false positives, and \(FN_k\) is the number of false negatives.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Features Importance

Regression models require pre-defined features as input. This is a manual process that requires domain knowledge of the interested data. The advantage of the handcrafting feature is it allows a deep understanding of the data, making the model easy to interpret and convincing. Good features are necessary to build a good regression model. To evaluate how each feature contributes to regression results, we used two methods: (1) calculating Pearson correlation between each feature and reference AHI and (2) permutation feature importance. Both methods
Figure 3: The box plots show that AHI is noticeably lower in female group compared to male group. The scatter plot shows a possible linear correlation among two features and OSA severity. The side of the dot correspond to AHI values.

showed that CTM, BMI, Zero Crossing (ZC) and Sample Entropy (SampEn) played a significant effect on the model performance. The CTM showed a strong negative correlation (-0.65) with AHI values, whereas BMI, ZC and SampEn showed a moderate positive correlation (0.35, 0.28 and 0.56, respectively).

4.2 AHI Regression Result

Overall, there was no significant difference between the models in predicting AHI values, although the Ridge model evaluation indices were slightly higher than the other models. Therefore, for convenience of illustration, Figure 4 only shows the Bland-Altman plots and the correlation plots of actual and estimated AHI of Ridge regression. The full results of the test set are reported in Table 1 in mean and standard deviation format. The regression models estimated AHI with an RMSE of 9.87 (9.47-10.501) and an ICC of 0.768 (0.753-0.781), which can be interpreted as good reliability. The Bland-Altman plot shows a small bias where the mean is around 0.31 (0.25-0.57). However, the wide 95% confidence interval and the dispersion of the correlation plot indicate that when the AHI or apnea severity increases, the error of the model also increases. This phenomenon was also pointed out in previous studies. As snoring progresses with more severe symptoms, signal quality becomes unstable and is more affected by external factors.

4.3 OSA Severity Based on Estimated AHI

Assessed parameters include macro recall, macro precision and macro F1-score. On average, we achieved recall around 55.23 ± 1.385, precision around 63.00 ± 2.697, and F1-score around 0.562 ± 0.016. Generally, the models tend to overestimate the AHI values, therefore increasing the OSA severity. Around 27.64% of the test set was overestimated, and 14.08% of the test set was underestimated. Only a few cases were misclassified between normal and moderate classes, and no cases were misclassified between normal and severe classes.

5 DISCUSSIONS

We developed and tested a method to estimate AHI at 1-minute resolution and patient severities using a small set of signals that can be implemented on devices such as smartwatches and consumer sleep trackers. The method was tested on the SHHS dataset containing 5804 sleep records. The obtained results allowed OSA screening and severity estimation, even in a population with a high likelihood of cardiovascular confounding factors and a large proportion of hypopneas.

This study has three limitations. First, many subjects were mislabelled from mild to moderate; this is important as it will affect the medical decision on
whether these subjects need medical treatment in the future. Most models work best when each feature and the target is loosely Gaussian distributed. Ideally, the histogram of features and targets should resemble the familiar bell curve shape (Müller & Guido, 2017). However, in reality, the distribution of actual AHI is slightly skewed left. Second, we have not considered the effect of demographic features on the regression task although there are evidences showing that factors like body weight, gender, alcohol consumption, smoking, cranial facial and aging could contribute to the risk of having OSA (Dempsey et al., 2002; PE et al., 2000). An existing study conducted an extensive experiment over 1024 patients and tested 41 different regressors, showing a promising method to estimate OSA severity based on demographic data only (Rodrigues et al., 2020). In the SHHS dataset, it is noticed that women have lower AHI values compared to men, as shown in Figure 3 Boxplots. Furthermore, the relation between features and AHI is more distinguished and linear. This is an interesting direction for future work. Finally, the number of features used in this study is limited, with only 34 features. Therefore, future assessment of more effective features would help improve the statistical power of our results.

6 CONCLUSIONS

Suspected OSA patients would strongly benefit from a comfortable home diagnosis. Within this context, the potential of respiratory sensors integrated into a portable tracker was assessed for sleep monitoring in suspected OSA patients. Our study aims to develop a diagnostic tool based on sleep biometrics records in a user’s natural environment. Based on AHI prediction, the OSA severity was estimated and achieved reasonable agreement with the ground truth. This is useful to assist the clinical decision-making process in the context of OSA diagnosis.
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