AI-Supported Diagnostic of Depression Using Clinical Interviews: A Pilot Study
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Abstract: In the face of rising depression rates, the urgency of early and accurate diagnosis has never been more paramount. Traditional diagnostic methods, while invaluable, can sometimes be limited in access and susceptible to biases, potentially leading to underdiagnoses. This paper explores the innovative potential of AI technology, specifically machine learning, as a diagnostic tool for depression. Drawing from prior research, we note the success of machine learning in discerning depression indicators on social media platforms and through automated interviews. A particular focus is given to the BERT-based NLP transformer model, previously shown to be effective in detecting depression from simulated interview data. Our study assessed this model’s capability to identify depression from transcribed, semi-structured clinical interviews within a general population sample. While the BERT model displayed an accuracy of 0.71, it was surpassed by an untrained GPT-3.5 model, which achieved an impressive accuracy of 0.88. These findings emphasise the transformative potential of NLP transformer models in the realm of depression detection. However, given the relatively small dataset (N = 17) utilised, we advise a measured interpretation of the results. This paper is designed as a pilot study, and further studies will incorporate bigger datasets.

1 INTRODUCTION

Depression is a common mental disorder affecting around 280 million people worldwide (point prevalence in 2019), according to the (Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, 2023). While a survey carried out between 2007-2014 found a prevalence of depression in US adults of 15.08% (Liu et al., 2017), a meta-analysis found a pooled prevalence of 25% during the COVID-19 outbreak (Bueno-Notivol et al., 2021). Early identification and intervention are essential since prolonged untreated depression is associated with poorer treatment results (Kraus et al., 2019). Yet, many people suffering from depression remain without a diagnosis (Berner et al., 2008; Jacob et al., 2012; Jacobi et al., 2002) or show unmet needs regarding mental health treatment (Coley and Baum, 2022).

1.1 Diagnostic Methods

Depression is traditionally diagnosed by deploying self-report measures like questionnaires (e.g. PHQ-9, HADS, BDI-II) (Smarr and Keefer, 2011) or in semi-structured clinical interviews (e.g. SCID (First, 2014), MINI (Sheehan et al., 1998)) and/or by external rating scales (e.g. HRSD (Hamilton, 1960)). Research explores the diagnostic utility of physiological sensory markers (e.g. heart rate (Roh et al., 2014), sleep physiological data (Zhang et al., 2020) or skin conductance (Smith et al., 2020)) and/or movement data from phones and wearables (Roberts et al., 2018; Torous et al., 2015). Traditional methods for depression diagnostics as well as emergent ones, are not without their challenges and limitations: One of the limitations of traditional diagnostic methods is availability. Traditional methods require access to standardised questionnaires/scales and trained clinicians, potentially limiting their utility in resource-constrained settings (Thom et al., 2015).
1.1.1 Emergent Technologies

Promising tools in the recent decade for overcoming difficulties present in traditional diagnostic procedures are coming from the field of machine learning. According to the literature review conducted by (Zhang et al., 2022), in the past decade, research on NLP-driven mental health issue detection was on the rapid rise, which points to the promising future of automated mental health screening. This applies in particular to the detection of depression and suicidality, with these two areas of research covering more than 60% of research papers on NLP use in mental health issues detection. Very apparent growth is noticeable since the release of BERT-(Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) by (Devlin et al., 2019) whose groundbreaking architecture significantly advanced the field of natural language processing (NLP). Since then, plenty of researchers have employed BERT architecture for the detection of mental health issues (Villatoro-Tello et al., 2021; Rodrigues Makiuchi et al., 2019; Senn et al., 2022). In the near future, it is reasonable to anticipate an even greater focus of researchers on the area of NLP considering the recent release of the GPT model by OpenAI which has generated significant enthusiasm and attention among both scholars and practitioners.

1.1.2 The Potential of Machine Learning

Contemporary approaches aim at deploying Artificial Intelligence/Machine learning systems for depression diagnostics to overcome the challenges and limitations of traditional diagnostic systems and to utilise the potential of the aforementioned systems. In particular, the detection of depression from social network data has been of scientific interest, with studies such as (Islam et al., 2018; Deshpande and Rao, 2017) achieving an accuracy of between 60% and 80% in detecting posts indicative of depression from Facebook and Twitter posts and comments by analysing emotional processes, linguistic styles and temporal processes. However, it is worth highlighting one pertinent aspect: In these cases, the ground truth was “depression suggestive comments”, a classification that may not correspond to psychological diagnostic criteria for depression. In contrast to previously mentioned studies, (Victor et al., 2019) used a validated self-report depression scale (PHQ-9 (Kroenke et al., 2001)) as ground truth for their Artificial intelligence Mental Evaluation (AiME). In a multi-channel approach, facial expressions, tone of voice and vocabulary used during the interview were used to infer a depressive state. A specificity of 87.77% and sensitivity of 86.81% was reached. However, some other studies have shown that a multichannel approach might not even be necessary. More economic models have demonstrated sufficient accuracy. As (Shin et al., 2022) have demonstrated that extraction of textual markers from a clinical interview (in their case the MINI (Sheehan et al., 1998)) using a Naive-Bayes Classifier can yield adequate results reached a sensitivity of 69.9%, specificity of 96.4% while having an accuracy of 83.1%.

This pilot study is driven by the research question of whether a speech-to-text approach utilising valid clinical interviews can effectively diagnose individuals experiencing unipolar depression within the broader population. In our preliminary study (Danner et al., 2023), a BERT model was fine-tuned on clinical interview data related to depression. So fine-tuned BERT classifier and zero-shot prompted GPT-3.5 are compared, and results have demonstrated that GPT-3.5 even without any fine-tuning training, significantly outperforms the BERT classifier. This study aims to verify whether this effect persists also when a truthful respondent dataset, coming from the general population obtained through human-interacted clinical interviews, is used. Additionally, it compares benchmark results of other approaches and evaluates the efficacy of this newly introduced dataset.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials

2.1.1 Clinical Interview for Depression

The GRID-HAMD (Itai et al., 1982) is an upgraded version of the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) (Hamilton, 1960), consisting of three components: the GRID scoring system, the manual of scoring conventions and a semi-structured-interview-guide. GRID-HAMD-17 refers to the 17-item version of the GRID-HAMD in which emotional symptoms are addressed. Responses provided by participants during the GRID-HAMD-17 interview are scored on two dimensions, with a) intensity making up the vertical axis and b) frequency making up the horizontal axis. These two dimensions comprise the scoring grid in which each intersection is attributed a numerical value contributing to the overall score. A validation study of the GRID-HAMD (Williams et al., 2008) showed a high internal consistency (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.78$) and high interrater-reliability ($ICC = 0.94$). In the case of our experiment, the German version of the GRID-HAMD-17 was deployed (Schmitt et al., 2015).
2.1.2 Depression Screening

Participants’ subjective levels of depression were evaluated using a German language version of the 9-item depression scale of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9, (Kroenke et al., 2001; Gräfe et al., 2004)), provided as an online questionnaire. The 9 items involve different depressive symptoms and are scored on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 0 = “not at all” to 3 = “nearly every day”. The PHQ-9 has high reliability (Cronbach’s α = 0.88 (Gräfe et al., 2004)) and has been validated in multiple studies (Kroenke et al., 2001; Gräfe et al., 2004). Total scores can vary from 0-27, with higher values indicating a higher frequency of depressive symptoms. The PHQ-8 refers to the same questionnaire with item number 9 (suicidal ideation) omitted (Kroenke et al., 2009). It is mainly used for research purposes and was used as the Ground Truth for fine-tuning the BERT-based model.

2.1.3 Transcription and Translation Tool

The initial data analysis stage was transcribing and translating the recordings into English to achieve compatibility with the dataset used for the model fine-tuning. For this task, we employed the speech-to-text model Whisper (OpenAI, 2022), developed by OpenAI. Its primary purpose is to turn spoken words from audio recordings into text, while allowing users to translate that text simultaneously into another language of their choice.

2.1.4 Training Dataset

To detect depression utilizing Deep-Learning methods, commonly used training datasets among researchers worldwide are DAIC-WOZ and EXTENDED DAIC, both released by the University of Southern California - Institute for Creative Technologies (USC ICT) as a part of the Distress Analysis Interview Corpus (DAIC) database (Gratch et al., 2014). The DAIC-WOZ dataset consists of 193 audio and video recordings collected using the Wizard of Oz interview data collection method. In the publicly published dataset, as ground truth values, authors used the PHQ-8 questionnaire scores (Kroenke et al., 2001). Except for the interview procedure, the EXTENDED DAIC (Gratch et al., 2014) is identical to the DAIC-WOZ dataset. In the EXTENDED DAIC dataset, an interview procedure was conducted completely autonomously by an automated virtual agent following a predefined set of instructions and was used to conduct 263 interviews.

2.2 Text Classification Models

2.2.1 BERT

Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) is a transformer-based language model introduced by researchers at Google. Since its introduction, it has been used in a wide range of tasks in NLP. Basically, BERT is a pre-trained model, trained on a large corpus of text from Wikipedia and Google’s Books Corpus (Devlin et al., 2019). Unlike context-free encoders like word2vec or GloVe that generate a single word embedding representing each word, these pre-trained BERT models can generate embeddings that take into account the context of the word, and hence are more robust as words tend to have different meanings in different contexts. These pre-trained models can easily be used in a Classifier network for text classification. This process is called fine-tuning. The classifier model is the sole component undergoing training during this process, while the BERT model remains unaltered.

Training. All hyperparameters of our BERT classifier are listed in Table 1. Owing to the GPU memory requirements, the BERT base model was used, and the classifier was trained on the NVIDIA GEFORCE RTX 3060 GPU. The problem is formulated as a multi-class classification task, with the final label being the class with a higher probability score. These can then be used to calculate confidence scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>BERT-Base uncased</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>12-layer, 768-hidden, 12-heads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>110 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length embedding</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimizer</td>
<td>AdamW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning rate</td>
<td>3 × 10−5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropout hidden</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dropout attention</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight decay</td>
<td>4 × 10−2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2 GPT-3.5-Turbo

Generative Pre-trained Transformer 3.5 (GPT-3.5) is a subclass in the GPT family of large language models released by OpenAI called GPT-3 Base Models. Model GPT-3.5 turbo is the most capable model in this subclass and is optimised for chat completion at 1/10th of the cost of its predecessors. It is trained on data latest up to Sep 2021 and has almost double...
the context length of GPT-3 base models. However, unlike its predecessors, GPT-3.5 turbo is at this time point not available for fine-tuning to suit our needs. Therefore, explicit prompt engineering is required to get just the PHQ-8 scores from the model as text completion. Also, because of this, no training is done, and the test set is directly evaluated. The initial prompt that we used is “Give me one score (0 to 24 like the PHQ-8) for the whole interview. Only look at the Participant’s answers. 0 is no depression, and 24 is severe depression. If the Score is greater or equal to 10, the Participant is classified as depressive. Only give me the score as an INTEGER WITHOUT EXPLANATION!”

Then the preprocessed texts are supplied as subsequent prompts to get the PHQ-8 scores from the model.

2.3 Data Collection and Transformation

Adults aged 18 and over were recruited from a convenience sample of the German population between May 2023 and July 2023 via social media and the Board for Science Course Credits at the PFH Göttingen. Demographics such as gender, age, highest educational degree and literacy in the German language were assessed via an online questionnaire. Consent to participate in the study and for voice recording in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki was obtained from all participants. The research procedure was approved by the Ethics Committee of the PFH Göttingen (OS_18_200423). After being fully informed regarding the purpose of the study, participants were asked to fill in their demographic data and complete the PHQ-9 (Kroenke et al., 2001) in an online questionnaire. Interviews were conducted by psychology students at the PFH Göttingen, who received expert training from a clinical psychologist supervised by a psychotherapist. Interviews were conducted via a secure video hub and only audio data was recorded. Participants were interviewed using the GRID-HAMD-17 manual, with interviewers scoring their responses following the GRID scoring system (Schmitt et al., 2015). The participants were notified of their PHQ-9 score upon completion of the interview. They were also given a digital leaflet conveying general classification for PHQ-9 scores and details about the available resources for individuals experiencing depressive symptoms. As mentioned in Section 2.1.3 the interviews were translated and transcribed via the pre-trained Whisper model large-v2. During the data pre-processing, the interviewer’s transcribed text was removed and the participant’s transcribed text was saved as a string.

Since BERT can process a maximum of 512 tokens, we divided the transcribed text into fractions for this model. We decided to divide it into 25 words per fraction. In the future text, this dataset will be referred to as KID dataset.

2.4 Data Analysis

2.4.1 Scoring of PHQ-8/PHQ-9

To determine the PHQ-9 score, the values assigned to each item response were added together. As the BERT-based model was trained using PHQ-8 data as the reference standard, PHQ-8 scores were obtained for each participant by deducting the score of item 9 from the PHQ-9 score.

2.4.2 Classification Effectiveness Metrics

The metrics used to assess classification effectiveness in machine learning and data analysis are accuracy, precision, recall and F1 score. According to (Juba and Le, 2019), precision defines the ratio of accurate positive predictions compared to all of the classifier’s positive predictions. Simply put, the precision score indicates the proportion of cases the classifier classified as true positives. Recall (also known as sensitivity) assesses the model’s capacity to discover every positive case in the dataset, which is how it evaluates the model’s forecasting performance (Grandini et al., 2020). Accuracy as a metric evaluates how well the classifier performs across all cases in the dataset, considering both true positive and negative predictions. The F1 score is a statistic that combines recall and precision into one number. The F1 score provides an appropriate recall and precision estimation, emphasising each metric’s equal importance. Precision and recall are very important in binary classification tasks with uneven datasets, it is the most commonly used as a statistic measure (Juba and Le, 2019).

3 RESULTS

Descriptive Results. The preliminary sample consisted of $N = 17$ participants drawn from a convenience sample of the German population. Of these, $n = 5$ indicated male as their gender, $n = 11$ indicated female as their gender, and $n = 1$ person indicated diverse as their gender. The mean age was $M = 29.24$ years ($SD = 9.38$), with the youngest participant being 19 and the oldest being 40 years old. All participants identified as German native speakers. Highest educational degree varied with $n = 1$
person naming intermediate school-leaving qualification (5.88%), n = 9 general university entrance qualification (52.94%), n = 2 Bachelor’s degree (11.76%), and n = 5 Master’s degree or diploma. The average PHQ-9 score was $M = 7.29$ ($SD = 4.97$). Scores ranged from $min = 1$ to $max = 22$. The average PHQ-8 score was $M = 7.29$ ($SD = 4.97$), with scores ranging from $min = 1$ to $max = 22$. Considering the criteria of a PHQ-8 score $\geq 10$ for depression, $n = 4$ of the subjects (23.53%) were categorised as depressed, while $n = 13$ subjects (76.47%) were categorised as not depressed.

**Model Performance Metrics.** Performance metrics of developed models are presented in the Table 2. Two different models, BERT and GPT-3.5, are evaluated and compared considering standardised metrics: accuracy, precision, recall and $F_1$ score. Both models delivered satisfying degrees of performance on the given metrics. But when both models are compared, GPT-3.5 displayed superior performance obtaining a precision score of 0.92, accuracy 0.88, recall 0.88 and $F_1$ score 0.89, demonstrating excellent overall performance in the detection of depression. This high $F_1$ score indicates a satisfactory balance between reducing false positives and increasing the detection of correctly detected cases. This balance is especially important for the model predicting such sensitive outcomes as it is the detection of depression where false negative and positive predictions can potentially have very serious consequences. Our BERT model is consistent with prior work, whilst our GPT-3.5 model outperforms all competitors on the DAIC-WOZ benchmark. Regarding the KID dataset, our outcomes demonstrate a marked improvement compared to the prior experiment. This suggests that our models exhibit enhanced performance in analysing human-conducted interviews.

Besides obtaining previously introduced metrics like accuracy, precision, recall and $F_1$ score, we also analysed our model’s effectiveness using the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve. The ROC curve gives healthcare professionals useful tools to assess and contrast the effectiveness of various diagnostic methods or tests. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) is a commonly used indicator of a test’s overall accuracy; an AUC of 0.5 implies no greater accuracy than may be attributed to randomness, while the value of 1 represents perfect diagnostic accuracy (Kim and Hwang, 2020). Figure 1 illustrates the experimental outcomes obtained from the DAIC-WOZ dataset using the GPT-3.5 turbo API and the CHATGPT-4 prompt. During the early stage of the study, we also experimented with CHATGPT-4 to see what results we could obtain. It is important to note that at that time, we still didn’t have access to GPT-4 API; therefore, at this time point, we were limited to using CHATGPT-4 prompting. Due to this restriction, we decided not to disclose these results on other performance metrics. Figure 1 highlights the remarkable performance achieved on the KID dataset, showcasing its exceptionally high efficacy.

![Figure 1: ROC Curve for GPT-3.5 and ChatGPT 4 on the DAIC-WOZ and KID datasets.](image)

### 4 DISCUSSION

The main objective of this study was to assess how well NLP-based models could identify depression in transcribed clinical interviews. (GRID-HAMD-17). Our results showed that a BERT-based model, pre-trained on clinical interview data from the DAIC-WOZ and EXTENDED DAIC datasets, performed adequately with an accuracy of 0.71. Notably, this was outperformed by an untrained GPT-3.5 model, which showed a higher accuracy of 0.88. Compared to our previous research (Danner et al., 2023), the BERT-based model showed superior performance for the truthful respondent dataset in comparison to the simulation dataset (Accuracy 0.43) and even surpassed its prior performance for the DAIC-WOZ dataset (Accuracy 0.64). The findings for superior performance of GPT-3.5 could also be replicated for the truthful respondent dataset coming from the general population. A possible explanation could be the nature of the interaction between the human interviewer and participants in the KID dataset and virtual avatar Ellie in the DAIC. Further studies are encouraged to explore the nature of Human-Computer interaction in the domain of clinical interviews. At this place, it is very important to emphasise that our approach in this current form should only be used as a helping tool for mental health experts in the diagnostic process. The results of our models could not be considered as a final di-
Table 2: Performance Metrics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F1 score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAIC-WOZ Dataset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Villatoro-Tello et al., 2021) (BERT)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Senn et al., 2022) (BERT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (Danner et al., 2023) (BERT)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (Danner et al., 2023) (GPT-3.5)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KID Dataset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (Current study BERT)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (Current study GPT-3.5)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

agnostic, and this step has to be done by trained professionals. This approach is not intended to be used solely in clinical practice. Considering its easy accessibility and ease of use, it is intended to be utilised in the everyday environment of potential users as a tool for early detection. Lastly, it’s very important to acknowledge that the difference in results could potentially be attributed to the smaller number of depressed individuals in our sample. Further studies should shed light on this possibility.

4.1 Limitations

The interpretability of the results is limited by the small overall sample size (N = 17), especially under the premise that only n = 4 participants reached the threshold of a PHQ-score ≥ 10 and were therefore classified as depressive. Larger sample sizes are required to make inferences and test specific hypotheses. It should be remarked that depression detection models are to be seen as mere screening methods which cannot yet provide diagnoses. The diagnosis of a disorder like unipolar depression requires direct interaction between patient and clinician, especially since these models can not provide differential diagnosis yet. However, differential diagnostics are crucial, for example, due to the proximity of depressive symptoms towards symptoms of other disorders, such as the prodromal phase in schizophrenia (Häfner, 2005). Despite this crucial limitation, screening systems might contribute to people seeking support and therefore receiving a professional diagnosis and early intervention.

4.2 Future Directions

In the future, we aim towards significantly increasing our sample size to test our model more rigorously and as well in the clinical environment. One of the possible directions is to evaluate its sensitivity to detect score changes over time. This would provide our approach with an insightful, real-world dimension and enable us to assess how well our model performs in an environment that closely corresponds to its hypothetical clinical use. Just like with any new technological system, it would be recommended to conduct usability and acceptance studies of such a model among potential users and clinicians. A more comprehensive analysis integrating audio and video data should be considered in future work. To ensure data protection, privacy and ethical issues of our approach, in the next steps, we are planning to utilise open-source GPT-like models that are locally executable, configurable and more transparent and open to the broader scientific community.

4.3 Implications for Clinical Practice

If the results can be replicated in a larger sample, it would validate the potential for AI to aid clinicians in diagnosing depression. Furthermore, new possibilities for depression screening in the home could emerge if the interview process could be automated. Diagnosis via AI systems could be a first indicator for people suffering from depression, especially in rural areas with a low density of clinicians (Douthit et al., 2015; Poß-Doering et al., 2021; Thom et al., 2015). Implementing AI systems for depression detection additionally provides particular advantages in dealing with the prevalent societal stigma associated with mental health issues. Stigma is very often the reason why individuals with specific mental health issues are discouraged from seeking help or speaking about their problems (Brower, 2021). Future AI systems for depression detection could provide a stigma-free, ethical, safe and unbiased tool for depression screening.
5 CONCLUSION

The prevalence of depression within the general population, coupled with the imperative of prompt intervention, underscores the necessity of early diagnosis. While conventional screening and diagnostic techniques encounter several impediments, contemporary AI systems have the capacity to surmount these challenges. Initial outcomes highlight the promise of NLP in discerning depression from transcribed clinical interview data. However, it is crucial to note that the outlined method is designed as an early detection tool to be accessible to a broader audience from the comfort of home, rather than as a diagnostic tool in a clinical setting, which would necessitate additional certifications. The pilot study stands out as a unique endeavour, achieving state-of-the-art promising results on the small dataset. However, the substantiation of these findings requires additional data to strengthen the robustness of the study. In future, it is needed to compare these results to the latest models, as it is currently hindered by the literature gap in the approaches that follow the latest development trends.
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