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Abstract: Program comprehension is a key activity in software development. Several visualization approaches such as software maps have been proposed to support programmers in exploring the architecture of software systems. However, for the exploration of program behavior, programmers still rely on traditional code browsing and debugging tools to build a mental model of a system’s behavior. We propose a novel approach to visualizing program behavior through animated 2.5D object maps that depict particular objects and their interactions from a program trace. We describe our implementation and evaluate it for different program traces through an experience report and performance measurements. Our results indicate that our approach can benefit program comprehension tasks, but further research is needed to improve scalability and usability.

1 INTRODUCTION

Exploring and understanding software systems are key activities in software development. Programmers often come across familiar or unfamiliar systems that they want to fix, change, or extend. For this, they need to build up a mental model that links the system’s visible behavior to its high-level architecture and low-level implementation artifacts. Traditionally, programmers explore software systems by reading their source code. An alternative approach is to explore the system’s behavior by example: programmers can start by invoking the system with a particular input or by running a test case and then use a debugger to step through the program’s execution, identifying relevant units and actors and exploring their interactions. As traditional debuggers are constrained to the temporal execution order of the program, omniscient debuggers (also referred to as time-travel debuggers or back-in-time debuggers) record a program trace and allow programmers to explore the program’s behavior in a nonlinear fashion (Pothier and Tanter, 2009; Perscheid et al., 2012). However, omniscient debuggers are unsuitable for exploring large program traces involving several subsystems and dozens of interacting objects: while their fine-grained display of source code and variables is useful for debugging-related activities, it impedes the exploration of the higher-level architecture and behavior.

Several visualization approaches have been proposed to support programmers in exploring the architecture of software systems. Software maps display the static structure of systems using various metaphors such as cities or forests are useful for program comprehension tasks (Wettel and Lanza, 2007; Limberger et al., 2022). Yet, most approaches neglect the dynamic behavior of systems and take a coarse-grained view of their structure. As a result, these maps are inadequate for developing a mental model of the system’s behavior that situates particular interacting objects and connects them to the overall functioning of the system (von Mayrhauser and Vans, 1995).

To bridge this gap between coarse-grained static software maps and fine-grained omniscient debugging views, we propose a novel approach for visualizing the behavior of object-oriented software systems through animated 2.5D object maps (or (animated) object maps for short), which depict particular objects and their interactions from a program trace. In particular, we make the following contributions:

1. We present a novel visualization approach for object-oriented program behavior using animated 2.5D object maps.
2. We describe the implementation of our prototype TRACE4D that applies this approach using program traces from the Squeak/Smalltalk environment.
3. We discuss the potential and limitations of our approach by reporting on our experience and evaluating the performance of our implementation, encompassing responsiveness, frame rates, and memory consumption, for different program traces.

We made all artifacts and supplementary materials of this work available in a public repository\(^1\).

## 2 RELATED WORK

Several approaches for visualizing the architecture and behavior of software systems were introduced before. In the broad field of program visualization (Sorva et al., 2013), *algorithm animation* is an early approach that focuses on visualizing procedural algorithms and data structures in educational contexts (Brown and Sedgewick, 1984). Further approaches have been proposed that allow to create general-purpose visualizations for the architecture and behavior of software systems (Cheng et al., 2008; Chis et al., 2014). More specific techniques can be categorized as sequential depictions, software maps, and object visualizations.

### Sequential Depictions

Several tools adopt and extend UML sequence diagrams to display communication between objects over time (Hamou-Lhadj and Lethbridge, 2004). Jerding and Stasko (1998) and Cornelissen et al. (2009) derive miniaturized versions of a sequence diagram (Lemieux and Salois, 2006, sec. 3.4); Hamou-Lhadj and Lethbridge (2004) detect execution patterns to reduce sequence diagrams.

### Software Maps and Treemaps

*Software maps* describes a family of approaches that use cartography metaphors to visualize the architecture of software systems (Limberger et al., 2022). As underlying technique, *treemaps* display the static structure of software systems by visualizing their hierarchical organization of packages and classes, folders and files, etc., as a nested set of shapes (Scheibel et al., 2020b). They offer various visual variables such as the size, color, and position of the shapes to encode additional information about the system. Shapes are usually rectangles but can also be other polygons as in Voronoi tesselation treemaps (Balzer et al., 2005; Scheibel et al., 2020a). One popular, contemporary type of treemaps is 2.5D *treemaps* which add a third dimension to the visualization by transforming each shape into a right prism (usually a cuboid) of a variable height. Many approaches use the *software city* metaphor to style the cuboids of a 2.5D treemap as buildings of a city (Dugerdil and Alam, 2008; Wettel and Lanza, 2007).

### Animated Software Maps

Some approaches enrich software maps with animations to display dynamic information over time (Lemieux and Salois, 2006, sec. 3.4) that can relate to the behavior or evolution of systems: *EVO SPACES* (Dugerdil and Alam, 2008) highlights classes in a software city where they are activated; *DY NCITY* (Dashuber and Philippsen, 2022), *EXPLORVIZ* (Krause et al., 2021), *SYNCHRO VIZ* (Waller et al., 2013), and others also draw connections between modules to visualize dataflow; Langelier et al. (2008) gradually construct a software city and update the geometries and colors of buildings to represent development activity. Some approaches allow programmers to monitor a system in real-time (Fittkau et al., 2013) while others replay a previously recorded trace of software activity (Dugerdil and Alam, 2008).

#### Object Graphs

To provide visual insights into the behavior of software, behavior can be attributed to different entities of the software, e.g., organizational units such as modules or classes, or individual object instances of object-oriented programs. Several tools allow programmers to explore relevant parts of a program’s object graph (Moreno et al., 2004; Gestwicki and Jayaraman, 2005). Some graphs mimic the look of UML object diagrams and provide details about an object’s internal state while others choose more compact representations. To reduce the visual complexity of graph displays, some tools provide programmers with means for filtering objects based on their organization or relation to program slices (Lange and Nakamura, 1997; Hamou-Lhdaj and Lethbridge, 2004).

### Communication Flow

*Call graphs* and *control-flow graphs* are two popular ways of displaying entities with their mutual dynamic interactions or communications. Entities can be nodes from an object graph, organizational units such as classes (Reiss, 2007) or modules, or they can be subject to user-selected abstraction levels (Lange and Nakamura, 1997; Walker et al., 1998). *AViD* (Walker et al., 1998) provide animated object graphs where users can explore the control flow interactively. Boothe and Badame (2011) merge the stack frames from a control-flow graph and the nodes from an object graph into a single *memeograph* that can be explored through animation.
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\(^1\)https://github.com/LinqCover/trace4d (also preserved in Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10044853)
Dataflow. Another perspective on object graphs regards the propagation of state through the system. The WHYLINE approach allows programmers to ask questions about why certain behaviors did or did not happen or where certain values came from and presents the answers in a sliced control-flow graph (Ko and Myers, 2008). Lienhard et al. (2009) propose an inter-unit flow view that displays the amount of data or objects exchanged between different classes or modules in a directed weighted graph; this graph can also be embedded into a traditional call graph.

State Changes. Lienhard et al. (2009) propose a side-effects graph which shows connections between objects changing each other’s state. Similarly, object traces slice a call tree for exploring the state evolution of individual objects (Thiede et al., 2023a). Memory cities support the heap memory analysis of programs by displaying objects and their memory consumption in a 2.5D treemap and animating the allocation and deallocation of objects (Weninger et al., 2020).

Call Trees. Besides the communication or evolution of entities, another perspective that visualizations often take on software behavior is the temporal order of program execution. Besides naive graph representations of this data structure, several approaches display call trees using hierarchical layouts such as treemaps, sunbursts, icicle plots (Kruskal and Landwehr, 1983), or flame graphs (Gregg, 2016).

3 VISUALIZATION APPROACH

We propose animated 2.5D object maps as a novel visualization approach for program traces to support the comprehension of object-oriented programs. In the following, we describe the prerequisites and the design of our approach.

3.1 Data Model

The data of our visualization is the program trace of an object-oriented program. In this programming paradigm, all behavior is described as messages sent from one object to another. Each object is characterized by its identity which distinguishes it from all other objects, its state which is represented by its fields such as array elements and instance variables, and its behavior which is implemented by methods that are invoked to receive messages (Thiede et al., 2023b). We assume a general data model of the program trace: the call tree is represented as a composite structure of stack frames each of which specifies a time interval, an invoked method, and a receiver object. Each object is assigned a label, i.e., a textual representation of an object’s identity or signature state, a list of named fields, and a class. Each class is described by a name and an organizational path in the file or package structure of the software system. We neglect runtime changes to the state, label, or class membership of objects as well as asynchronous or concurrent program behavior and metaprogramming peculiarities such as the implementation of classes or methods as objects.

3.2 Visual Mapping

We describe the design of our visualization and the mapping of parts from the program trace to elements and visual variables of our visualization (fig. 1). At the highest level, an animated 2.5D object map is an interactive information landscape that displays objects and their interactions from the program trace. Users can replay the program trace and watch the activation of objects – the invocation of their methods – and their interaction – the exchange of messages between two objects. They can navigate freely through the visual scene using their keyboard and pointing devices.

Objects. Each object is represented as a square cuboid block entity that displays the label and fields of the object (fig. 2). To maximize legibility from any perspective, the label is repeated on all four sides and in four orientations on the top. Fields are displayed as tiles that are arranged in a row-wise uniform-sized
Figure 2: Visual mapping of objects, fields, and references to block entities, tiles, and arrows in the object map.

grid layout and repeated on each side of the block for better legibility. References between objects are rendered as directed arrows from the closest tile of the referencing field to the closest label of the referenced object. To indicate the direction of arrows chevrons are placed on the arrow line.

**Object Graph.** All object blocks are placed on a plane in the 2.5D object map. For their arrangement, we use a force-directed graph layout. Between each pair of object blocks $a$ and $b$, we apply several weighted attractive forces:

- **Class-membership force** $F_{\text{class}}(a, b)$ if $a$ and $b$ belong to the same class;
- **Organizational force** $F_{\text{org}}(a, b)$ based on the common prefix length of the organizational paths (e.g., a file path) of $a$’s and $b$’s classes;
- **Reference force** $F_{\text{ref}}(a, b)$ based on the number of fields in $a$ that reference $b$;
- **Communication force** $F_{\text{comm}}(a, b)$ based on the number of messages sent from $a$ to $b$.

In addition to the attractive forces, we define globally weighted repulsion and centripetation forces on all blocks to control the graph’s entropy, and we define radial constraints to avoid collisions between blocks. We provide an empirical base configuration for all force weights but allow users to override them for specific program traces. By default, we give the highest weight to reference forces and the lowest weight to organizational forces with a six-order-of-magnitude difference and scale organizational forces logarithmically. This configuration encourages a state-centric layout of the object graph while leaving a margin for the characteristics of particular program traces (e.g., their ratio between intrinsic and extrinsic state) towards a more dataflow-driven layout. In addition, users can drag and drop blocks to customize the layout. To reduce response time and maintain an experience of immediacy, we render the graph at regular update intervals even before the force simulation has converged.

Figure 3: Visual mapping of object behavior to block colors and the trail in the object map. The intensity of the red color indicates the recency of the last message received by the corresponding object. The gradient trail curve connects the most recent object activations (control points of the curve are marked with a cross (•)).

**Object Selection.** Usually, even after restricting the object graph to the receivers from the call tree, only a small part of it is relevant for comprehending the high-level behavior of a program while many other objects fulfill lower-level implementation details. In our visualization, we use a filter system for excluding objects based on their label, class, or organization. Similar to the layout configuration (object graph), we provide an empirical default configuration that excludes certain base objects such as collections, Booleans, and numbers, but allow users to customize these filters.

**Object Behavior.** The color of each object block indicates its recent activity: inactive blocks are colored in a neutral light gray while active blocks whose objects have recently received a message are highlighted in a bright red (fig. 3). After control flow passes on to other objects, blocks fade back to the base color within one second using a single-hue continuous sequential color scheme by Harrower and Brewer (2003). In addition to the color coding, a trail connects the $k = 15$ most recent object activations to support the delayed observation of short activations and the recognition of the exact activation order. The trail curve is based on a centripetal Catmull-Rom spline whose control points are placed on the top of each relevant block and alternated with intermediate points between blocks. Block control points are displaced on the top surface by a random offset using a normal
distribution to distinguish multiple activations of the same object. Intermediate control points are raised vertically to give the curve a wave-like shape that makes activated objects identifiable. The direction of the trail is displayed by continuously moving it to the next object during the animation and applying a linear translucency gradient to fade out the tail of the curve.

**Timeline.** The object map integrates a timeline overlay at the bottom of the viewport that provides a time-centric navigation aid. The timeline consists of two widgets stacked on top of each other (fig. 4). A player with a slider and a play/pause button displays the current point in time of the program trace and allows users to control the time and animation state. Behind the player, a collapsed flame graph shows the course of the call stack depth. Users can resize the timeline to explore the full call tree hierarchy and examine individual frames in the flame graph. Both the flame graph and the object map are interactively linked, i.e., users can hover over an object in the map to discover all of its activations in the timeline, or vice versa, they can click on a frame to fast-forward or rewind the trail in the map to the corresponding object activation. Thus, object map and timeline provide two orthogonal means of navigating through the object-oriented program trace at different granularities.

### 3.3 Implementation

We demonstrate the technical feasibility of animated 2.5D object maps by describing the implementation of our prototype TRACE4D that displays program traces from a Squeak/Smalltalk environment (backend) in a web application (frontend). While we use program traces from Squeak/Smalltalk in our prototype, it is not limited to this environment but could easily be applied to other object-oriented traceable languages.

**Program Tracing.** Squeak/Smalltalk is an interactive development environment (IDE) based on the object-oriented paradigm (everything is an object, including classes, methods, and stack frames) and gives programmers rich control to inspect and manipulate all parts of the system by instrumenting method objects, recording stack frame objects, etc. (Thiede and Rein, 2023). In our backend, we use the TRACEDEBDUGGER, an omniscient debugger for Squeak, to record a program trace. We export the resulting program trace consisting of a call tree, an object graph, and a class hierarchy as a JSON file. We use Squeak’s built-in inspector tool to retrieve fields for instance variables or higher-level views of each object.

**Visualization.** We implement the visualization frontend of TRACE4D as a JavaScript web application. The web app retrieves a serialized program trace and provides prototypical interfaces for customizing the visual configuration. To build the 2.5D object map, we generate and display a 3D scene from the program trace using the JavaScrip 3D rendering library THREE.js and layout the object blocks using the d3-force module of the visualization framework D3.js. The timeline is built using a flame graph from the d3-flame-graph plugin for D3.js. Custom HTML widgets are used for the player controls. Animation is played at a configurable speed (defaulting to 50 bytecode instructions per second), which updates the color highlights and trail for activated objects at each animation tick.

### 4 EVALUATION

We evaluate our visualization approach by describing a practical use case of animated 2.5D object maps for program comprehension, reporting on our experiences for six different program traces, and evaluating the performance of the TRACE4D prototype.

#### 4.1 Use Case

To illustrate how animated object maps can support program comprehension, we describe how a fictional programmer uses the TRACE4D visualization to explore the way a regular expression engine constructs a matcher from a pattern. The Regex package in Squeak provides a Smalltalk-specific flavor of regular expressions. To construct a matcher, the package first parses the pattern string into an abstract syntax tree (AST) and then compiles the AST into a non-deterministic finite automaton (NFA). In this example, our programmer visualizes the construction of the
simple regular expression \d|\w+ to gain a better understanding of the subsystems involved and their interactions. To create the visualization, the programmer records and exports a trace of the program \d|\w+ asRegex in Squeak and loads it into the TRACE4D web app. As the visualization loads, she sees about 25 objects moving around in the object map and arranging themselves in a semi-structured graph within a few seconds (fig. 1). By navigating through the scene, she discovers several relevant objects and clusters of objects:

- the pattern string \d|\w+;
- an RxParser object accessing the string via a ReadStream;
- eight objects referencing each other whose class names begin with the prefix Rxs, identifying them as nodes of the AST;
- an RxMatcher object surrounded by six objects whose class names start with Rxml, identifying them as states of the matcher’s NFA;
- several other loosely structured objects, including an RxMatchOptimizer, four Dictionaries, and a Set.

After getting a rough overview of the object graph, she starts the animation of the program trace through the player in the timeline. By observing the trail of object activations and the cursor position in the timeline (default running time: 77 seconds), she can notice the following three segments of the program execution:

1. Invoked by the pattern string, the parser dominates the first third of the program execution, accessing the pattern through the ReadStream and talking to the AST nodes, presumably to initialize them.
2. Next, the matcher becomes active and accesses the AST nodes and the NFA states simultaneously, presumably to compile the AST into the NFA.
3. For the remaining half of the program, the match optimizer is active, accessing the AST again and talking to the set.

Thus, our programmer could gain a first overview of the different parts of the Regex package and their collaboration to realize the construction of the matcher. Besides, she also could notice that almost 50% of the time was spent in the match optimizer. Without a closer idea of the role of this object, she might suspect this step to be a bottleneck in the construction and wonder if the optimization is optional and could be skipped for certain uses of the regular expression.

To dive deeper into the Regex implementation, she expands the flame graph of the timeline, identifies a few entry point methods of the objects that she finds most interesting (e.g., RxParser::parseStream!), and opens them in the Squeak IDE to browse their code.

### 4.2 Experience Report

To assess the use of animated object maps for program comprehension, we explored six different program traces from the domains of string processing, GUIs, and programming tools in the TRACE4D prototype and assessed our experience with them for five different criteria regarding the usability, clarity, and insightfulness of the visualization (table 1). We chose these criteria in view of short gulfs of execution and evaluation and a maximum of information that users can gain from the visualization. We provide a full protocol of the experience report in the supplementary materials.

#### Suitable Traces

We had better experiences when using the visualization for smaller program traces such as various string processing examples. On the contrary, we were more challenged when trying to understand the behavior of larger program traces such as operations in a GUI system or programming tool. In general, we found animated object maps most practical for systems that thoroughly adhere to the principles of object-oriented design by defining many fine-grained, highly coherent objects and describing behavior through extensive communication between these objects. On the other hand, program traces involving many homogeneous objects or unrelated sub-
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2The interactive visualization of the described trace is available at https://linqlover.github.io/trace4d/app.html?trace=trace/regexParse.json. The visualization, together with a screencast, is also archived at our Zenodo archive.
systems contain more repetitive or irrelevant elements and are typically less amenable to exploration through animated object maps. Thus, programmers need to provide minimal program traces to achieve clear visualizations.

Program Comprehension. For suitable program traces, we were able to gain several kinds of insights and benefits from the visualization: we could discover characteristic regions of the object graph (e.g., the input, the AST, and the NFA for the regular expression use case) as well as significant segments of program behavior (e.g., the parsing, compilation, and optimization stages in the same use case). Based on this overview, we could develop and refine our mental model of the explored system’s functioning and connect it to particular classes and objects in their implementation. Furthermore, the interactive visualization helped us to explore and analyze communication patterns, reflect on the system design, and share and discuss our mental models with other developers.

Object Graph Layout. The structure of the object graph layout is crucial for the comprehension of the program state. Our force-directed graph approach provides a simple yet effective way to describe a layout based on different static and behavioral relations between objects and allows different types of relations to dominate the layout depending on the characteristics of the program trace. Especially for smaller program traces, the resulting layout allowed us to distinguish essential regions of the object graph. Still, the overall structure of the force-directed layout could be considered too weak for an optimal visual intuition.

Limitations. For larger program traces, we were overwhelmed by the amount of objects and messages in the visualization. Our configuration interface allows users to reduce this complexity by filtering objects or improving the structure of the object graph but requires manual effort for users. To reduce this barrier, we could streamline the configuration through an integrated GUI or investigate automatic configuration techniques for individual program traces. To eliminate cluttered communication between objects, we aim to apply trace summarization techniques to eliminate lengthy handshakes or low-level messages (Hamou-Lhadj and Lethbridge, 2006).

4.3 Evaluation of Performance

While computational efficiency was not a design goal for our current implementation of the TRACE4D prototype, it already delivers practical performance – start-up times between 1 and 5 seconds, frame rates between 30 FPS and 60 FPS, memory consumption between 700 MB and 1000 MB – for most of our considered program traces. Still, there is a need to optimize the frame rate, graphics memory consumption, and saving and loading times of traces to improve user experience and scalability, e.g., by precomputing filtered traces in the backend or applying a level-of-detail strategy in the visualization. We provide additional details on our evaluation in the supplementary materials.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we proposed a novel approach to visualizing the behavior of object-oriented programs through animated 2.5D object maps that depict particular objects and their interactions from a program trace. We described the visual design of our approach and implemented it in a prototypical web application that displays program traces from a Squeak/Smalltalk environment. We illustrated how programmers can use TRACE4D to explore the behavior of object-oriented programs and found that, especially for smaller program traces, they can gain several insights into the structure of the object graph and the segments of program behavior. To handle larger program traces, open issues are the automatic configuration of object maps, the clarity of large object maps, and level-of-detail approaches to show higher-level overviews first.

For future work, we plan to extend the prototype to include trace summarization and to improve scalability in layout, rendering, and interaction. As a striking open point, a user study is needed to evaluate the potential and limitations of animated object maps for program comprehension. Further, the proposed approach to animated object maps allows for a couple of different directions of research. For one, the force-directed graph layout can be augmented with clustering and hierarchical layout approaches (Atzberger et al., 2023; Scheibel et al., 2018). Finally, we envision animated object maps getting seamlessly integrated into programmers’ toolchains, interactively visualizing system behavior at multiple levels of abstraction to provide an intuitive understanding of complex software behavior.
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