# Determination of Factors of Interest in Bone Models Based on Ultrasonic Data

Marija Chuchalina, Aleksandrs Sisojevs<sup>10</sup><sup>a</sup> and Alexey Tatarinov<sup>10</sup><sup>b</sup> Institute of Electronics and Computer Science, 14 Dzerbenes Str., Riga, Latvia

Keywords: Machine Learning, Factor of Interest, Bone Model, Ultrasound, Signal Processing.

Abstract: Osteoporosis is characterized by increased bone fragility due to a decrease in thickness of the cortical layer CTh and the development of internal porosity in it. The assessment of bone models that simulate the state of osteoporosis causes difficulties due to their complex and multi-layered structure. In the present work, the possibility of using machine learning approaches to determine internal porosity using the ultrasonic data obtained by scanning bone models was researched. The bone models were represented as sets of PMMA plates with gradually varying CTh from 2 to 6 mm. A stepwise progression of porosity from 0 to 100% of CTh was set by increasing the thickness of the porous layer PTh in steps of 1 mm. The evaluation method was based on the results of the supervised multi-class classification of the raw ultrasonic signals and their magnitude of the DFT spectrum with PTh used for labeling. Ultrasonic data was split into training and testing datasets while preserving the percentage of samples for each class. The results of the experiments demonstrated the potential effectiveness of the PTh classification, while optimization of the datasets and additional signal processing may contribute to the improvement of the results.

## **1** INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis is a systemic skeletal disease characterized by low bone density and microarchitectural deterioration of bone tissue with a consequent increase in bone fragility. The cornerstone of diagnosis is the measurement of bone mineral density (WHO, 2003). The condition of cortical bone and the development of osteoporosis are determined by many mechanical, microstructural, and macrostructural bone properties, such as hardness, porosity, and cortical thickness.

For several years, there has been progress in the development of axial transmission quantitative ultrasound (QUS) technologies for the evaluation of long bones using a variety of acoustic wave modes (Laugier, 2008). QUS has the potential to predict fracture risk in several clinical settings and has multiple advantages. It is non-ionizing, costeffective, portable, and has the potential to become an effective complement or alternative to osteodensitometry (DXA), which is currently the "gold standard" for diagnosing osteoporosis.

However, neither existing bone QUS nor DXA are able to reliably distinguish between changes associated with the thinning of the bone cortex and the increase of intracortical porosity, which are the main factors of bone fragility. Thus, differentiation between a thin healthy bone and an osteoporotic one is problematic. Recent approaches focus on the analysis of guided wave propagation at multiple frequencies, which provides extensive information on bone structure and properties (Tatarinov et al., 2014). Nevertheless, discrimination of factors of interest such as intracortical porosity and thickness of cortical layer against the background of effects surrounding soft tissue requires advanced data processing (Sisojevs et al., 2023).

In the field of deep machine learning, there is a growing interest in recurrent neural networks (RNNs), which have been used for many sequence modeling tasks. They have achieved promising performance improvements in multiple technical applications such as speech recognition, human activity recognition, medical signal evaluation, and many other sequence classification tasks (Graves,

Chuchalina, M., Sisojevs, A. and Tatarinov, A. Determination of Factors of Interest in Bone Models Based on Ultrasonic Data. DOI: 10.5220/0012358500003654 Paper published under CC license (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Pattern Recognition Applications and Methods (ICPRAM 2024)*, pages 281-287 ISBN: 978-989-758-684-2; ISSN: 2184-4313 Proceedings Copyright © 2024 by SCITEPRESS – Science and Technology Publications, Lda.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> https://orcid.org//0000-0002-2267-4220

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> https://orcid.org//0000-0002-5787-2040

2012; Li et al., 2020; Murad & Pyun, 2017). The reason for their effectiveness in the solution of sequence-based tasks is their ability to use contextual information and learn the temporal dependencies of the input data (Murad & Pyun, 2017). However, a lack of research related to the determination of cortical bone thickness and/or porous layer thickness in ultrasound data using machine learning approaches was observed.

The purpose of this study was to explore the possibility of determining one of the factors of interest - intracortical porosity against the background of changes in cortical thickness using ultrasonic data in bone models. Ultrasonic signals were obtained by axial scanning synthetic phantoms of cortical bone simulating changes in cortical thickness and progression of intracortical porosity. The raw data was presented by sets of ultrasonic signals acquired stepwise by surface profiling of the bone phantoms in the pitch-catch mode (Sisojevs et al., 2023). Both raw ultrasonic signals in the time domain and signals processed by discrete Fourier transformation (DFT) were used as input data in separate experiments for machine learning tasks. DFT is one of the recognized methods of signal analysis that transforms signals from time to frequency domains (Stone, 2021). A multi-metric approach was implemented to evaluate the results obtained in both experiments. This included not only the precise classification of samples, but also the evaluation of their neighbor's predictions. This is due to the complexity and volume of the input data, as well as the need to gain a better understanding of classification accuracy.

### 2 PROPOSED APPROACH

Intracortical porosity was specified by the thickness of the porous layer PTh, which increased discretely from the inner (lower) surface of the bone phantom to the outer (upper) surface. The proposed approach for evaluating PTh was based on supervised machine learning methods. To perform multi-class classification, two types of ultrasonic data in bone models were prepared for machine learning tasks, data and label arrays were created and split into training and testing sets, and training and testing were performed to assess the performance of the approach.

## 2.1 Input Data Acquisition and Pre-Processing

The bone models or phantoms were represented as sets of bi-layer acrylic plates with gradually varying total thicknesses simulating the bone cortical thickness CTh from 2 to 6 mm with a step of 1 mm. The effect of intracortical porosity, progressing from the bone canal, was mimicked by regularly bottomdrilled holes. A step change in porosity in the phantom volume from 0 to 100% CTh was set by increasing the thickness of the porous layer PTh in increments of 1 mm. The phantoms were covered with soft tissue with thicknesses of 0, 2 and 4 mm. Ultrasonic signals were acquired using a custommade scanning device by stepwise profiling the upper surface of the phantoms covered with soft tissue. The profiling step was 3 mm. In total, the 24 obtained formed the so-called signals ultrasonic spatiotemporal wave profiles. The profiles contained complex information about the temporal (velocity) and energetic (attenuation) characteristics of different types of ultrasound propagation. (Sisojevs et al., 2023). A total of 1800 samples of the ultrasonic signal were acquired. One signal frame with a duration of 1 ms contains the responses of three ultrasonic excitation regimes: high frequency (500 kHz), low frequency (100 kHz) and chirp mode (from 50 to 500 kHz). In this frequency range, different modes of ultrasonic guided waves are manifested. For comparison purposes, 2 sets of data - raw signals and DFT-processed signals, were created. In regard to DFT processing, each of the discrete signals was transformed into a spectral signal that described the magnitude spectrum.

where:

a – signal at m = 0... N - 1;

 $e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}}$  – Nth root of unity.

$$M_A = \sqrt{(real(A))^2 + (imag(A))^2}$$
 (2)

(1)

where:

*real*(*A*) – real component of the spectral signal;

 $A_k = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} a_m e^{-2\pi i \frac{mk}{N}}$ 

imag(A) – imaginary component of the spectral signal.

Informative regions were extracted for use in machine learning tasks, thus creating a set of features that characterize the signals. In our case, a single feature corresponded to one discrete sample of the ultrasonic signal in the selected informative region. These regions consisted of 3000-5000 features for the raw dataset and 750 features for the DFT-transformed dataset. The values in signal datasets were

normalized. The vector of class labels for multi-class classification represented by an integer was converted to one-hot encoding, which represented the categorical variables as a binary vector. The values in the binary vector are denoted by 0 except for the integer index, which is denoted by 1. It should have also been taken into account that the number of samples for each of the classes is not equal due to the nature of the acquired ultrasonic data. The largest number of samples is at PTh  $\leq 2$ , while the smallest is at PTh = 6.

#### 2.2 Machine Learning Methods

The proposed machine learning methods included the bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory (BLSTM) deep neural network model, which is a type of RNN specifically designed to process sequential data and is able to capture long-term dependencies in it, as well as classical machine learning algorithms for supervised multi-class classification.



Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the LSTM memory unit (Aggarwal, 2023; Sun, J. et al., 2019).



Figure 2: Structure of the deep BLSTM network (Zhang et al., 2021).

The architecture of the applied artificial neural network is illustrated in Figure 1 and Figure 2, where:  $X_t$  – input time step;  $h_t$  – output;  $C_t$  – cell state;  $f_t$  – forget gate;  $i_t$  – input gate;  $O_t$  – output gate;  $\hat{C}_t$  – internal cell state.

The model utilized a softmax activation function that converts a vector of values into a probability distribution that can be interpreted as class membership probabilities. The elements of the output vector are in the range (0, 1) and sum to 1.

$$\sigma x_n = \frac{e^{x_n}}{\sum_{m=0}^K e^{x_m}} \tag{3}$$

where:

x – input vector;

e – Euler's number;

n – index of the value for which the exponent is calculated;

K – number of probabilities in the probability distribution.

In conjunction with the softmax activation function, cross-entropy, which computes a score that summarizes the average difference between the actual and predicted probability distributions for all classes, was used as the loss function for multi-class classification tasks. The function requires the output layer to be configured with n nodes, where n is the number of classes. The experiments within the given work involved 7 classes corresponding to 7 possible thicknesses of the porous layer PTh varying from 0 mm to 6 mm.

The classical machine learning algorithms provided by the machine learning framework enabled simultaneous testing of different machine learning models and were used as part of the study to evaluate and compare the results, verifying the performance of the proposed approach.

#### 2.3 Evaluation Methods

To evaluate the results obtained during the experiments, a multi-metric approach was introduced, which included the interpretation of the precise classification of samples, as well as the assessment of their neighbors' predictions. The latter enabled a more granular method for the examination of the results taking into consideration the complexity and volume of the input data.

Accuracy metric was used to define the ratio of correctly classified samples to the total number of samples.

$$Acc = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} \tag{4}$$

where:

*TP* (*True Positive*) – number of correct matches; *TN* (*True Negative*) – number of correct mismatches; *FP* (*False Positive*) – number of incorrect matches; *FN* (*False Negative*) – number of incorrect mismatches.

Recall metric TPR was used to define the ratio of correctly classified positive samples to the total number of positive samples.

$$TPR = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \tag{5}$$

where:

*TP* (*True Positive*) – number of correct matches; *FN* (*False Negative*) – number of incorrect mismatches.

Precision metric PPV was used to define the ratio of correctly classified positive samples to the total predicted number of positive samples.

$$PPV = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \tag{6}$$

where:

*TP* (*True Positive*) – number of correct matches; *FP* (*False Positive*) – number of incorrect matches.

Loss metric was used to summarize the mean difference between the actual and predicted probability distributions for all classes in the machine learning tasks, while F1-score displayed model performance.

$$F_1 - score = \frac{2TP}{2TP + FP + FN} \tag{7}$$

where:

*TP* (*True Positive*) – number of correct matches; *FP* (*False Positive*) – number of incorrect matches;

*FN* (*False Negative*) – number of incorrect mismatches.

Accuracy and related metrics alone are not sufficient to fully evaluate model performance results in a given classification context. Due to the significant complexity of the data structure of ultrasonic signals, slight deviations from the ideal prediction were acceptable. To obtain a more complete perspective, additional custom metrics were implemented in scope of the present work to evaluate the neighbors of the classified classes. The result can be considered satisfactory if most of the classes were predicted correctly and most of the neighbors that are deviations from the ideal result are within a range that does not exceed the specified limit  $\Delta acc \ll 2$  mm. Based on the actual and predicted classes, a custom so-called accuracy 2 metric was developed. Its purpose was to show how often each of the classes had deviations for each deviation value in millimeters. Parameter's *\(\Delta acc\)* of accuracy\_2 metric value was calculated as the modulus of the difference between the actual and predicted values and ranged from 0 to 6 millimeters, respectively.

$$\Delta acc = |c_{actual} - c_{predicted}| \tag{8}$$

where:

 $c_{actual}$  – actual class value in millimeters;  $c_{predicted}$  – predicted class value in millimeters.

After calculating the values of  $\Delta acc$  and writing them into the array D, the number of differences in the specified range for each of the classes was determined and written into the matrix A.

$$a_{ij} = \#\{x \mid (l = i, l \in L) \land (d = j, d \in D)\}$$
(9)

where:

x – element that satisfies the condition;

i – row index of matrix A;

j – column index of matrix A;

L – array of actual class values;

D – array of  $\Delta acc$  values.

An additional metric accuracy\_2(%) based on accuracy\_2 that takes into account the ratio of the number of samples of each class was introduced. For each *i* - class in its row, *j* - the ratio of its  $\Delta acc$  value to the total number of  $\Delta acc$  values for that class is calculated, which is then multiplied by 100 to obtain a percentage value.

$$a_{ij}(\%) = \frac{a_{ij}}{\sum_{m=0}^{K} a_{im}}$$
(10)

where:

a – matrix A element;

i – row index of matrix A;

j – column index of matrix A;

K – the number of values in the *i*-th row of matrix A.

The results were then rounded using the largest remainder method.

During the process of interpretation of the accuracy\_2(%) metric, attention was paid to the elements located on the left side of the resulting heat map. A bigger number of elements on the left side of the heatmap signified better performance of the trained model. A range of colors from green to red was used for visualization, with green indicating the biggest number of elements and red indicating the smallest number of elements.

### **3** EXPERIMENTS

As part of the validation of the proposed approach, experiments were carried out to determine PTh using labeled raw and DFT-transformed sets of data separately. In the experiments, various BLSTM model configurations (1, 2 and 3 hidden layers) and hyperparameter sets were assessed to observe their impact on the performance of the model. A hyperparameter optimization framework was utilized to determine the optimal set of hyperparameters. The top performance results were achieved with the batch size = 32 and learning rate = 0.001, as well as 80% training and 20% test dataset ratio.

Both the deep neural network and several classical machine learning models implemented in the machine learning framework, such as ExtraTreesClassifier, AdaBoostClassifier, RandomForestClassifier, etc., failed to effectively classify the thickness of the porous layer PTh of the bone models.

Classical machine learning models demonstrated 21.67 - 29.17% accuracy, 0.2057 - 0.2929 F1-score for each soft tissue layer separately and 25.28% accuracy, 0.2316 F1-score for all the soft tissue layers together.

The BLSTM model struggled to determine dependencies among large arrays of raw ultrasonic data features (Figure 4 - 6), reaching ~26% accuracy (Figure 3), 0.23 precision, 0.26 recall and 0.23 F1-score. Thus, the experimental results for raw ultrasonic signal data were considered unsatisfactory.

Experiments with DFT-transformed ultrasonic signals showed better results than experiments with raw signals.

Classical machine learning models, such as SVC, KNeighborsClassifier, ExtraTreesClassifier, LGBMClassifier, etc., demonstrated 70.83 – 75.83% accuracy, 0.6949 – 0.7611 F1-score for each soft tissue layer separately and 68,61% accuracy, 0.6823 F1-score for all the soft tissue layers together.

The BLSTM model achieved better results with 3 hidden layers (Figure 8 - 9) and showed ~56% accuracy (Figure 7), 0.57 precision, 0.56 recall and 0.55 F1-score. Examination of the rest of the predictions using the custom accuracy\_2 and accuracy\_2(%) metrics (Figure 10) revealed that most of them are nearest neighbors of the exact predictions in the range of  $\Delta acc <=2$  mm and are concentrated on the left side of the heatmap with a few exceptions as demonstrated in Figure 10. Classes with the largest number of samples in the dataset were predicted best at PTh<=3, with the predictions getting progressively worse as the number of samples in the dataset decreased.

Both machine learning approaches showed that a smaller amount of features in the case of DFTtransformed ultrasonic signal data (750 inputs) contributed to a more accurate classification of the thickness of the porous layer PTh. Upon evaluation of the BLSTM model's performance, an overfitting problem was observed, despite the introduction of Dropout and EarlyStopping to prevent it. This indicates the need for further optimization of the dataset and model. Classical machine learning methods achieved better results with each value of the soft tissue layer thickness separately, whereas the deep neural network worked better with all soft tissue layer thickness values together.

The following computer system was used to implement the experiments: Intel Core i7-12700H, Nvidia RTX 3070 Ti, 8GB with 5888 CUDA cores, RAM 32.0 GB, JetBrains PyCharm 2022.3.2 IDE, Anaconda virtual environment with Python 3.10, CUDA Toolkit 11.2.2 and CuDNN 8.1.0.

Experiments were run utilizing the GPU computing power for model training and testing. With all the prerequisites complete, TensorFlow in conjunction with Keras enabled transparent GPU usage without explicit code configuration, thus facilitating operations to be run on GPU by default. Considering the above, CuDNN is automatically used with the LSTM layer, starting with Tensorflow 2.x. Nvidia CUDA parallel computing platform and CuDNN library for deep neural networks gave an increase of more than 90% in system performance.



Figure 3: Model accuracy with raw ultrasonic data.



Figure 4: Model loss with raw ultrasonic data.



Figure 5: Confusion matrix with raw ultrasonic data.



Figure 6: Accuracy\_2(%) distributions with raw ultrasonic data.



Figure 7: Model accuracy with DFT ultrasonic data.



Figure 8: Model loss with DFT ultrasonic data.



Figure 9: Confusion matrix with DFT ultrasonic data.



Figure 10: Accuracy\_2(%) distributions with DFT ultrasonic data.

## 4 CONCLUSIONS

The results of the experiments demonstrated the potential effectiveness of the proposed method in machine learning tasks for determining the thickness of the inner porous layer PTh of the bone cortex as the factor of interest in osteoporosis diagnostics using ultrasonic data. The results were obtained in the presence and disrespectfully of surrounding soft tissues up to 4 mm thick that is one of the main artefacts in bone QUS. The use of the DFT-processed ultrasonic signals as inputs for machine learning provides higher accuracy of classification as opposed to the raw ultrasonic signals. The present dataset does not allow to have higher model performance, however, experiment outcomes indicate a potential for accuracy improvements with expansion and optimization of the datasets, as well as additional signal processing, which may contribute to the representativeness of the datasets.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The study was executed under the project of the Latvian Council of Science LZP FLPP no. lzp - 2021/1-0290 "Comprehensive assessment of the condition of bone and muscle tissue using quantitative ultrasound (BoMUS)".

## REFERENCES

- WHO Scientific Group (2003). Prevention and management of osteoporosis: report of a WHO scientific group. WHO Technical Report Series 921.
- Laugier P. (2008). Instrumentation for in vivo ultrasonic characterization of bone strength. *IEEE transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics, and frequency control.* 55(6):1179-96.
- Tatarinov, A., Egorov V., Sarvazyan A., Sarvazyan N. (2014) Multi-frequency axial transmission bone ultrasonometer. *Ultrasonics*. 54(5), 1162-1169.
- Sisojevs, A., Tatarinov, A., Chaplinska, A. (2023). Evaluation of factors-of-interest in bone mimicking models based on DFT analysis of ultrasonic signals. *ICPRAM 2023: 914-919.*
- Graves, A. (2012). Supervised Sequence Labelling with Recurrent Neural Networks. *Springer*. ISBN: 978-3-642-24797-2.
- Li, Y. H., Harfiya, L. N., Purwandari, K., Lin, Y. (2020). Real-Time Cuffless Continuous Blood Pressure Estimation Using Deep Learning Model. *Machine Learning for Sensing and Healthcare 2020-2021*. 20(19), 5606.
- Murad, A., Pyun, J.Y. (2017). Deep Recurrent Neural Networks for Human Activity Recognition. Sensor Signal and Information Processing. 17(11), 2556.
- Stone, J. V. (2021). The Fourier Transform: A Tutorial Introduction. Sebtel Press. 103 p.
- Aggarwal, S. (2023). The Ultimate Guide to Building Your Own LSTM Models. ProjectPro.
- Sun, J., Shi, W., Yang, Z., Yang, J., Gui, G. (2019). Behavioral Modeling and Linearization of Wideband RF Power Amplifiers Using BiLSTM Networks for 5G Wireless Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*. 68(11), 10348-10356.
- Zhang, Y., Zhao, Z., Deng, Y., Zhang, X., Zhang, Y. (2021). Heart biometrics based on ECG signal by sparse coding and bidirectional long short-term memory. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*. 80(1), 30417– 30438.