Speech Detection of Real-Time MRI Vocal Tract Data
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Abstract: This paper investigates the potential of Deep Learning in the area of speech production. The purpose is to study whether algorithms are able to classify the spoken content based only on images of the oral region. With the real-time MRI data of Lim et al. more detailed insights into the speech production of the vocal tract could be obtained. In this project, the data was applied to recognize spoken letters from tongue movements using a vector-based image detection approach. In addition, to generate more data, randomization was applied. The pixel vectors of a video clip during which a certain letter was spoken could then be passed into a Deep Learning model. For this purpose, the neural networks LSTM and 3D-CNN were used. It has been proven that it is possible to classify letters with an accuracy of 93% using a 3D-CNN model.

1 INTRODUCTION

Developments in real-time MRI imaging have made it possible to gain a more detailed insight into human speech production. RT-MRI data of the vocal tract can answer important questions in linguistics, speech modelling, and clinical research. These data are the basis for research regarding the ability to recognize letters based on tongue movement. The research question addressed in the paper is whether it is possible to recognise the spoken content from an MRI video of the oral cavity using Deep Learning. With this information, a variety of in-depth research can be initiated. For example, in linguistics to study different movement patterns in different languages or in speech modelling and clinical studies to compare patients with speech problems using the deep learning model to identify differences from typical movement patterns and narrow down the problem to small sub-areas of the oral cavity.

The dataset used includes MRI videos of vowels and sentences from a total of 75 individuals with different native languages. In this project the vowels “a”, “e” and “o” of 5 different subjects were considered. During the preparation of the data, the timestamps for each spoken letter were analysed and noted in an excel notebook. Furthermore, the pixel data from the H5 files were merged with the excel files and important parts of the videoframe were extracted with the help of vectors. Training was first performed with an LSTM model, a 3D CNN model and later with a combination of both models. The models were selected to evaluate the power of Deep Learning for the application of complex data. The obtained results were compared to identify the variant with the highest accuracy (Lim et al. 2021).

2 DEEP LEARNING WITH NEURAL NETWORKS

Artificial neural networks are versatile, powerful and scalable, this makes them ideal for large and highly complex machine learning tasks, including three-dimensional data such as medical images and videos (Géron 2019).

Two popular types for the use of deep learning in the context of medical images are convolutional neural networks (CNN) and recurrent neural networks (RNN). In this study the focus is on 3D-CNNs and LSTMs. CNNs have played a special role in image recognition since 1980, and this has increased considerably in recent years due to the further development of computing power (Lu et al. 2018).
2019; Géron 2019). 3D-CNNs are a type of neural network that have been adapted from the popular 2D-CNNs for image analysis. In 3D-CNNs, convolutional filters are applied to each 3D voxel of the input volume, allowing for the detection of local features and patterns within the volume. These features can be combined and processed by subsequent layers to extract more complex features and classify the volume (cf. Figure 1).

Figure 1: Convolutional layers with multiple feature maps, and images with three colour channels (Géron 2019, p.452).

LSTM networks, on the other hand, are a type of RNNs that are designed to process sequential data, such as time series or text. LSTMs are capable of learning long-term dependencies in the data and are particularly effective in processing data with temporal dynamics. In an LSTM network, information is passed through a sequence of hidden states, where each state has a memory cell and three gating units: input gate, forget gate, and output gate (cf. Figure 2). The gates control the flow of information into and out of the memory cell, allowing the network to selectively remember or forget information from previous time steps (Ouyang et al. 2019).

Figure 2: LSTM cell (Géron 2019, p.516).

In recent years, there has been growing interest in combining 3D-CNNs and LSTM networks for processing 3D sequential data, such as videos (cf. Figure 3). This combination is known as 3D-CNN-LSTM or spatiotemporal neural networks. In this architecture, the 3D-CNN is used to extract spatial features from each frame of the video, and the LSTM network is used to process the temporal dynamics of the video frames. The extracted features are then combined and processed by subsequent layers to classify the video. This approach has been shown to be effective in various applications such as action recognition, video captioning, and human pose estimation (Jing et al. 2020).

Figure 3: Example of a basic architecture of a 3D-CNN-LSTM (Jing et al. 2020).

3 FINDING AND UNDERSTANDING DATA

The first step in realizing our Project was finding a suitable data source. While MRI recordings are relatively common, locating a dataset of freely available MRI recordings was a challenge. Many sources, such as the one from the Max Planck Society on YouTube (MaxPlanckSociety 2018; Lim et al. 2021), only offer previews of single files. Fortunately, Lim et al provided a large dataset consisting of numerous MRI recordings of people speaking. However, this presented our first hurdle: understanding the dataset. The complete dataset is available as a single zip archive, which is approximately 550 gigabytes in size. It contains 3D MRI scans, MP4 files containing multiple spoken vowels, words or sentences, additional MP3 files with the same audio data, as well as raw data of the MRI scans, presented as 3-dimensional arrays in h5 files.

After obtaining the dataset, our next step was to prepare it for use. Initially, we needed to understand the data, including determining which files were
Figure 4 presents the tree structure of the dataset, where the root folder consists of 75 subjects and three content description files:

- **Subjects.xlsx**
  This file includes comprehensive demographic information on the subjects, such as gender, age, and spoken languages.

- **Stimuli.pptx**
  This file is a presentation that showcases all the spoken letters, words and sentences present in the dataset.

- **Metafile_public_20210129.json**
  This file not only provides demographic information but also includes additional details about each recording, such as audio quality.

Furthermore, as shown in Figure 4, each subject has its folder, containing all scans and related data. The t2w subfolders, which contain a massive dataset of ".dicom" files, are nested within each subject's folder. These files collectively generate a 3D scan for each axis (x, y, and z), producing a clear view of the head but providing no aid in recognizing spoken letters.

The 3D subfolder includes two additional subfolders, "recon" and "snapshot." The "snapshot" subfolder contains numerous images, each showcasing the subject in a particular position. On the other hand, the files in the "recon" subfolder always have a corresponding name, but they are in ".mat" format, requiring MATLAB to access them. These files contain raw pixel data in a 3D matrix, which captures the subject's state at a single moment but does not aid in the spoken letter recognition task.

Lastly, the 2drt folder contains audios of spoken sequences, videos that combine the audio with a slice of the MRI scan during speech, raw data from the MRI scan in h5 format, and reconstructed data from the raw data. The reconstructed data provides information for the following machine learning models, which aim to determine which letter is spoken.

### 4 DATA PREPARATION

The "video" folder is especially useful in the data preparation process, as each video contains multiple spoken letters. To define when a letter is spoken, we had to watch each video and record the exact time that a subject began and ended speaking, as well as which letter they spoke. We created 13 different sequences from five different speakers for this test. Table 1 depicts the head of one file.

One of the challenges we encountered in identifying the exact time a subject began and ended speaking was finding a media player capable of handling such a high resolution. Since spoken letters last less than a second, we required a player that could display milliseconds and allow for frame-by-frame stepping. We opted to use the MPC-HC-Player.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Letter</th>
<th>Letter Number</th>
<th>Timestamp start</th>
<th>Timestamp end</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>00:01.702</td>
<td>00:02.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>00:02.486</td>
<td>00:02.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>00:03.657</td>
<td>00:03.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>00:04.265</td>
<td>00:04.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>00:05.533</td>
<td>00:05.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>00:06.205</td>
<td>00:06.539</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(MPC-HC Team 2020), which, although no longer under development, could be easily configured to meet our needs.

After obtaining the dataset, the next step was to prepare it for use with machine learning models. Leveraging our knowledge of Python, we used the h5py library to read the files. Given that the MRI scans were recorded at a frame rate of 83.28 frames per second, the resulting files were usually over 100 MB in size. To reduce the amount of RAM required to use the data, we read in each spoken letter separately and discarded the sequences between spoken parts.

In addition to reducing the amount of RAM required to use the data, we also reduced the amount of data transmitted to the machine learning models. To achieve this, we followed the approach described by Hellwig et al. in their paper and reduced the dataset to a few vectors instead of using the entire frame. To further increase the amount of training data and reduce the risk of overfitting, we randomized the placement of these vectors. This approach is similar to using generated data to reduce bias in datasets.

Figure 4 demonstrates the process of pulling pixels from the scan using vectors and how the rotation of the vector results in subtle variations in the training data. The left image in each set displays a single frame of the scan extracted from the reconstructed ("recon") data files, while the image on the right shows the pixel values over time on the third vector from the left. We utilized 7 vectors arranged in a half circle to cover a substantial portion of the oral cavity, as depicted in the images.

---

**Table 2: Overall comparison of tested models.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Layers</th>
<th>Nodes</th>
<th>Epochs</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>66 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D-CNN</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>93 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D-CNN + LSTM</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

![Figure 5: Placement and rotation of the image vectors.](image-url)
5 DEEP LEARNING IMPLEMENTATION

With the image-data for each spoken letter extracted, we began implementing the machine learning layers. We defined several smaller networks to test our concept and compare different setups. Table 2 provides a brief overview of the models we used, including the number of layers and nodes, the number of epochs, and the accuracy achieved:

We tested different combinations of layers and nodes and trained each model for a different number of epochs. The best performing model was the 3D-CNN, which achieved an accuracy of 93% with just 5 epochs. However, the 3D-CNN + LSTM model also showed promising results, achieving an accuracy of 90% with 6 layers and 143 nodes.

Each model was implemented with Keras, an open-source deep learning library. The first LSTM model was a simple test that achieved great results in tests with just a single subject. It consists of a network with three layers, the first being a 20 LSTM nodes layer, followed by a hidden layer of 10 densely connected nodes. Finally, in every model, there are three output nodes that return the calculated probability of being each letter. Next, we tested a 3D-CNN network consisting of five layers:

- 60 3D-convolutional nodes
- 30 3D-convolutional nodes
- 20 3D-convolutional nodes
- 10 dense nodes
- 3 output nodes

The increased training time and fast convergence on the final accuracy led to the reduction to just five epochs.

After this, we tried to combine the 3D-CNN with the LSTM network by adding another layer between layers 3 and 4 of the 3D-CNN model. This new layer consists of 20 nodes and worsened the calculated result compared to the simpler network. This could have many reasons, such as a too small LSTM layer, too little prepared training data, a bad integration of the new nodes.

6 DISCUSSION AND LIMITS

In this paper it was studied whether it is possible to recognize speech based on MRI videos with the help of Deep Learning. Despite a positive result, there are some points that need to be critically addressed.

1. Currently, only a limited number of people in the dataset were examined, as data preparation is manual and very time-consuming. The model performs better with a lot of data from a few people than with data from many different people, because the variances naturally increase with the number of different subjects. With the option of adding multiple data from different subjects to make the model more realistic, the number of training data increases, which brings us to the next point.

2. The 3D-CNN-LSTM model requires high computing power. With the increase in training data, the execution time continues to increase, and powerful computers are needed.

3. Finally, it should be mentioned that only one approach to detection was used in this paper, which deals with the use of vectors. But there are other approaches such as contour tracking. This method defines outlines, or contours, of the tongue and vocal tract which requires more complex data preparation but could be more precise through inclusion of all movements of the oral cavity.

7 CONCLUSION

The investigations carried out have shown that it is possible to recognize individual letters by using a classification method. The best results were achieved with the 3D-CNN model, which has an accuracy value of 93%. The combination of the 3D-CNN model and the LSTM model achieved a value of 90%. The lower accuracy is because too little data available for this solution.

8 OUTLOOK

For the future, the first step is to massively increase the dataset to achieve better results. Therefore, it is important to include more letters in the analysis. Should this be successful, it would be conceivable to analyse whole words. Above all, this project should be an inspiration for anyone interested in further research to achieve new insights in linguistics, language modelling or clinical research.
REFERENCES


