Building Commuting Flows for an Agent Based Disease Spreading Simulation System Based on Aggregated Information
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Abstract: In the kernel of an agent-based disease-spreading simulation system, the key factor is the commuting flows of students and workers during weekdays, which gives the movement of people between their residents and offices/schools. During commuting, people who lived in different areas mixed, which increases the spatial spreading of the virus temporally. It is difficult to extract the exact flow from data such as the census. However, small-scale survey examples and aggregated information, such as the size of schools and dormitories and transportation utilization, are known. Using the above, together with information on transportation routes and public transits, in this paper, we give a method based on the well-known flow conservation principle to construct a commuting flow in Taiwan. Validations are given to show such constructed data to fairly describe the real flow by observing our simulation system’s behaviors against what happened in previous pandemics.

1 INTRODUCTION

In late 2019, the worldwide spreading disease COVID-19 started to transmit throughout the world. COVID-19 has affected people worldwide in the past four years, causing nearly 7 million deaths and countless economic losses. To reduce the harm of a pandemic like COVID-19, well-designed public health strategies are required. To help domain experts design effective intervention strategies, a good model for predicting disease-spreading behavior is crucial.

There are two main branches of the simulation systems, numerical equation-based models (NEM), and those individual-based models (IBM). The advantages of those NEMs are the ability to give a fast estimation result, which is important in the beginning stage of the pandemic. However, when complex intervention strategies are involved, extending the original NEM for testing different strategy combinations is hard. On the other hand, the IBM can easily be extended to contain different intervention strategies, such as various kinds of vaccination orders or other non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs). Therefore a good IBM is helpful when designing intervention strategies.

The IBM’s main problem is correctly generating the “simulation world.” For example, the SimTW system (Tsai et al., 2010) is an agent-based stochastic model which contains an underlying mock population to simulate the daily behaviors of each agent in the system. In order to generate the mock populations accurately, precise data are necessary. Moreover, integrating those “real-world” data into the simulation system becomes the fundamental problem. The underlying mock population in the IBM is one of the main factors that affect disease spreading (Lin et al., 2021; Goh et al., 2022) and the intervention strategies designing (Chang et al., 2015). The other one is how the agents interact with other agents. How do those agents move across different regions (Lai et al., 2022).

The previous version of the SimTW system only considers elementary, middle, and high school students. Those students primarily stay in their hometowns without commuting. We also only consider workers to commute to work no matter how long the traveling distance may be. When the ages of the...
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agents are above 18, they are treated as “working adults.” However, due to the low birth rate, the university entrance rate is very high in Taiwan (Tsai et al., 2020); nearly 99% of high school students can pass the university entrance exam. That is, those agents between the age of 19 to 22 should stay in the university instead of going to work. Furthermore, university students tend to study in schools in their hometowns. When a worker or university student commutes, one can choose to use public transportation or drive alone. One can also choose to stay in dormitories or rented apartments nearby working/studying places on weekdays. The effects mentioned above greatly influence the spatial and temporal virus spreading pattern. Unfortunately, the exact commuting patterns are very difficult to obtain (Lin et al., 2011). We can only have smalls survey results, aggregated information on sizes of dormitories and rented apartments, traffic routes, and utilization information.

In order to describe the underlying traffic flow better, we devise an estimating method based on the well-known network conservation principle to construct one. Our experimental result from SimTW using the constructed one fits much better than the previous pandemics that the one did not use. We then believe this is a good approximation of the real world.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we describe the background of the SimTW, the types of different parameters, and the types of different raw data. In Section 3, we describe how to build the university-related parameters according to the raw data. In Section 4, we compare the simulation results with different parameters setting. Finally, in Section 5, we conclude this paper.

2 PRELIMINARY

In this section, we first introduce the main components in the SimTW, including those in the original version and the extension part we make in this work. Next, we will describe the concept of commuting and the relation between this concept and our system.

2.1 SimTW

SimTW is an individual-based, stochastic, heterogeneous, and discrete-time simulation system developed by Tsai et al. (Tsai et al., 2010). This system uses a highly connected network model representing daily interaction between 23 million people living in Taiwan. Chang et al. modify the composition of household structure to study the effect of household size (Chang et al., 2015). Lin et al. used real-world data from different years to study the cohort effect (Lin et al., 2021). In the rest of this subsection, we give the network information in the system, which include the mock population, social structures, agent behaviors, disease transmission models, and the disease natural history model.

2.1.1 Mock Population

The mock population of SimTW was built based on the Taiwan Census Data at a granularity of so-called regions. A region is a natural division of geographical areas where people work and live. There are 368 regions in the system. The system uses an approach proposed by (Geard et al., 2013) to generate a mock population with a household structure. A household pattern represents the number of family members contained within a household in each age group by gender. Table 1 shows a brief example of household patterns and the corresponding probability of generating such households. Each pattern is represented as a 10-digit sequence. The first five and the last five digits denote the number of males and females in each age group, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0000001000</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0000010000</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0100000000</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000000000</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0000000100</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0010000000</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The system sets an upper bound of no more than eight members in any pattern for a practical reason. The distribution of such household patterns is needed to implement this approach (Geard et al., 2013). Moreover, the household patterns are updated according to the government’s yearly update data (Goh et al., 2022).

In SimTW, we used age group and identity attributes to determine an agent’s behaviors. The entire population is classified into five age groups, namely preschooler children (0-5 years old), school-age children (6-18 years old), young adults (19-29 years old), adults (30-64 years old) and elders (65+ years old). Such classification is based on similar behaviors in daily activities and contacts. An identity can be seen as the agent’s occupation. There are nine basic identities in SimTW (See Table 2), including playgroup children (PG), daycare center children (DC), kindergarten (KG), elementary school (ES), middle school (MS), high school (HS) and university students.

Table 2: Household patterns and their probabilities.
(UG), workers (WG), and those stay-in home (HH). Each agent has attributes, including a unique person ID, gender, age group, identity, living place, working/schooling place, medical record, and so on.

2.1.2 Social Structures

The social structures, which contain several mixing groups, were built based on (Germann et al., 2006) with local modification. A mixing group is a close association mixing up with individuals of the same characteristics. Every member within the same mixing group has a chance to contact all the other members in the same group. The models have twelve classes of such mixing groups, which can be divided into three categories: resident areas, routine areas, and surrounding areas. Resident areas include households (HH), household clusters (CL), daycare centers (DC), and play-groups (PG). Routine areas are the places where individuals stay to work and study, which include kindergartens (KG), elementary schools (ES/SW), middle schools (MS/SW), high schools (HS/SW), universities (UG), classes within each school (SC/UC), work groups (WG), dormitories of university (DU) and dormitories of working people (DW). Surrounding areas are neighborhoods (NB) and communities (CM) which provide occasional casual associations such as shopping malls and restaurants. Note that an agent in SimTW can belong to several mixing groups simultaneously at a given time, see Figure 1 for an illustration.

2.1.3 Agent’s Behavior

There are three different types of agents’ daily behavior, including workday, holiday, or long holiday, which lasts for more than two days according to the calendar based on (Directorate-General of Personnel Administration, Executive Yuan, Taiwan,) in SimTW. Each simulation day is set as one of the models mentioned above for an agent according to the age group and identity. Each day is divided into daytime and night-time periods. During the daytime workday, workers and students go to their routine areas. During the night-time of workday and holidays, an individual stays in the routine area if they live in the dormitory. Otherwise, they travel back to the resident area. Those living in dormitories return to their resident areas only during the long holidays. All unemployed and non-schooling individuals have activities only in their residential areas. A schematic chart of the relation between such social structure and behavior is shown in Figure 1.

2.2 Commuting Related Components

As mentioned in Section 2.1, no universities and dormitories exist in the original SimTW. Therefore, we describe the basic properties of these two groups in this subsection.

The university (UG) is one mixing group. University students will be active in this kind of mixing group. One university may contain several “university classes” (UC) representing different departments. One university student belongs to one UC and one UG, in which that UC is resided. Agents in a UG or UC will likely make sufficient contact with other agents within the same UG or UC. Usually, agents within the same UC have a higher chance to make contacts with others than those agents only within the same UG. University students also interact with people who live in the nearby region. They interact with other agents active in the same NB or CM containing that university. The situation is similar to the workers or students who go to school or work in regions other than their resident regions.

When agents live in one region and go to work or study in other regions, they may commute daily or stay in the dormitory during the workdays. More specifically, there are three kinds of agents. The first kind of agents live and work in the same region. They stay in the same region the whole day. The second kinds of agents live and work in different regions, but they choose to commute every day. That is, they go out in the morning and return to their homes in the evening. The third kind of agents live and work in different regions and choose to live in dormitories. They only return to their hometown during the long holiday. The first and second kinds of agents have similar daily activities in our system. The only difference is whether they do cross-region commuting or not. In Table 2, we summarized the nine identities in our system and showed the daily behavior of different identities during workdays, holidays, and long holidays.

3 METHOD

In this section, we will first describe the datasets we used in our work, including the data source, the contents, and the usage of each dataset. Next, we will illustrate how to use these data to build work/student flow matrices in our system and determine whether each agent will choose to commute.
3.1 Datasets

This work uses six different datasets to construct the commute-related parameters. These datasets are census data, household registration data, school data, national travel survey data, Google map data, and calendar data. The relations between datasets and the system configurations are shown in Figure 2.

### 3.1.1 Population Data

The census and household registration data are used to build our system’s basic underlying social structure. The census and household registration data details are described in the previous work (Goh et al., 2022). We here only mention those related to building the university and dormitory. In these datasets, we use the age, the living and working location, and the occupation to help us build the university and determine the commuting type. The working location has different recording granularities in Census 2000 and Census 2010. In Census 2000, we have the exact region name of the working / studying location. However, in Census 2010, the information on working locations only has three types:

1. Working and living in the same region;
2. Working and living in different regions but within the same county (city);
3. Working and living in different counties (cities).

### 3.1.2 School Data

We collect data from Taiwan’s Ministry of Education (MOE) (Department of Statistics, Ministry of Education, R.O.C., ). According to the data, there were
164 universities in the year 2010 in Taiwan. By using the address of each school, we can determine each school’s location (region). We also use the dormitory status data to determine the dormitory size. Note that from these data, we only know the number of students at each university and the percentage of students who chose to live in a dormitory. We need to find out the regions where those students come from.

### 3.1.3 Google Map Data

In order to determine the preference of a given agent, who would like to commute every day or live in a dormitory, we need to measure the transportation distance between each region and the corresponding transportation time. The Google Map API can measure the transportation time between two regions. Note that the transportation type affects the transportation time a lot. The main difference is whether to use the public transportation system or not. Using the Google Map API, we get the transportation time from region to region in different transportation types.

### 3.1.4 Transportation Data

We use the National Travel Survey data from the Ministry of Transportation and Communications (MOTC) (Department of Statistics, Ministry of Transportation and Communications, R.O.C., ). National Travel Survey data has been held every year since the year 2009 but stopped from the year 2017 to the year 2019 and resumed in 2020. This survey data include the gender, age, residential region, education level, the most frequently used transportation means when going out, whether the respondent went out yesterday, the purpose and location of each activity the respondent engaged in when the respondent went out all day yesterday, the transportation means used and the time spent, the reasons why people did not use public transportation means, People’s satisfaction with using public transport and the reasons for their dissatisfaction. There are 38,733 valid questionnaire survey records in the year 2010.

By using the information of age, education level, and purpose, we can separate the respondents into university students or workers. This information helps us to design different transportation strategies for different identity agents. The preference for public transportation or driving can differ for university students and workers.

### 3.1.5 Calendar Data

We collected the calendar data from Taiwan’s Directorate-General of Personnel Administration, Executive Yuan (Directorate-General of Personnel Administration, Executive Yuan, Taiwan, ). The data include workdays and holidays with types tagged. Schools’ summer and winter vacations are collected from each level of school. Note that the summer and winter vacations are only valid for students. A day has three types: workdays, holidays, and long holidays. Agents go to their workplaces and school during the workdays. During the holiday, agents do not go to work or school. When consecutive holidays are longer than two days, they become long holidays. During the usual holidays, agents who choose to commute will stay in their homes, but those agents who live in the dormitories stay in their dormitories. These non-commute agents will return to their homes only during the long holidays.

### 3.2 Constructing Commuting Configurations

This subsection describes the configurations we need to build the universities and dormitories. The first is the worker and student flow tables; the second is the probability table for determining the commuting type.

#### 3.2.1 Constructing a Worker Flow Matrix

The worker flow table $W$ is a $368 \times 368$ matrix with $\sum_{j} W[i][j] = 1$ for all $i$. Where 368 is the total number of regions in Taiwan. Each $W[i][j]$ denotes the probability that an agent lives in region $i$ has probability...
$W[i][j]$ to go to work in region $j$. By using the location information from the census data, we construct this table directly for the year 2000.

For 2010, we used the Census and household registration data information as the input constraints. The input data shows the number of working adults living in each region. We also know the number of working adults that live but work in different regions. Using this information, we can generate the region-to-region working flow and apply the maximum flow algorithm.

### 3.2.2 Constructing a University Student Flow Matrix

The university student flow table $U$ is similar to the worker flow table, but it is a $368 \times 164$ matrix with $\sum U[i][j] = 1$ for all $i$. That is, this table gives the probability that an agent lives in region $i$ will have probability $U[i][j]$ to go to university $j$. In order to construct this table, we use the location information from the census data and the size information from the school data together. However, data from different datasets have their own recording time and recording errors. Therefore they can not use together directly. By formulating the flow table constructing problem as a maximum flow problem, we find a maximum random flow to reduce the difference between different datasets. The flow problem can be seen as a two-stage flow problem. The first stage is similar to the worker flow. That is the region-to-region student flow. And the second stage is a region to school flow. That is, for those students assigned to the same region, we need to distribute them to different schools located in that region.

### 3.2.3 Constructing Commuting Matrices

The commuting tables, $C$, includes $C_U$ and $C_W$ are $368 \times 368$ 0/1 matrices. When $C_U[i][j] = 1$ ($C_W[i][j] = 1$), it means agents live in region $i$ and go to university (work) in region $j$ prefers commuting rather than live in a dormitory.

We use the following two different methods to construct the $C$ matrices. The first method uses the traveling time and distance between each region pair. According to the transportation data, we calculate the average transportation time of each moving method. We assume the university students may use the public transportation system or drive themselves and can tolerate a traveling time of up to 78 minutes. However, for the workers, the maximum traveling time for going to work is usually less than 23 minutes.

The second method also considers the transportation survey data. According to the survey data, university students and workers have different preferences when choosing moving methods and traveling time. In this method, we first determine whether an agent prefers to take public transportation or drive itself. Next, we check whether the estimated traveling time is larger or smaller than the tolerance threshold. If the traveling time is less than the threshold, that agent chooses to commute. Otherwise, that agent will choose to live in a dormitory. Note that each region’s public transportation system’s situation is different, and each region’s tolerance threshold is also different. Table 3 lists all the threshold times for workers and students using different transportation methods in each region. We construct two different $C$ matrices using the above two methods.

### Table 3: Maximum tolerated time for the transportation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region Name</th>
<th>Worker Public</th>
<th>Worker Drive</th>
<th>Student Public</th>
<th>Student Drive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Taipei City</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yilan County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taoyuan City</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miaoli County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taichung County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changhua County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nantou County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yunlin County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiayi County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tainan County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaohsiung County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pingtung County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tainung County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hualien County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penghu County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keelung City</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsinchu City</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taichung City</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiayi City</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tainan City</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taipei City</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaohsiung City</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liuchang County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinmen County</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4 EXPERIMENTS

#### 4.1 Experiment Setting

In this section, we compare the following different configuration settings.

1. Mock populations with and without the universities.
2. Different tolerated threshold for transportation information.
We first show the influence of having universities and dormitories. And then in the second experiment, we show how the transportation preference affects spreading of disease.

### 4.2 Experiment Result

#### 4.2.1 Experiment with Universities and Dormitories

Figure 3 compares the simulation result with and without the university and dormitory. The line with label v0.0 denotes the daily new infected cases in the system without university and dormitory. The line with label v2.0 denotes the daily new infected case in the system with university and dormitory with commuting configuration generated from Census 2000. The line with label v2.1 denotes the daily new infected case in the system with university and dormitory with commuting configuration generated from Census 2010.

The experiment result shows that all three settings have two local peaks under the same disease configuration. The two local peaks have nearly the same height when there is no university. The cross-region commuting is largely increased when there are universities and dormitories in the system. When we add a dormitory into the system, the disease has a lower spreading speed in the middle of the simulation. Most university students stay in their dormitories and only return home during the holidays. And this also reduces the probability of university students bringing the disease back to their hometown. When the holidays come, the coming home university students cause the second wave of infectious. The difference between the line v2.0 and v2.1 is the total number of university students. In 2010, the higher number of university students increased the height of the first peak in the simulation. This is because university students are the main parts causing cross-region transmission, and the second peak decreases due to a lack of non-infected agents in the systems.

#### 4.2.2 Experiment with Different Commuting Configuration

In Figure 4, we compare our system’s two commuting configurations with the holiday configuration. There are three lines in the figure. The line with label v0.0 denotes the original baseline. The line with label v3.0 denotes the daily new infected case with the first commuting configuration with a long holiday setting. The line with label v4.0 denotes the daily new infected case with the second commuting configuration with a long holiday setting.

In the first commuting configuration, we have a lower transportation tolerated threshold, meaning most university students stay in the dormitories. Therefore, the first peak of this setting is much lower than the other two and has a much higher second peak. The second commuting configuration has a higher transportation tolerated threshold. Therefore the fewer agents live in the dormitories, the higher the first peak is caused than the first configuration. Notice that the second configuration also has a very high and early second peak. This is because the amount of non-commute people is much more than in the first configuration.

### 5 CONCLUSION

In this work, we have shown how to build commuting flow in SimTW from the aggregated data. We use the constrained-flow algorithm to integrate different datasets to generate the cross-region commuting configuration. From the experiment results, we have found that the two main reasons affecting the disease spreading are the amount of cross-region commuting (commute or non-commute) and the daily activity types (day type). With the newly added university and dormitories components, detailed intervention strategies can be designed and tested in our system to help the domain experts design more specific public health strategies.
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