# UK Students' Concerns About Security and Privacy of Online Higher Education Digital Technologies in the Coronavirus Pandemic

Basmah Almekhled<sup>1,2</sup> and Helen Petrie<sup>1</sup> and Helen Petrie

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science, University of York, Heslington East, York, U.K. <sup>2</sup>College of Computing and Informatics, Saudi Electronic University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Keywords: Online Higher Education, Privacy and Security Concerns, Videoconferencing Technologies, Online Chat

Technologies, COVID Pandemic.

Abstract: The coronavirus pandemic has led to major changes in higher education around the world. Higher education

institutions (HEIs) moved to completely online learning and a range of new technologies including online videoconferencing and chat tools. Research has shown that users have privacy and security concerns about such tools, but little is known about the attitudes of HEI students to these issues, apart from reluctance to use webcams during online teaching. A survey of 71 UK HEI students explored attitudes and concerns about privacy and security in online teaching in the pandemic. Participants knew little about institutional policies on these issues and few had had any training. Ratings of concern across a range of issues were generally low, however in open-ended questions, a range of concerns such as being recorded without permission, unauthorised people entering and disrupting of online sessions, not knowing where recordings are stored and who has access to them. The main concerns about online teaching situations related to being monitored in examinations. HEIs moved very rapidly to deploy online technologies for teaching in response to the pandemic, but going forward, more transparency and information to students could alleviate many of these

concerns and create better informed students.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

As a result of the coronavirus pandemic, higher educational institutions (HEIs) around the world were suddenly forced to move largely to online teaching. UNESCO (2021) estimates that more than 220 million students in higher education were affected by the pandemic. Students began to learn and study online at very short notice and without the expectation that this would be the way they would have undertaken their courses and assessments. This transition required major changes in teaching and learning methods. Face-to-face lectures, seminars, practicals and other teaching methods were replaced with online equivalents were possible or suspended if this was not possible. Examinations were online or changed to different online assessments. This precipitous change caused considerable stress for both teachers (Watermeyer et al., 2021) and students.

Although many HEIs were already using online systems such as virtual learning environments (VLEs) before the pandemic, the use of a range of different technologies greatly increased when HEIs moved to fully or nearly fully online teaching. Our own institution is probably typical in that within days of the first lockdown in the United Kingdom, the institution purchased an enterprise level version of Zoom, set up Slack and Discord channels for students and staff at every level, and initiated discussion of how we would conduct the end of year examinations remotely via these technologies. This sudden move to the use of many different digital technologies also initiated much discussion of the privacy and security issues related to their use: how would we monitor whether students were participating in sessions, was it necessary for staff and students to have their microphones and webcams on during sessions, how would assessments and examinations be monitored for collusion and cheating and so on? The rapid

aD https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9985-7869 bD https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0100-9846 actions and discussions at our institution were clearly not unique, several studies of HEI educators' experiences of the pandemic (Müller et al., 2021; Watermeyer et al., 2021) highlight very similar issues.

Although not specifically about educational use of digital technologies, a worldwide survey conducted in May 2020, several months into widespread remote working and teaching due to the pandemic, found that privacy and security factors were the most frequently mentioned in relation to the use of conferencing and communication tools (Emami-Naeini et al., 2021). Another large general survey taken a few months before the pandemic also found that users were concerned about the security and privacy of group chat technologies (Oesch et al., 2020). These are the kinds of digital technologies that many HEIs began employing for online teaching druing the pandemic, often with rapid and ad hoc deployment.

A number of studies investigated HEI students' attitudes and concerns in relation to online privacy and security before the pandemic, but these are generally about their general attitudes and concerns, and not in relation specifically to their online education. For example, Kim (2013) surveyed 85 American university students and found they had a good understanding of online security issues. One question related to their educational experience, only 40% of respondents thought that their personal information was adequately protected in the institution's online systems. Khalid et al. (2018) surveyed 142 Malaysian HEI students and found they had typical concerns about online privacy and security (e.g. that their personal information might be shared without their permission) but also good knowledge of protective actions (e.g. to ignore requests for information from strangers). However, these questions were posed in relation to general online privacy and security, not specifically about the educational context.

In addition, a number of studies have investigated HEI students' experiences of digital technologies during the pandemic, in a wide range of countries and situations, although only one study could be found which focused specifically on privacy and security issues of the technologies being used. Kim (2021) investigated the attitudes of 296 South Korean HEI students using a technology acceptance model (TAM) (Davis et al., 1989) framework and found that concerns about online privacy and security negatively impacted on students' intention to participate in live online teaching sessions.

More general studies of students' attitudes to online teaching included Serhan (2020) who found

that a sample of US university students were positive about using Zoom for online teaching, although concerns about privacy were raised tangentially. A study in India (Agarwal & Kaushik, 2020) also found that university students were positive about Zoom sessions, although a study from Pakistan (Adnan & Anwar, 2020) found that the majority of students felt that face-to-face teaching was vital for learning.

Two studies were identified which investigated HEI students' attitudes to the use of webcams in online teaching and specifically why students do not want to have them on during online teaching sessions (Bedenlier et al., 2021; Gherhes et al., 2021). Although conducted in different countries (Germany and Romania), both found reluctance on the part of students to have webcams on during online teaching sessions. A range of reasons were proposed, including shyness and anxiety, but both studies highlighted privacy issues as major concerns. Yet, the educators in the study by Müller et al (2021) highlight the difficulty of engaging with students who cannot be seen in online teaching sessions.

Given the paucity of information about HEI students' concerns about the privacy and security issues of the numerous technologies now being deployed in online teaching, technologies which are very likely to continue to be used to some extent even as face-to-face education has returned, we set out to investigate these concerns with a sample of HEI students who had started their higher education before the pandemic, but were now continuing their studies during the pandemic (data were collected in December 2021). We chose to concentrate in the first instance on a sample of students from the UK (those studying in the UK and who are British), as educational practices at HE level can vary between countries. In addition, students from different cultures may well have different attitudes to online privacy and security in general and specifically in relation to their education. Some research has found substantial cultural differences in these areas (Cho et al., 2009; Trepte et al., 2017), although this is not always the case (Petrie & Merdenyan, 2016). Thus, investigating a culturally and educationally homogenous sample should allow us to draw clearer conclusions.

Our research questions were, in the context of the pandemic:

RQ1: What do HEI UK students understand by online privacy and security?

RQ2: Are UK HEI students aware of their institution's policies about online privacy and security issues and are they provided with training about these issues?

RQ3: What are UK HEI students' concerns about privacy and security issues in relation to using video conferencing and online chat technologies for online teaching and studying?

RQ4: What are UK HEI students' concerns about privacy and security issues in relation to a range of specific online teaching and learning situations?

### 2 METHOD

### 2.1 Participants

Participants were recruited via the Prolific recruitment site (prolific.co). The inclusion criteria, were to be British, studying at a UK HEI and to have been studying there since before the coronavirus pandemic started (i.e. to have started studying in the 2019 – 2020 academic year or earlier). Data were collected in December 2021 and this paper addresses students' current situation, that is study in the pandemic situation of enforced distance learning.

75 students responded, but data from four were discarded because they had not started studying before the pandemic, leaving a sample of 71 participants. Demographic information for the sample is shown in Table 1. All those who responded received a payment of GBP 2.00 for completing the survey.

The age range was surprisingly wide (18 - 67 years), but 50 participants (70.4%) were 25 years or younger, and 60 (84.5%) were 30 or younger. The sample was somewhat biased toward women (63.4% women), probably due to the tendency of women to volunteer for research [19].

Participants were studying at 46 different UK HEIs, representing every type of HEI from the elite universities (Oxford and Cambridge) to the newer HEIs. No one HEI had more than three participants. Most participants were studying at HEIs in England (61, 85.9%), but with some representation from Scotland (6, 8.5%), Wales (3, 4.2%) and Northern Ireland (1, 1.4%).

The distribution of degree levels is quite close to the overall UK higher education population, statistics from the Higher Education Statistics Agency [10] show that 73.0% of students are enrolled in Bachelor level degrees, 22.9% at Masters level and 4.1% at PhD or other research degrees. The distribution of major subjects of study is not so close to the overall UK higher education population [11] with overrepresentation of participants studying arts and humanities, computer science, engineering and mathematics, physical and social sciences, and under-

representation of students studying business studies. However, the sample did include participants studying a wide range of subjects in both the sciences and humanities.

Table 1: Demographics of the participants.

Age	
Range	18 - 67
Mean	25.6
Standard deviation	8.9
Gender	
Men	25 (35.2%)
Women	45 (63.4%)
Non-binary	1 (1.4%)
Degree level	
Bachelor	56 (78.9%)
Masters	10 (14.1%)
PhD	5 (7.0%)
Academic year started	
2019 - 2020	47 (66.2%)
2018 - 2019	19 (26.8%)
2017 - 2018	1 (1.4%)
Earlier	3 (5.6%)

### 2.2 Online Questionnaire

A questionnaire was designed to explore attitudes and concerns about privacy and security issues in relation to online teaching and learning. The questionnaire asked about attitudes and concerns held before the pandemic and since the pandemic, however this paper will concentrate on issues since the pandemic. The questionnaire included four sections relevant to this paper.

Before providing a definition of "online privacy and security" for the survey, participants were asked what this phrase meant to them. A working definition for the survey was then provided: "that a person's data, including their identity, is not accessible to anyone other than themselves and others who they have authorised and that their computing devices work properly and are free from unauthorised interference" (based on our reading of a range of sources, (e.g. NCSC, 2022; Schatz, 2017; Steinberg, 2019; Windley, 2005). This was to ensure that participants did understand what we were asking about in subsequent sections.

The four main sections of the questionnaire used a mixture of Likert rating items and open-ended questions. The sections were:

About the Participant's Institution: asked where the participant is studying, major subject of study, qualification they are studying for, and when they started studying in HE. Also, asked whether their institution has policies in relation to online privacy and security and provides training to students on these issues.

Privacy and Security Concerns About Videoconferencing and Chat Technologies in Teaching and Learning: asked about participants' experiences and concerns of online security and privacy specifically about videoconferencing and chat technologies in their teaching and learning. Questions also addressed concerns about security and privacy issues in relation to the different activities such as using videoconferencing and online chat technologies in teaching sessions or with other students.

Privacy and Security Concerns About a Range of Particular Online Teaching, Learning and Studying Situations: asked about concerns about security and privacy issues relevant to online teaching (e.g. online sessions being recorded without the participant's knowledge, unauthorised people attending online teaching sessions). Questions also addressed attitudes and practices around the use of webcams during teaching and study activities. This set of situations was developed from our reading of the literature and by brainstorming with a number of HEI educators about their experiences since the pandemic. We opted to ask about a range of specific situations, as a completely open-ended question on this topic might not elicit much specific information.

**Demographics:** asked basic questions about age, gender, and how knowledgeable participants rated themselves about online privacy and security issues and videoconference and chat technologies.

The online questionnaire was deployed using the Qualtrics survey software in December 2021. A pilot study with five students at our own institution was conducted to assess the clarity of the questions and the time required to complete the survey. Some small adjustments were made. The survey received ethical approval from the University of York Physical Sciences Ethics Committee.

### 2.3 Data Preparation

The questionnaire elicited both quantitative (answers to multiple choice questions and 7-point Likert items) and qualitative data (answers to open-ended questions). The Likert items were often very skewed to the lower part of the scale, so analysed using non-parametric statistics. Medians and semi-interquartile ranges (SIQRs) were calculated instead of means and standard deviations and the Wilcoxon One Sample

Signed Ranks Test was used to test whether the distribution of ratings differed from the midpoint of the scale. As the sample size is large (more than 30 observations), the Z statistic for the normal distribution approximation was used instead of the Wilcoxon T (Siegel & Castellan, 1988).

Thematic analysis was conducted separately on each open-ended question. Inductive thematic analysis was used (Braun & Clarke, 2006), as no a priori assumptions were made about the themes which would emerge. The typical thematic analysis procedure was used of reading through all the answers to a particular question several times, developing a preliminary set of themes, and then working through the material repeatedly to refine those themes and where appropriate, create subthemes. In some cases, themes were sub-divided into sub-themes (see Tables 2 and 3), but as these were relatively small thematic analyses (71 responses in Table 2, 28 in Table 4, 22 in Table 6), this was not always the case.

### 3 **RESULTS**

Before addressing the four research questions, we briefly present results on participants' experience of online teaching and studying and how that changed due to the pandemic.

Participants were asked whether their teaching before the pandemic was totally online, totally face-to-face, or blended (i.e. a mixture of face-to-face and online). 60 participants (84.5%) reported that it had been totally face-to-face, with only 5 (7.0%) reporting totally online teaching, and 6 (8.5%) blended teaching. In response to the pandemic, teaching for the majority of participants (54, 76.1%) moved (or remained) totally online (participants whose teaching did not move totally online were mainly in medicine, physics, chemistry or biology). Thus, for a majority of participants (44, 62.0%) the pandemic resulted in a radical of teaching methods, from totally face-to-face to totally online.

# 3.1 RQ1: Students' Understanding of Online Privacy and Security (RQ1)

Initially, participants were asked to provide their understanding of online privacy and security in their own words. This was a compulsory question, so all 71

Table 2: Participants' understanding of online security and privacy (based on answers from all 71 participants).

MAIN CATEGORY/sub category	Examples			
SECURE (Mentioned by 30 participants, 42.3%; 32 distinct mentions, 23.0%)				
Data/information	keeping my data, work and login details secure (P4)			
18 (56.3%)	Keeping my information secure whilst studying online (P46)			
During online activities	being safe while using the Internet (P13)			
10 (31.3%)	Attending over a secure platform i.e. Microsoft teams (P69)			
In accessing institution resources	being able to access institution resources safely (P11)			
4 (12.5%)	Making sure my university site is secure (P36)			
PRIVATE (Mentioned by 20 participants, 28				
Data/information	Keeping your information private within the university (P43)			
14 (66.7%)	Keeping all personal data and the course progress of students private (P49)			
During online activities	Being able to participate in online lectures without other students and			
7 (33.3%)	lecturers being able to see and hear people in the background of your home			
	(P11)			
	Having a private connection when attending online classes and meetings (P69)			
<b>PROTECTION</b> (mentioned by 17 participan				
	having reliable protection against online threats (P21)			
	protection of personal data (P27)			
NOT ACCESSIBLE (mentioned by 16 parts	icipants, 22.5%; 17 distinct mentions, 12.2%)			
Unauthorised persons are not able to access	making sure that personal information, passwords, private files cannot			
data	be accessed without my permission (P25)			
	my details are only available on an as requested basis and only to the			
	university (P47)			
	(mentioned by 13 participants, 18.3%; 16 distinct mentions,11.5%)			
Data cannot be stolen, hacked, leaked	won't risk my passwords or data being leaked (P24)			
	my information online is not vulnerable to be stolen (P44)			
NOT SHARED (mentioned by 8 participant				
Data cannot be shared (without permission)	That your data cannot be passed on (P20)			
	Your data is not shared outwith relevant groups (P41)			
PREVENT USE WITHOUT PERMISSION OR MISUSE (mentioned by 8 participants, 11.3%, 7 mentions, 5.0%)				
	someone else using my information without my permission for their			
	benefit (P10)			
	my information online is not vulnerable to be used in a harmful way			
	(P44)			

participants provided an answer. Results of the thematic analysis of responses are summarised in Table 2. Nearly half the participants (30, 42.3%) produced somewhat circular definitions by using the term "secure" (or close variations) and more than a quarter (20, 28.2%) used "private" (or close variations). However, many participants elaborated with what was secure or private, most commonly their data, but also their online activities and their access to their institution's resources. Smaller numbers of participants brought in different concepts such as their data being protected (17, 23.9%) or not accessible (except to those authorised, 16, 22.5%) or not able to be stolen, hacked or leaked (13, 18.3%), shared without permission (8, 11.3%) or not mis-used (8, 11.3%). Smaller numbers of participants (less than 10%) also mentioned preventing scams, anonymity in online activities, having control over one's data and confidentiality.

# 3.2 Students' Awareness of Institutional Policies About Online Privacy and Security Issues and Training (RQ2)

Participants were asked if they knew whether their institution has policies about privacy and security issues in relation to the use of technologies for online teaching and learning (e.g. videoconference systems, online chat systems). Less than one third of participants (21, 29.6%) knew of such policies, a small number (5, 7.0%) said they thought the institution did not have any policies, and the majority

Table 3: Participants' level of concern about online security and privacy about using video conferencing and chat technologies in online teaching and studying (rated from 1 = not at all concerned to 7 = very concerned).

Issue	Median (SIQR)	Z	р
Using video conferencing technologies in teaching sessions	1.00 (0.50)	-6.89	< .001
Using online chat technologies in teaching sessions	1.00 (0.50)	-6.53	< .001
Using video conferencing technologies in studying with other students	1.00 (1.00)	-6.75	< .001
Using online chat technologies in studying with other students	1.00 (1.00)	-6.70	< .001

Table 4: Participants' concerns about videoconferencing and online chat technologies (based on 28 participants).

### BEING RECORDED (WITHOUT PERMISSION) (mentioned by 6 participants, 21.4%)

It's also easy to record things without anyone's permission or knowledge (P32)

People being recorded when they don't consent to be (P38)

### UNAUTHORISED PEOPLE BEING IN A SESSION (AND DISRUPTING IT) (mentioned by 6 participants, 21.4%)

There have been cases of random people joining Zoom calls if they are public and then causing chaos until they get kicked out (P13)

There's always a risk of someone joining without invitation (P43)

### LACK OF TRUST IN THE INSTITUTION OR THE TECHNOLOGY (mentioned by 6 participants, 21.4%)

I just don't trust the university websites as they are very outdated (P29)

I'm more concerned about online chat technologies as these are run by companies like Facebook which I don't trust (vs trust Zoom etc slightly more) (P66)

# NOT KNOWING WHO CAN ACCESS RECORDINGS OF SESSIONS OR WHERE THEY ARE STORED (mentioned by 5 participants, 17.9%)

The recorded sessions go online with our information and video and its hard to know who can access it (P16)

I would want to know .. who will have access to it [the recording], especially if I personally have participated in the conversation or had my camera on .. I would want to know where [the recording] will be stored (P19)

# CAMERA/MICROPHONE ON/OFF? (mentioned by 4 participants, 14.3%)

... that I will accidentally turn my camera on when I don't mean to (P63)

Worries of camera / mic being on when you are not aware that they are (P64)

of participants (45, 63.4%) said they were not sure or did not know.

When asked whether their institution provides training in online privacy and security issues, a small number of participants (10, 14.1%) reported that training was provided, more reported that it was not provided (16, 22.5%) and again, the majority were not sure or did not know (45, 63.4%). Only two participants (2.8%) reported having received any such training.

# 3.3 Students' Concerns About Privacy and Security Issues in Relation to Video Conferencing and Online Chat Technologies for Online Teaching and Studying (RQ3)

Participants were asked to rate their level of concern about security and privacy issues in relation to the use of videoconferencing and online chat technologies for teaching sessions and for studying with other students (on 7-point Likert items, coded as 1 = not at all concerned to 7 = very concerned, only the end point wording was presented to participants). Table 3 shows that in all cases, they rated their concern as very low (median of 1.0 or "not at all concerned" on all four combinations, significantly below the midpoint of the rating scale) with very small variance (as measured by the SIQRs).

However, in a follow-up open ended question about any concerns, 28 (39.4%) of participants did raise concerns, including two who said they were not concerned, but then raised concerns. The thematic analysis of these concerns is summarised in Table 4. Two of the most commonly mentioned concerns were videoconferencing sessions being recorded without permission, and who could access them (particularly whether institution staff could access them) and where they would be stored (although it was not explicitly mentioned, this presumably related to the security of the storage). The other most commonly mentioned concerns were about unauthorised people entering and disrupting online sessions (although no

participant reported this actually happening to them) and a general lack of trust in either the institution, the technology or the companies providing the technology.

Participants were also concerned about knowing whether their camera or microphone being on when they are not aware of it and that others (again, particularly staff) might be able to access their camera or turn their camera or microphone off without their knowledge or permission. Less frequently mentioned concerns (mentioned by less than 10% of participants who answered) included being involved in discussions with other students who might do/say something that would get the whole group into trouble (this was one of the few concerns about other students) and a number of concerns which were not necessarily about online privacy and security, for example not wanting to post a photo of oneself (this could be a privacy concern, it was not clear), feeling uncomfortable in online sessions, and it being "just difficult to get points across, feels less authentic" (P58) (all mentioned only by one participant).

# 3.4 Students' Concerns About Privacy and Security Issues in Relation to a Range of Specific Online Teaching and Learning Situations (RQ4)

Participants were asked to rate their level of concern about online privacy and security issues in relation to a range of specific online teaching and learning situations (see Table 5). Two situations, both relating to being monitored in online exams, had median ratings of 4.0, which were not significantly different from the midpoint of scale, which indicates a moderate level of concern. All the other situations had medians of 1.0 or 2.0 and were significantly below the midpoint of the scale, meaning participants were not particularly concerned about them. However, it is interesting that a number of the situations were ones which were commonly raised by participants in the open-ended question about privacy and security concerns in relation to video conferencing and online chat technologies, namely online sessions being recorded without their knowledge, unauthorised people attending or disrupting online teaching sessions.

Again, in a follow-up open-ended question participants described their concerns. 22 participants(30.1%) raised concerns (see Table 6), and three participants also raised positive aspects of the online situation in relation to these issues. The positive comments were the fact that online lectures could be watched again to understand them better;

and that the chat facility in online sessions was very useful for sharing comments and links; that online sessions were password protected, which made the participant feel secure.

In terms of the concerns, there was some overlap with the answers to the previous open-ended question (see Table 3), with the two most frequently mentioned concerns (being recorded without permission and unauthorised people being in an online session and potentially disrupting it) also being the most frequently mentioned in responses to the earlier question. The additional concern most frequently mentioned in response to this question was other students making inappropriate comments in online sessions (mentioned by 3 participants, 13.6%). Less frequently mentioned concerns (mentioned by less than 10% of the participants who answered) were possibilities for plagiarism (mentioned by two participants), one's work being shared without permission, that the teacher could turn the student's webcam or microphone on without alerting them, and the issue of not wanting to post one's photo (discussed above in relation to RG3) (mentioned by one participant each).

# 4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

This study investigated the attitudes and concerns about privacy and security in online teaching and learning of UK HEI students during the coronavirus pandemic. In relation to RQ1, participants seemed to have only a rather basic and perhaps overly simple understanding of online privacy and security, but this may be because they were asked in an online survey and only felt the need to give a simple answer. It would be important to follow up HEI students understanding of these concepts and their mental models of how online privacy and security work in online education with more in-depth methods such as interviews and focus groups.

In relation to RQ2, less than one third of participants knew whether their HEI had any policies about online privacy and security and only two (2.5%) had received any training in this area. A separate question is whether HEIs have clear policies in these areas. What precautions are taken to ensure that only eligible students attend online sessions, are students (and staff) required to have webcams on during online sessions, what is recorded in sessions (just the video or also the chat discussion), how private and secure are informal channels such as

Table 5: Participants' level of concern about online security and privacy about particular teaching and learning situations (rated from 1 = not at all concerned to 7 = very concerned).

Issue	Median (SIQR)	Z	p
Having to turn on your webcam during an online exam to allow the teacher to monitor you in real-time	4.00 (2.00)	0.03	n.s.
Having to video record yourself during online exams so a teacher can review the video later	4.00 (2.00)	0.29	n.s.
Other students making recording or screenshots without permission	2.00 (1.50)	-4.68	< .001
Your work being used as examples without your permission	2.00 (1.50)	-5.36	< .001
Other students making recording or screenshots without permission	2.00 (1.50)	-4.68	< .001
Other students making inappropriate comments (sexist, racist)	2.00 (1.50)	-5.66	< .001
Online lectures/seminars being recorded without your knowledge	1.00 (1.00)	-6.33	< .001
Unauthorised people attending online teaching sessions	1.00 (1.00)	-5.89	< .001
Unauthorised people interrupting online teaching session	1.00 (1.00)	-6.09	< .001
Other students harassing you	1.00 (0.50)	-7.04	< .001
Your teacher not turning on their webcam in teaching sessions	1.00 (0.50)	-6.87	< .001
Other students not turning on their webcams in online sessions	1.00 (0.50)	-7.05	< .001

Table 6: Participants' concerns about online security and privacy in particular teaching and learning situations (based on answers from 22 participants).

### BEING RECORDED (WITHOUT PERMISSION) (mentioned by 6 participants, 27.3%)

Being recorded without my knowledge (P7)

Other students making recordings/screenshots: not that concerned as only likely personal data would be name/face (which could also be found on social media etc.) (P66)

### UNAUTHORISED PEOPLE BEING IN A SESSION (AND DISRUPTING IT) (mentioned by 5 participants, 22.7%)

Again, my main concern is around people hacking sessions (P3)

Interruptions in teaching sessions is probably the most concerning since it's the most likely thing to happen (P51)

### INAPPROPRIATE COMMENTS FROM STUDENTS (mentioned by 3 participants, 13.6%)

There was one issue where a student made some inappropriate comments in the chat feature during a live session, this was dealt with well by the professor ... (P58)

Inappropriate comments/harassment: slightly concerned but this is no different to when this could happen before pandemic (P66)

Slack or Discord? There are many issues where privacy and security policies are needed. A starting point may be the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), but much more specific policy is required in these areas. Granted, HEIs needed to move very rapidly to deploy online technologies for teaching in response to the pandemic, but our survey took place in December 2021, when HEIs in the UK were still largely teaching in a hybrid format, often with online lectures but face-to-face seminars and practicals¹, but had had ample time to publicise policies and provide students with appropriate training and support. This would help students not only in their education, but more generally in their online lives.

On RQ3 and RQ4 the ratings of concern were very low, apart from those relating to the monitoring of examinations, suggesting that students were not concerned about the many potential privacy and security issues that many of their teachers had undoubtedly stressed over. However, it was interesting that in the responses to the follow-up open-ended questions a substantial minority of participants then raised numerous concerns. We need to conduct further analysis to investigate whether these participants did in fact give higher concern ratings. However, this shows the importance of not relying on quantitative data alone, which in this instance may have been susceptible to socially

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> An informal survey of 18 UK HEIs found that 83% (15) were teaching in such a hybrid format, with 17% (3) teaching largely face-to-face.

desirable answers (students not wanting to appear overly concerned). However, this apparent lack of concern on the part of UK HEI students is somewhat at odds with studies which have shown concerns amongst the working population about online privacy and security issues (Emami-Naeini et al., 2021; Oesch et al., 2020). Do students trust their institutions more than workers or are they simply less worried about these issues in an educational context? The results also differ from those from Kim (2013), admitted data from well before the pandemic and in a country without GDPR, which found that a sample of American HEI students tended not to think their personal information was adequately protected by their institution.

Although we did not specifically ask participants whether they had personally experienced any of the privacy and security issues asked about in the questionnaire, ongoing analysis of the open-ended questions suggests that very few participants had actual experience of the issues they were concerned about but had heard or thought about these issues. There were numerous uses of hypothetical phrases such as "people taking photos could be a concern..." (P63) and "I would be uneasy if ..." (P55). There was only a very few instances in which a participant recounted an experience in some detail which they had clearly experienced personally. Thus, some of the concerns, such as online sessions being "Zoombombed" or teachers being allowed to turn on students' webcams or mikes without their permission could be alleviated with greater transparency and information to students from their HEIs.

A particular limitation of this study is that although a sample of 71 students from a range of UK HEIs is very adequate for the quantitative analysis and amount of data for the qualitative analysis was relatively small. It was necessary to make most of the open-ended questions optional – participants could not answer about concerns they did not have, but we also did not want to make the questionnaire too onerous to complete. Thus, some participants who did have concerns might not have written about them, but more importantly the sample of students with concerns was not large (28 for RQ3 and 22 for RQ4). Thus, more data and different methods are needed to explore these issues further, but this study provides some initial pointers of interest.

As mentioned in the Introduction, we specifically sampled the population of British students studying at UK HEIs. The attitudes and concerns of international students studying in the UK may be different, and the attitudes and concerns of students studying at HEIs in other countries is very likely to be very different.

That is a topic we will address in further research. In addition, the questionnaire also asked about students' attitudes and concerns before the coronavirus pandemic and how the pandemic had changed their attitudes. This will be the focus of further analysis of our data.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We would like to thank all the students who participated in the survey for their time and effort in answering quite a long set of questions.

### REFERENCES

- Adnan, M. & Anwar, K. (2020). Online learning amid the COVID-19 pandemic: students' perspectives. *Journal of Pedagogical Sociology and Psychology*, 2(1), 45 51
- Agarwal, S. & Kaushik, J.S. (2020). Students' perception of online learning during COVID pandemic. *Indian Journal of Pediatrics*, 87(7), 554. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12098-020-03327-7
- Almaiah, M.A., Al-Khasawneh, A., & Althunibat, A. (2020). Exploring the critical challenges and factors influencing the e-learning system usage during COVID-19 pandemic. *Education and Information Technologies*, 25, 5261 5280. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10219-y
- Bedenlier, S., Wunder, I., Glaser-Zikuda, M., Kammerl, R., Kopp, B., Ziegler, A., & Handel, M. (2021). "Generation invisible"?: Higher education students' (non)use of webcams in synchronous online learning. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, 2,100068. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2021.100068
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77 101. 10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
- Cho, H., Rivera-Sanchez, M., & Lim, S.S. (2009). A multinational study on online privacy: global concerns and local responses. *New Media and Society*, 11(3), 395 416. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444808101618
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P., & Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: A comparison of two theoretical models. *Management Science*, 35(8), 982–1003.
- Emami-Naeini, P., Francisco, T., Kohno, T., & Roesner, F. (2021). Understanding privacy attitudes and concerns towards remote communications during the COVID-19 pandemic. Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security (SOUPS '21) (online only). USENIX.
- Gherhes, V., Simon, S., & Para, I. (2021). Analysing students' reasons for keeping their webcams on or off during online classes. *Sustainability*, 13, 3203. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13063203

- Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA). (2022a). *HE* student enrolments by level of study 2016/17 to 2020/21. https://www.hesa.ac.uk/data-and-analysis/sb262/figure-3.
- Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA). (2022b). What do HE students study? https://www.hesa.ac.uk/data-and-analysis/students/what-study.
- Khalid, F., Daud, M.Y., Rahman, M.J.A., & Nasir, M.K.M. (2018). An investigation of university students' awareness of cyber security. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7(4.21), 11 – 14.
- Kim, E.B. (2013). Information security awareness status of business college undergraduate students. *Information Security Journal: A Global Perspective*, 22(4), 171 – 179. https://doi.org/10.1080/19393555.2013.828803
- Kim, S.S. (2021). Motivators and concerns for real-time online classes: focused on the security and privacy issues. *Interactive Learning Environments*, https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1863232.
- Müller, A.M., Goh, C., Lim, L.Z., & Gao, X. (2021). COVID-19 emergency elearning and beyond: experiences and perspectives of university educators. *Education Sciences*, 11, Art. 19. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/educsci11010019
- National Cyber Security Centre (NCSC): What is cyber security? https://www.ncsc.gov.uk/ section/about-ncsc/what-is-cyber-security
- Oesch, S., Abu-Salma, R., Diallo, O., Krämer, J., Simmons, J., Wu, J., & Ruoti, S. (2020). Understanding user perceptions of security and privacy for group chat: a survey of users in the US and UK. Proceedings of Annual Computer Security Applications Conference (ACSAC '20). ACM Press. https://doi.org/10.1145/3427228.3427275
- Petrie, H., & Merdenyan, B. (2016). Cultural and gender differences in password behaviors: Evidence from China, Turkey and the UK. Proceedings of the 9th Nordic Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (NordiCHI '16). ACM Press. https://doi.org/10.1145/ 2971485.2971563
- Rosnow, R. L. & Rosenthal, R. (2012). *Beginning behavioral research: a conceptual primer (7th edition)*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Schatz, D., Bashroush, R., & Wall, J. (2017). Towards a more representative definition of cyber security. *Journal of Digital Forensics, Security and Law*, 12(2), Art 8. https://doi.org/10.15394/jdfsl.2017.1476
- Serhan, D. (2020). Transitioning from face-to-face to remote learning: students' attitudes and perceptions of using Zoom during COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Technology in Education and Science*, 4(4), 335 342.
- Siegel, S., & Castellan, N.J. (1988). *Nonparametric* statistics for the behavioral sciences. McGraw-Hill.
- Slusky, L. & Partow-Navid, P. (2014). Students' information security practices and awareness. *Journal of Information Privacy and Security*, 8(4), 3 26. https://doi.org/10.1080/15536548.2012.10845664
- Steinberg, J. (2019). Cybersecurity for dummies. Wiley.

- Trepte, S., Reinecke, L. Ellison, N.B., Quiring, O., Yao, M.A. & Ziegele, M. (2017). A cross-cultural perspective on the privacy calculus. *Social Media* + *Society*, 3(1), 1 13. DO https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305116688035
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). (2021). COVID-19: reopening and reimagining universities, survey on higher education through the UNESCO National Commissions. unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000378174.
- Watermeyer, R., Crick, T., Knight, C., & Goodall, J. (2021). COVID-19 and digital disruption in UK universities: afflictions and affordances of emergency online migration. *Higher Education*, 81, 623 641. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-020-00561-y
- Windley, P.J. (2005). Digital identity: unmasking identity management architecture. O'Reilly.

