# **Towards Autonomous Mobile Inspection Robots Using Edge AI**

Ricardo C. Câmara de M. Santos<sup>®</sup><sup>a</sup>, Mateus Coelho Silva<sup>®</sup><sup>b</sup>, Rodrigo Lucas Santos<sup>®</sup><sup>c</sup>, Emerson Klippel<sup>®</sup><sup>d</sup> and Ricardo A. R. Oliveira<sup>®</sup><sup>e</sup>

Departmento de Computação - DECOM, Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto - UFOP, Ouro Preto, Brazil

- Keywords: Autonomous Mobile Robot, Inspection Robot, Robot, Edge AI, Artificial Intelligence, Deep Learning, CNN, YOLOv7, Feedback Control, Object Detection, Jetson Xavier NX.
- Abstract: Recent technological advances have made possible what we call industry 4.0 in which the industrial environment is increasingly filled with advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence and robotics. Defective products increase the cost of production and in such a dynamic environment manual methods of equipment inspection have low efficiency. In this work we present a robot that can be applied in this scenario performing tasks that require automatic displacement to specific points of the industrial plant. In this robot we use the concept of Edge AI using artificial intelligence in a edge computing device. To perform its locomotion the robot uses computer vision with the brand new YOLOv7 CNN and feedback control. As hardware this robot uses a Jetson Xavier NX, Raspberry Pi 4, a camera and a LIDAR. We also performed a complete performance analysis of the object detection method measuring FPS, consumption of CPU, GPU and RAM.

# **1** INTRODUCTION

The technological advances of the 21st century made possible the fourth industrial revolution, also known as industry 4.0. Industry 4.0 is the combination of several technologies, such as digital technologies (e.g., artificial intelligence, Internet of Things, and blockchain) and other technological advances (e.g., robotics, digital twins, and cyber-physical systems) all applied to an industrial production environment (Hassoun et al., 2022). In this way, the industrial environment is moving towards having a high-level collaboration between robots and human workers to increase safety, flexibility, and productivity.

Defective products increase costs and deteriorate manufacturing processes. Inspection routines for the preventive detection of anomalies and problems are of paramount importance for efficiently maintaining an industrial plant. Conventional manual inspection methods have a high workload, offer risks and have low efficiency. In addition, they cannot continuously satisfy the increasing quality standards of the means of production. Therefore, robots helps to reduce these

- <sup>a</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2058-6163
- <sup>b</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3717-1906

<sup>d</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0312-3615

problems and it is one of the research focuses around the world (Ebayyeh and Mousavi, 2020).

A fundamental component of Industry 4.0 is the flexible manufacturing system, an advanced production system that interconnects machines, workstations, and logistical equipment, with the entire manufacturing process. This fabrication system is intended for highly complex manufacturing tasks of great topological diversity, guaranteeing delivery times and minimum manufacturing costs and with frequent changes (Florescu and Barabas, 2020). In this way, the need for autonomy in the movement of the automatic inspection agent arises. So the inspection agents should move to the inspection sites autonomously and appropriately.

For this matter, we present an autonomous locomotion method based on Edge AI technology. This work aims to present a robot capable of moving to specific points of the production plant. These sensors are a camera and a LIDAR. The robot moves to target positions using object detection in images through the usage of a CNN (Albawi et al., 2017a) applied to images captured from a camera installed on the robot. LIDAR is used to measure the robot's distance to the target position and its arrival at the required positions.

DOI: 10.5220/0011972200003467

In Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems (ICEIS 2023) - Volume 1, pages 555-562 ISBN: 978-989-758-648-4; ISSN: 2184-4992

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3795-9218

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5167-1523

Copyright (© 2023 by SCITEPRESS – Science and Technology Publications, Lda. Under CC license (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

## 2 THEORETICAL REFERENCES

This section presents the necessary theoretical framework for creating the robot and its autonomous locomotion methodology.

### 2.1 Autonomous Mobile Robots

An autonomous mobile robot (AMR) is a system designed for operation and navigation in an unpredictable and partially unknown environment. For this, the robot must navigate without interruption and avoid collision with obstacles within a known confined environment (Ishikawa, 1991). The AMR requires little or no human intervention to navigate and move around and is designed to follow a pre-defined path, whether indoors or outdoors.

The fundamentals of mobile robotics consist of locomotion, perception, and navigation (Alatise and Hancke, 2020). Indoors, the mobile robot usually relies on the floor plan, sonar location, and inertial measurement unit (IMU), among other sensors. For the robot to function, it needs to have a range of sensors that offer an internal representation of the environment.

### 2.2 Convolutional Neural Networks

Machine Learning is a field of research in the subfield of Artificial Intelligence (AI) that studies the development of methods capable of extracting concepts from data samples. One of the methods used for this purpose is artificial neural networks (ANN) that can be understood as a non-linear mathematical model to predict or generate content based on input data. Networks are formed by layers of neurons, which are the basic units of networks. Each layer of neurons is connected to each other through connections called synapses which are the output signal of each neuron and, at the same time, the input signal of the next layer, so the information propagates through the network. These synapses are associated with weights defined during the training of the network so that the model learns to process the information appropriately (Krogh, 2008).

The term Deep Learning refers to ANN with a considerable amount of layers. Interest in having deeper hidden layers has outperformed classical methods in different application areas, especially in (Albawi et al., 2017b) pattern recognition. One of the most popular deep neural networks is the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). They take this name because it is the name of the linear mathematical operation between matrices called convolution. CNNs perform very well on machine learning problems. They have several layers that identify hierarchical patterns. These layers include convolutional layer learning filters that run on the input image, forming a feature map as output. Pooling layers are used to subtract information from the previous layer. Upsampling layers can be used to augment information. Applications dealing with image data are widely used for object detection (Arulprakash and Aruldoss, 2022).

## 2.3 Edge AI

Current artificial intelligence applications, such as deep learning, are techniques that require high computational power for their execution, which creates a technical challenge to adapt artificial intelligence applications for embedded devices (Li et al., 2019). Edge AI is a new perspective that benefits from Edge Computing concepts to develop artificial intelligence applications.

Edge computing and artificial intelligence, by nature, have conflicting requirements. AI generally requires more processing, while edge computing builds primarily on hardware miniaturization and increased mobility. However, a recent trend has shown an increasing number of applications applying the two concepts together, especially in mobile edge computing (Chen and Ran, 2019). In this way, the Edge AI (Wang et al., 2019) concept has the challenge of adapting AI and hardware models to make it possible to develop applications for this context.

# **3 RELATED WORKS**

In Szrek et al. (Szrek et al., 2022), a mobile inspection platform based on autonomous UGV (Unmanned Land Vehicles) was proposed, equipped with various sensors (RGB image, sound, gas sensor, among others). They used a laboratory with a co-supplier test cart designed for research purposes. The process was divided into two parts: inspection planning and execution. The units are controlled by a module based on an STM32 microcontroller with an ARM Cortex M3 core. They employ a SLAM algorithm for localization and mapping while using MQTT to communicate within the modules. Once again, this robot relies on heavy modules, sensor fusion, and predetermined algorithms to perform its task. It also differs from our approach as it does not use AI and relies on more algorithms, more sensors, and heavier modules to perform the task

Dandurand et al. (Dandurand et al., 2022) present a system used in Hydro-Quebec of an all-weather au-

tonomous inspection robot for electrical substations capable of acting in all climatic situations. In particular, it aims at substations subject to severe winter conditions in northern countries. The solution uses realtime kinematic positioning (RTK) for location and an IMU for attitude awareness. This system also employs a set of fixed algorithms and does not rely on AI to perform any task. Although it is also meant for inspection, the applications differ from those proposed in this work in a similar way as the previous ones.

Salimpour (Salimpour et al., 2022) et al. propose a comprehensive deep-learning framework capable of monitoring anomalies and changes in environments at the pixel level in image pairs. They used neural network architectures such as SuperPoint and Superglue to detect changes and foreign objects in an environment. A terrestrial robot was used for reference and query data collection purposes. They employ a complex set of sensors, including two RGB cameras, a LI-DAR camera, and a rotative LIDAR, to perform their tasks. Despite this robot employing AI, the study is not centered on understanding some aspects displayed in this work, such as real-time constraints and hardware profiling for performing these critical tasks.

Cheng et al. (Cheng and Xiang, 2020) designed a completely autonomous trail-type inspection robot for monitoring electrical distribution rooms. It is equipped with multiple sensors, including an optical zoom camera, a thermal imaging camera, and a partial discharge detector. It has a software layer that interfaces with the hardware to perform inspection actions, task management, and data visualization. They adopt computer-vision tools to perform the recognition tools. It also differs from our work, as its navigation is attached to fixed trails, and its image processing does not rely on AI.

Finally, Hercitk et al. (Hercik et al., 2022) present an autonomous industrial mobile robot with the aim of cooperation with the production line, working on logistical tasks. For this matter, they used a commercial robot from Mobile Industrial Robots (Mir), in addition to a centralized control station for MiR robots (MiR FLEET) and an I/O module for MiR robots (MiR WISE) to communicate. This application has a different purpose and functioning when compared to the work presented in this task.

Several applications relate to our work. Some works tackle autonomous navigation tasks and even autonomous inspection tasks. Nonetheless, the reviewed appliances differ from the proposal in this work for several reasons. Our proposal is from a lean system, with a minimum sensor set, but relying on AI to perform inspection tasks in an open space. We also study requirements such as real-time constraints and hardware profiling to understand how the Edge platform supports the proposed tasks.

## 4 METHODOLOGY

In this section, we will explain how our AMR works in general. Here we present all its physical attributes, such as its mechanics, the method of autonomous locomotion used, and the hardware, including actuators, controllers, sensors, and the Edge AI device.

#### 4.1 Physical Attributes

#### 4.1.1 Mechanics

The robot uses a parallelepiped-shaped metal housing with the possibility of opening an upper part functioning as a trunk. The robot has the following dimensions: 22cm width, 34cm length, and 20cm height. The robot uses four omnidirectional wheels arranged in the four corners of the housing. In Figure 1, we show the robot showing its dimensions. The camera is positioned in the upper front, and the LIDAR is in the upper part. The robot weight 3.2kg.



Figure 1: Dimensions of the robot.

The use of omnidirectional wheels provides excellent handling capacity. In contrast, the mechanical construction of these wheels is more complex, and they require independent steering and control systems for each wheel. The omnidirectional wheels type used on the robot is the mecanum wheels.

#### 4.1.2 Hardwares

As actuators, the robot has 4 DC motors of 12 volts and 200 rpm, each connected directly and individually to each wheel. As a motor controller, we have a set of two pieces of hardware: a Raspberry pi 4 model B (Raspberrypi, 2023) working together with the Stepper Motor HAT V0.1 (Waveshare, 2023), which performs the driver role.

The Raspberry Pi 4 Model B features a 64-Bit quad-core processor that runs at frequencies up to 1.5GHz with 4GB RAM. It has a dual-band 2.4/5.0 GHz wireless network, Bluetooth 5.0/BLE, True Gigabit Ethernet, USB 3.0, and USB-C power capability. Our controller is a composite hardware that we can divide into two parts, the Raspberry being the high-level controller and the Stepper Motor HAT being the low-level controller.

The Edge AI device is an NVIDIA Jetson Xavier NX development kit (NVIDIA, 2023). Its CPU is based on a 6-core NVIDIA Carmel ARM v8.2 64bit CPU. It has a GPU with 384 NVIDIA CUDA Cores and 48 Tensor Cores, and 2 NVDLA (NVIDIA Deep Learning Accelerator) combined with 8 GB of LPDDR4x RAM. Its connectivity is Gigabit Ethernet and WiFi/Bluetooth interface (M.2 Key E), 4 USB 3.1 ports, HDMI and DP video output. As sensing units, we have a YDLIDAR X2 (YDLIDAR, 2023) with a range frequency of 3000Hz, scanning frequency of 5-8Hz, range of 0.12-8m and a 30FPS Logitech C920 camera with full HD resolution. A power bank powers the Raspberry with a capacity of 10000mAh with DC5V 2.1A output. The robot also has two 11.1V Lipo batteries.

The sensors are positioned on the upper outer part of the robot and are connected to Jetson. Jetson works as an Edge AI platform processing sensor data and executing the navigation methodology. A Jetson is connected to the controllers (Raspberry + Stepper Motor HAT) via an Ethernet cable that goes directly to the Raspberry and sends the individual powers to be transmitted by each motor at each moment. In the table 1, we show the function performed by each hardware. We observed that, in this table, each level is related to the levels positioned directly above and below.

Table 1: Hardware roles table.

ROLE	DEVICES		
Actuators	4 DC Motors		
Low Level Controller	Stepper Motor HAT		
High Level Controller	Raspberry Pi 4		
Edge AI Device	NVIDIA Jetson Xavier NX		
Sensing	Câmera + LIDAR		

#### 4.1.3 Locomotion Method

The robot locomotion method is based on image object detection using a CNN followed by displacement towards this object until the robot is close enough to perform an inspection or other task. The objects detected in the image represent the objective locations of robot positioning. We use YOLOv7 CNN to perform object detection(Wang et al., 2022). A significant advantage of YOLOv7 is that it can be easily trained using images of the target locations of the robot's movement, for example, machines and specific objects photos in an industrial plant. This work uses traffic cone images to simulate the target locations. The locomotion method follows the logic of a state machine. This state machine is presented in Figure 2. We explain each state in detail and the change of states below.



Figure 2: Locomotion method state machine.

**Pre Configured Movement:** Our locomotion method has as input a set N where each element  $n_i$  is a list of previously configured sequential movements. This step is the current way the robot handles obstacle avoidance. As the operating plan is known in advance, a sequence of previously configured movements can be used if it is necessary to deviate from an object until the camera can visualize the following objective location. This sequence lists movements in the four directions and for previously determined times. At each entry in this state, the robot executes the list of movements  $n_i$ . The set of previously configured movements is empty if there is no obstacle at the operation site. After this state, the robot enters the search state.

**Search:** This state is where the robot searches for the following target location. For this, in this state, the robot rotates around its axis until the next object representing the following objective location is detected. This rotation around its axis is performed non-continuously, with short pauses so that the camera image is not blurred, which makes object detection difficult. After the robot detects the object, it will move in its direction. This task is performed in the tracking control stage.

**Tracking Control:** This state represents the movement of the robot toward the target location. The control method used is feedback control. The variable to be controlled here is the horizontal center of the detected object. We compare the horizontal center value of the object with the desired value, which is the center of the image. If the object is positioned more to the right of the image, more power must be applied to the wheels on the left side of the robot and vice versa.

Equation 1 defines the percentage of total power RP that is applied to the right side motors and Formula 2 defines the percentage of total power applied to the left side motors. Where ld is the horizontal distance from the center of the detected object to the left edge of the image and rd is the distance from the center of the object to the right edge of the image. Figure 3 shows the cone detection and the measurements used to define the power applied to each motor.



Figure 3: Cone detection and the measures used to define the motors power at tracking control stage.

$$RP = min(200 * (rd/iw), 100)$$
 (1)

$$LP = min(200 * (ld/iw), 100)$$
(2)

The tracking control state control model follows the traditional feedback control model shown in Figure 4. Where r is the reference value, in this case, the horizontal center of the image, e the error calculated between the horizontal center of the image and the horizontal center of the detected object, u is the action of control calculates in Equations 1 and 2, y the update of the variable and Ym the new measurement of the variable.

The robot remains in the tracking control state until one of two conditions is met. The first condition is until it is in the target location. The definition of arrival at the target location is given by the LIDAR



Figure 4: Traditional feedback control model applied to our AMR.

reading, indicating that the robot is at a close distance from the detected object. If this condition is met, it passes to the task execution state. The second condition is when the robot loses visibility of the detected object. If this happens, it returns to the search state. These conditions are shown in Figure 2.

**Task Execution:** The execution of the task in this work is abstracted and can be any task to be performed by the robot in an industrial plant, for example, performing a visual or physical inspection of machines, reading data, delivering materials or tools, among other possible tasks. After finishing the execution of the task, the robot will finish its work if all the tasks have already been executed. Otherwise, it will go to the pre-configured movement state, as shown in Figure 2.

# **5 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

To run our tests, we trained YOLOv7 with traffic cone images, using 584 images collected from the internet, each containing one cone or more, 537 for training and 47 for validation. The trained model was the YOLOv7-Tiny model. The YOLOv7 uses the Py-Torch framework with GPU support. The application was developed using Python3 and the OpenCV library.

To validate the methodology, we used four traffic cones representing the target locations for robot locomotion. Functioning and ability to travel to target locations were performed in a laboratory. The locomotion method proved to be fully capable of moving to all objective locations in sequence. The use of preconfigured movements proved to be capable of avoiding obstacles but requires prior knowledge of the operating site. Occlusion of the detection object can also be circumvented with the list of pre-configured motions. To avoid using predetermined movements, it will be necessary to implement a navigation method that uses LIDAR data with a SLAM (Aulinas et al., 2008) algorithm.

The operating system used was Ubuntu 18.04 LTS. This operating system has been installed with default configuration and by default it comes with

graphical user interface. his operating system in idle state has an average RAM consumption of 19%, CPU consumption is 1% and GPU consumption is 0%.

In order to evaluate the performance of our Edge AI device, we performed profiling and FPS tests of the application. Both are extremely important in robotics because profiling shows how much hardware the application uses, displaying whether there is the ability to study the addition of more sensors and more processing methods. On the other hand, FPS shows us how fast the system is processing information from the environment. In profiling, the measurements used were the average usage of the 6 CPU cores, average RAM, and average GPU usage.

In the tests, we used two types of implementations. The first is a sequential implementation where object detection in the image always occurs after image capture. The second is a parallel implementation where capture and detection occur in concurrent and synchronized threads so that each captured frame is processed only once. These two implementation modes were executed in 3 different image capture resolutions, 720x480, 1280x720, and 1920x1080. The Jetson Xavier NX power mode used for the tests was the 20 watts mode using six cores.

In Table 2, we can see the average FPS rate for each tested implementation and resolution. Notably, the best FPS rate is the parallel implementation with 720x480 resolution. The 720x480 resolution was the only one where the average detection time was lower than the average capture time. In this way, the lowest resolution with parallel implementation was the only configuration where the bottleneck was the detection of the object itself. The performance was better because the capture and detection happen simultaneously in the parallel implementation.

On the other hand, the sequential implementation could not reach the same speed because the capture time added to the detection time directly influences the FPS rate. The average time spent in detection does not vary as much as the capture time as we increase the resolution. This result happens because the YOLOv7 detection works on a default image size when performing the inference of 640x640. Every image submitted to detection is resized to these dimensions. For the 1280x720 and 1920x1080 resolutions, we noticed that the bottleneck of the parallel method is the image capture time, which is similar to the sums of the capture and detection times of the sequential method. This behavior proves that YOLOv7 achieves real-time requirements on Jetson Xavier NX for object detection.

In Figures 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10, we can observe the hardware consumption within a window of 1 second

Table 2: FPS, capture and process time table. In the first row we have the different resolutions, 'SEQ.' refers to sequential, 'PARL.' refers to parallel. Process and capture time are in milliseconds.

	Res 720x480		Res 1280x720		Res 1920x1080	
	SEQ.	PARL	SEQ.	PARL	SEQ.	PARL
FPS	19.99	25.47	9.99	9.99	4.99	4.99
Process t. (ms)	39.01	38.79	40.69	41.19	42.59	42.41
Capture t. (ms)	10.89	12.07	59.22	99.97	157.30	200.09

with 50 samples and 20 milliseconds between them.





Figure 5: Profiling for 720x480 resolution with sequential implementation.

Figure 6: Profiling for 720x480 resolution with parallel implementation.

In Figures 5 and 6, we have profiling results for the 720x480 resolution. Note that there is no GPU idle time in the sequential implementation, which obtained the highest FPS among all tests. In the sequential implementation, there are moments of idleness where the GPU reaches 0% consumption. The average CPU consumption was also higher in the parallel implementation.

Figures 7 and 8 show the results of profiling the 1280x720 resolution. Both implementations show





Figure 7: Profiling for 1280x720 resolution with sequential implementation.



Figure 8: Profiling for 1280x720 resolution with parallel implementation.



Figure 9: Profiling for 1920x1080 resolution with sequential implementation.

moments of GPU idleness and similar average CPU consumption.

Figures 9 and 10 show the results of profiling the



Figure 10: Profiling for 1920x1080 resolution with parallel implementation.

1980x1080 resolution. Even in the 1280x720 resolution, there are moments of GPU idleness. The parallel implementation was the one with the lowest average CPU consumption, which indicates the reason for having the longest capture time among all experiments. The sequential implementation had the most significant variation in average CPU usage. The average use of RAM remains the same in all tests. This use is 61%.

## **6** CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we present a robot capable of moving to specific locations in an industrial plant. This robot applies the Edge AI concept in its architecture. Its handling is based on a state machine and uses computer vision and feedback control.

The solution employs computer vision to detect objects representing specific locations in an industrial plant. This detection happens through the use of a CNN, more specifically, YOLOv7. After identifying the local objective, the feedback control works so that the robot moves to the local objective. The robot was tested in the laboratory and could perform a movement task in a controlled environment.

The system's performance test presented here evaluated image capture and object detection within three different camera resolutions and with two different implementations, in a sequential and parallel way. The effects of using different implementations on object detection performance were presented.

The best result was 25 FPS, with parallel implementation and 720x480 resolution. This information shows that YOLOv7 achieves real-time requirements on Jetson Xavier NX for object detection. Additionally, a profile of the tests was also performed, comparing the CPU, RAM, and GPU consumption for each resolution and type of implementation.

There is a wide range of future work. First, validation in a real environment can improve the evaluation of its operation in a more challenging scenario. The application in mine scenarios, workshops, and warehouses is of great value and can bring insights. Based on sensor fusion, better use of LIDAR can be integrated to achieve fully autonomous navigation where no movement-related data input will be required. It is also viable to study the use of other sensors. Finally, we will apply and validate Reinforcement Learning and other AI techniques and add probabilities to the state machine transforming it into Markov Chains to improve the robot's functioning.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank SASCAR, NVIDIA, UFOP, CAPES and CNPq for supporting this work. This work was partially financed by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) - Finance Code 001, and by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) - Finance code 308219/2020-1.

### REFERENCES

- Alatise, M. B. and Hancke, G. P. (2020). A review on challenges of autonomous mobile robot and sensor fusion methods. *IEEE Access*, 8:39830–39846.
- Albawi, S., Mohammed, T. A., and Al-Zawi, S. (2017a). Understanding of a convolutional neural network. In 2017 international conference on engineering and technology (ICET), pages 1–6. Ieee.
- Albawi, S., Mohammed, T. A., and Al-Zawi, S. (2017b). Understanding of a convolutional neural network. In 2017 International Conference on Engineering and Technology (ICET), pages 1–6.
- Arulprakash, E. and Aruldoss, M. (2022). A study on generic object detection with emphasis on future research directions. *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*, 34(9):7347– 7365.
- Aulinas, J., Petillot, Y., Salvi, J., and Lladó, X. (2008). The slam problem: a survey. Artificial Intelligence Research and Development, pages 363–371.
- Chen, J. and Ran, X. (2019). Deep learning with edge computing: A review. Proceedings of the IEEE, 107(8):1655–1674.
- Cheng, M. and Xiang, D. (2020). The design and application of a track-type autonomous inspection robot for electrical distribution room. *Robotica*, 38(2):185–206.
- Dandurand, P., Beaudry, J., Hébert, C., Mongenot, P., Bourque, J., and Hovington, S. (2022). All-weather

autonomous inspection robot for electrical substations. In 2022 IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System Integration (SII), pages 303–308. IEEE.

- Ebayyeh, A. A. R. M. A. and Mousavi, A. (2020). A review and analysis of automatic optical inspection and quality monitoring methods in electronics industry. *IEEE Access*, 8:183192–183271.
- Florescu, A. and Barabas, S. A. (2020). Modeling and simulation of a flexible manufacturing system—a basic component of industry 4.0. *Applied sciences*, 10(22):8300.
- Hassoun, A., Aït-Kaddour, A., Abu-Mahfouz, A. M., Rathod, N. B., Bader, F., Barba, F. J., Biancolillo, A., Cropotova, J., Galanakis, C. M., Jambrak, A. R., et al. (2022). The fourth industrial revolution in the food industry—part i: Industry 4.0 technologies. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, pages 1–17.
- Hercik, R., Byrtus, R., Jaros, R., and Koziorek, J. (2022). Implementation of autonomous mobile robot in smartfactory. *Applied Sciences*, 12(17):8912.
- Ishikawa, S. (1991). A method of indoor mobile robot navigation by using fuzzy control. In *Proceedings IROS'91: IEEE/RSJ International Workshop on Intelligent Robots and Systems' 91*, pages 1013–1018. IEEE.
- Krogh, A. (2008). What are artificial neural networks? Nature biotechnology, 26(2):195–197.
- Li, E., Zeng, L., Zhou, Z., and Chen, X. (2019). Edge ai: On-demand accelerating deep neural network inference via edge computing. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 19(1):447–457.
- NVIDIA (2023). Nvidia jetson xavier nx. Available in: https://developer.nvidia.com/embedded/learn/ get-started-jetson-xavier-nx-devkit. Accessed on January 14, 2023.
- Raspberrypi (2023). Raspberry pi 4 model b. Available in: https://www.raspberrypi.com/products/ raspberry-pi-4-model-b/. Accessed on January 14, 2023.
- Salimpour, S., Queralta, J. P., and Westerlund, T. (2022). Self-calibrating anomaly and change detection for autonomous inspection robots. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.02379.
- Szrek, J., Jakubiak, J., and Zimroz, R. (2022). A mobile robot-based system for automatic inspection of belt conveyors in mining industry. *Energies*, 15(1):327.
- Wang, C.-Y., Bochkovskiy, A., and Liao, H.-Y. M. (2022). Yolov7: Trainable bag-of-freebies sets new state-ofthe-art for real-time object detectors. arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.02696.
- Wang, X., Han, Y., Wang, C., Zhao, Q., Chen, X., and Chen, M. (2019). In-edge ai: Intelligentizing mobile edge computing, caching and communication by federated learning. *IEEE Network*, 33(5):156–165.
- Waveshare (2023). Stepper motor hat v0.1. Available in: https://www.waveshare.com/wiki/Stepper\_Motor\_ HAT. Accessed on January 14, 2023.
- YDLIDAR (2023). Ydlidar x2. Available in: https://www. ydlidar.com/products/view/6.html. Accessed on January 14, 2023.