

A Recent Publications Survey on Reinforcement Learning for Selecting Parameters of Meta-Heuristic and Machine Learning Algorithms

Maria Chernigovskaya, Andrey Kharitonov and Klaus Turowski
Faculty of Computer Science, Otto von Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany

Keywords: Reinforcement Learning, Deep Reinforcement Learning, Hyper-Parameter Optimization.

Abstract: Nowadays, meta-heuristic and machine learning algorithms are often used for a variety of tasks in cloud computing operations. The choice of hyper-parameter values has a direct impact on the performance of these algorithms, making Hyper-Parameter Optimization (HPO) an important research field for facilitating the widespread application of machine learning and meta-heuristics for problem-solving. Manual parameterization of these algorithms is an inefficient method, which motivates researchers to look for a new and more efficient approach to tackle this challenge. One such innovative approach is Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL), which has recently demonstrated a lot of potential in solving complex problems. In this work, we aim to explore this topic more thoroughly and shed light on the application of DRL-based techniques in HPO, specifically for Machine Learning and Heuristics/Meta-heuristics-based algorithms. We approach the problem by conducting a systematic literature review of the recently published literature and summarizing the results of the analysis. Based on the conducted literature review, within the selected sources, we identified 14 relevant publications and a clear research gap in the cloud-specific use case for HPO via DRL.

1 INTRODUCTION

Modern cloud computing offers a multitude of optimization challenges for both providers and clients, such as various size enterprises. These challenges include the pursuit of cost, performance, service, and energy efficiency. These can be tackled with the use of state-of-the-art meta-heuristics (e.g., virtual machine consolidation (Pourghebleh et al., 2021)) and machine learning (ML) (e.g., cloud instance selection (Samreen et al., 2016)).

Proper selection of the parameter values for such algorithms is important for both meta-heuristics (Liao et al., 2015) and ML (Mantovani et al., 2016), because it can directly influence the quality of the solution and algorithm's performance. Manual selection of these parameters is often difficult and time-consuming (Qi and Xu, 2022) as it requires an understanding of both, the algorithm's internal workings and the problem. This, in turn, can potentially be a limiting factor (Paleyes et al., 2022) for the application of these techniques outside of the research community. Numerous ways to tackle this challenge are proposed in the form of various automatic hyper-parameter optimization (HPO) for meta-heuristics (Huang et al., 2020; Eryoldaş and Durmuşoğlu, 2022) and ML (Yang and

Shami, 2020). However, the application of these HPO techniques does not fully alleviate the challenge of using state-of-the-art algorithms in real-world business environments (Paleyes et al., 2022), which warrants further investigation and research.

This aspect has stimulated increased investigation into novel methods for the automated optimization of hyper-parameter values. Many researchers have turned their attention to various techniques in an attempt to identify more efficient ways to tackle this challenge. Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) is among such techniques as it has been demonstrated to be successful in handling various optimization problems (Zhang et al., 2022b).

Investigation of DRL-based techniques for tuning hyper-parameters is a promising research topic for enhancing application efficiency of ML and meta-heuristics. Especially interesting is the possibility of exploiting the ability of DRL to instantly infer solutions (Tian et al., 2022), which can significantly increase the efficiency and applicability of HPO in certain real-world scenarios.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no published surveys that offer a thorough overview of the DRL application for HPO of ML and meta-heuristic algorithms. The available recent surveys that study

HPO, do not cover the perspectives of the application of DRL (Huang et al., 2020; Eryoldaş and Durmuşoğlu, 2022; Yang and Shami, 2020). To address this research gap, we present a systematic literature analysis to summarize the current stand of the research in this domain and highlight the existing applications.

Additionally, we outline the selected approaches and compare their main insights based on the type of algorithms used and how the key concepts, such as action space and reward functions, are defined.

2 BACKGROUND

In this chapter, we provide the theoretical background on the concepts and definitions that are relevant to our work. In subsection 2.1 we shed light on Hyperparameter Optimization (HPO) and its current stand and challenges. In subsection 2.2 we provide key definitions of Machine Learning (ML). In subsection 2.3, we outline the fundamentals behind the concept of Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) and give a brief description of its key components. We conclude this section with definitions of Heuristics and Meta-heuristics in subsection 2.4.

2.1 Hyper-Parameter Optimization

HPO could be defined as the task of finding optimal hyper-parameter values within a search space comprised of valid parameter values in order to improve the performance of a certain algorithm (Motz et al., 2022). The process of optimizing, also often referred to as tuning, hyper-parameters varies amongst different algorithms due to the numerous types of hyper-parameter values, which can be categorical, discrete, or continuous. Manual parameter value selection is typical in research but it is a challenging and time-consuming process, thus automated hyper-parameter selection became an active research field (Yang and Shami, 2020).

The primary goal of automated HPO is to simplify the hyper-parameter tuning process and enable users to efficiently apply parameterized algorithms to practical problems. Since many HPO problems are non-convex or non-differentiable optimization problems, conventional optimization techniques may not be suited for them and may provide a local rather than a global optimum. DRL and RL-based techniques are shown to be effective in solving optimization problems (N. Xu et al., 2022) and therefore there are attempts to use these for HPO.

2.2 Machine Learning

Machine learning (ML) incorporates algorithms that make decisions or predictions, often based on specific data, without a need for every detail of such decision-making to be predefined explicitly. These are particularly sensitive to the selection of hyper-parameter values (Yang and Shami, 2020). Depending on the kind of problem that has to be solved, machine learning algorithms can be divided into three subcategories (Agrawal, 2021): Supervised, Unsupervised, and Reinforcement Learning.

Supervised learning in ML uses labeled datasets to train algorithms to precisely categorize inputs or predict outcomes. It is called supervised because these algorithms rely on labeled training data to build a model. In contrast to supervised learning, unsupervised machine learning employs algorithms to analyze and classify unlabeled data. Without human oversight, these algorithms may find hidden patterns in data and infer relationships between different features in the data. The third type of ML is Reinforcement Learning. Reinforcement Learning (RL) algorithm learns from its environment and generally does not require training data. Hyperparameters in ML are diverse and vary from algorithm to algorithm.

Important subclass of ML approaches, called Deep Learning (DL) (LeCun et al., 2015), is worth mentioning specifically since it presents particular HPO problems. DL is an area of ML, based on the use of artificial neural networks, and is specifically concerned with deep neural networks (DNN), which can be used in all three aforementioned ML types. Number of hidden neuron layers and the number of neurons in each layers, are primary hyper-parameters examples of DL models (Yang and Shami, 2020).

Each type of ML algorithm has different key hyper-parameters that must be tuned. For supervised Learning algorithms such as k -nearest neighbors (KNN), the number of considered nearest neighbors, k is the most important hyper-parameter. In Unsupervised Learning, many clustering algorithms like k -means, the expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm, and hierarchical clustering — the number of clusters is the most crucial hyper-parameter to tune (Yang and Shami, 2020). For RL algorithms, the critical hyper-parameters to tune are Learning rate (α) and Gamma (γ). The first one, α , allows the agent to learn faster about its environment, but it may prevent it from exploring the space of possibilities, γ , on the other hand, helps agent to develop optimal action strategies by teaching it to prioritize immediate rewards versus future rewards (Sun, 2017).

2.3 Deep Reinforcement Learning

The goal of RL is to determine the best behavior for an agent while it is exploring a given environment by interacting with it (Asadulaev et al., 2020). The environment can be an interface or a representation of a system or an optimization problem. Recently RL gained interest in research due to a number of advancements in the area, specifically in the subset of RL algorithms commonly named as Deep Reinforcement Learning (Alves and Mateus, 2020).

Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) is an ML technique that combines RL and DL. The fundamental DRL mechanism is, in principle, identical to RL and consists of an iterative process in which the agent observes the state of the environment within its observation space and acts in accordance with the state, the environment transitions to a new state as a result of the agent's action, and the agent receives feedback (reward). The agent's goal is to maximize the reward (Alves and Mateus, 2020).

DRL implementations may be classified into three categories based on the type of supported action space: discrete action space, continuous action space, and discrete-continuous (hybrid) action space (Zhu et al., 2021). In the discrete action space, we can precisely quantify the number of possible options. The number of options in the continuous action space is not pre-defined as a closed set, but defined as a value range. For discrete-continuous (hybrid) action spaces, the algorithm should generate discrete actions as well as the continuous parameters performed by the discrete actions (Zhu et al., 2021). This distinction is important for HPO problems because the nature of the tuned parameters can fall into any of these three categories.

Published surveys (Arulkumaran et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2022b) discuss various specific examples of algorithms that fall into the aforementioned categories. Q-Learning and Q-learning-based algorithms such as Deep Q-Network (DQN) are well suited for discrete action spaces. Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) and Policy Gradient methods (e.g., Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient (DDPG)) handle continuous action spaces. Algorithms such as Asynchronous Advantage Actor Critic (A3C) and Advantage Actor Critic (A2C) belong to the hybrid type.

2.4 Heuristics and Meta-Heuristics

Heuristics are optimization methods that are designed for a specific problem and try to exploit problem-specific knowledge. They do not attempt to find an optimal solution but focus on producing optimum so-

lution procedures. Two types of heuristics are defined in the literature (Rothlauf, 2011): Construction and Improvement heuristics.

Construction heuristics develop a solution from the ground up step-wise, where components of the solution are fixed at every step. Improvement heuristics start with a complete solution and iteratively aim to enhance it (Rothlauf, 2011).

Meta-heuristic algorithms are a set of techniques used to address complicated optimization problems. Usually, researchers distinguish three types of meta-heuristic techniques: based on the local search algorithm (Tabu search, simulated annealing), evolutionary computing (genetic algorithms), or swarm intelligence (Ant colony optimization, particle swarm optimization (PSO)) (Pereira et al., 2021). Each of these meta-heuristic algorithms has critical parameters that need to be tuned in order for these algorithms to work optimally. In the case of the GA, three numeric parameters are usually considered of the most importance: population size, mutation rate, and crossover rate (Eryoldaş and Durmuşoglu, 2022). For PSO, critical hyper-parameters are particle number m , accelerate constant c_1 and c_2 , inertia weight w , and maximum limited velocity v_{max} (He et al., 2016).

3 LITERATURE SURVEY

The core of this study is a systematic literature review that gives an overview of recent publications that apply DRL-based (or RL-based) approaches to address HPO concerns. We begin this section with a description of the applied literature survey methodology in subsection 3.1. As a result, a number of relevant studies is identified and evaluated to provide a summary of the current state of the research in subsection 3.2. Additionally, in subsection 3.3, we discuss a couple of publications that fall outside of the survey's criteria but still present concepts potentially relevant to HPO with DRL.

3.1 Methodology

To identify the current state of the research on hyper-parameter tuning using DRL and RL, we conduct a systematic literature review. The search for the relevant papers is performed using the following academic research databases: ScienceDirect¹, Springer², ACM³ and IEEE⁴. We focus on the publications that

¹<https://www.sciencedirect.com>

²<https://link.springer.com>

³<https://dl.acm.org>

⁴<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org>

apply DRL or RL for hyper-parameter tuning for ML and Meta-heuristics (or Heuristics). The focus of this work is recent research on DRL in HPO; therefore, only works published since 2017 were considered for all DRL-related queries. The range for RL-based approaches is extended to include works published since 2015. In our research, we also applied forward and backward searches. Additionally, only accessible, peer-reviewed publications written in the English language were considered.

To conduct a systematic literature analysis for the selected topic, four categories of the research were defined:

- DRL for HPO of Heuristics and Meta-heuristics
- RL for HPO of Heuristics and Meta-heuristics
- DRL for HPO of ML
- RL for HPO of ML

To narrow down the most relevant papers, three filtering phases were carried out for each category. First, all papers with relevant titles were selected for each category. Secondly, the abstract relevance of the remaining papers was examined. Lastly, we thoroughly researched the entire contents of the remaining papers to select the relevant candidates. Additionally, the differences in spelling of terms like hyper-parameters (e.g., hyperparameters or hyper parameters) and meta-heuristics (e.g., metaheuristics or meta heuristics) are considered. Worth mentioning that all variations of spelling are present in the published literature.

For each defined category, we came to the following results:

- DRL for HPO of Heuristics and Meta-heuristics - Out of the 28 papers that were initially taken into consideration, 3 were deemed relevant
- RL for HPO of Heuristics and Meta-heuristics - Out of the 21 papers that were initially taken into consideration, 1 was deemed relevant
- DRL for HPO of ML - Out of the 50 papers that were initially taken into consideration, 8 were deemed relevant
- RL for HPO of ML - Out of the 19 papers that were initially taken into consideration, 2 were deemed relevant

Furthermore, in the process of assessing the relevance of publications, the inclusion and exclusion criteria are defined.

All publications, where the authors implement concepts of DRL (RL) in HPO for meta-heuristics and ML algorithms and demonstrate their results, were included. Likewise, the authors that present more con-

ceptual and theoretical background on the HPO concept and provide in-depth definitions of relevant DRL components like state space (observation space), action space, and reward function, those papers are also considered in the literature analysis, since they may offer interesting insights for our research.

If the key concepts such as DRL, RL, and HPO were not presented and important definitions such as state space, action space, and reward function were missing – those papers were excluded. Moreover, publications with HPO approaches, which are not based on DRL (RL) algorithms or where no HPO approach is implemented, were not considered by us. The same exclusion strategy we follow with the papers whose main topic was irrelevant to our literature survey, therefore they don't offer any valuable insights.

3.2 Literature Analysis

In this section, we summarise the results of the conducted literature analysis. The reviewed publications are divided into four categories in order to discuss the findings methodically. The presented categorization is based on two factors, particularly, which solution approach was used in chosen publications, whether it is a DRL-based or RL-based approach and to what type of algorithms were these solutions applied: to ML algorithms or heuristics and meta-heuristics algorithms.

In the analyzed literature, various approaches have been used to tune the parameters of meta-heuristic, heuristics, and ML algorithms using DRL or RL. Results of the literature are summarized in the Table 1, including mentioning type of the action space and used base algorithm.

For instance, (Zhang et al., 2022b) in their work propose a DRL-based framework in order to solve combinatorial problems. The presented framework is based on a heuristic method that uses a Double Deep Q-network (DDQN) algorithm (Marchesini et al., 2019). The authors define the action space as a set of parameterized heuristics that could be adjusted in order to get the desired performance. A similar approach takes (Dong et al., 2021), which uses Deep Q-Learning (DQN) (Mnih et al., 2015) to optimize hyper-parameters of the proposed heuristics-based framework for visual object tracking. The authors define the action space as the adjustment of the hyper-parameter numeric values in a continuous manner. The author's proposed approach demonstrates high accuracy of the performance in comparison with chosen methods. A further example of applying DRL to optimizing parameters of meta-heuristics is pre-

Table 1: Literature analysis summary.

Publication	Type	Tuning	Action space	Base algorithm
(Zhang et al., 2022b)	DRL	Heuristics	Discrete	Double Deep Q-network
(Qi and Xu, 2022)	RL	ML	Discrete	Q-learning
(N. Xu et al., 2022)	DRL	ML	Discrete	Attention and Memory Enhancement
(Zhang et al., 2022a)	DRL	ML	Discrete	Twin Delayed Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient
(Wang et al., 2022a)	RL	ML	Discrete	Q-learning
(Dong et al., 2021)	DRL	Heuristics	Continuous	Continuous Deep Q-learning
(Wan et al., 2021)	DRL	ML	Continuous	Double Deep Q-network
(Mills et al., 2021)	DRL	ML	Continuous	L ² NAS
(de Santiago Júnior et al., 2020)	RL	Meta-Heuristics	Not defined	Not defined
(Liu et al., 2020)	RL	ML	Continuous	Proximal Policy Optimization
(S. Chen et al., 2019)	DRL	ML	Discrete	Policy Gradient
(Sharma et al., 2019)	DRL	Meta-Heuristics	Discrete	Double Deep Q-Learning
(WU et al., 2019)	DRL	ML	Discrete	Policy Gradient
(P. Neary, 2018)	RL	ML	Discrete	Not defined

sented by the authors of (Sharma et al., 2019) for controlling mutation strategies of an evolutionary algorithm.

RL-based approaches can also be successfully applied to heuristics and meta-heuristics algorithms for solving HPO problems. For example, (de Santiago Júnior et al., 2020) presents an innovative approach for solving parameter optimization problems by combining meta-heuristics and RL-based algorithms. The action space is defined by authors as a set of low-level heuristics and reward is designed as as reward or penalties based on its performance.

A good example of the RL application for ML algorithms would be the work of (Qi and Xu, 2022). In their research, authors apply a Q-learning-based algorithm (Sun, 2017) to optimize the hyper-parameter tuning strategy in a Deep Learning approach. They define action space as discrete, where only one hyper-parameter is tuned at a time, and the reward function as the difference between the evaluation metric of neural network models before hyper-parameter tuning and the current one. A further example (Wang et al., 2022a) of HPO in ML optimization is also relying on Q-Learning but for selecting optimal Spatial-Temporal Graph Convolutional Network models for a given problem. For this purpose, the authors present a way to construct a network model from predefined blocks and attempt to automate the construction process by employing an RL algorithm.

An illustration of applying DRL to ML models would be the work of (S. Chen et al., 2019). The authors propose an architecture based on DRL, which should be able to optimize hyper-parameters for any ML model using Policy Gradient Algorithm (Parisi et al., 2014). They approach the problem by creat-

ing a DRL agent which can automatically select the optimal hyper-parameters for ML models on a given dataset. Another approach takes (Wan et al., 2021), where the authors propose an HPO method based on DRL, which is used to balance image service quality and privacy protection. The authors present a method where a Neural Network is trained as an agent to find the best hyper-parameter adjustment. To tackle the problem, they use the Double Deep Q-network algorithm (DDQN). Another example of searching for optimal neural network hyper-parameters is presented in (Mills et al., 2021). In that work, authors present a DRL-based L²NAS algorithm, which employs an actor neural network to automatically optimize and update architecture hyper-parameters within an actor-critic algorithm. For the presented approach, authors define an action as a continuous architecture hyper-parameter, which is then deterministically mapped into a discrete one. An interesting approach in automatically determining the best clustering parameters take (Zhang et al., 2022a). The authors propose a parameter search framework based on the Twin Delayed Deep Deterministic strategy gradient algorithm (TD3), where a DRL agent attempts to find the best parameter values of a classic ML-based clustering algorithm by taking discrete actions for increasing or decreasing individual continuous values of the algorithm's parameters. Another instance of DRL being applied to ML models is the work of (WU et al., 2019). In their research, the authors present a DRL-based architecture where they create an agent that selects a set of hyper-parameters and uses the accuracy of an ML model as a reward signal to update its parameters. The authors define the action space as a set of decisions where each decision corresponds to a

selection of a hyper-parameter. A similar approach is taken by authors of (N. Xu et al., 2022). In their work, the authors introduce a configuration searcher to select appropriate hyper-parameters for ML models. To enhance the performance of the proposed searcher, a new Attention and Memory Enhancement (AME) algorithm is developed to tackle the problem of optimizing all types of hyper-parameters. Similarly to previous work, this is also a DRL-based algorithm. The authors define the action space for the problem as a set of new configurations, one of which the agent picks from search space and the reward function as the evaluation of the taken action.

The RL-based approaches can also be successfully used for tuning ML models. An interesting example of it would be the work of (Liu et al., 2020). The authors in their research present a model-based Reinforcement Learning for solving HPO problems. The action space for the designed research objective is defined as a set of hyper-parameter configurations to tune. The authors describe the reward function as the accuracy of a validation set. A similar approach takes (P. Neary, 2018). The authors present an Asynchronous Reinforcement Learning algorithm to set optimal neural network configurations by adjusting its hyper-parameters. The authors define the state space for the presented problem as a network architecture, the action space as a set of hyper-parameters to tune, and the reward function as the accuracy achieved by changing a single hyper-parameter while keeping all others fixed.

3.3 Conceptually Related Research

In addition to the previously discussed works, we discovered two publications that are dedicated to the optimization of parameterization in real-world systems. While these papers are not directly connected to the application of DRL for HPO for ML and Meta-heuristics, the insights they provide are potentially interesting within the scope of our research.

(Lindstah and Lan, 2020) in their work combine Imitation and Reinforcement Learning in order to efficiently tune hyper-parameters of cavity filters. The action space is defined as a set of screw adjustments in a specific range. Therefore the adjustments are represented as continuous values. The reward is defined by the authors as a heuristic function of the error after the adjustment.

(Tomé De Andrade e Silva, Manuel and Azevedo, 2022) in their research investigating the potential of dynamical adjustment of work in process (WIP) cap limits. The authors approach the problem by applying the PPO algorithm to minimize the WIP levels with-

out reducing production's throughput performance. The action space is discrete and defined as the set of natural numbers, which represent the WIP cap(limit) to be applied to the production system.

4 CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of our research, we can conclude that the number of publications attempting to use DRL-based techniques is quite low, especially for HPO for Heuristics and Meta-heuristics. In fact, only 14 papers are considered relevant as they address the topic of selection of optimal parameter values within meta-heuristic, conventional ML, and deep learning algorithms, as well as a selection of the most appropriate parameterized heuristics. Discovered relevant publications present various approaches for parameterization of the algorithms to fit an optimization or data processing problem at hand, including the ways to tackle the challenge of discretization of continuous parameter values to fit specific RL and DRL techniques.

Additionally, the reviewed publications demonstrate that various DRL-based algorithms may be suitable for solving HPO problems. Within the discovered relevant publications, the authors demonstrate that it can be advantageous to use DRL techniques in HPO to achieve higher performance in comparison with the conventional techniques (e.g., Bayesian optimization and Random Search), which they chose as a baseline. This, coupled with the relatively small amount of discovered relevant publications, merits further systematic investigation of the DRL application in HPO problems. The discovered publications do not concentrate on optimization problems oriented to cloud operations or any specific use-case, which indicates a research gap.

Another interesting observation is that the action space is often defined by the authors as a set of hyper-parameters to adjust for ML algorithms and as a set of heuristics (meta-heuristics) for Heuristics and Meta-heuristics algorithms. Additionally, in the case of ML, the Reward function is commonly characterized as the accuracy gained by each adjustment of the hyper-parameters.

5 FUTURE WORK

The next step of the research is the formulation of observation spaces, action spaces, and reward functions for DRL to solve HPO problems for algorithms typically present in cloud operations research. These

include, but are not limited to, virtual machine consolidation (Varasteh and Goudarzi, 2017) and cloud service composition (Vakili and Navimipour, 2017) based on heuristic or meta-heuristic algorithms, cloud security enhancement via machine learning (Nassif et al., 2021). DRL implementations of HPO for such problems are then to be compared against the traditional HPO approaches (Yang and Shami, 2020; Huang et al., 2020) in order to determine the advantages and disadvantages of applying one or another in the cloud operations-related HPO problems. At the same time, it would be prudent to investigate applying a tuning technique to the DRL approach used for HPO itself to increase training efficiency in looking for optimal deep neural network architecture, and hyper-parameters (Wang et al., 2021).

REFERENCES

- Agrawal, T. (2021). *Hyperparameter Optimization in Machine Learning*. Apress, Berkeley, CA.
- Alves, J. C. and Mateus, G. R. (2020). Deep reinforcement learning and optimization approach for multi-echelon supply chain with uncertain demands. In Lalla-Ruiz, E., Mes, M., and Voss, S., editors, *Computational Logistics*, volume 12433 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 584–599. Springer, Cham.
- Arulkumaran, K., Deisenroth, M. P., Brundage, M., and Bharath, A. A. (2017). Deep reinforcement learning: A brief survey. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 34(6):26–38.
- Asadulaev, A., Kuznetsov, I., Stein, G., and Filchenkov, A. (2020). Exploring and exploiting conditioning of reinforcement learning agents. *IEEE Access*, 8:211951–211960.
- de Santiago Júnior, V. A., Özcan, E., and de Carvalho, V. R. (2020). Hyper-heuristics based on reinforcement learning, balanced heuristic selection and group decision acceptance. *Applied Soft Computing*, 97:106760.
- Dong, X., Shen, J., Wang, W., Shao, L., Ling, H., and Porikli, F. (2021). Dynamical hyperparameter optimization via deep reinforcement learning in tracking. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 43(5):1515–1529.
- Eryoldaş, Y. and Durmuşoğlu, A. (2022). A literature survey on offline automatic algorithm configuration. *Applied Sciences*, 12(13):6316.
- He, Y., Ma, W., and Zhang, J. (2016). The parameters selection of pso algorithm influencing on performance of fault diagnosis. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 63:02019.
- Huang, C., Li, Y., and Yao, X. (2020). A survey of automatic parameter tuning methods for metaheuristics. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 24(2):201–216.
- LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., and Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature*, 521(7553):436–444.
- Liao, T., Molina, D., and Stützle, T. (2015). Performance evaluation of automatically tuned continuous optimizers on different benchmark sets. *Applied Soft Computing*, 27:490–503.
- Lindstah, S. and Lan, X. (2020). Reinforcement learning with imitation for cavity filter tuning. In *2020 IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics (AIM)*, pages 1335–1340, [Piscataway, NJ]. IEEE.
- Liu, X., Wu, J., and Chen, S. (12/14/2020 - 12/16/2020). Efficient hyperparameters optimization through model-based reinforcement learning and meta-learning. In *2020 IEEE 22nd International Conference on High Performance Computing and Communications; IEEE 18th International Conference on Smart City; IEEE 6th International Conference on Data Science and Systems (HPCC/SmartCity/DSS)*, pages 1036–1041. IEEE.
- Mantovani, R. G., Horváth, T., Cerri, R., Vanschoren, J., and Carvalho, A. C. d. (2016). Hyper-parameter tuning of a decision tree induction algorithm. In *2016 5th Brazilian Conference on Intelligent Systems (BRACIS)*, pages 37–42.
- Marchesini, E., Corsi, D., Benfatti, A., Farinelli, A., and Fiorini, P. (2019). Double deep q-network for trajectory generation of a commercial 7dof redundant manipulator. In *2019 Third IEEE International Conference on Robotic Computing (IRC)*, pages 421–422.
- Mills, K. G., Han, F. X., Salameh, M., Changiz Rezaei, S. S., Kong, L., Lu, W., Lian, S., Jui, S., and Niu, D. (2021). L2nas: Learning to optimize neural architectures via continuous-action reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management, CIKM '21*, page 1284–1293, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Mnih, V., Kavukcuoglu, K., Silver, D., Rusu, A. A., Veness, J., Bellemare, M. G., Graves, A., Riedmiller, M., Fidjeland, A. K., Ostrovski, G., et al. (2015). Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *Nature*, 518(7540):529–533.
- Motz, M., Krauß, J., and Schmitt, R. H. (2022). Benchmarking of hyperparameter optimization techniques for machine learning applications in production. *Advances in Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering*, 5:100099.
- N. Xu, J. Chang, X. Nie, C. Huo, S. Xiang, and C. Pan (2022). Ame: Attention and memory enhancement in hyper-parameter optimization. In *2022 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 480–489.
- Nassif, A. B., Talib, M. A., Nasir, Q., Albadani, H., and Dakalbab, F. M. (2021). Machine learning for cloud security: A systematic review. *IEEE Access*, 9:20717–20735.
- P. Neary (2018). Automatic hyperparameter tuning in deep convolutional neural networks using asynchronous reinforcement learning. In *2018 IEEE International Conference on Cognitive Computing (ICCC)*, pages 73–77.

- Paley, A., Urma, R.-G., and Lawrence, N. D. (2022). Challenges in deploying machine learning: A survey of case studies. *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 55(6).
- Parisi, S., Pirotta, M., Smacchia, N., Bascetta, L., and Restelli, M. (2014). Policy gradient approaches for multi-objective sequential decision making: A comparison. In *2014 IEEE Symposium on Adaptive Dynamic Programming and Reinforcement Learning (ADPRL)*, pages 1–8.
- Pereira, I., Madureira, A., Costa e Silva, E., and Abraham, A. (2021). A hybrid metaheuristics parameter tuning approach for scheduling through racing and case-based reasoning. *Applied Sciences*, 11(8):3325.
- Pourghebileh, B., Aghaei Anvigh, A., Ramtin, A. R., and Mohammadi, B. (2021). The importance of nature-inspired meta-heuristic algorithms for solving virtual machine consolidation problem in cloud environments. *Cluster Computing*, 24(3):2673–2696.
- Qi, X. and Xu, B. (2022). Hyperparameter optimization of neural networks based on q-learning. *Signal, Image and Video Processing*.
- Rothlauf, F. (2011). *Application and Adaptation of Heuristic Optimization Methods*. Natural Computing. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- S. Chen, J. Wu, and X. Chen (2019). Deep reinforcement learning with model-based acceleration for hyperparameter optimization. In *2019 IEEE 31st International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence (IC-TAI)*, pages 170–177.
- Samreen, F., Elkhatib, Y., Rowe, M., and Blair, G. S. (2016). Daleel: Simplifying cloud instance selection using machine learning. In *NOMS 2016 - 2016 IEEE/IFIP Network Operations and Management Symposium*, pages 557–563.
- Sharma, M., Komninos, A., López-Ibáñez, M., and Kazakov, D. (2019). Deep reinforcement learning based parameter control in differential evolution. In *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, GECCO '19*, page 709–717, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Sun, C. (2017). Fundamental q-learning algorithm in finding optimal policy. In *2017 International Conference on Smart Grid and Electrical Automation (ICSGEA)*, pages 243–246.
- Tian, Y., Chao, M. A., Kulkarni, C., Goebel, K., and Fink, O. (2022). Real-time model calibration with deep reinforcement learning. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 165:108284.
- Tomé De Andrade e Silva, Manuel and Azevedo, A. (2022). Self-adapting wip parameter setting using deep reinforcement learning. *Computers & Operations Research*, 144:105854.
- Vakili, A. and Navimipour, N. J. (2017). Comprehensive and systematic review of the service composition mechanisms in the cloud environments. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 81:24–36.
- Varasteh, A. and Goudarzi, M. (2017). Server consolidation techniques in virtualized data centers: A survey. *IEEE Systems Journal*, 11(2):772–783.
- Wan, J., Cao, Z., Ma, Y., Zhao, G., and Sun, Z. (2021). A parameter selection method based on reinforcement learning: The qos-privacy trade-off for adversarial training framework. In Sun, X., editor, *Advances in artificial intelligence and security*, volume 1422 of *Communications in computer and information science*, 1865-0929, pages 338–350. Springer, Cham, Switzerland.
- Wang, C., Zhang, K., Wang, H., and Chen, B. (2022a). Auto-stgcn: Autonomous spatial-temporal graph convolutional network search. *ACM Trans. Knowl. Discov. Data*. Just Accepted.
- Wang, X., Wang, S., Liang, X., Zhao, D., Huang, J., Xu, X., Dai, B., and Miao, Q. (2022b). Deep reinforcement learning: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, pages 1–15.
- Wang, Z., Zhang, J., Li, Y., Gong, Q., Luo, W., and Zhao, J. (2021). Automated reinforcement learning based on parameter sharing network architecture search. In *2021 6th International Conference on Robotics and Automation Engineering (ICRAE 2021)*, pages 358–363, Piscataway, NJ. IEEE.
- WU, J., CHEN, S., and CHEN, X. (2019). Rpr-bp: A deep reinforcement learning method for automatic hyperparameter optimization. In *2019 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, pages 1–8.
- Yang, L. and Shami, A. (2020). On hyperparameter optimization of machine learning algorithms: Theory and practice. *Neurocomputing*, 415:295–316.
- Zhang, R., Peng, H., Dou, Y., Wu, J., Sun, Q., Li, Y., Zhang, J., and Yu, P. S. (2022a). Automating db-scan via deep reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management, CIKM '22*, page 2620–2630, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Zhang, Y., Bai, R., Qu, R., Tu, C., and Jin, J. (2022b). A deep reinforcement learning based hyper-heuristic for combinatorial optimisation with uncertainties. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 300(2):418–427.
- Zhu, J., Wu, F., and Zhao, J. (2021). An overview of the action space for deep reinforcement learning. In *2021 4th International Conference on Algorithms, Computing and Artificial Intelligence (ACAI 2021)*, ICPS, pages 1–10, New York, New York. The Association for Computing Machinery.