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Abstract: In a fast-changing environment as nowadays, fostered by cutting-edge digital technologies, opportunities may emerge and then disappear abruptly, for this reason, companies must be able to seek them to remain competitive. By looking at very relevant and recent technology, the 5G connectivity, how companies could leverage it experiencing a technology shift is still unclear, both from a practitioner and theoretical perspective. If on one hand, the 5G is a hotly discussed topic in non-social sciences, on the other hand, the managerial literature appears ambiguous and fragmented in the way it is presented. Such absence recalls for research whose purpose is to try to position the 5G search thread also in the business and management stream. The work aims to systematize previous knowledge and identify potential directions related to 5G technology in the above-mentioned literature.

1 INTRODUCTION

According to Chesbrough (2010), it is not just a matter of leveraging the best technologies available in today's digital world, rather being confident with the development of alternative businesses enabled by these allows firms to be more competitive. Furthermore, also from a more network perspective (Joccevski, Arvidsson, Ghezzi, 2020), the adoption of these technologies may entail in most cases the reshaping of market boundaries by highlighting new intersectional convergence within industries.

This is particularly true for what concerns the 5G technology since due to the higher range of markets and applications opportunities it brings, it cannot be considered just a technological transition, as it was for earlier generations of cellular technology, rather it represents a revolutionary phenomenon which could deeply change the competitive landscape for wireless services (Lehr, Queder, Haucap, 2021). It is more “a toolbox of capabilities than a one-size-fits-all technology” (Lehr et al, 2021; p.1), and for this reason, it not only constitutes a complex technology in terms of technical development, but it also requires a change in the actors’ attitude, especially of Mobile Network operators (MNOs) (Lehr et al, 2021), which have always played an important role in the telecommunication domains (Noh, Kim, Song, Lee, 2021), characterized by high rates of volatility (Ghezzi, Cortimiglia, Frank, 2015).

However, even if it represents a very new technology with high potential, there is still ambiguity and fragmented knowledge about its strategical adoption. All these implications recall further investigations to address emerging challenges with great scientific and social relevance. Consistently, this works aims to trace the state of the art of the 5G literature in the social sciences field by defining a search protocol to systematically structure extant knowledge about the above-mentioned technological paradigm and identify potential directions.

The paper is structured as follows: in Section 2 the search protocol with the description of the eligibility criteria is presented, then in Section 3 a conceptual review about the state of the art of 5G literature in the business and management field is presented. Finally, the paper ends with a discussion about the methodologies used by scholars to try to investigate the gaps that emerged in literature and the identification of future avenues.
2 SEARCH PROTOCOL

2.1 Inclusion Criteria

First, The SciVerse Scopus online scientific articles database has been selected as the most appropriate source for the research. Regarding the selection of the keywords, the choice has been driven mainly by the necessity to draw a state of the art of 5G technology literature.

To do so, the identification of papers with “5G”; “Fifth generation technology”; “Fifth generation of mobile communication”; “Fifth generation of wireless networks” present in their title, abstract and keywords section has been carried out. Together with a keyword-based search, a backward approach to identify other related studies (n= 5) has been followed.

Other inclusion criteria regard the domain the papers selected belong to, which is Business, Management and Accounting. Furthermore, the subject areas of Social and Decision Sciences have been also considered to broaden the analysis.

Finally, only articles and reviews in English were considered due to their higher quality.

The output from The SciVerse Scopus database of the above-mentioned query was 977 papers, updated in July 2022.

2.2 Exclusion Criteria

To maximize the specificity of the studies included in the research, several exclusion criteria have been defined.

(i) Studies requiring technical competencies (n = 186): all the studies where technical competence or specific knowledge is required to deeply analyse technology-dominated sectors have been excluded (e.g., Lee and Ko, 2021).

(ii) No implications for strategy, entrepreneurship, or innovation (n = 87): this criterion has been used to confine the research objective to the strategic, entrepreneurial and innovation field. Every article concerning different application domains has been excluded unless there was clear evidence of implications for the above-mentioned area without being mentioned expressly (e.g., Cheng, Hu, Varga, 2022).

(iii) Clear focus on Implications for sustainability, globalization, emerging countries, government issues (n=80): all topics about heterogeneous development at the global level, developing countries, and applications to increase sustainability have been excluded because being highly relevant topics they would need a separate literature review on its own (e.g., Parcu, Innocenti, Carrozza, 2022).

(iv) Scimago Journal Rank (n = 576): To guarantee both the inclusiveness of Scopus database and the quality of the journal outlet considered, only articles published in journals whose rankings are Q1 have been included.

The last access on the above-mentioned list was done on the 15th of July 2022. After the text screening, other papers have been excluded (labelled in the prism below as “Out of scope”) because they only fit the exclusion criteria just described after reading the entire text, or because 5G was not the central theme. The final sample of papers considered for the conceptual review was 40.

Here below the screening process of the query above mentioned are reported.
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Figure 1: The document screening process.
3 5G STATE OF THE ART

Looking at the papers’ typologies, several works are conceptual reviews. However, among them, it is possible to find different perspectives adopted. For instance, most of these refer to spectrum policies and regulations, especially taking into consideration different countries (e.g., Teece, 2021; Blind and Niebel, 2022). Other conceptual reviews refer to specific application fields (e.g., Dolgui and Ivanov, 2021) or 5G implications in the digital innovation process of the small and medium enterprise (Olokundun, Ogbari, Falola, Ibidunni, 2022). Furthermore, two bibliometric reviews have been found (Mendonça, Damásio, de Freitas, Oliveira, Cichy, Nicita, 2022; Buggenhagen and Blind, 2022).

Several papers are based on case study methodology where a specific business case, industry or country has been described (e.g., Gooderham, Elter, Petersen, Sandvik, 2022; Lee and Yu, 2022; Schneir, Bradford, Ajibulu, Pearson, Konstantinou, Osman, Zimmermann, 2022; Massaro and Kim, 2021).

In the sample of papers considered, also quantitative studies are present. The usage of predictive analytics and scenario-based assessment exemplifies the aim of such works, which try to measure quantitatively the effect of the factors considered, such as regulations, technological standards and 5G mobile broadband coverage (e.g.; Wen, Forman, Jarvenpaa, 2022; Rathje and Katila, 2018).

3.1 5G Business Reconfigurations

In the literature considered it is possible to find several 5G business reconfiguration which appear as attempts to define the technological one in a business domain. In Table 1 the main 5G business reconfiguration identified are synthesized.

3.2 5G Boundary Conditions

In this paragraph, the boundary conditions (Busse et al.; 2017) intended as the conditions of existence of 5G technology have been figured out. In other terms, based on the managerial literature, the aim has been to identify which are the “building blocks” for the development of 5G business cases. The four categories identified are (i) expected value, (ii) actors’ ecosystem, (iii) government policy and (iv) technological requirements.

Table 1: 5G Business reconfigurations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5G definitions</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emerging digital infrastructure</td>
<td>Oughton and Russel; 2020;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gooderman et al.; 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data and connectivity technology</td>
<td>Mendonça et al., 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toolbox of capabilities</td>
<td>Lehr et al.; 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradigm shift</td>
<td>Lehr et al.; 2021;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teece; 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game changer</td>
<td>Deng et al.; 2020;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Knipes &amp; Bauer; 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Purpose Technology (GPT)</td>
<td>Knipes &amp; Bauer; 2022;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mendonça et al.; 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior GPT or Enabling technology</td>
<td>Teece; 2018;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schneir et al; 2019;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rathje and Katila; 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectivity platform</td>
<td>Ahokangas; 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Eye and ears” of AI systems</td>
<td>Gooderman et al.; 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.1 Expected Value

According to Oughton and colleagues (2018), mobile network operators (MNOs), who have always played a key role in the telecommunications industry, must be aware of the advantages and the threats coming from such technology paradigm shift (Lehr et al, 2021). Already with earlier technologies, MNOs have adjusted their business models, however, with the fifth generation, it seems that the development trajectory has changed strongly due to the high expected value such technology is promising (Lehr et al, 202; Gooderham; 2022).

Guo and colleagues (2022, p.52) affirm explicitly that MNOs must shift from “the business-to-individual-customers model to the business-to-business model”. The same scholars put emphasis also on the fact that shifting from customized network structures could play a crucial role in terms of business model development. However, such reasoning is extended by Bauer and Bohlin (2022) by affirming that if one hand the 5G customized applications are promising higher revenues, on the other 5G networks infrastructure might be very expensive, for this reason, all the stakeholders involved must be aware of such risk, especially MNOs. According to Forge and Vu (2020), MNOs have already agreed on this point, and they are aware that infrastructure sharing could be the right solution for cutting Capex and reducing risks.
3.2.2 Actors’ Ecosystem

According to Blind and Niebel (2022), the 5G ecosystem includes a large variety of stakeholders with different goals and interests. As a matter of fact, the emergence of this technological paradigm will be not only related to the telecommunications industry, but rather other vertical industries will also exploit wireless communication (Vuojala et al., 2020; Dolgui and Ivanov, 2021). In these terms, greater attention must be given to all those opportunities which are not strictly connected to the MNOs, especially by considering that if they do not rejuvenate their business models, the risk is that specialized players are in some way sabotaged by them (Bauer and Bohlin, 2022). On the other hand, if MNOs continue to pursue the same role as suppliers of connectivity, they will risk losing competitiveness. What emerges is the importance of collaborations between the different players with heterogeneous competencies to reduce costs and gather all the opportunities the 5G offers (Hutajulu et al.; 2021). More in general, Rathje and Katila (2021) demonstrated through their quantitative study the importance of collaboration between governments and private companies to shape the new ecosystem. This is the reason why in the following section the third boundary condition identified for the development of 5G business cases is the so-called “Government policy”.

Similarly, Massaro and Kim (2020) state that 5G technology cannot be considered just as a technical artefact, rather, it is socially constructed. The authors distinguish between non-private actors, which are government, research institutes and universities and users, private actors, which are MNOs, equipment manufacturers, service providers and also “new actors”, intended as additional players which join the ecosystem due to new opportunities the technology could bring.

With a clear focus on the business-to-business sector, Knieps and Bauer (2022) put emphasis also on the importance of third parties’ providers such as system integrators and local network operators, which could play a crucial role in building solutions for local industrial networks.

From another perspective, Bauer and Bohlin (2022) distinguish between four different layers and place the different players depending on their core competencies and business strategy. In the lower part, it is possible to find passive and active infrastructure layer which constitute the physical network layer. In the upper find it is possible to find the enablers on the so-called enablement, the development layer and the application service layer. On the top, the end user,

3.2.3 Government Policy

According to Knieps and Bauer (2022), the 5G technology has introduced governance and management challenges never seen before.

If on one hand, MNOs need government support to face the uncertainty arising from 5G adoption (Forge and Vu, 2020); on the other hand, a stable standards roadmap in terms of spectrum bands is necessary to foster the development of private 5G network deployments (Forge and Blackman, 2017). The fifth generation of mobile communications allows the development of deployments on a wider spectrum range, from 450 MHz to 24.25-52.6 GHz (Bauer and Bohlin, 2022). Vuojala and colleagues (2020) in their works analysed several “spectrum scenarios” to understand all the possible configuration in terms of licences and 5G private deployments for vertical industries. Knieps and Bauer (2022) summarized the spectrum policy to distinguish between principal deployments options and all the possible spectrum licences configuration, both in terms of the licence and unlicensed spectrum, and the national and local. The scholars also summarized the spectrum allocation by nation from 2017 to nowadays, distinguishing between the licenced and unlicensed spectra. Furthermore, Bauer and Bohlin (2022) also focus their attention on the different regulations in the selected countries, and they also clarified which are the current spectrum bands dedicated exclusively to 5G private deployments.

3.2.4 Technological Requirements

As previously mentioned, one of the exclusion criteria of the search protocol was related to the exclusion of studies requiring extensive technical competencies. The information is gathered just from the managerial literature due to the aim of the works, for this reason, some limitations may emerge in this paragraph. However, it is fundamental to consider it in terms of boundary condition since without adequate technological infrastructures it is not possible to develop 5G business cases.

According to Henriquez and colleagues (2022), the main investments regard the ICT physical infrastructure, and it will foster also IoT use cases. In these terms, Bauer and Bohlin (2022) specify that inputs that might be considered necessary resources are antennas, routing of cables and backhaul. ICT infrastructures allow reaching the 5G requirements.
Accordingly, Lehr and colleagues (2021) underline the necessity to deploy more cellular base stations. In addition, they mention the re-architecting of the radio-access networks (RANs) which constitute a greater opportunity also in terms of cost management. Another element the scholars introduce is the necessity of expanding the use of radio-frequency spectrum resources, especially with private and dedicated network deployments.

Furthermore, the paradigm shift represented by 5G requires further improvement of the internet, such as network slicing (Kim, 2020). Vuojala and colleagues (2020) mention also the Mobile-Edge computing (MEC) together with the network slicing function, to indicate which are the elements to guarantee adequate communication between different users.

4 5G BARRIERS AND LITERATURE GAPS

Together with the boundary conditions presented so far it is important to mention that there are also barriers which slow down the diffusion of the technology. Furthermore, there are still several gaps which have emerged from the literature, and they refer both to the boundary conditions described and the barriers just mentioned.

4.1 Infrastructural and Institutional Failure

Starting from the first barriers identified, both infrastructural or, in other terms, technological, and institutional failure may emerge in the development of 5G business cases. According to Hutajulu and colleagues (2021), from an institutional perspective, several scholars mention the necessity to deepen the mechanisms for developing technological standards, but also to allow companies which are not directly involved in the standardization definition to have access to it since it represents an input for complementary innovations (Wen et al, 2022). Other uncertainties regard intellectual property rights and health and safety regulations (Blind and Niebel, 2022). Also considering the business-to-business sector, as already mentioned before, the necessity to regulate the spectrum’s availability and accessibility, especially for what concerns 5G private network deployments, constitutes a boundary condition. Every kind of uncertainty and worse choice related to this could constitute another important barrier to 5G adoption.

4.2 Uncertain Costs and Benefits

Granted that scholars agree to the fact that 5G promises a great expected value and the possibility of sustainable and profitable business models, as proposed in the previous section about 5G business cases’ boundary conditions, it is likewise true that the uncertainties related to costs and revenues influence negatively such dynamic (Dolgui and Ivanov, 2021). 5G technology is costly and more technologically complex than previous technologies (Forge and Vu, 2020), and due to its characteristics of being a junior GPT (Teece, 2018), it is cross-sectorial, and it involved a large portfolio of stakeholders, which complicate even more the situation.

Apart from choices regarding technicalities, each stakeholder involved must understand how to overcome the infrastructure’s capex and the R&D investment costs (Forge and Vu, 2020; Blind and Niebel, 2022), and this aspect is particularly relevant for MNOs, which roles are fundamental. If on one hand there is still a gap regarding the value creation mechanism of private companies by adopting 5G in a specific field (Dolgui and Ivanov, 2021), on the other hand, mobile network operators must rejuvenate their business models, especially for what concerns their value propositions and their networks architecture (Moqaddamerad, 2020; Lehr et al, 2021; Gooderham et al, 2022). Similarly, Rendon and Schneir (2019) reinforce these reasonings by explicitly saying that until now few authors have discussed 5G revenues and costs streams and how to build a sustainable business model. Schneir and colleagues (2022) tried to investigate it by looking at the possible business cases a mobile network operator could realize in a seaport area.

More in general, Noh and colleagues (2021) mention the challenge regarding the connection between technology resources and business objectives by underlining the importance of considering technology as a fundamental asset for strategic planning and by introducing the need for a “technology-driven strategy”.

4.3 Actors’ Reluctance

According to Hutajulu and colleagues (2021), several stakeholders are hesitant in adopting 5G technology. Actors involved perceive several risks in adopting the new technology, and they are also characterized by heterogeneous interests. On one hand, equipment suppliers foster the 5G roll-out, on the other, mobile networks operators need to understand how (i) to innovate their business model, especially considering
new revenue streams, and (ii) how to face the rise of Over The Top (OTT) services, which pose a dangerous threat (Blind and Niebel, 2022). More in general, private sectors’ reluctance in adopting 5G may emerge from a lack of a precise risk assessment (Forge and Vu, 2020), or maybe from the uncertainty driven by the lack of a clear definition of what the technology could bring to the society and business (Blind and Niebel, 2022). Such digital transition caused by 5G introduces many questions which need further investigation (Lee and Yu, 2022).

Finally, debate regarding 5G social impact (Mansell; 2021) as well as health implication (Bruns et al., 2020) are still open, and this negatively influences the the 5G’s social acceptance, further complicating the work of the actors involved. However, as mentioned in the exclusion criteria, papers regarding these matters, together with the ones describing environmental impact and implications for sustainability have been excluded in the search protocol because, being extremely highly relevant topics, they would need a separate literature review on its own.

### 5 DISCUSSIONS

After an interpretation about which are the possible boundary conditions and barriers for the development of the 5G business case, in this section the principal ways of investigations used by scholars to investigate the above-mentioned gaps are discussed. Finally, the limitations and further avenues are described.

In general, the techniques used in this context to close the gaps presented so far are future-oriented methods. For instance, the scenario-planning approach has been applied by Moqaddamerad (2020) and Jeong and colleagues (2016) studies, to forecast respectively how business models’ innovation happens during the adoption of emerging technology and the feasibility of a specific application. In Jeong’s (2016) research also morphology analysis has been cited to be useful for analysing technologies combined with new business models.

Furthermore, Hutajulu and colleagues (2021) mention the simulation as an optimal method to gain the approval of the actors involved regarding infrastructure sharing. In a similar way, Ahokangas (2021) writes about the analysis of future-oriented-case to deepen such complexity in terms of stakeholders involved, as it could be the case of a seaport developing a 5G private network. Such approach is emphasized also by Noh and colleagues (2021) in mentioning the development of a value proposition map based on future circumstances defined by an depth-analysis of trends, predictions, and eventual relationships among them. For instance, the road mapping approach is a solution proposed by these scholars, especially they propose an integrated approach called “technology road mapping” which considers both the technology-push strategies with the market-driven one.

By analysing the 5G management literature, the need for greater structuring to intercept relevant phenomena emerged. As a matter of fact, it seems that the strategic impact of new technological paradigms, which may hold idiosyncratic implications in the strategy implementation dynamics, is still largely unstructured and there is still ambiguity and fragmented knowledge about it. The gap just described emerged also through a non-systematic search regarding the literature about BMI and technology. As mentioned by Foss and Saebi (2016), several studies describe BMI in a retrospective way when considering the adoption of specific technologies, however, it is not the same way common to find predictive and theoretical perspectives. Accordingly, Baden-fuller and Heaflinger (2013) and Tongur and Engwall (2014) address the fact that often the impact of technology adoption is restricted to performance measurements, however, such a link with technology requires further investigation at a BM architecture level by understanding the mechanism to innovate BM through its components to create value.

### 6 CONCLUSIONS

#### 6.1 Theoretical Implications and Future Avenues

The research aims to position the 5G search thread in the business and management literature by systematizing previous knowledge and identifying potential directions related to 5G technology in the above-mentioned stream of research. After having presented a search protocol to make this research falsifiable, reproducible, and scalable over time and to continuously update it, a conceptual review has been presented to figure out which are the definitions, the opportunities, the boundary conditions, the barriers, and the literature gaps of such very relevant and recent technological paradigm. By following the search protocol presented so far it will be possible to include also other papers to improve the framework presented and to reason about others boundary conditions and barriers, as well as enriching the existing ones. Finally, this work aims to generate
research questions which can be traceable to the general and more theoretical context.

6.2 Practical Implications

The research emphasises that it is the right moment for stakeholders to brainstorm and develop sustainable business models to capture the value that 5G technology promises. Furthermore, the necessity to create an active ecosystem in the telecommunication industry and beyond has emerged as well.
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