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Abstract: Although CNN-based classifiers have been successfully applied to many pattern classification problems, they suffer from adversarial attacks. Slightly modified images can be classified as completely different classes. It has been reported that CNN-based classifiers tend to construct decision boundaries close to training samples. In order to mitigate this problem, we applied modified kNN classifiers in the output vector space of CNN-based classifiers. Experimental results show that the proposed method noticeably reduced the classification error caused by adversarial attacks.

1 INTRODUCTION

CNN-based classifiers have been applied in various pattern recognition and signal/image processing areas, which include object recognition (Barbu, 2019; Hendrycks, 2021; Wang, 2019; Ouyang, 2015; Wonja, 2017; Girshick, 2014), image processing (Jin, 2017; Kim, 2021), speech recognition (Sainath, 2015; Amodei, 2016), medical imaging (Gibson, 2018), and super-resolution (Kim, 2017; Lee, 2021). Although they produced good performance compared to conventional methods, CNN-based classifiers have a reliability problem. One can easily make a CNN-based classifier to misclassify slightly modified images (Szegedy, 2014). For example, Fig. 1(a) is correctly classified as ‘4’ while Fig. 1(b) is misclassified as ‘8’. The vulnerability of CNN-based classifiers to this kind of adversarial attack is a serious reliability issue, which is still unsolved (Goodfellow, 2015; Ilyas, 2019; Akhtar, 2018).

Figure 1: (a) Correctly classified image, (b) adversarial example misclassified as 8, (c) magnified difference image.

It has been reported (Woo, 2018) that CNN-based classifiers tend to construct decision boundaries close to training samples (Fig. 2). In particular, when the ReLU function is used, it appears that the decision boundaries failed to construct desirable decision boundaries that divide the input space into meaningful subregions even in a low dimensional space. Even when the sigmoid function was used as activation functions, the results were not very promising (Woo, 2018). If the training samples contain some erroneous samples, which may almost always happen in a real-world application, the neural networks with the sigmoid function also failed to construct proper decision boundaries.

In order to reduce this kind of vulnerability of CNN-based classifiers, we evaluated a modified kNN classifier in the output vector space of CNN-based classifiers. The output vector space is the last layer of CNN structures and the dimension is the same as the number of classes. The number of training samples to train a CNN-based classifier can be very large. For
example, for the ImageNet database, the number of training samples is 1281167. In the conventional kNN classifier, we need to compute the distances between a test sample and all the training samples. Consequently, the computational cost can be prohibitively large. In order to solve this problem, we propose a modified kNN classifier for the classification in the output vector space. To evaluate the proposed method, we applied the modified kNN classifier to 12 CNN-based classifiers (Simonyan, 2014; Zhang, 2016; Zagoruyko, 2016; Simonyan, 2015; Huang, 2017; Sandler, 2018; Xie, 2017; Szegedy, 2015; Szegedy, 2016; Ma, 2018; Tan, 2019).

2 ADVERSARIAL IMAGES

It has been reported that one can easily fool a CNN-based classifier by slightly modifying images so that the classifier would misclassify the modified images. Almost all CNN-based classifiers are vulnerable to adversarial attacks. We generated adversarial images of 12 CNN-based classifiers for correctly classified validation samples of the ImageNet database. The difference between an adversarial image and the corresponding original image can be defined as follows:

\[ D = |I_{or} - I_{ad}| \]

where \( I_{or} \) is an original image after normalization and \( I_{ad} \) is an adversarial image. We generated adversarial images of various distances (\( D = 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32 \)). Figs. 3-8 show some adversarial images of the various distances. In particular, as can be seen in Fig. 9 (\( D = 1 \)), some adversarial images are indistinguishable from the original images. Most of the adversarial class images have some similar features and these results indicate that the current CNN-based classifiers form decision boundaries very close to training samples. Several observations can be made about the adversarial images. Some adversarial image classes have similar characteristics whereas others appear to be completely different. As the distance increase, some artifacts become visible and it is more likely that the adversarial images are misclassified as completely unlikely classes.

Figure 3: Class C65 (sea snake) is misclassified as C50 (American alligator, Alligator mississippiensis). (a) original, (b) adversarial, (c) difference (\( D = 1 \)), (d) representative image of C50.

Figure 4: Class C517 (crane) is misclassified as C755 (radio telescope, radio reflector). (a) original, (b) adversarial, (c) difference (\( D = 2 \)), (d) representative image of C755.

Figure 5: Class C595 (harvester, reaper) is misclassified as C856 (thresher, thrasher, threshing machine). (a) original, (b) adversarial, (c) difference (\( D = 4 \)), (d) representative image of C856.

Figure 6: Class C65 (sea snake) is misclassified as C49 (African crocodile, Nile crocodile, Crocodylus niloticus). (a) original, (b) adversarial, (c) difference (\( D = 8 \)), (d) representative image of C49.

Figure 7: Class C109 (brain coral) is misclassified as C973 (coral reef). (a) original, (b) adversarial, (c) difference (\( D = 16 \)), (d) representative image of C973.

Figure 8: Class C23 (vulture) is misclassified as C327 (starfish, sea star). (a) original, (b) adversarial, (c) difference (\( D = 32 \)), (d) representative image of C327.
3 MODIFIED KNN CLASSIFIERS

In the conventional kNN classifier, we find the k nearest neighbour samples of a test sample and count the number of samples of each class (Fig. 10). Then, we decide the class that has the largest number of samples among the k nearest neighbour samples:

\[
\text{Decide } X \in \omega_i \text{ if } g_i(X) > g_j(X) \quad \text{where } g_i(X) = k_i.
\]

However, it is not easy to use the kNN classifier when the number of training samples is very large as in the case of the ImageNet database.

In order to solve this problem, we modified the kNN classifier. For each test sample, we choose k top-ranking classes. For each chosen top-ranking class of the k classes, we find m samples closest to the test sample. Then, we compute the average distance as follows:

\[
D_{\text{class } j} = \frac{1}{m_{\text{top-class } j}} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{\text{top-class } j}} \| \text{i-th closest sample} - \text{test sample} \| \quad j = 1, ..., k
\]

where class j is the j-th ranking class for the test sample. Finally, we choose the class with the minimum average distance. Using this modified kNN classifier, we only need to compute the distances of the test samples and kxL training samples where L is the average number of training samples of each class. In case of the ImageNet database, the value of L is about 1281.

4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We generated adversarial images of various distances (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32) using the 12 models (MnasNet, VGG, DenseNet, MobileNet, Inception, GoogleNet, ShuffleNet, ResNext, WideResNet, ResNet50, ResNet101, ResNet152). The adversarial images of all the models are very similar to the original images when the distances are small and all the models
showed similar characteristics in that such adversarial images can be easily generated.

Figure 11: Performance comparison of modified kNN classifiers. Top 5 classes were chosen and the average values of 7, 9, 10 nearest samples were used.

Figure 12: Performance comparison of modified kNN classifiers. Top 10 classes were chosen and the average values of 7, 9, 10 nearest samples were used.

Fig. 11 shows a performance comparison of modified kNN classifiers (top 5 classes were chosen and the average values of 7, 9, 10 nearest samples were used). Fig. 12 shows a performance comparison of modified kNN classifiers (top 10 classes were chosen and the average values of 7, 9, 10 nearest samples were used). It can be seen that using top 10 classes or top 5 classes produced very similar performance. Thus, we used top 5 classes to classify the adversarial images. Compared to the conventional CNN-based classifiers, the modified kNN classifiers produced slightly lower performance (errors increased by about 3-4% as can be seen in Figs. 11-12).

Figure 13: Performance comparison of modified kNN classifiers against adversarial images (MnasNet, VGG, DenseNet, MobileNet). The original CNN-based classifiers misclassified all the adversarial images.
In Figs. 13-15, top 5 classes were chosen and the average values of 5, 7, 9 nearest samples were used for the modified kNN classifier. The original CNN-based classifiers misclassified all the adversarial images.

For images with small distances (D=1, 2), the classification accuracy of the proposed kNN classifiers is 0.187–0.415 (D=1) and 0.125–0.329 (D=2). For images with large distances (D=1, 2), the
classification accuracy of the proposed method is 0.046~0.129 (D=16) and 0.044~0.116 (D=32). It is noted that the classification accuracy of the original CNN-based classifiers is zero (100% error) for the adversarial images.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we proposed modified kNN classifiers for the output vector space of CNN-based classifiers to provide robust performance against adversarial attacks. To reduce the complexity problem of conventional kNN classifiers when the number of training samples is very large, we propose a modified kNN classifier for CNN-based classifiers. The proposed method was evaluated using 12 models and showed noticeable improvement in reducing the classification error caused by adversarial attacks. By applying the kNN classifier in the middle layers, it may be possible to further improve performance.
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