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Abstract: Diabetes is one of the most common chronic diseases in the world with patients being more susceptible to develop additional comorbidities over time. In this research, we have used clinical data collected over six years to perform predictive and visual analytics which enables healthcare professionals gain valuable insight into early identification of the risk of developing comorbidities thereby resulting in effective diabetes management and reduced burden on healthcare system. We first present predictive models developed to forecast the likelihood of one of the three common comorbidities for diabetic patients – Benign Hypertension, Congestive Heart Failure, and Acute Renal Failure. The models use advanced data mining algorithms such as Logistic Regression, Neural Network, CHAID, Bayesian Network, Random Forest and Ensemble. Results from these models are incorporated into an interactive assessment tool that can take user input and predict the likelihood of developing one of these comorbidities. In addition, an interactive diabetes dashboard presents aggregated data using visually appealing charts, graphs, and tables. The dashboard also provides drilldown capabilities to allow navigation at finer granularities of various metrics.

1 INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a chronic disease in which the body cannot either produce or utilize insulin. Type 1 diabetes (T1D) occurs when body does not produce enough insulin; Type 2 diabetes (T2D) starts with insulin resistance and can progress to a lack of insulin; and gestational diabetes occurs in pregnant women with no history of diabetes. According to the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC), 5 to 10% of diabetes patients have T1D and the remainder have T2D; four percent of all pregnant women are affected by gestational diabetes. Diabetes puts a great burden on patients as well as the healthcare system as it can also lead to comorbidities including stroke, heart attack, kidney failure, blindness, and amputations. In Canada, 3.9 million people have been diagnosed with diabetes and more than one million have the disease but are yet to be diagnosed. Statistics for prediabetes are also a great concern with an alarming number of 5.7 million Canadians. Cumulatively, this number is expected to reach 33% of the population by 2025 (Diabetes Canada).

Early detection of prediabetes and early diagnosis of T2D can be accomplished using predictive models which analyze patterns and correlations in historical data. Data mining is vital in discovering hidden patterns which could potentially improve quality of life of patients diagnosed with health conditions. Data mining algorithms are used to identify correlations between different variables and build predictive models which provide insightful information for the purpose of clinical administration, diagnosis as well as management of diabetes. When interfaced with data warehousing, this can enable data-driven decision making by facilitating complex analyses and visualization through multi-dimensional Online Analytical Processing (OLAP) cubes.

The work presented in this paper has two major contributions. First, predictive models were developed to find the likelihood of one or more of three representative diabetes comorbidities - Benign Hypertension, Congestive Heart Failure, and Acute Renal Failure, using data mining algorithms. The results from these models could be used by healthcare professionals to identify patients who are at higher...
risk of developing predicted comorbidities and ensure effective management of the disease or development of other complications. The results are incorporated into an interactive web form which predicts the probability of one of the three comorbidities based on the inputs provided. The second contribution is the design and development of an interactive dashboard for a better and deeper understanding of the metrics associated with the disease. The dashboard provides aggregated statistics at facility and community levels with drill-down and drill-through reporting at finer granularities for various demographics, health regions and diagnoses. Overall, the extracted information can be useful to identify the gaps in healthcare and enhance related services by making informed decisions in a timely manner.

2 RELATED WORK

The cost and toll of diabetes on global healthcare systems has prompted extensive research especially with focus on early diagnosis and detection of the disease. The literature review presented in this section is grouped in three categories. Firstly, representative studies on diabetes and data mining are presented. This is followed by a review of the research-based tools such as diabetes calculators. Finally, the use of data visualization for enhanced and cost-effective healthcare is explored.

Automated detection of diabetes mellitus using artificial neural networks (ANNs) without patients undergoing clinical tests was proposed (Kumari & Singh, 2013). The neural network was built using the backpropagation algorithm and 18 out of 20 datasets tested produced results with an overall accuracy of 92.8%. The variables used to build the model included age, gender, weight, height, weight loss, thirst, hunger, appetite, nausea, fatigue, vomiting, bladder and skin infections. Considering that the data was collected using surveys and was self-reported, the authenticity of the diagnosis becomes questionable. Another study was conducted to compare three data mining models (ANN, decision tree and logistic regression) to predict diabetes or prediabetes by various risk factors (Meng, Huang, Rao, Zhang, & Liu, 2013). A questionnaire to obtain information on demographics, family diabetes history, anthropometric measurements and lifestyle risk factors was given to 1457 participants, 735 of whom had diabetes. The input variables used in this study included age, gender, family history of diabetes, marital status, education level, work stress, duration of sleep, physical activity, preference for salty food, eating fish, drinking coffee, and body mass index. The output variable (a flag) indicated whether the person had diabetes/prediabetes or not. It was observed that the decision tree yielded the highest classification accuracy, followed by logistic regression and ANN. A limitation of this study was that the sample population chosen was only from two small communities in Guangzhou, China and was not representative of any larger population. In a recent study, data was collected from over 230,000 participants over a ten-year period to develop a T2D risk prediction model using machine learning algorithms (Zhang, et al., 2020). This research excluded all diabetic participants as well as any participants taking medication for diabetes. The collected medical, behavioral, demographic and incidence data was used to predict T2D in participants at 3, 5, 7 and 10 years using a longitudinal study. Three machine learning algorithms (random forest, multilayer feedforward artificial neural network, and a gradient boosting machine approach) were compared with conventional logistic regression model. The AUC (Area under Curve) in machine learning models was higher than the conventional regression model implying better prediction capabilities of the former. The highest accuracy was recorded by gradient boosting algorithm with an AUC of 79% in 3-year prediction and 75% in 10-year prediction. It was also noted that diabetes incidence was higher among men than women over the ten-year period. Limitations of this research were that it used self-reported data and the exclusion of participants was done by use of diabetes related medication instead of a clinical diagnosis.

Diabetic patients have higher risk of being diagnosed with multiple comorbidities which, in turn, increases the complexity of treatment and care. Mortality of diabetic patients in ICU was predicted using three metrics: Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI), Elixhauser Comorbidity Index and Diabetes Complications Severity Index (DCSI) (Anand, et al., 2018). The results showed AUC values to be 0.694, 0.682 and 0.656 for DCSI, Elixhauser and CCI, respectively. The AUC improved to 0.785 when all three metrics were combined using logistic regression. A limitation of this research was that it used random sampling of 70/30 for analysis which resulted in an imbalance of less than 10% of positive cases. Also, it did not consider patients directly admitted for diabetes related care because it was complicated to identify using recorded diagnostic codes. The authors recommend analyzing other variables, such as Length of Stay (LOS), and use of
other machine learning algorithms such as random forest and ANN for better predictions.

A tool to predict T2D was developed to identify individuals at risk without undergoing laboratory tests (Lindström & Tuomilehto, 2003). The risk factors taken into account included age, BMI, waist circumference, history of antihypertensive drug treatment, high blood glucose, physical activity, and daily consumption of fruits, berries, or vegetables. For this study, a random population sample between ages 35-64 was selected and followed for 10 years. Each category was assigned a score using multivariate logistic regression model coefficients. The cumulative sum of all scores represented the Diabetes Risk Score. The research identified 182 cases of diabetes incidence in 4,435 subjects. The limitations of this study include exclusion of family history from the risk factors, inclusion of individuals with high glucose levels and use of surveys and national population register for the data.

A simple tool for detecting undiagnosed diabetes and prediabetes was proposed using survey data (Heikes, Eddy, Arondekar, & Schlessinger, 2008). The models were built using two methods – classification tree analysis and logistic regression. The risk factors used include age, height, waist circumference, gestational diabetes, race/ethnicity, hypertension, family history, and exercise. ROC area under curve for undiagnosed diabetes was 0.85, and for prediabetes was 0.75. ROC is used to evaluate the performance of models where the true positive rate is represented by sensitivity and false positive is represented by specificity. This research eliminated the variables for BMI in favour of height and weight, and the cholesterol variables were eliminated due to missing fields and low predictor value. Another important variable eliminated was diabetes in any blood relative.

Data visualization can lead to enhanced patient care and optimized diabetes management. Research has shown that management of diabetes improves when patients are provided with information and knowledge about their health condition. In a study, results were integrated with a user-friendly tool to predict the risk of hypertension, cardiovascular (Lau, Campbell, Tang, J S Thompson, & Elliott, 2014). Patients were assessed by a diabetologist and given access to a web portal which had information regarding diabetes, their personal health status as well as the ability to contact the diabetologist. The primary goal of this research was to monitor the blood glucose levels (A1C) and to observe differences between users who had access to the web portal and those who did not. The study found that the web portal users had lower levels of A1C compared to the non-users. This study did not explore the demographic factors that would influence the usage of the web portal and did not distinguish between patients with T1D and T2D. A clinical decision support system (CDSS) was built for a project designed to explore predictive models and decision support for T2D care and management (Dagliati, et al., 2018). The dashboard consisted of three sections consolidating metabolic control, frequent temporal patterns and drug purchase patterns. An outcome assessment and research support system was designed for clinicians. It was observed that T2D patients who had access to CDSS recorded shorter durations with their clinical visits and screening for complications increased in the visits indicating optimized patient care. The dashboard was evaluated for patient management but not for any clinical outcomes.

In summary, while there has been focus on early diagnosis and management of the disease, there is an obvious research gap when identifying risk factors leading to diabetes comorbidities. A common limitation prevalent in existing work is the use of less reliable survey data and interviews which could lead to erroneous predictive models. In contrast, clinical data is more authentic as patient diagnosis has been confirmed by qualified physicians. However, obtaining clinical data for research can be challenging as it is seldom available in the public domain due to privacy concerns.

3 METHODOLOGY

The dataset used in our research represents six years of clinical data for diabetic patients diagnosed with either T1D or T2D across a broad range of communities and facilities. This research has three interrelated components. First, a model for predicting diabetes comorbidities is proposed. The model includes the lesser explored variables such as length of stay and access to family physicians. Second, the results were integrated with a user-friendly tool to predict the risk of hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and renal failure in a specific diabetic patient. Finally, an interactive dashboard has been developed to provide insights about diabetes using visual analytics which uncovers hidden data patterns and assists in effective decision making and improved healthcare outcomes.
3.1 Proposed Model

The key components of the model are shown in Figure 1. After importing the .csv data file into SQL Server (Microsoft) database, the entire process can be grouped into three distinct phases, namely, predictive modeling, assessment tool and dashboard design. In the first phase, data was cleansed and prepared for the model before creating testing and training datasets for the three comorbidities. Relationships were established within the database to associate demographic and diagnostic data for visualization. Three predictor variables were chosen from the top twenty diagnostic codes. A separate model was built for each of these variables. The remaining diagnostic codes together with demographic data then became the input variables. Various data mining algorithms such as logistic regression, decision tree and artificial neural network together with their ensembles were compared for relevance and accuracy. Secondly, the results from predictive models were integrated with a web-based, user-friendly assessment tool to predict likelihood of comorbidities for individual patients. The front end for the assessment tool consists of a web form wherein the user enters information such as age and diagnosis code. The tool then displays the risk score for diabetes comorbidities based on the selected backend predictive model. Finally, a visual analytics dashboard was built to analyze/compare various metrics together with drilldown capabilities which allowed filtering by specific demographics and at finer granularity.

3.1.1 Data Source, Pre-Processing & Variable Selection

The clinical dataset used for this research represents patients who had accessed one of the eighteen facilities in three Health Service Delivery Areas (hereinafter referred to as Areas A, B, and C). The dataset consists of 141,900 records representing 34,824 unique admissions for the period 2012-2018; there were no cases of gestational diabetes. The dataset was anonymized to protect the privacy of patients and International Classification of Disease (ICD) codes have been used for the diseases.

To improve data quality, negative factors such as missing values and inconsistencies were addressed during pre-processing. For instance, pivot queries were used to obtain unique admissions including diagnosis codes for comorbidities. Three codes were finally selected as predictor or target variables: 1) I500 (Congestive Heart Failure), 2) I100 (Benign Hypertension), and 3) N179 (Acute Renal Failure). For each of these diagnosis codes, training and testing datasets were initially created with a ratio of 70:30. The final dataset combined patient’s multiple admissions into a single record and retained all their diagnosis codes to avoid data inconsistency. For relevance, it was ensured that the data consisted of only diabetic patients. Irrelevant and redundant codes were eliminated using the Feature Selection (FS) algorithm. The process was repeated for all three target variables.

The ranking produced by the Feature Selection algorithm for the three target variables is shown in
Figure 2. It can be observed that the diagnosis codes E1152, E1164, E119 and E149 were identified among the top ten variables consistently for all three target variables. This can be attributed to the large number of patients diagnosed with these codes (Table 1). Interestingly, I100 is also included as one of the top three important variables for predicting I500 as well as N179. Codes E119 and E149 consistently rank below the top five variables. These two codes specify diabetes patients without mention of complications which indicates that the probability of these patients to be diagnosed with other comorbidities is relatively low. It was also observed that 75% of E119 patients and 78% of E149 patients did not have either of I100, I500 and N179. Similarly, 49% of E1152 and 39% of E1164 patients were diagnosed with at least one or more of the three comorbidities (target variables) resulting in both codes to be ranked in the top five. Only two percent of the patients included all three target variables in their diagnosis. This is the reason N179 or I500 does not rank as important variables while predicting the others. Finally, the average age of patients in the dataset was 63 years and the average Total Length of Stay was seven days. Both of these variables were ranked as important.

Table 1: Top Seven Diagnostic Codes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Diagnosis Description</th>
<th>Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E119</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes mellitus without complications</td>
<td>7,956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1152</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes mellitus with certain circulatory complications</td>
<td>3,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I100</td>
<td>Benign hypertension</td>
<td>2,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E149</td>
<td>Unspecified diabetes mellitus without complication</td>
<td>2,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1164</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes mellitus with poor control, so described</td>
<td>1,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I500</td>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>1,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N179</td>
<td>Acute renal failure</td>
<td>1,303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.2 Predictive Modeling

The data mining algorithms used for this study include Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Logistic Regression, CHAID, Random Forest, Bayesian Network and Ensemble. These algorithms have unique characteristics and are available in IBM SPSS Modeler v18.1 (IBM, 2020) which also contains other desirable features such as advanced statistical analysis, ease of use, support for multiple data sources, feature selection algorithm, scalability, automation, visual interface and multiple deployment methods. An integration package was built to connect the Modeler with MS SQL server (Microsoft) backend database.

Figure 3 shows the training model for prediction of I100 (hypertension) using five data mining algorithms. The SQL Access data source node establishes a connection to diabetes database and extracts the dataset consisting of the finalized twenty-six variables. Twenty-four of these variables were the input variables and the remaining two variables were excluded because they were either a unique identifier (Patient Code) or the target variable (I100). The Type node is used to specify the data type of the selected variables as either nominal, categorical, continuous, flag or ordinal. Additionally, this node allows to specify whether a variable is input or target and provides an option to specify a unique identifier (Patient Code). The diagnosis codes, physician code and the target variable were all assigned as a flag datatype due to the binary values, such as 0 or 1. Patient Code, Age, Average Length of Stay were assigned as continuous which is used to describe numeric values. Facility Health Service Delivery Area and Facility Name were assigned as nominal which is used for storing string values. The Type node is connected to the data mining model nodes each of which represent one of the five algorithms. Executing these nodes generates the model nugget which
contains the results of the trained model for the selected algorithm. The results from the model nugget are connected to the analysis node which calculates the prediction accuracy of the model.

Figure 3: Predictive Model – Training.

Figure 4 shows the testing model used for predicting one of the target variables (I100). In this figure, the data sources represent the testing dataset which includes 30% of patients with I100. A major difference between the testing and training data source / type is that the former does not contain information of the corresponding target variable. The trained model nuggets possess the required information to predict the target variable using the selected data mining algorithm. These results are pushed to an output table for analysis.

Figure 4: Predictive Model – Testing.

Figure 5 shows the training and testing models for the Ensemble algorithm. The corresponding node combines results from the five trained models and generates a field containing the aggregated results which are then passed to the analysis node. Note that the Type node is connected to only one model nugget. This is because the data types of the variables are fetched from the first model nugget and then passed to the other four model nuggets followed by the Ensemble node. For testing, the five model nuggets are connected to each other and then to the Ensemble node which is connected to the Table node to generate aggregated results for analysis.

The process described above is also implemented for the other two target variables (I500, N179).

3.2 Model Accuracy

The results from the output table for all models were pushed into the diabetes database. The predicted column and the existing target variable information was compared for each row and the statistical accuracy of predictions was computed as the ratio of accurate predictions and total values in the dataset. It was observed that the accuracy of predictions for all algorithms was consistently better for true negative cases compared to true positives. This is due to the comparatively fewer true positive cases in all datasets.

Ensemble and Logistic Regression had the highest accuracy for predicting patients with or without hypertension (Figure 6). Both these algorithms recorded identical accuracies of 84.15%. The low accuracy (81.7%) of Random Forest can be attributed to overfitting problem which is one of the drawbacks of this algorithm. The dataset had 83%
patients without hypertension and 17% patients who were diagnosed with 1100 hypertension. For patients without hypertension, the six algorithms yielded an average accuracy of 97.2%. However, the average accuracy for those with hypertension was only 15%. The reason for this low accuracy is the small number of patients in this group for the training dataset. Specifically, there were 2,656 patients with hypertension which is only 18.9% of the total patients.

![Image 6: Predictive Model Results for 1100.](image)

Figure 6: Predictive Model Results for 1100.

Figure 7 shows the accuracy of the trained models for target variable I500 (Congestive Heart Failure). Both Ensemble and Neural Network demonstrated similar accuracy of 92.6%. Logistic Regression, Bayesian Network and CHAID had accuracies of 92.5%, 92.5%, and 92.3%, respectively. The average accuracy to predict patients with and without congestive heart failure was 29.1% and 98.7%, respectively. As explained earlier, the smaller number of patients in the training dataset for this group (<10% patients diagnosed with I500) contributed to the low accuracy.

![Image 7: Predictive Model Results for I500.](image)

Figure 7: Predictive Model Results for I500.

Figure 8 shows the overall accuracy of all algorithms for target variable N179 (Acute Renal Failure). CHAID, Ensemble and Logistic Regression had accuracies of 96.77%, 96.74%, and 96.55%, respectively. Random Forest and Neural Network recorded an identical accuracy of 96.37% and Bayesian Network had an accuracy of 96%. Within the database, there were a total of 1,303 patients who were diagnosed with N179; these patients were split into training and testing datasets in the ratio of 70:30. The average accuracy for predicting patients with and without N179 is 63.7% and 98.8%, respectively.

![Image 8: Predictive Model Results for N179.](image)

Figure 8: Predictive Model Results for N179.

In summary, all algorithms perform relatively similar for each of the three target variables. This is likely due to the fact that 1) Auto Classifier node was used to identify the data mining algorithms with high accuracies for all three target variables, 2) only the important variables identified by FS algorithm were selected as input variables, and 3) all twenty diagnosis codes, including the target variable, had binary data (0,1). Random Forest occasionally suffered from overfitting problem that trained the models to learn the noise thereby leading to negative impact on accuracy.

An interesting observation was made when predicting true positive cases only. For instance, for N179, CHAID had the highest accuracy of 67.7% followed by Ensemble with 66.4%. Bayesian Network, Logistic Regression and Neural Network had accuracies of 63.8%, 63.6% and 61%, respectively. For reasons mentioned earlier, Random Forest had the lowest accuracy (59.7%) for predicting patients diagnosed with N179. As the percentage of diagnosed patients for a target variable decreased, there was an increase in accuracy of predicting true positives across all algorithms. Since N179 consisted of the lowest percentage of diagnosed patients, it had a comparatively higher accuracy for predicting true positive cases, followed by I500 and I100.

Overall, the accuracy of predictions for all algorithms was consistently better for true negative cases compared to true positives.

4 COMORBIDITY ASSESSMENT TOOL

To predict the likelihood of a specific comorbidity for an individual case, an interactive web form has been developed which uses the predictive models on the backend. Specifically, the source node is replaced by
a User Input node which allows the user to enter values of all variables for a specific patient and generates the corresponding output instantly. An example for predicting I100 (hypertension) using this tool is shown in Figure 9. The Ensemble algorithm has been used in this case because it had the highest accuracy for predicting I100 among all six algorithms. The predicted value of 1 indicates that the patient will have hypertension in future, and there is a probability of 64% for this to happen. This patient was diagnosed with multiple comorbidities, which included the other two target variables (I500, N179). The prediction was in conformance with the actual data for this patient who was in fact diagnosed with hypertension. The web form can be connected to any of the six algorithms running in the background.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fields</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient Code</td>
<td>11219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E119</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E115Z</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E149</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1164</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I500 (Congestive heart Failure)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1123</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N179 (Acute Renal Failure)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N359</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0639</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1128</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z22302</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1178</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSDA</td>
<td>Region C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility Name</td>
<td>Hospital D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Length of Stay</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Doctor</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (Predicted)</td>
<td>1 (Yes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of Hypertension (Predicted)</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9: Comorbidity Assessment Tool.

5 DATA VISUALIZATION

Dashboards are an effective tool which allow visualization of large amounts of data in an intuitive manner without delving into complex statistics. Interactive data visualizations can help users to quickly identify patterns and trends that can enable effective decision-making. The Canadian Diabetes Association established that health professionals were more effective in treating diabetes patients when using a dashboard which provided knowledge of other risk factors and associated guidelines (Diabetes Canada. (n.d.)). Similarly, patients who are presented with a dashboard listing the risk factors tend to benefit from the knowledge contained therein. For this research, Microsoft’s SQL Server Reporting Services (SSRS) was selected to build the dashboard due to its simplicity, capability to produce interactive visualizations and ability to adjust with fast changing datasets. Among other things, SSRS provides features including compatibility with a variety of data sources, interactive sorting capabilities, drill-down/drill-through reporting, security via access controls, and export features. Microsoft SQL server was used as the backend database and a wrapper to render reports.

The dashboard consists of three top-level reports and several drilldown sub-reports. The top-level aggregated reports show overall aggregated statistics for the dataset, prominent comorbidities and primary diagnosis codes for patients with different types of diabetes, and a comparative analysis of aggregated patients and admission statistics across all health service delivery areas. Figure 10 shows the main dashboard that displays the clinical data sliced along various dimensions such as population, diagnosis codes, diabetes types, average age, admissions, and comorbidities for patients admitted in respective facilities over the years. The dashboard allows navigation to reports at a finer granularity via drilldowns. The dashboard presents insightful information such as obesity rates based on geographical locations, food habits and the overall trend for diabetes over the years. Such information can educate the users about diabetes and its impact on health. The charts in the top row show an overview of aggregated statistics. The admitted patients recorded an average of four diagnoses from the possible 4,592 diagnosis codes. The maximum number of diagnosis codes recorded for a patient was 89, there were four patients who recorded more than 80 comorbidities and fifty patients who recorded between 50-80 comorbidities. The geographic distribution of diabetic patients and admissions is also shown. Drilldown from this chart shows a further breakdown of these numbers for each local health region. The number of patients diagnosed with diabetes and number of admissions show an interesting correlation over the years. The T2D patient count consistently dropped until it reached a plateau in 2017/18. On the other hand, the admissions trend is quite the opposite with 2017/18 recording the highest number of admitted patients. Overall, residents in this province had a lower prevalence of diabetes (5.7-5.9%) than the national average of 6.5-7.3% over the study period. A similar pattern was observed for T1D patients.
On average, a patient had four diagnosis codes with the majority of patients having two to five comorbidities. The lowest number of patients was recorded for 16-20 comorbidities and then an increase was observed for 20+ comorbidities. The next chart shows the prominent communities that had the highest number of diabetic patients. The dataset consisted of 305 communities and seventy local health regions of Community_1 recorded the maximum number of patients consistently over the years. The Hospital A in Community_1 accounted for 53% of the total patients and 47% of overall admissions. Hospital B in Community_2 accounted for 7% of the total patients and 13% of the overall admissions. It was also observed that all communities showed an increase of patients in the year 2017/18 from the previous year making it consistent with the trends noted earlier. LHA_1, LHA_2, LHA_3 and LHA_4 consist of 15, 3, 13 and 16 communities, respectively. The last chart on this dashboard shows prevalence of diabetes per thousand of the population. LHA_1 recorded the maximum prevalence per thousand residents over the study period. An interesting observation is that while LHA_1 and LHA_2 did not show any change between 2016/17 and 2017/18, both LHA_3 and LHA_4 showed a slight increase over the same period. This is consistent with the earlier observation where a spike in the number of patients was observed for both LHAs during this period.

5.1 Diabetes Types & Comorbidities

Figure 11 shows the overall aggregated statistics broken down by diagnosis codes specific to the type of diabetes and comorbidities. The clinical data has been sliced along various patient groups (T1D, T2D and other types of diabetes) and diagnosis. It was interesting to note that 51% of the patients had been diagnosed with between two to five comorbidities in addition to diabetes. The top chart shows vital statistics related to comorbidities. It was observed that one in five diabetic patients had hypertension and one in ten had heart/renal failure. These three comorbidities accounted for 39% of the total patients and 22% of the total admissions. Further, comorbidities accounted for 98% of the total diagnosis codes. Upon admission, multiple diagnosis codes are normally entered, one of which becomes the primary ‘most responsible’ code. The top five primary diagnosis codes which account for 23% of total patients and
15% of total admissions are H251 (senile nuclear cataract), H269 (unspecified cataract), I214 (acute subendocardial myocardial infarction), I500 (congestive heart failure), and Z031 (suspected malignant neoplasm). It is worth mentioning that while H251 is showing the maximum number of patients’ primary diagnosis, it is not the case when all diagnosis types are included. For example, H251 accounted only for 4% of the total admissions and 7% of the total patients. Thus, it was not identified as a target variable when building the model. The top five comorbidities for patients with T2D were benign hypertension (I100), congestive heart failure (I500), unspecified glomerular disorders (N0839), acute renal failure (N179), and urinary tract infection (N390). It was observed that 65% of the patients with T2D were diagnosed with one or more of these comorbidities, 48% were diagnosed with one or more of the top three comorbidities (I100, I500, N179), and urinary tract infection (N390). It was observed that 65% of the patients with T2D were diagnosed with one or more of these comorbidities, 48% were diagnosed with one or more of the top three comorbidities (I100, I500, N179), and urinary tract infection (N390). The three target variables accounted for 63% of patients. The top two comorbidities (hypertension and congestive heart failure) are the same in both sets (T2D and T1D); however, the third and fourth comorbidities (N390 and N179) are reversed. Finally, the top five diagnosis codes embedded with different types of diabetes is shown. Four of these codes (starting with ‘E11’) represent T2D patients that can be attributed to the fact that majority of the patients in this dataset have been diagnosed with T2D.

Figure 12 shows a comparison of aggregated statistics for each of the three HSDAs – A, B and C - which recorded 24%, 57% and 19% of the total patients, respectively. It was noted that 6% of the patients migrated to other communities and were thus counted more than once. This, however, does not impact the number of visits because those are recorded independent of the patient’s community. On average, approximately two admissions per patient were recorded across all HSDAs, including out-of-province patients. Even though Region B recorded majority of the patients as well as admissions, the average length of stay (LOS) was very similar across all HSDAs. A similar pattern was also observed for patients who had family physicians. There was a total of nineteen communities which recorded over 100 patients for the years 2012/13 to 2017/18. Among these, Community_3 had 85% of patients without a
family doctor followed by other communities where 73%, 42%, 40%, and 33% of patients had no family doctor. The five communities with the highest number of patients had 14%, 27%, 18%, 14% and 11% patients with no family doctors. Region C had the highest number of patients visiting from outside of the province. A breakdown of out-of-province visits is also provided at the facility level.

The number of patients who were recorded with only one diagnosis code was less than 2% in each of the HSDAs. Patients with two to five comorbidities represented 53%, 50% and 59% of the total number of patients in Regions A, B and C, respectively. Patients with six or more comorbidities were 45%, 49% and 39% for the same HSDAs, respectively. The three HSDAs had a variation ranging from 72% (C) to 90% (B) for number of patients with diagnosis code related to T2D. Of the eighteen facilities across all HSDAs, Hospital_A admitted 50% of the total patients followed by Hospital_C (11%) and Hospital_D (10%). The lowest number of patients was admitted by Hospital_E (0.5%). Figure 13 shows the drilldown for annual breakdown of cumulative visits and number of patients across all HSDAs.
6 CONCLUSION

Diabetes is a chronic disease whose prevalence is growing at a rapid rate throughout the world. In Canada, one person is diagnosed with diabetes every three minutes, and one in ten deaths are attributed to this disease. Due to this prevalence, it has received global attention and vast amounts of data has been collected. Unfortunately, this data exists in disparate repositories and has not been harnessed to its full potential. One of the key shortcomings of existing research towards this cause is the use of non-clinical data which is collected using surveys and self-administered questionnaires. The dataset used for this research was obtained from a health authority and exclusively comprised of diabetic patients. In order to make this clinical data valuable for physicians and other stakeholders, several KPIs were identified which provided insight into historical trends and patterns for using visual analytics. These metrics are then presented on a visually appealing dashboard which consists of top-level reports and numerous drill-down and drill-through reports for insights at finer granularity. The data was mined for predictive analysis. Six representative data mining algorithms were evaluated for analysis of three target variables. Overall, an accuracy of 83.5%, 92.4% and 96.5% was observed for I100, I500 and N179, respectively. The developed models were then incorporated into an interactive assessment tool that takes input from the user via an interactive web form and predicts the likelihood of one of the three comorbidities in future.

In summary, the study methodology consists of the following steps: integration of a clinical diabetes dataset into SQL database, data preprocessing, data analysis, selection of the input and three predictor variables for diabetes comorbidities, evaluation of relative performance of various data mining algorithms, displaying results on an interactive dashboard and building an integrated, user-friendly tool to calculate the risk of developing comorbidities for individual patients.

There is potential for future research in this area. For instance, it would be more desirable to have an exclusive code for recording the type of diabetes and separate the comorbidities diagnosis of the patients. Similarly, physicians could identify combinations of different diagnosis codes for a potentially higher prediction accuracy due to larger grouping. Finally, adding time dimension to the metrics could allow a longitudinal study leading to prediction of timelines when a comorbidity is likely to occur.
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