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Abstract: The availability of internet services in the United States and rest of the world in general in the modern past has contributed to more traction in the social network platforms like Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, and much more. This has made it possible for individuals to freely speak and express their sentiments and emotions towards the society. In 2020, the United State Presidential Elections saw around 1.5 million tweets on Twitter specifically for the Democratic and Republican party, Joe Biden, and Donald Trump, respectively. The tweets involve people’s sentiments and opinions towards the two political leaders (Joe Biden and Donald Trump) and their parties. The study of beliefs, sentiments, perceptions, views, and feelings conveyed in text is known as sentiment analysis. The political parties have used this technique to run their campaigns and understand the opinions of the public. In this thesis, during the voting time for the United States Elections in 2020, we conducted text mining on approximately 1.5 million tweets received between 15th October and 8th November that address the two mainstream political parties in the United States. We aimed at how Twitter users perceived for both political parties and their candidates in the United States (Democratic and Republican Party) using VADER a sentiment analysis tool that is tailored to discover the social media emotions, with a lexicon and rule-based sentiment analysis. The results of the research were the Democratic Party’s Joe Biden regardless of the sentiments and opinions in the in Twitter showing Donald Trump could win.

1 INTRODUCTION

Social Media's popularity can be attributed to allowing its users to share information and express their opinions on specific topics. For instance, Twitter alone has nearly 500 million tweets each day, which equates to 6,000 tweets per second. Facebook and Instagram can be said to have even more active users per day than Twitter. Social Media platforms are significant sources of Big Data and can offer businesses insights by providing a public opinion.

The United States witnessed the 59th quadrennial elections on 3 November 2020. The election process involves the citizens voting for electors who eventually vote for the president. The U.S. has 538 electors, and for one to become president, he must accumulate half of these votes, which is 270 votes. When an elector wins the ordinary votes, he is then awarded all the state’s electoral votes. All the electors finally make up the Electoral college responsible for electing the president (BBC News 2020). The winning party headed by Joe Biden amassed 306 electoral votes and 81.2 million popular votes from the Americans, which equated to 51.3% of the total votes cast. The number of eligible voters in the 2020 presidential elections was approximately 239.2 million voters (Medhat W. Hassan, 2014). Those who voted were 159.8 million Americans, increasing 23.2 million voters from the previous elections in 2016. Apart from the Republican and Democratic parties contesting the White House, the Green Party and Libertarian Party gained traction in some states in the 2020 general elections. However, the most popular candidates and remained in the news during the entire election period where the incumbent president Donald Trump and Joe Biden.

Political parties took their battle in the social media platforms for further reach, sentimental
analysis, and users' thoughts. The tweets were collected precise a month before the elections because it was a period of heated campaigns. The extracted data is semi-structured JSON data containing multiple key-value pairs of twitter data such as tweet_id, tweet_text, etc. It is a complex dynamic nested structure and poses challenges to parse the required fields and store them in a structured manner. The Paper explains how VADER was used in analyzing social media texts.

1.1 Influence of Social Media on Elections

Keipo’s analysis places the United States in the sixth position globally regarding its users' social media activity (Dean B, 2020). Approximately 70% of the population in the United States are active social media users. Facebook and Twitter rank in first and second positions as pertains to the number of active users. However, Twitter is ranked as the first one for users who are inclined to politics.

Twitter is well received among all social media platforms by politicians and journalists because of its potential political influence on its users. It makes users express their views with a word limit of only 140 characters. It also must mark extensive following verified profiles, which helps users filter out tweets from unverified accounts. Twitter also has features such as retweeting, which allows more extensive and quicker reach among its users. These features make Twitter the best platform for running campaigns by political parties and leaders. The U.S. Presidential elections happen after every four years, and with the rise of internet users on social media platforms, it is one of the generating sources of Big Data. There are over 50 million Twitter users in the United States.

The thesis uses 1.5 million tweets collected from 15 October 2020 to 8 November 2020 to relate the citizens' sentiment with the parties campaigning timelines and find out if there were any patterns hidden. This work aims to discover the political orientation of the users of Twitter towards the leading parties. The thesis focuses on the user tweets targeted to Donald Trump and Joe Biden, among the running candidates. The process is to obtain the texts’ polarity based on words, annotated with the text's weight and sentiment. The thesis aims to use Valence Aware Dictionary and Sentimental Reasoner (VADER) (R. Jose and V. S. Chooralil, 2015). VADER is an advanced lexicon rule-based sentiment analyzer which is specialized in analyzing social media texts.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

Sentiment analysis of tweets data is considered a much harder problem than standard text, i.e., review documents. The problem is the frequent use of irregular and informal words, the limited length of tweets, and the rapid evolution of Twitter usage. In Twitter sentiment analysis, a significant amount of work is carried out, followed by the feature-based approaches.

2.1 Related Work

In this section, we discuss the related works on predicting and analysing the result of an election using Twitter.

Previous studies shows that analysing sentiments and patterns generate effective results that could be handy in determining public opinion on the government's elections and policies (Adam Bermingham and Alan F Smeaton. 2011). Authors extract sentiments as emotions concerning the many leading party candidates, supported that, and calculated a distance measure (Tunisian, A, 2010). The distance measure shows the proximity of the political parties, the smaller space, the higher the possibilities of close political connections. and show that Twitter knowledge will help predict the election polls and derive valuable data regarding public opinions (O ’Connor, B.; Balasubramanyan, R.; Routledge, B. R.; and Smith, N. A., 2010).

People's social media ideas also impact people's political parties' choices (M. Eirinaki, S. Pisal, and J. Singh, 2012). The analyst found out that VADER exceeds both individual human rates and machine learning methods.

3 METHODOLOGY

Figure 1: Flow diagram for twitter sentiment analysis.

Figure 1 shows the flow for Twitter sentiment analysis, starting from the first extraction of tweets.
from the source to filtering out the data, pre-processing, sentiment analysis, and the results. The VADER will help analyse the sentiments and identify the tweets as either positive, negative, or neutral.

3.1 Data Collection

In this research ‘Tweepy’, a library from Python, is used to extract the data from Twitter. In this research Tweepy was used instead of its closest rival Twint because Tweepy is the official Python library authorized to access Twitter API.

Twitter API enables developers to extract the user tweets. However, it has a limited extraction of fewer than three months, explaining why our extraction was only one month. The data is usually stored in JSON format. We used a Python script to extract the required key from the JSON schema. The list of key-value pairs parsed out from the JSON.

The data downloaded is 1.5 million tweets that were collected for three weeks. This research emphasized on tweets that mentioned the two running candidates from the Democratic and Republican parties. The data was extracted from a JSON object (data interchange file format), a semi-structured data file format.

The information obtained from the JSON object includes hashtags, URL profile image, counts of followers, friends and statuses, tweet text and locale among other useful variables with regards to a tweet and the user profile.

The information below shows a list of keywords used for extracting user tweets related to each party and leader. We used the #(explore) to extract the unique hashtags for the candidates.

For Donald Trump and Mike Pence (Republican Party).

(#Republican, #DonaldTrump, #voting, #Trump, #HarrisCounty, #MAGA2020, #TrumpIsANationalDisgrace, #TrumpVirusDeathToll, #Trump-Covid, #EndTrumpChaos, #TrumpTaxReturns, #DumpTrump2020, #TrumpLies)

For Joe Biden and Kamala Harris (Democratic Party)

(#BidenLies, #BidenLies, #VoteBlueToSaveAmerica, #VoteBlue, #VoteBidenHarris2020, #BidenHarrisToSaveAmerica)

For anything else related to elections, we used the following keywords:

(#ExGOP, #GOPSuperSpreaders, #AmericasGreatestMistake, #TrumpVsBiden, #PresidentialDebate, #PresidentialDebate2020, #Election2020, #TrumpBidenDebate, #Propaganda, #USPresidentialDebate2020, #USElection2020, #BountyGate, #BLM, #BlackLivesMatter, #Elections, #VoteLibertarian, #Opinion, #CountryOverParty, #nhPolitics, #VoteForAmerica, #PlatinumPlan, #PresidentialDebates2020, #Debate, #SuperSpreader)

3.2 Data Pre-Processing

Natural Language Processing (NLP): This is part of the study at the intersection of computer science and linguistics. The computer uses NLP to extract meaning from natural human language. Non-structured data is processed using NLP steps, which are later documented to analyze the words’ polarity using WordNet, SentiWordNet. The mechanisms used in the extraction of information include Word Stemming and lemmatization, stop word analysis, word tokenization, word sense disambiguation, etc.

Natural Language Toolkit (NLTK): This is a free, open-source Python package that provides a few tools for building programs and classifying data. NLTK provides an easy way to use the interface of over 50 corpora and lexical resources, which includes a group of text processing libraries for classification, tokenization, stemming, tagging, parsing, and semantic reasoning.

Figure 2: Word cloud for Donald Trump and Joe Biden.

Figure 2 demonstrates the Word cloud which is a visualization wherein the more frequent words appear large, and therefore, the less frequent words seem to be even smaller. It is used in identifying the commonly used words in tweets.

VADER Sentiment Analysis: VADER is a rule-based lexicon and sentiment analysis tool that is exceptionally accommodating to the social media platform’s sentiments. It tells about the positivity or negativity of a score and its positive, negative, or neutral sentiment. VADER is available in the NLTK.
package and can be applied directly to unlabelled text data.

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we will discuss regarding the results produced after the implementation of the proposed methodology.

We calculated each user's mean sentiment towards the candidates considering each user's political party and candidate's timeline. We checked the tweet distribution in different states as well as the overall sentiment for the two candidates. We also checked the tweet distribution of daily sentiments for each of the candidates.

After filtering the tweets based on the keywords listed in the data collection section, we ended up with 1.5 million tweets. We pre-processed the data by cleaning the raw tweets and mapping them to a candidate as per the positive, neutral, and negative sentiments they got as follows.

Figure 3 of the findings shows the overall sentiments that the Twitter users had concerning the two candidates. The users had more negative things to tweets about Trump than Biden. The overall negative tweets about Trump were 35%, while those for Biden were 23%, a difference of 12%. Biden also took the lead on the positive tweets as well as neutral tweets. This could be attributed to Biden's running for the first time as president, unlike Trump, who was having a second run for the presidency. Sentiments about Trump were evenly distributed, each taking one-third of the total sentiments, and thus would be difficult to predict people's general views using the pie chart.

![Figure 3: Sentiment distribution of each candidate.](image)

Figure 4 displays the breakdown of sentiments for the candidate of Democratic party Joe Biden on daily basis during the election period and campaigning from 15th October 2020 until 8th November 2020. There is stable sentiment observed for Joe Biden compared to Donald Trump.

![Figure 4: Daily change in sentiment for Joe Biden.](image)

Figure 5: Daily change in sentiment for Donald Trump.

Figure 5 indicates the breakdown of sentiments for candidate of Republican party Donald Trump. It can be observed that there are drastic changes observed in terms of daily sentiments for Donald Trump compared to Joe Biden. This can be attributed to the fact that Donald Trump was acting president during the elections.

![Figure 5: Daily change in sentiment for Donald Trump.](image)

Figure 6 shows the distribution of fake tweets for both Biden and Trump. Trump had a total of 4244 fake tweets while Biden had 1517 fake tweets. These fake tweets acted as channels of misleading the people that the individual candidates had traction while in real sense they did not. These
tweets were identified based on the keywords/hashtags in the tweets posted and shared by users in twitter.

Figure 7: Polynomial sentiment trend of tweets for Donald Trump and Joe Biden.

Figure 7 show the polynomial trendline indicating the number of tweets with sentiments over the time frame 15th October to 8th November along with sentiments. It can be observed that even though Democratic party and its candidate Joe Biden received few sentiments (tweets) from public compared to Republican party and its candidate Donald Trump, but they were leading in terms of positive sentiments. There was also a drastic change observed for Democratic party and its candidate Joe Biden in terms of positive sentiments (tweets) just few days before the election day.

In this research, it is observed that The Republican party who was leading in terms of sentiments in key states namely Alaska, South Dakota and Mississippi won the electoral votes as well by 52.8%, 61.8% and 57.5% respectively. On the contrary, it is noticed that the three key states Florida, Texas, and Ohio where people expressed more sentiments towards Democratic party, but Republican party was leading in electoral votes with 51.2%, 52.1% and 53.3% respectively.

Table 1: Vote share comparison with Positive and Negative sentiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Negative Sentiment</th>
<th>Positive Sentiment</th>
<th>Vote Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0% 49.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
<td>49.3% 47.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
<td>51.0% 49.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>34.0%</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>50.2% 47.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>46.0%</td>
<td>50.0% 47.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td>50.0% 49.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>38.0%</td>
<td>48.0%</td>
<td>50.0% 45.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>43.0%</td>
<td>50.0% 45.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
<td>50.0% 49.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Distribution of tweet sentiments by party.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Republican</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Distribution of tweet sentiments by candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donald Trump</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Biden</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 and Table 3 show that the Republican party has more positive sentiments (56%) than its candidate Donald Trump (35%). Also, the Republican party still has more negative sentiments of 69% than its candidate Donald Trump with 23% negative tweets. The citizens are more neutral about the Republican Party with 55% compared to Democratic Party with 45%. In the overall distribution of the positive, negative, and neutral sentiments, the Republican party had more sentiments than the Democratic Party. This is because the Republican Party stood as the acting party during the election.

Table 2 and Table 3 also demonstrates that the distribution of the tweet sentiments for the individual candidates was generally lower than that of the party. These distributions can be interpreted to mean that the parties are more popular in the United Stated than the candidates that flag them. There is a wide range between the overall tweet sentiments for the Republican Party and its running candidate Donald Trump. This trend is unlike the range between the Democratic Party and the running candidate Joe Biden which is an average of 4% differences.

The research was also conducted to identify the sentiments by several age groups towards each election parties in context of presidential election. Table 4 show the distribution of votes by age group. It can be observed that younger people (age 18-44) voted more for democratic party whereas elder people (age 45 and above) voted more for the Republican party. The US citizens of age group 45-64 have the highest ratio of participation for voting with 38% voters, followed by the second age group of 30-44 with 23% of voters. This demonstrates that individuals in the 30-64 age range were most likely to use social media sites to voice their opinions on the parties and politicians running for President of the United States in 2020.
Considering the sentiments in Table 4, the Republican party was leading in terms of positive, negative, and neutral sentiments with the fair bit of margin than Democratic party. However, Republican party was also leading in terms of negative sentiments compared to positive sentiments. From Table 5, it can be concluded, although people expressed their sentiments more towards Republican party and its candidate Donald Trump, but more than half of the votes was bagged by Democratic party and its candidate Joe Biden.

Table 5 show that out of 538 members of electoral college, Democratic party managed to win 306 electoral votes (270 electoral votes needed to win) and Republican party won 232 electoral votes. The Democratic party also took a lead in terms of Senate Votes winning 53 votes compared to Republican party winning 47 votes. The House votes also favoured the Democratic party to take a lead by 222 votes compared to Republican party who won 211 votes.

5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this research, we aimed to conduct a Twitter sentiment analysis for the 2020 U.S. presidential elections for Donald Trump and Joe Biden and their respective parties. We extracted user tweets from Twitter archiver from 15 October to 8 November 2020 based on keywords and hashtags related to the trend observed during the election period.

We used VADER to calculate the text's polarity expressed in social media better than the traditional dictionary-based approach that maintains WordNet for positive, negative, and neutral polarity keywords. It was seen that people had higher positive sentiments for Joe Biden and his Democratic party than they did Donald Trump. The sentiment analysis results follow the actual election results whereby sentiments give an indication of the voting pattern. Therefore, we can conclude that sentiment analysis can indicate the trend in the elections.

We visualize some future directions for this study. Our primary focus was on classifying positive, negative, or neutral sentiments correctly. However, it would be interesting to discover between subjective and objective tweets since it would be a more effective filter. In our approach, we handle classification for tweets based on party and candidates’ keyword. Still, a more elaborated method could be developed where the context or even synonyms are considered.

In this study, we concentrated on Twitter, but more social media networks could be added. We distinguished some changes to include completely various types of training datasets turned to other social networks, and more heuristic rules are present in other area contexts. Additionally, it would be interesting to develop datasets and trained machine learning with a more balanced combination of tweets from different sources.
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