Using Merged Cancer Registry Data for Survival Analysis in Patients Treated with Integrative Oncology: Conceptual Framework and First Results of a Feasibility Study

Thomas Ostermann¹, Sebastian Appelbaum¹,², Stephan Baumgartner³, Lukas Rist⁴ and Daniel Krüerke³,⁴

¹Methods and Statistics in Psychology, Faculty of Health, Witten/Herdecke University, Witten, Germany
²Trimberg Research Academy, University of Bamberg, Bamberg, Germany
³Society for Cancer Research, Hiscia Institute, Arlesheim, Switzerland
⁴Clinic Arlesheim, Research Department, Arlesheim, Switzerland

Keywords: Survival Analysis, Clinical Registry, Cancer, Integrative Oncology.

Abstract: Survival analysis is the basis for research into all types of treatments aimed at prolonging the overall survival of a cancer entity. Before we use data from a cancer registry at the Clinic Arlesheim (CRCA) for more sophisticated survival analysis in relation to integrative oncology treatments, we wanted to learn more about the possible differences between the clientele in this database and the public. In a first step we compared survival rates for breast cancer and pancreatic cancer analyzed from CRCA-data with the corresponding survival rate (all stages) available at the Robert-Koch-Institute. Furthermore, we differentiated the survival rates from CRCA-patients with respect to the fraction of the survival time in the care of the clinic Arlesheim. While the survival rates of CRCA-patients with breast cancer or with pancreatic cancer show similar survival rates compared to corresponding data from the Robert-Koch-Institute, the sensitivity analysis suggests that the longer the fraction of the survival time in the care of the clinic Arlesheim the higher the expected survival rates. In conclusion, the analysis and comparison of the survival rates of a clinical population of a cancer registry, such as CRCA, may lead to a better identification of responders and non-responders and thus in the long run may help to optimise integrative and patient centered treatment strategies.

1 INTRODUCTION

Cancer in many cases still is a disease with fatal outcome. According to GLOBOCAN database, 18.1 million new cancer cases and 9.6 million cancer deaths worldwide were counted in 2018 with a 20% risk of getting a cancer before age of 75 and a 10% risk of dying from it (Ferlay et al., 2019). Thus, there is an absolute necessity to be able to provide statistical data on cancer incidence and treatments. This is mainly done by cancer surveillance initiatives.

Cancer surveillance according to the National Cancer Institute is the “ongoing, timely, and systematic collection and analysis of information on new cancer cases, extent of disease, screening tests, treatment, survival, and cancer deaths (Stillman et al., 2012).

Consequently, the reliability and functionality of cancer surveillance relies on the ability to transfer cancer data from hospitals, physicians and laboratories into an environment where data can be exchanged and made available (Pollack et al., 2020).

In a pragmatic view this is already the definition of a cancer registry, which according to Bianconi et al. (2012) is defined as “a systematic collection of a clearly defined set of health and demographic data for patients with specific health characteristics, held in a central database for a predefined purpose”.

The history of cancer registries however started very early before modern computer technology was able to assist in fulfilling its purpose.
1.1 History of Cancer Registries

With a deeper understanding of the pathogenesis of cancer in the 19th century first ideas were developed to gather reliable statistics in terms of cancer related mortality or morbidity rates. In 1900, a first nationwide survey on cancer was started by the German Committee for Cancer Research (Wagner, 1991). According to (Meyer, 1911) “the committee's first work should be directed towards the strength of the enemy to be fought […]. Preparing for his suppression means first of all to get a statistic of cancer”. Therefore, questionnaires were sent to every physician to record mortality rates due to cancer.

Another 30 years later according to Alam (2011), a first population-based cancer registry was established in Germany allowing to follow up the treatment process including the duration of survival of cancer patients, which was one of the starting points of cancer epidemiology.

Today, the Federal Cancer Register Data Act from 2009, obligated the federal states to transmit data from the federal states to the Center for Cancer Registry Data at the Robert Koch-Institute, where data is stored and used for epidemiological and scientific purposes (Arndt et al., 2019) such as the calculation of survival rates (SRs).

As already stated above, SRs provide information about the percentage of people with the same cancer and stage of cancer who survived a certain period after diagnosis (usually five years) after a given therapy. This information can be used to predict therapy success on a probabilistic basis.

In particular, cancer registry data can be used to identify patients with prolonged survival, which is one of the main objectives in clinical oncology. Keeping in mind that integrative treatment of cancer may have a survival benefit for cancer patients (Bae et al., 2019; Ostermann et al., 2020), registry data from integrative inpatient treatment is a highly valuable source not to be underestimated in health services research.

1.2 The Cancer Registry of the Clinic Arlesheim (CRCA)

The CRCA dates back to the late 1950th and the early 1960th (Leroi, A., 1959). The main idea of the “medical records archive” as it was called at that time, was to collect and process many clinical experiences as possible. In regular steps of one or two years after inpatient treatment physicians were contacted, to find out how the patients were doing.

In house, the medical records collected in the archive were available to the physicians for clinical preparation as well as for research in a hanging card register (Figure 1).

And indeed at that time first case reports on the treatment of cancer were published (Leroi-von Mai, 1962; Leroi, A., & Wrede, E.;1967) but also a large cohort study in 1,042 breast cancer patients was published (Leroi, A. 1958).

Today, the CRCA includes the documentation in the international oncology database QuaDoSta (Jeschke et al., 2007) located in Berlin Havelhöhe (quality, documentation and statistics) since 2010, the own hospital information system (HIS) since 2016 and a Follow-Up database since 1961. They contribute with different size to the documentation of the clinical course of various cancer entities in the CRCA. Figure 2 describes how different sources of documentation interact and contributing to finally create the CRCA structure with all data sources.
Before using data from the CRCA for more sophisticated survival analysis regarding integrative oncology treatments, the present study aims at investigating the data about possible differences between the clientele in the database and the public.

Thus, in a first step we compared SRs for patients with breast cancer and pancreatic cancer respectively analyzed from CRCA-data with the corresponding SRs (all stages) available at the Center for Cancer Registry Data at the Robert Koch-Institute.

Furthermore, we differentiated the SR from CRCA-patients according to the fraction of the survival time in the care of the clinic Arlesheim.

2 MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Data Sources and Data Management

We used the anonymized data for date of first diagnosis, age at diagnosis, date of admission to the Clinic Arlesheim and date of death from the CRCA to analyse survival times and rates for breast cancer and pancreatic cancer patients.

Advanced data analysis is based on a conceptual approach that contributes to a better understanding which parameters and treatment modalities have a positive impact on survival of major cancer entities.

The CRCA provides clinical information of more than 14,000 cancer patients treated with integrative medical concepts between 2003 and 2017 at either the Lukas Clinic or the Ita Wegman Hospital and the Clinic Arlesheim (the latter was founded in 2014 by the fusion of the other two institutes). The CRCA contains information on tumour location, date of cancer diagnosis, TNM, consultations, diagnostics, duration and frequency of conventional treatments as well as integrative therapies, date and cause of death, medical treatment regimens including doses and application forms and many other detailed clinical documentation on the course of cancer diseases.

Inclusion criteria for this extended documentation of disease progression were:

- Diagnosis of malignancy at any of the following sites:
  - Pancreas (ICD10 C25),
  - Colon and Rectum (C18 - C20),
  - Lung (C34),
  - Breast (C50),
  - Prostate (C61).

- Valid informed consent
- At least 3 medical consultations within the first year after registration in the CRCA (outpatients)
- At least 4 days of hospitalization within the first year of registration in the CRCA (inpatients)

Exclusion Criteria:
- Medical consultation only within the first year of registration in the CRCA.
- No malignant tumour

We selected freely available data from the Robert Koch Institute (RKI) to compare our results with a large national cancer registry (RKI 2017).

For a 10-year survival comparison, we had to take data from the CRCA Follow-Up database with initial diagnosis for C25 and C50 in 2003-2004. From this database, we were able to use 472 breast cancer patients (C50) and 50 pancreas cancer patients (C25) for the following survival analysis, as shown in the flowchart (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Patient flow chart for CRCA Follow-Up database extraction of C50 and C25 patients, processed in the further survival analysis.

2.2 Statistical Analysis

In a preliminary analysis, the data were prepared and adjusted to be comparable to the survival data of the RKI.

Survival time was defined as the time from the date of first cancer diagnosis derived from the records of the Clinic Arlesheim until the last follow-up date or documentation of death.
The survival curves were estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method (Schober & Vetter; 2018).

3 RESULTS

The C50 and C25 incidences and age distributions for the CRCA Follow-Up database extraction and for the RKI database query are summarized in Table 1.

The average age of the corresponding patients at the clinic Arlesheim are about 5 to 10 years younger than that of the patients registered in the RKI database. This could be related to the fact, that younger people are more open to integrative medicine treatments than older generations.

Table 1: Sex-specific incidences and age distributions for the patients from the CRCA Follow-Up database and for the patients from the RKI database query (first diagnosed 2003-2004, f=females, m=males).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registry</th>
<th>ICD10</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age ± SD / y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRCA</td>
<td>C50</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>53.9 ± 12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C25</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>61.8 ± 9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>m</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>62.4 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C50</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>122922</td>
<td>63.2 ± 13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C25</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>14119</td>
<td>72.4 ± 11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>m</td>
<td>13572</td>
<td>67.8 ± 10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RKI</td>
<td>C25</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>14119</td>
<td>72.4 ± 11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>m</td>
<td>13572</td>
<td>67.8 ± 10.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SR of CRCA patients with breast cancer (age over 15y) or with pancreatic cancer (age 45-74y) diagnosed 2003-2004, show similar survival curves compared to corresponding data from the RKI (compare Figure 4 and Figure 5a and 5b). The relative 3-year SR for breast cancer are 83% and 82% RKI, for pancreatic cancer 20% and 21% RKI (women), 14%, and 15% RKI (men).

The sensitivity analysis suggests that the longer the fraction of the survival time in the care of the clinic Arlesheim (x/y STIC n), the higher the expected SR (e.g. the 3-year SR for the >=1/2 STIC group with 390 patients is about 7% higher than for the overall group of 472 patients).

The obvious dependency of SR on the fraction of the survival time in the care of the clinic Arlesheim can have many origins as we possess only limited knowledge of detailed treatments outside the clinic.

Patients who used the integrative care at the clinic Arlesheim only during a short period of their survival time, may have undergone inadequate treatment elsewhere or despite extensive conventional treatments they showed poor prognosis and used integrative treatments only in progressed states. It’s noteworthy that the x/y STICn-factor seems to be capable to distinguish between different groups with different survival time. Therefore, statistical concepts such as random forest analysis are currently being adapted to these results in order to gain a deeper understanding of the parameters and treatment modalities that influence SR.
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4 CONCLUSIONS

The analysis and comparison of the SR of a clinical population of a cancer registry, such as CRCA, may lead to a better identification of responders and non-responders to integrative treatments (Winkler et al., 2018). For this purpose, a high data quality of the patient's treatment documentation is indispensable for comprehensive statistics from the cancer registry to contribute to cancer prevention in integrative oncology.

From a methodological point of view, complex statistical approaches such as the concept of frailty to introduce random effects, association and unobserved heterogeneity into models for survival data according to (Martins et al.; 2019) is a current challenge which extends the Cox model of proportional hazards model by introducing individual factors such as therapeutic gap times to survival analysis and will be applied to this data (Hirsch et al., 2016; Yazdani et al., 2019).

Figure 5 illustrates typical sequences of therapy and non-therapy sections in the courses of a disease, which can be analysed concerning e.g. SR with respect to “gap time” or “total time” for instance.

As a consequence this might not only lead to an identification of responders in cancer patients but also to a detection of optimal treatment strategies for patient subgroups undergoing an integrative oncologic treatment (Haller et al., 2021).
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