Contactless Measurement of Respiratory Volumes: A Calibration Free Method based on Depth Information

Felix Wichum¹[®]^a, Jacqueline Hassel²[®]^b, Christian Wiede¹[®]^c and Karsten Seidl^{1,2}[®]^d

¹Fraunhofer IMS, 47057 Duisburg, Germany

²Department of Electronic Components and Circuits, University of Duisburg-Essen, Duisburg, Germany

- Keywords: Depth Camera, Tidal Volume, Vital Capacity, Vital Parameter, Respiratory Parameter, Contactless Measurement.
- Abstract: Measurements of respiratory volumes involve a great deal of effort, either by immobile equipment such as bodyplethysmography or by consumables as with spirometers. Contactless measurement methods can remedy this situation. In this paper, a depth camera is used to generate a contactless respiratory signal. A region of interest is placed over the subject's upper body and the distance-time curve of respiratory motion is recorded. Via selected signal features and the use of an artificial neural network, we can show that this method is equal to the use of conventional volume determination. From a comparison with a spirometer connected in parallel as a reference, a mean error for tidal volume of -0.101 and vital capacity of 0.091 is obtained.

1 INTRODUCTION

Diseases of the respiratory system are responsible for one in three deaths in the world (ERS White Book, 2012). For early diagnosis, doctors test the functioning of the lungs in pulmonary function tests. These tests show changes regarding the compliance of the lungs and constrictions in the respiratory tract. Such constrictions become apparent as a result of diseases such as asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). After a diagnosis, appropriate therapy can thus be started at an early stage.

In clinical practice, spirometers and bodyplethysmographs are considered the gold standard for such pulmonary function tests. In spirometry, the patient breathes through a mouthpiece into the spirometer, which measures the volume of air passing through it (Moore, 2012). A nose clip prevents air volume from escaping. Volume-time and flow-volume diagrams are calculated by integrating the volume flow over time. In bodyplethysmography, the patient sits in a closed glass chamber. Pressure changes in the chamber are measured, as well as ordinary spirometry in addition. The clear confines of the bodyplethysmo-

° https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2511-4659

graph additionally allow assessment of the full lung volume (Criée et al., 2011).

Despite their advantages, both methods cannot be used for all patients, as there are limitations due to the nature and size of the devices on mobility. Also, a high degree of patient cooperation is required. Noncontact measurement techniques represent a new and innovative approach to improving medical diagnostics and therapy evaluation. In addition to easier handling, optical methods are characterised by better hygiene and potentially reduced costs. No virus filters need to be replaced and no consumables are required when measuring patients.

For this reason, we propose a non-contact measurement method for measuring respiratory parameters based on a depth camera. While simpler respiratory parameters such as the respiratory rate can already be measured with a simple RGB camera (Wuerich et al., 2021), it requires depth information of the chest movement to derive respiratory volumes. In our work, we show a conceptual proof that with suitable signal features and processing with artificial neural networks, respiratory volumes can be determined calibration-free with a depth camera.

In this paper, we first present the state of the art in the determination of non-contact respiratory parameters in Section 2. In Section 3, we outline the methods used to determine the respiration parameters. Therefore, we explain the acquisition of our dataset with

150

Wichum, F., Hassel, J., Wiede, C. and Seidl, K.

In Proceedings of the 15th International Joint Conference on Biomedical Engineering Systems and Technologies (BIOSTEC 2022) - Volume 4: BIOSIGNALS, pages 150-158 ISBN: 978-989-758-552-4; ISSN: 2184-4305

Copyright © 2022 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

^a https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3586-2802

^b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2368-9774

^d https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6197-5037

Contactless Measurement of Respiratory Volumes: A Calibration Free Method based on Depth Information. DOI: 10.5220/0010813300003123

the hardware used in Section 4. This is followed by the results in Section 5. The discussion is presented in Section 6. We conclude with our findings and an outlook on future developments in Section 7.

2 STATE OF THE ART

In addition to the conventional measurement methods for measuring respiratory parameters using spirometers or bodyplethysmographs, more and more noncontact measurement methods have been developed in recent years.

Approaches using an RGB camera relate to the measurement of the respiration rate. Based on facial recognition, a region of interest is selected in the thorax region of the subjects. Prominent points are tracked over time via the optical flow. This is followed by bandpass filtering of the trajectories and artifact reduction by principal component analysis (PCA). Finally, the respiratory rate is determined via frequency analysis. Thereby the strongest signal in the power spectral density is crucial. (Wuerich et al., 2021)

The method by (Lim et al., 2014) includes a Kinect camera. The respiration rate is determined from the moving average of the depth information using a spline interpolation. This is extended in (Ostadabbas et al., 2016) by an automatic selection of the region of interest on the chest area.

Using projected light patterns and an RGB camera, it has been possible to infer deformations of the thorax and thus changes in volume (de Boer et al., 2010) (Bernal et al., 2014). More promising, however, is the direct acquisition of depth information via depth cameras.

If one measures depth information of chest movements, they do not correspond to real volume-time curves. Therefore, a calibration in the form of a scaling factor is needed to reflect the real volume changes. One use for determining the scaling factor is to use keypoints, which are automatically determined from the curve, and linear regression as described in (Sharp et al., 2017).

(Imano et al., 2020) use a Kinect camera to infer respiratory volumes and respiration rates from depth data. The determined tidal volumes thereby vary by more than 10 % from a reference value. The approach from (Soleimani et al., 2015) and (Soleimani et al., 2017) is based on a complex upper body reconstruction procedure. Using a point cloud, the upper body is 3D-modulated and thus volume variations are calculated. Scaling factors are determined via torso movements. Even though attempts to generalize the scaling factor have been made already in this case, no patient-specific data such as age, height and weight are included.

The use of level-set segmentation-based volume estimation leads to errors of almost 8%. However, the computational effort of almost 10 min for a single measurement of 4 s alone also hinders a practical application in this case. (Oh et al., 2019)

In this work, a method is proposed to determine the scaling factor automatically and without calibration, thus indicating the respiration parameters. For this purpose, extracted features from the signal and additionally patient data are processed by an artificial neural network. This offers the possibility to output the respiration parameters immediately after a measurement. The possibilities of machine learning will be used in this work to specifically capture the changes in signal quality and additionally include patient information to thus automatically determine a scaling factor for each measurement.

3 METHOD

The proposed method allows the separate measurement of tidal volume and vital capacity based on the data from a depth camera. The associated signal processing is outlined below.

3.1 Overview ELICATIONS

The measurement of normal breathing allows the determination of tidal volume TV. Respectively, vital capacity VC can be measured via forced breathing. For this reason, the methods presented here are applied to both volumes. The general procedure is shown in figure 1 and further elaborated in the following.

Our approach is based on using the data of a depth camera. Subsequently, a region of interest (ROI) is placed in the subjects' upper body. After a background elimination, the depth values obtained are averaged per frame in the ROI. A depth-time diagram is created, which is then smoothed with a moving average. A reference measurement of a spirometer provides a volume-time diagram and the corresponding reference volumes. To determine the respective respiratory volumes V, a total of three methods are compared:

• **Direct Method.** Via feature extraction of the processed depth data and subsequent feature selection, an artificial neural network is trained and then the hyperparameters are optimised. This re-

turns the corresponding volumes directly as output: $V_D = \{TV_D, VC_D\}.$

- Individual Scaling Factor Determination. The extracted features are used together with the feature selection and subsequent hyperparameter optimisation as well. However, the neural network outputs an individual scaling factor with which the depth data can be mapped onto the volume data. The volumes from the scaling factor method can be extracted from the resulting volume-time curve: $V_S = \{TV_S, VC_S\}$.
- Application of the Global Scaling Factor. In contrast, a conventional variant is tested in which a scaling factor is derived as the mean value of all calculated scaling factors from the training data and then applied to the test data set. The volumes extracted are $V_{Selobal} = \{TV_{Selobal}, VC_{Selobal}\}$.



Figure 1: Signal processing flow-chart. The volumes V refer to the corresponding origins: V_{Ref} as reference volume of the spirometer, V_D as direct calculation via artificial neural networks, V_S as calculation via an individual scaling factor via artificial neural networks and $V_{S_{Global}}$ as result of the application of a global scaling factor. In the training phase, the optimal scaling factors are calculated with the spirometer data.

3.2 Depth Data in Region of Interest

When recording depth information, only some image regions contain the relevant parts of the body. A region of interest (ROI) is therefore manually selected and considered afterwards, see figure 2. This ROI is fixed in the recording. A rectangle is manually selected, always positioned to encompass the chest and abdominal area. The region extends from the clavicles to below the belly button. The arms and sides are not included.

To ensure that no background pixels are included, all pixels with a distance $d_n > 2$ m are excluded. From the remaining pixels, the mean value \bar{d} is calculated for each frame k according to equation 1. N is the number of all pixels in the ROI within the specified distance.

$$\bar{d}[k] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} d_n[k]$$
 (1)

A moving average with a window size of ten samples is applied to reduce overlapping body movements. The resulting signal \bar{d}_{LP} is then meancentered, see equation 2. Thereby $d_{LP,mean}$ corresponds to the average depth over all frames of this filtered signal.

$$d[k] = d_{LP,mean} - \bar{d}_{LP}[k]$$
⁽²⁾

Thus, the signal pre-processing results in a single depth information d for each frame k.



Figure 2: Selection of the Region of Interest (ROI). The ROI is manually placed within the chest area and remains there throughout the measurement.

3.3 Scaling of the Breathing Curve

The respiratory signal from the distance measurement must be provided with a scaling factor in order to determine the respiratory volumes from it. One approach of this work is to have this scaling factor estimated by artificial neural networks. The determination of the ground truth is described in this section.

Since the spirometer and depth camera cannot be started synchronised, the start and end of the measurement is done manually. In order to achieve temporal synchronicity, the temporal displacement of the signals is determined and trimmed using crosscorrelation.

The sample-wise scaling factor s is obtained by dividing the depth-distance and corresponding volume V from the reference system for each sample k, see equation 3.

$$s[k] = \frac{d[k]}{V[k]} \tag{3}$$

The Median Absolute Deviation (MAD) is determined (see equation 4) and an outlier correction is applied. The outlier-corrected scaling factor s_{MAD} is the result of all the scaling factors within three times the MAD.

$$MAD = median(|s[k] - median(s)|)$$
(4)

The mean value from s_{MAD} provides the scaling factor S_c for this measurement, see equation 5. M represents the number of all scaling factors (corresponds to the number of frames) reduced by the number of outliers.

$$S_k = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M s_{MAD}[m] \tag{5}$$

Finally, S_{global} denotes the mean of all measurements C across all subject, see equation 6.

$$S_{global} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} S_k \tag{6}$$

3.4 Feature Extraction

Essentially, the respiration volumes can be determined in two ways. First, by applying the global scaling factor S_{global} to the depth signal. Second, by machine learning methods (individual scaling factor determination and direct volume extraction). For machine learning methods, features extracted from the pre-processed depth signal are used.

The points A_1 , A_2 , A_3 , B_1 , B_2 , B_3 as well as C and D, which represent the local extreme points of the respiratory signal, are referred to as keypoints and are shown in Figure 3. The respective distance as well as the temporal information of these keypoints are features for the further steps. Since no scaling factor has

been applied yet, the unit of these quantities is still in mm. Therefore, the indexing is performed with dist for distance. In addition, the mean tidal volume TV_{dist} and the vital capacity VC_{dist} are calculated, see equation 7 and equation 8 respectively. The enclosed breathing curve area is another feature.

$$TV_{dist} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_i - B_i$$
 (7)

$$VC_{dist} = C - D \tag{8}$$

Other characteristics are mean, standard deviation σ and skewness of the distribution. The standard deviation results from equation 9 as follows:

$$\sigma = +\sqrt{\frac{1}{K}\sum_{k=1}^{K} d[k]^2}$$
(9)

The skewness h is defined according to equation 10, where K corresponds to the number of all frames.

$$h = \frac{\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} d[k]^3}{\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} d[k]^2}\right)^3}$$
(10)

Between the keypoints, the slope in the turning points (Slope_i) is calculated in each case. For this purpose, the first three derivatives of the signal are calculated. In addition, the distances are determined in the range of 2 samples before and after the respective maxima. This is to ensure that the environment



Figure 3: Distinctive keypoints of the signal. Global maxima are marked A for tidal volume and C for vital capacity. Global minima are marked B for tidal volume and D for vital capacity.

and thus noise influences around the keypoints are described. The points obtained in this way are named with a + (-) in the index, for example $A_{i+} (A_{i-})$.

Other features result from a sinusoidal regression. This involves fitting a sine function to the breathing curve via least squares minimisation. The resulting features are: range of values, period w, amplitude v, mean value u and goodness of fit. The regression is shown in figure 4. The sine function f[k] is represented in equation 11 with t representing a shift.

$$f[k] = u + v \cdot \sin(k \cdot w + t) \tag{11}$$

Furthermore, other characteristics of the subjects are collected. This includes: age, gender, height and weight of the subjects. Through these parameters, we aim to find a general description of the subjects and thus a connection with the breathing characteristics.

Thus, in summary, 43 features are obtained for the sections of tidal volume and 27 features for vital capacity. The features are shown in table 1.

Table 1: Overview of features used for vital capacity and tidal volume. Points A (C) denote the global maxima during natural breathing (forced breathing), and points B (D) denote the global minima, each with ascending index. A + (-) indicates that the value is shifted by 2 samples to the right (left).

| Tidal volume | Vital capacity | |
|--|--|--|
| A_1 , A_2 , A_3 , B_1 , B_2 and B_3 with distance and time respectively, TV_{dist} | C and D with distance and time respectively, VC_{dist} | |
| mean, standard devia- tion and skewness | mean, standard devia- tion and skewness | |
| slope and distance of turning point between A_1 and $B1$, A_2 and $B2$, A_3 and $B3$ | slope and distance of turning point between <i>C</i> and <i>D</i> | |
| $\begin{array}{c} A_{1+}, A_{1-}, A_{2+}, A_{2-}, \\ A_{3+}, A_{3-} \end{array}$ | C_{+}, C_{-} | |
| Range of values, pe- riod, amplitude, mean value and goodness of fit (sinusoidal regres- sion) | Range of values, pe- riod, amplitude, mean value and goodness of fit (sinusoidal regres- sion) | |
| age, gender, height and weight | age, gender, height and weight | |



Figure 4: Sinusoidal Regression. A sine function is fitted into the depth signal via the least squares error. The parameters of the sine function serve as a feature for the further processing steps.

3.5 Feature Selection

From this large number of features, a subset is selected. This so-called feature selection has the following advantages: faster training, reduced complexity of the model and reduced overfitting.

A filtering approach was used to select the features, evaluating the relationship between feature and target value with a score. This evaluation is based on the calculation of statistical F-tests, which enables a ranking of the features via a score. Based on these rankings, the eight highest-scoring features are selected for each of the four neural networks. All selected features are shown as an overview in table 2.

Table 2: Selected features through the filter approach. Point A_2 denote the second global maxima during natural breathing. A + indicates that the value is shifted by 2 samples to the right. Features created by sinusoidal regression prefix the names with Sin. Range refers to the range of values, Ampl to the amplitude of the sine and Center to the mean value.

| TVD | TVS | VCD | VCs |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| height | gender | weight | weight |
| TV _{dist} | weight | gender | height |
| weight | height | height | gender |
| SinRange | Slope ₁ | age | age |
| Slope ₅ | Slope ₄ | Slope ₂ | SinRange |
| mean | Slope ₃ | SinAmpl | Slope ₁ |
| A ₂₊ | TV _{dist} | TurnPoint ₃ | SinAmpl |
| Slope ₁ | Slope ₂ | SinCenter | VC _{dist} |

Weight and height of the subjects are among the first three features in all rankings, reflecting the correlation of these parameters with breathing volumes. Eight times the slope in the turning point Slope_i is used as well. It is noticeable that in three out of four approaches the specific volume over distance (TV_{dist} or VC_{dist}) was included as a feature. For the method VC_D , the sinus amplitude is represented as a feature instead, which also qualitatively describes this volume. Other features of the sine regression are frequently (five times) used as features as well.

3.6 Neural Network Design

After the feature selection, the structure of the neural networks is described in this section. For this work, a feedforward network architecture with one hidden layer each is used. A larger number of hidden layers did not empirically lead to better results. The sigmoid function serves as the activation function. The output is determined by a linear output function.

Subsequently, a hyperparameter optimisation of the number of neurons and the learning rate is performed. The neural networks are trained using Levenberg-Marquardt backpropagation, randomly splitting the training and test datasets. As a cost function for the search for the global minimum during optimisation the mean square error is utilised. This results in four different neural networks, see table 3.

Table 3: Optimised artificial neural network (ANN) hyperparameters with a total of three layers.

| ANN | Neurons in Hidden Layer | Learning Rate | |
|--------|----------------------------|---------------|--|
| TV_D | 31 | 0.1 | |
| TV_S | 10 | 0.1 | |
| VCD | 4 | 0.001 | |
| VC_S | 2 | 0.01 | |

4 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

A self-created data set with a total of nine healthy subjects was collected. The Astra Pro camera from Orbecc was used to record the depth data. The MiniSpir, a mobile spirometer with its own turbines for each subject, was used as a reference.

4.1 Test Procedure

During the measurements, the subjects' upper body was recorded frontally with the depth camera while a spirometer simultaneously measured volume flow for validation. For this purpose, the subjects were seated on a chair at a distance of 1.0 m, 1.3 m or 1.6 m. This encompasses an optimal range for comparable measurements (Soleimani et al., 2017). The spirometer is attached to a microphone stand so that the subject does not have to hold it and the arms hang down by the upper body. Before starting the measurement, the subject puts on a nose clip and completely surrounds the spirometer with the mouth. The experimental setup is shown in figure 5.

A total of 60 s of measurement is taken with a camera frame rate of 30 fps. The probands breathed naturally for three to four breaths until they inhaled maximally and exhaled maximally. This breathing manoeuvre was repeated twice in the 60 seconds. The subjects were acoustically supported in breathing in and out to the maximum by the study leader.



Figure 5: Setup of the experiment. The subject sits at a defined distance in front of the depth camera and breathes through a spirometer attached to a microphone stand. The nose is closed with a nose clip.

4.2 Structure of the Dataset

The healthy nine subjects are divided into four female and five male participants. The average age is (25.00 ± 1.12) yrs. The average weight is (72.20 ± 15.14) kg and the height is (177.33 ± 9.59) cm.

The two breathing manoeuvres are extracted from the recorded data. Each breathing manoeuvre is then further divided into two sections: three breaths in resting breathing and forced maximal breathing. The subdivision is shown in figure 3 and is obtained as follows. Starting with a local maximum, the first three breaths up to forced breathing are counted for tidal volume. The signal section for vital capacity starts with the global minimum and ends with and ends with the next global maximum after complete inhalation and exhalation.

In total, the recorded data set thus contains 43 signal segments, each for normal respiration (TV average (1.152 ± 0.617) l) and forced respiration (VC average (4.452 ± 1.029) l). Another dataset contains pure depth information of the subjects without reference values of a spirometer. The so-called free breathing is intended to reveal potential influences of body posture when using a spirometer as a reference measurement device.

5 RESULTS

Due to the small size of the data set, 5-fold crossvalidation is used for TV and VC respectively. In five runs, the data set is thus divided into 10% test data and 90% training data. The cross-validation reduces the dependence of the results on the respective data set. This is done for each of our four neural networks. The same split datasets for our ANN approach are also used to test the approach of a global scaling factor. This allows a better comparison.

5.1 Tidal Volume

The methods for determining the tidal volume are on average all within a deviation of -0.091 or more. The tidal volume is thus underestimated in all tested methods, see table 4. The mean error is approximately at the same level of -0.101. This does not apply to the determination of the scaling factor and the subsequent volume calculation. In this case, the deviations from the reference value are larger. The larger root mean square error (RMSE) indicates that there are isolated larger deviations. TV_D , with a value of 0.611, is above the errors of the other methods, which have an RMSE of 0.451.

Table 4: Averaged results for determination of tidal volume (TV) via five-fold cross-validation. Using neural networks, a direct determination of the volumes (D) and a determination using the scaling factor (S). The results using a global scaling factor (S_{global}) are given for both data sets.

| | TV_D | TV_S | $TV_{S_{global},D}$ | TV _{Sglobal} ,S |
|---------------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Mean Error | -0.101 | -0.141 | -0.101 | -0.091 |
| RMSE | 0.611 | 0.461 | 0.451 | 0.451 |

Comparing the derivation of an individual scaling factor with the application of a global scaling factor, we find that the mean error with the machine learning approach is 0.051 higher and the RMSE is 0.011 higher. The same comparison for the direct volume calculation via artificial neural networks shows the same mean error and a 0.161 higher RMSE in contrast to the global scaling factor.

5.2 Vital Capacity

These results are reflected in the vital capacity as well, see table 5. The direct calculation of the volume performs better than a prior determination of the scaling factor. Compared to the use of a global scaling factor over all measurements, the mean error is at the same level. In contrast to the tidal volume, the RMSE for the direct calculation of the vital capacity is lower compared to the use of a global scaling factor.

The only method to overestimate the vital capacity on average is the direct method. The deviation is 0.011 smaller than the method with the global scaling factor. The RMSE is 0.261 lower than with the conventional approach. The determination of the individual scaling factor increases the mean deviation by more than double by 0.141 compared to the global scaling factor.

Table 5: Averaged results for determination of vital capacity (VC) via five-fold cross-validation. Using neural networks, a direct determination of the volumes (D) and a determination using the scaling factor (S). The results using a global scaling factor (S_{global}) are given for both data sets.

| | VC_D | VC_S | $VC_{S_{global},I}$ | $_{D} VC_{S_{global},S}$ |
|---------------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Mean Error | 0.091 | -0.241 | -0.101 | -0.101 |
| RMSE | 0.621 | 1.231 | 0.881 | 1.071 |

5.3 Free Breathing

To test whether the setup with spirometer has an influence on the body posture, another measurement of the subjects without spirometer was performed. Since no reference values are available, the volumes determined are compared with the previous reference volumes, see figure 6. The determined volumes for free respiration are within the previous reference values.

For the tidal volumes, there is a narrower distribution of the determined measured values for free breathing compared to the reference values. The vital capacity also shows a slightly smaller spread in the distribution. Thus, the measured volume values are in the plausible range of the previous reference values.



Figure 6: Violin plot of free breathing and previous recorded spirometer data (ground truth). For tidal volume (TV) and vital capacity (VC), measurement results of our direct method without a spirometer are compared with reference values. These reference values are taken from previous measurements with a spirometer.

6 DISCUSSION

The results presented in the previous section show that measurements of respiratory volumes (tidal volume and vital capacity) without contact is possible with the developed methods. On average, the artificial neural networks for direct volumes are equally good as the use of a global scaling factor as an average over many subjects. The preceding calculation of an individual scaling factor for each subject by an ANN shows slightly larger deviations. Nevertheless, this method has the advantage of being able to use the complete distance-time diagram for the measurement of further respiration parameters. Thus, dynamic parameters such as FEV1 can be determined in the future.

It is noteworthy that for both tidal volume determination and vital capacity determination, the mean errors are approximately at the same level. In contrast, the RMSE for vital capacity increases. It is therefore more difficult to determine the vital capacity correctly than the tidal volume. A possible cause can be found in the signal sections. Three breaths are available for tidal volume determination, whereas only one breath was taken with forced maximal breathing. This cannot be avoided in future measurements due to patient stress. Repeated strong breathing manoeuvres put a lot of stress on patients if they are performed consecutively without a break.

The mean deviations of 0.101 performs better compared to (Imano et al., 2020). Especially for vital capacity, the method proposed in this paper has a low percentage deviation. For further comparisons regarding (Soleimani et al., 2017), a larger data set is needed. In this context, our estimate of the individual scaling factor serves as a first indication for the measurement of further respiratory parameters. The transferability of the measurements to lung disease cases in particular should be verified with additional subjects. It should be examined whether lung diseases such as COPD or asthma affect respiratory movements to the extent that they influence the selected features for determining the respiration rate via artificial neural networks.

The use of the algorithms in free breathing shows that the measured results are plausible in comparison to the previously determined reference values. Even if there is a temporal offset and the effort of the test subjects during breathing may have varied, it is still evident that the respiratory volumes can be determined with this measurement setup and that there are no further influences in, for example, the posture.

All of our subjects were normally clothed (t-shirt, shirt, pullover) and measured at various distances. We used an average of the depth information in the region of interest. Wrinkling or concealment of clothing could therefore also influence this value. We aimed to reduce the influence by using artificial neural networks and features extracted for this purpose. In the small dataset of nine subjects, the features age, gender, height were taken very frequently. Even though individual patient information was processed with this, these features need to be retested in a larger data set with more variance. Additionally, possible influences of clothing can be addressed in the future by splitting the ROI and collecting the previous parameters in different subregions. Texture features and variance of the raw depth data can contribute further information. Furthermore, it should be examined to what extent the preprocessing of the signal has an influence on the subsequent results. With our mean filtering, we may have neglected high frequency components for a more comparable signal section.

7 CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we presented a non-contact, automatic, and calibration-free approach to determine respiratory volumes via a depth camera. This involves matching the respiratory signal of the depth data to the respiratory volumes by a scaling factor. This scaling factor is determined by processing suitable raw signal features and patient data using an artificial neural network. We thus achieve a mean error of -0.141 for the tidal volume and -0.241 for the vital capacity. Via a direct estimation of the respiratory volumes via artificial neural networks we obtain a mean error of -0.101 and 0.091 respectively. The method is so far equivalent to the use of a global scaling factor.

In the future, we would like to further reduce the error by using a larger data set and additional signal features. The volume-time diagram can as well be used to determine other respiration parameters. An automatic selection and tracking of the region of interest shall be implemented for a real world application.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. med. Sohrab for testing all of our patients for health suitability and for advising us from a medical perspective.

REFERENCES

- Bernal, E. A., Mestha, L. K., and Shilla, E. (2014). Non contact monitoring of respiratory function via depth sensing. In 2014 IEEE-EMBS International Conference on Biomedical and Health Informatics (BHI 2014), Piscataway, NJ. IEEE.
- Criée, C. P., Sorichter, S., Smith, H. J., Kardos, P., Merget, R., Heise, D., Berdel, D., Köhler, D., Magnussen, H., Marek, W., Mitfessel, H., Rasche, K., Rolke, M., Worth, H., and Jörres, R. A. (2011). Body plethysmography – its principles and clinical use. *Respiratory Medicine*, 105(7):959–971.
- de Boer, W., Lasenby, J., Cameron, J., Wareham, R., Ahmad, S., Roach, C., Hills, W., and Iles, R. (2010). Slp: A zero-contact non-invasive method for pulmonary function testing. In Labrosse, F., Zwiggelaar, R., Liu, Y., and Tiddeman, B., editors, *Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference 2010*, pages 85.1– 85.12. British Machine Vision Association.
- ERS White Book (2012). The burden of lung disease.
- Imano, W., Kameyama, K., Hollingdal, M., Refsgaard, J., Larsen, K., Topp, C., Kronborg, S. H., Gade, J. D., and Dinesen, B. (2020). Non-contact respiratory measurement using a depth camera for elderly people. *Sensors*, 20(23):6901.
- Lim, S. H., Golkar, E., and Abd. Rahni, A. A. (2014). Respiratory motion tracking using the kinect camera. In 2014 IEEE Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Sciences (IECBES), pages 797–800. IEEE.
- Moore, V. C. (2012). Spirometry: step by step. *Breathe*, 8(3):232–240.
- Oh, K., Shin, C. S., Kim, J., and Yoo, S. K. (2019). Levelset segmentation-based respiratory volume estimation using a depth camera. *Ieee Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 23(4):1674–1682.

- Ostadabbas, S., Sebkhi, N., Zhang, M., Rahim, S., Anderson, L. J., Lee, F. E.-H., and Ghovanloo, M. (2016). A vision-based respiration monitoring system for passive airway resistance estimation. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 63(9):1904–1913.
- Sharp, C., Soleimani, V., Hannuna, S., Camplani, M., Damen, D., Viner, J., Mirmehdi, M., and Dodd, J. W. (2017). Toward respiratory assessment using depth measurements from a time-of-flight sensor. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 8:65.
- Soleimani, V., Mirmehdi, M., Damen, D., Dodd, J., Hannuna, S., Sharp, C., Camplani, M., and Viner, J. (2017). Remote, depth-based lung function assessment. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 64(8):1943–1958.
- Soleimani, V., Mirmehdi, M., Damen, D., Hannuna, S., Camplani, M., Viner, J., and Dodd, J. (2015). Remote pulmonary function testing using a depth sensor. In 2015 IEEE Biomedical Circuits and Systems Conference (BioCAS 2015), Piscataway, NJ. IEEE.
- Wuerich, C., Wichum, F., Wiede, C., and Grabmaier, A. (2021). Contactless optical respiration rate measurement for a fast triage of sars-cov-2 patients in hospitals. In *Proceedings of the International Conference* on Image Processing and Vision Engineering, pages 29–35. SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications.