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Abstract: Stock market research has increased significantly in recent years. Researchers from both economics and computer science backgrounds are applying novel machine learning techniques to the stock market. In this paper we combine some of the techniques used in both of these fields, namely Technical Analysis and Sentiment Analysis techniques, to show whether or not it is possible to successfully forecast the trend of the stock price and to what extent. Using the four tickers AAPL, GOOG, NVDA and S&P 500 Information Technology, we collected historical financial data and historical textual data and we used each type of data individually and in unison, to display in which case the results were more accurate and more profitable. We describe in detail how we analysed each type of data, how we used it to come up with our results.

1 INTRODUCTION

Many people have strived for years to recognize the pattern the stock market works. Due to its volatile nature, all those involved in stock trading, face the possibility of losing. Stock prices are rising and falling and trends are constantly changing, and many who try to predict their behaviour often end up losing their savings. For this reason, many researchers have tried to use technology, computational and machine learning models, to help investors achieve greater returns. To feed these models, researchers need to acquire the right data.

To identify all the factors that affect the stock market, researchers collect information and categorize it into two general types: hard and soft. Hard information (e.g. closing stock prices) can be easily presented in numbers or numeric value. Soft information (e.g. news articles, tweets) is more abstract and can also be represented numerically, but in this case we have information loss. The inherent differences make these two types applicable to different projects because they can produce better results than each other on different topics. In (Liberti and Petersen, 2019) the authors explain in detail exactly what each type of information is and their differences in the banking and financial world.

With the rise of machine learning, hard information (numerical/economical data) has been used to predict the stock market movements. Different machine learning techniques exist that handle this type of data and predict stock market prices or trends (Chong, Han and Park, 2017), (Fischer and Krauss, 2018), (Long, Lu, and Cui 2019), (Zhong and Enke, 2019), (Vignesh, 2020), (Nabipour, Nazyeri, Jabani, Mosavi and Salwana, 2020). The reader is also referred to (Ferreira, Gandomi, and Cardoso, 2021) for a recent survey.

The question is, whether both hard and soft data are useful in forecasting stock market prices and trends. The loss of information when handling soft data, makes it difficult using this type of data to build prediction models, and discourages the use of social media to massively acquire soft information for the stock market. But as current situation shows, with many stock tickers and companies having a massive change in their stock price due to the collective action of many internet users collaborating through social networks, the soft data from such sources, also called sentimental data, could be proved very useful. Sentiment analysis uses textual data to analyze people's feelings and moods towards an entity, such as a stock ticker, to evaluate how negative or positive their opinions are (Sun, Lachanski, and Fabozzi, 2016), (Shapiro, Sudhof and Wilson, 2017).

There are research works who have tried to use textual/sentimental data for predicting stock market movements. In (Pagolu, Reddy, Panda, Majhi, 2017), the authors apply sentiment analysis and supervised
machine learning methods to tweets and analyze the correlation between stock market movements and sentiments in tweets. In (Tabari, Seyeditabar, Peddi, Hadzikadic, Zadrozný, 2019) first, a stock market related tweet dataset and labelled, then various deep learning models are compared and finally, a LSTM model is introduced which outperformed all the other models. In (Batra and Daudpota, 2018) the authors collected sentiment data and stock price market data and built a SVM models for predicting next day’s stock movements.

Taking all of these into consideration, in this paper we present our methods to contribute to the direction of using both numerical/economical data and textual/sentimental data, analysing them and applying machine/deep learning techniques in order to successfully predict stock prices and trends. Specifically, we apply deep learning/machine learning methods on both types of data, with the ultimate goal of not only predicting stock market trends, but also understanding how Technical Analysis of a stock can be strengthened by applying Sentiment Analysis on it. We have employed three deep/machine learning methods (Goodfellow, Bengio, and Courville, 2016), i.e., Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), k-nearest neighbors (KNN) and Decision Trees and we applied them on the following three different sets of historical data collected for a period of twenty years (a) numerical/economical data such as stock closing prices, technical analysis indicators, labels, etc. (b) sentimental data e.g. scores computed using lexical methodologies on textual data collected from Twitter and labels (c) combined data that include all the above data in sets (a) and (b).

Our experiments were based on data of four stock tickers: AAPL, GOOG, NVDA and S&P 500 Information Technology. The data concern numerical/economical data collected for a period of twenty years and textual data (about 29,000 tweets for each one of the above tickers) collected for a period of eight years. The results show that the LSTM method works better than the other machine learning methods. In particular, the LSTM method on numerical/economical data offers 2.5 times more profit on average than the Buy and Hold strategy (the profit of the passive investor). Also, Sentiment analysis turned out to have potential for the future, as it was profitable, and sometimes a better solution than a passive investment. It seems that Sentiment analysis can give better results by including more quality data such as news titles and articles, and by increasing the volume of tweets acquired.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 presents the soft information we used, how we analysed and used it. Section 3 presents the technical analysis indicators that are employed. Section 4 discusses the data that were fed in the deep/machine learning methods and the remaining settings of the application. Section 5 presents the results that were derived and Section 6 concludes the paper.

2 SENTIMENT ANALYSIS

The stock market is essentially a place where anyone can buy and sell shares of companies. This means that people affect the prices with their demand and needs, when buying stock or products of a company, or even when using its services. But people can affect the market even when they don’t actively participate in transactions. In the case that a consumer buys or sells with high frequency or in high numbers, this increased or more confident activity affects the market. A consumer who is not participating or expresses concerns also affects the market. When creating or spreading rumours, expressing their opinions and ideas about a topic, people can still influence the market, especially when they have a platform where they can reach a range of other people. News articles and statements from companies whether it is for financial reasons or not, they too have a part in shaping the prices.

The ability to greatly change the shape of the market from simple words or statements is evident when a high profile person expresses an opinion on an issue. What is not clear is how an everyday person from the financial world or not, can influence the stock market through expressing their opinion and to what extent. This is the focus of our sentimental analysis.

In this article we aimed to investigate the impact of Twitter and how tweets accurately reflect the true consumer sentiment. We chose Twitter because it has been proven by many researchers to be a powerful tool for predicting the public’s sentiments on certain topics and important issues (Ussama, Soon, Vijayalakshmi and Jaideep, 2017). Twitter also provides objective information, because users who post tweets come from any background or social class. Also, tweets are small when compared to other corpora of text (such as articles from news networks) and the number of tweets one can collect in a short period of time is much higher.

To complement these posts and results, we also used the Consumer Sentiment Index published by the University of Michigan. The tweet sentiment analysis process is divided into three stages which will be presented in the following three paragraphs.
2.1 Data Collection

We selected three corporate giants for our research, Apple (AAPL), Google (GOOG) and Nvidia (NVDA) and the ticker of S&P 500 Information Technology Sector. As our research showed that the tweets of the early years were not of the same quality as those of the later years, we decided that the tweets would be collected from 2012 to 2019. Collecting ten English tweets, daily from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2019, we have collected about 29,000 tweets for each of the above corporations.

At this point we must mention that the choice of these companies is also due to the quality of their tweets. We avoided collecting tweets from specific companies and their hashtags (#), which could lead to "noisy" data, which means that the content of the tweets was not relevant to the topic of our research. For example, tweets about companies with excessive references to their products instead of references to the stock or financial details of the companies.

The tweets, when retrieved, were placed in datasets, each for the company it referred to. Each contained the date and textual data with which the analysis could be continued.

2.2 Text Stemming

For each dataset we removed links and other unnecessary elements from each tweet. What we were left with were the most significant words, with stop words being filtered out, so that we can focus on the actual information. The main words needed were left as is. After cleaning each tweet, we tokenized the words and stemmed them, using the Snowball Stemmer by Martin Porter. With this stemmer we stripped each word from suffixes and we kept it at its basic form. This is done so that the word can be evaluated from the lexicons for better and more accurate evaluation.

Words were left at the same order of the original tweet so that they can be considered not only individually, but in the context of the text, and possibly in unison. We also tried to have a better understanding of the stemming results, and we found out words most frequently used. The results were with many economic terms, like “bearish” (meaning stock price fall) or “bullish” (meaning stock price rise) being used. At this point we were able to identify problems, like realizing if the tweets were addressing the company and its stock or other unrelated subjects.

2.3 Evaluation

The evaluation step was possibly the most crucial point of the sentiment analysis. A tweet can be of positive, negative or neutral sentiment. To decide what category each tweet falls in, we employed the lexical methodology, which uses a dictionary to represent each word as a number. This number represents the sentiment of the word which can be positive, negative or neutral. As the summation of these numbers gets more positive or negative, the sentiment value also increases, meaning that the user posting the tweet is either very happy or very unhappy. We aggregate these weights, and at the end, the sum of the numbers represents the general sentiment of the tweet. This is the result of this methodology and the number we end up with is used then to measure the overall outcome.

For our approach we used three dictionaries: (a) The VADER Lexicon (Hutto and Gilbert, 2015) which is used by many researchers who explore and analyse social media as it contains many slang words that are used heavily by people in social media. (b) The Loughran-McDonald Lexicon (Loughran and McDonald, 2011) which although it does not contain many words, it can use words in unison and also can better analyse economic news’ sentiment. Therefore, it is more appropriate to be used in our research. (c) A Generic Dictionary that, although weak in its ability to detect emotion with high accuracy, complements the other two due to its sheer size and large amount of words. Each tweet was evaluated and we came up with three different scores corresponding to the results of the sentiment evaluation for the three lexicons.

Then for each day and each lexicon we computed the average of the scores. Therefore, we created a csv file, containing for each day, the date and the three average scores from the three lexicons. Then, to complement the above data, we used the Consumer Sentiment Index published monthly by the University of Michigan http://www.sca.isr.umich.edu/

The Consumer Sentiment Index has proven to be very powerful in terms of forecasting and has been used by many researchers. The University of Michigan, when publishing the index, also provides some insight with a report explaining why and how the index changed this month, offering more clarity about the stock market. Our research which is the forecast of the trend and not the real, exact price of a stock, could theoretically produce better results if it was supported by such an index, which generally tries to predict the overall consumer sentiment each month. Therefore, to help the accuracy of our
research, the Consumer Sentiment Index was put together with the other sentimental data which were described above. As we mentioned, since it is monthly published and not daily, we used for each day of a month the same value for the index.

3 TECHNICAL ANALYSIS

The technical analysis is applied on the raw numerical data of the stocks (opening, closing, high and low price of the stock ticker per day). Technical analysis techniques employ a number of indicators to forecast the stock trend/price. Common traders use at least 2-3 indicators in order to predict the trend/price of the market but the results usually are not good enough. However, using too many indicators may also not end up with efficient results.

Using financial indicators in machine learning had good results already (Fiol-Roig, Miró-Julià, Isern-Deyà, 2010). In our approach we employed the following indicators (https://www.investopedia.com) to apply on the raw data:

a. The MACD (Moving Average Convergence Divergence) is a trend-following momentum indicator. The MACD line is calculated by subtracting the 26-day exponential moving average (EMA) from the 12-day EMA. A 9-day EMA of the MACD which is called the "signal line" can be used as a trigger for buy and sell signals. If MACD is over the signal line, then there is a buy signal, otherwise, there is a sell signal. An EMA is a type of moving average that places a greater weight and significance on the most recent data points, and therefore, has a more important effect on recent price changes.

b. The RSI (Relative Strength Index) is a momentum indicator that measures the magnitude of recent price changes to evaluate the value conditions in the price of a stock. RSI is displayed as an oscillator and it ranges in the interval [0,100]. A stock is overvalued when the RSI is above 70, so it indicates that we should sell and oversold when it is below 30, thus it indicates a buy signal. If RSI is between 30 and 70, then RSI does not provide any information and we hold.

c. The stochastic oscillator is a momentum indicator. It is used to generate overbought and oversold signals, and also, it ranges in the interval [0,100]. Typically, if stochastic oscillator is over 80, it is considered overbought, if stochastic oscillator is under 20 is oversold, and it does not provide more information when it is in range 20 to 80.

d. The Bollinger Band is a technical analysis indicator defined by a set of lines plotted two standard deviations away from a simple moving average (upper and lower bands). There is the belief that the closer the prices move to the upper band, the more overbought the market, and the closer the prices move to the lower band, the more oversold the market. Most of the price action happens between the lower and upper band. If a breakout occurs above or below these bands is an uncommon occasion.

4 APPLICATION

4.1 Data and Features

Firstly, we merge all the data that we discussed in Sections 2 and 3 i.e.,
- the daily historical data of the stock ticker for (a) AAPL, GOOG, NVDA and Nasdaq Composite Index (because of the correlation between the stocks and the index) from yahoo finance and (b) SPIS (S&P 500 Information Technology Sector) from investing.com and
- the sentimental data and the Consumer Sentiment Index.

Afterwards, the technical analysis indicators are calculated from the historical data and we add them in our dataset.

The required features are:

a. The Closing Price of the stock ticker
b. The Closing Price of NASDAQ Composite Index
c. The Volume of NASDAQ Composite Index
d. MACD
e. RSI
f. Stochastic Oscillator
g. Bollinger Bands
h. Consumer Sentiment Index
i. Score of generic lexicon
j. Score of VADER lexicon
k. Score of Loughran-McDonald lexicon
l. Labels

4.2 Creating the Labels and Scaling

In order to predict the trend of the stock ticker 5 days later, we shift the closing price of the stock ticker for 5 days and later we compare the closing price and the closing price 5 days ahead to understand if the trend is bullish, bearish or it does not change significantly, so we just hold. This is how our labels are created. If there is a bullish trend then we append number 2 for the specific day, number 1 for hold and number 0 for
bearish trend.
The entire dataset except labels is then transformed via the MinMaxScaler provided by sklearn (Pedregosa et al., 2011) so that each value in the dataset belongs to the range $[0,1]$.

4.3 The Datasets

At this point, three datasets are created from the initial dataset. The first includes all the features listed above and is called combined dataset. The second one consists only of the numerical/economical data i.e., the closing prices, the volume and the technical analysis indicators and the labels. The last one is the sentimental dataset which consists of the Consumer Sentiment Index, the scores of the three lexicons and the labels.

4.4 Training/Testing Datasets

The datasets are split into the training and testing datasets in order to train and test our models. The training dataset consists of the days between 01.01.2000 until 31.12.2017 and the testing dataset the days of the following two years, namely, 01.01.2018 until 31.12.2019.

4.5 Sequential Data

For the purpose of proper use of the LSTM model, it is necessary to create sequential data from our current datasets. This is an important step because in order to achieve better results we must take into account the data of the previous week and not only the data of the previous day. Thus, in our case, each data point is created by concatenating the data of 5 days. If our dataset consists of $n$ days, then our sequential data are:

$$\{ [x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5], [x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6], ..., [x_{n-4}, x_{n-3}, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n] \}$$

where $x$ includes all the features (except the labels) of each day of the original dataset. Each new data point takes the label of the element corresponding to the last day i.e., the label of $[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5]$ is the label of $x_5$, the label of $[x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6]$ is the label of $x_6$, the label of $[x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7]$ is the label of $x_7$ and so on.

4.6 Machine Learning and LSTM

Last step is to feed our data into the KNN, Decision Trees and LSTM models.

In the KNN method, we use three nearest neighbors as the $k$ parameter and for the Decision Trees method, we set the max depth equal to 5.

The LSTM model consists of 3 stacked layers. Also, the Dropout function is used in order to avoid the phenomenon of over fitting. Each of the three datasets are trained for 30 epochs with batch size 64, learning rate $10^{-4}$.

4.7 Strategy

In our approach, the positions are closed (i.e., the buy and sell decisions are taken) when the 5-day holding has ended. In our effort to face the strong volatility of the stock market in the best possible way, we also employ a simple strategy which is used along with the LSTM, Decision Trees and KNN methods. The strategy is as follows: The positions are closed either when the 5-day holding has ended or when the percentage of stop loss or the percentage of take profit are surpassed. Usually, these percentages are -5% and 7% respectively, but they may be different depending on the asset. It could also be used as a trailing take profit tool but due to the type of data it is not possible for back testing. However, it is a very good tool to be used in real-time and is highly recommended.

4.8 Buy and Hold Strategy

Our results are compared to the results of the Buy and Hold (B&H) strategy which is a very common stock market strategy. Investors buy assets (stocks, ETFs, Indices, etc.) and maintain these assets in the long run. We can keep in mind that this strategy does not use technical analysis techniques and is therefore very simple. The main purpose of hedge fund managers and many investors is to "beat the market". When investors use the phrase "beat the market", they refer to the achievement that they have more cumulative returns than the B&H strategy.

5 RESULTS

In Subsections 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3 we present the profits of the deep learning/machine learning methods for each one of the three datasets. In Subsection 5.4, we discuss the profits and the accuracy of the methods when applied on the stock stickers of AAPL, GOOG, NVDA and SPIS, while in Subsection 5.5 we compare the returns of the B&H strategy to the ones of the LSTM method applied on the numerical data.

5.1 Combined Dataset

The four graphs in Figure 1 and Figure 2 show the
profits of LSTM (blue line), Decision Trees (red line) and KNN (green line) methods when applied on combined datasets for every 20 business days (which is about a month) for a period of two years (20*25=500 business days).

Figure 1: Profits of each method for the Combined Dataset of AAPL (left) and GOOG (right).

For the combined dataset, we can only tell that the LSTM method is always profitable, but when it comes to Google and Nvidia tickers, it underperforms compared to KNN and/or Decision Trees.

5.2 Numerical Dataset

The graphs of Figure 3 and Figure 4 show the profits of the LSTM, the Decision Trees and the KNN method, when applied on numerical datasets.

Figure 3: Profits of each method for the Numerical Data of AAPL (left) and GOOG (right).

Figure 4: Profits of each method for the Numerical Dataset of NVDA (left) and SPIS (right).

At the numerical dataset, we observe that the LSTM is doing better than the other machine learning techniques and 3 out of 4 times quite significantly.

5.3 Sentimental Dataset

The following graphs show the profits the LSTM (blue line), Decision Trees (red line) and KNN (green line) when applied on the sentimental dataset.

Figure 5: Profits of each method for the Sentimental Dataset of AAPL (left) and GOOG (right).

Figure 6: Profits of each method for the Sentimental Dataset of NVDA (left) and SPIS (right).

In the sentimental dataset, we see that the LSTM always prevails, in comparison to the other techniques.

5.4 Statistics

AAPL. The profit of the Buy and Hold strategy for the AAPL sticker was 139.89$.
Table 1 presents the AAPL profits of each method with and without our strategy for each one of the three datasets in US dollars. Best case scenario for the AAPL ticker was the LSTM on numerical data.

Table 2 contains the accuracy of each method for the three datasets. Although LSTM on numerical data provided us with more profit, the accuracy of LSTM on the sentimental data was slightly better (59%).

Table 1: AAPL Profits (in US $).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Combined data</th>
<th>Numerical data</th>
<th>Sentimental data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>139.00</td>
<td>222.82</td>
<td>140.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>-62.40</td>
<td>-74.90</td>
<td>-13.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>-66.23</td>
<td>-131.00</td>
<td>-25.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTM with strategy</td>
<td>144.21</td>
<td>167.97</td>
<td>126.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT with strategy</td>
<td>-115.94</td>
<td>-113.79</td>
<td>-24.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN with strategy</td>
<td>-66.65</td>
<td>-128.15</td>
<td>-30.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: AAPL Accuracy of each method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Combined data</th>
<th>Numerical data</th>
<th>Sentimental data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7 provides us with the information about AAPL profits for all methods with or without our strategy. We use the suffix “N” (“S”) to each method to denote that the method is applied on numerical data (resp., sentimental data). When no suffix is used, the method is applied on the combined dataset (numerical & sentimental data). B&H is the abbreviation of the Buy and Hold strategy.

Figure 7: AAPL Profits without strategy (left) and with strategy (right).

GOOG. The profit of the Buy and Hold strategy for the GOOG sticker was 1480.85$. Table 3 shows that the best case scenario for the GOOG ticker was the LSTM on numerical data, with a very close difference to the KNN on combined data. It is impressive that every scenario is profitable.

Table 3: GOOG Profits (in US $).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Combined data</th>
<th>Numerical data</th>
<th>Sentimental data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>1297.43</td>
<td>2498.33</td>
<td>1813.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>2466.37</td>
<td>2334.23</td>
<td>999.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>2497.72</td>
<td>1914.16</td>
<td>1500.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTM with strategy</td>
<td>1947.12</td>
<td>1959.89</td>
<td>1319.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT with strategy</td>
<td>1475.73</td>
<td>1410.16</td>
<td>1581.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN with strategy</td>
<td>1592.21</td>
<td>1551.27</td>
<td>1426.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows that the accuracy of LSTM on numerical data was 53% while the accuracy of Decision Trees on sentimental data was slightly better (54%).

Table 4: GOOG Accuracy of each method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Combined data</th>
<th>Numerical data</th>
<th>Sentimental data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8 provides us with the information about GOOG’s profits for all methods with or without our strategy.

Figure 8: GOOG Profits without strategy (left) and with strategy (right).

NVDA. The profit of the Buy and Hold strategy for the NVDA sticker was 135.50$. Table 5 shows that the best case scenario for the NVDA ticker was the LSTM on numerical data.
Table 5: NVDA Profit of each dataset (in US $).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Combined data</th>
<th>Numerical data</th>
<th>Sentimental data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>223.49</td>
<td>1149.00</td>
<td>236.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>276.65</td>
<td>-253.68</td>
<td>-150.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>-194.61</td>
<td>148.53</td>
<td>-177.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTM with strategy</td>
<td>99.65</td>
<td>719.11</td>
<td>209.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT with strategy</td>
<td>580.89</td>
<td>150.54</td>
<td>200.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN with strategy</td>
<td>-138.85</td>
<td>-5.61</td>
<td>237.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 shows that for the NVDA the most accurate method is LSTM on numerical data while the accuracy of LSTM on sentimental data is slightly worse.

Table 6: NVDA Accuracy of each method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Combined data</th>
<th>Numerical data</th>
<th>Sentimental data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9 provides us with the information about NVIDIA’s profits for all methods with or without our strategy.

Table 7: SPIS Profit of each dataset (in US $).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Combined data</th>
<th>Numerical data</th>
<th>Sentimental data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>1903.76</td>
<td>3101.80</td>
<td>1772.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>-190.10</td>
<td>1741.06</td>
<td>1193.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>1239.34</td>
<td>1794.93</td>
<td>193.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTM with strategy</td>
<td>1676.49</td>
<td>3228.39</td>
<td>1544.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT with strategy</td>
<td>-65.51</td>
<td>1513.60</td>
<td>1080.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN with strategy</td>
<td>1179.80</td>
<td>1685.38</td>
<td>27.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8 shows that for the SPIS ticker, the best case scenario for the SPIS ticker was the LSTM with strategy on numerical data.

Table 8: SPIS Accuracy of each method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Combined data</th>
<th>Numerical data</th>
<th>Sentimental data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSTM</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10 provides us with the information about SPIS’s profits for all methods with or without our strategy.

Figure 10: SPIS Profits without strategy (left) and with strategy (right).

5.5 Comparing Passive Investor’s and LSTM Method’s Returns

The results of previous subsections show that in general, the LSTM method applied on numerical data behaves better than the LSTM on combined or sentimental data as well as the KNN and the Decision Trees methods on any type of data. The following table presents the profits and the returns of the LSTM method on numerical data and the B&H Strategy.

From Table 9 we can compute the average returns of each method:

Average returns of B&H: 33.52%
Average returns of LSTM (numerical data): 80.42%

Therefore, the LSTM method on numerical data offers about 2.5 times more profit on average than the Buy and Hold strategy (i.e., passive investment on the assets).
6 CONCLUSIONS

We developed a system which follows the trends of stocks. After experimenting with the four stock tickers and each dataset separately, we concluded that the best scenario for a potential investor is to follow the LSTM method with the numerical/economical data.

Understanding the reasons for this observation, and more specifically identifying the signal in the sentiment data, is one of the focuses of our future work. As a motivation, we note that there are many cases that the LSTM method with sentiment data had greater returns in comparison to a passive investor. We argue that these returns can be possibly improved in the future by including more quality data such as news titles or articles, or even increasing the volume of tweets acquired. There are also different techniques that could be implemented, like ontologies (Kontopoulos, Berberidis, Dergiades and Bassiliades, 2013) which with the help of more research could prove to further enhance the results. Overall, Sentiment analysis turned out to have some potential for the future, as it was profitable, and sometimes a better solution than a passive investment. It was important to test these results over a long period of two years (~500 business days) in order to come into conclusions for the scale of the profits of each method. Based on our results, it appears that the LSTM method works better than the other machine learning methods tested. Our research is based on real hard and soft stock tickers’ data and provides realistic results that can be used by financial advisors.

In our future work, we are planning to develop our system to an autonomous system which predicts, each day, the trend of the stock ticker. For this to work long term, it is necessary to train the system online over time to keep it up to date. We will also try alternative mechanisms to utilize different types of data, to further improve the prediction accuracy.

Table 9: Returns of B&H strategy and LSTM on numerical data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Buy &amp; Hold</th>
<th>LSTM (Numerical data)</th>
<th>LSTMs on B&amp;H</th>
<th>Returns on LSTM (Numerical data)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAPL</td>
<td>139.89</td>
<td>222.62</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>103.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOOG</td>
<td>1480.85</td>
<td>2498.33</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVDA</td>
<td>135.50</td>
<td>1149.00</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>115.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP50</td>
<td>1521.35</td>
<td>1101.80</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REFERENCES


