Invasive Measurements Can Provide an Objective Ceiling for Non-invasive Machine Learning Predictions
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Abstract: Early stopping is an extremely common tool to minimize overfitting, which would otherwise be a cause of poor generalization of the model to novel data. However, early stopping is a heuristic that, while effective, primarily relies on ad hoc parameters and metrics. Optimizing when to stop remains a challenge. In this paper, we suggest that for some biomedical applications, a natural dichotomy of invasive/non-invasive measurements of a biological system can be exploited to provide objective advice on early stopping. We discuss the conditions where invasive measurements of a biological process should provide better predictions than non-invasive measurements, or at best offer parity. Hence, if data from an invasive measurement is available locally, or from the literature, that information can be leveraged to know with high certainty whether a model of non-invasive data is overfitted. We present paired invasive/non-invasive cardiac and coronary artery measurements from two mouse strains, one of which spontaneously develops type 2 diabetes, posed as a classification problem. Examination of the various stopping rules shows that generalization is reduced with more training epochs and commonly applied stopping rules give widely different generalization error estimates. The use of an empirically derived training ceiling is demonstrated to be helpful as added information to leverage early stopping in order to reduce overfitting.

1 INTRODUCTION

Despite rapid advances in Machine Learning, solutions to the problem of overfitting remain primarily ad-hoc. Caught between the horns of a dilemma, a data scientist usually wishes to maximize the predictive capability of a model, while avoiding overlearning the data and losing generality. This challenge may be faced without adequate information regarding both what is “good enough” for model performance, and what is “too good” and verging into the realm of over-fitting. Across machine learning, poor generalization is dealt with by constraining the model fitting to favor simpler models, a process known as regularization. Some methods penalize the parameters directly while other methods penalize over-fitting implicitly, such as randomly shutting down nodes while training a neural network, known as dropout.

Early stopping is another common regularization method. Early stopping is appealing because it does not make assumptions about the informational distribution of the model. It assumes only that the early model learns general features of the training data, and that it increasingly learns specific features of the data as additional training epochs are conducted. The simplest solution is to train the network for many epochs, saving model weights at each epoch, and then to pick the epoch with the lowest validation error (and therefore the least generalization error). The goal of early stopping is to stop at the ideal epoch without the cost of generating the entire error validation curve. However, there currently does not appear to be a general solution for predicting ideal early stopping points.

For some specific applications, such as medical imaging, we propose an empirical bound that can effectively be considered a hard ceiling on the best possible performance a deep neural network (DNN) could attain, in effect allowing us to know what is “too good” and therefore verging into the realm of
over-fitting. Such a ceiling offers guidance on when continued training is not advantageous, albeit under certain regularity conditions we will discuss below.

For biomedical problems, the availability of invasive measurements may provide insight into the information available in non-invasive measurements. We propose the following postulate about information content for machine learning as the premise of our contribution. A priori, the information in a non-invasive, surface-measured correlate of some underlying biophysical phenomenon cannot exceed the information content of an invasive measurement of the underlying phenomenon itself. Not all variation is useful for prediction, and the predictive power of a system is limited by both the noise in the measurement system, the latent signal being measured, and any ambiguity/noise in the classification system for the desired output. We presume the following logic. To the extent that invasive measurements relate to the same or a highly correlated underlying feature as a congruent non-invasive measurement, the invasive measurement should offer the better attainable predictive power. Therefore, when training a DNN on data from a non-invasive measure, we know that going beyond the predictive ceiling bounded by the invasive measure’s performance is a clear indication of overtraining and poor generalization.

1.1 Defining Quantitative Goals for Machine Learning

The concept of early stopping is often discussed in the DNN literature as a type of convergence criteria. When the loss in the validation dataset levels off across training epochs, the DNN has learned the generalizable aspects of the data. Continuing to train will only cause memorization effects, where aspects of the training data become more emphasized to the detriment of generalization. In practice, the situation is more complex. Validation loss curves by epoch are not guaranteed to be smooth and often are not. One might stop at a local minimum. Any convergence criteria formed through a simple heuristic may underperform. To train for more epochs offers the chance to see if the loss function has a lower local (or hopefully the global) minimum but can be costly and time-consuming. Additionally, to be fully certain that the validation loss curve is accurate requires independent test data that has not been seen by the DNN classifier in training. Certainly, in biomedical applications, such hold-out data can be limited and potentially costly, such as when studying rare/uncommon disease populations.

The balance of finding empirical guidance on when to stop training a DNN versus how much test data is available is not quantitatively defined in the literature and remains an unsolved problem. Early stopping is the best-known heuristic and many important attempts to formalize the concept have been put forward. For example, Prechelt defines a family of metrics, each of which could be used in an early stopping rule. Both dataset sizes and computational power have grown exponentially since then so the empirical evaluation of the best metric may be different today. Additionally, several attempts to formalize both metrics and early stopping algorithms have appeared in the literature for specific applications, which may perform well in our setting.

In this study, we offer a different point of view of the early stopping problem, borne from the authors’ experience with experimental systems: Invasive measurements in a biological system could offer the best attainable measures of the system’s intrinsics while non-invasive measurements are more distal, and can at best equal the predictive power of DNN’s trained on invasive measurements. We present this as a form of outside knowledge to inform our early stopping rules. Having classifiers trained on invasive measurements as a quantitative benchmark provides an empirical ceiling for training non-invasive measurements. This assumes that invasive measurements of reasonable quality are, or have been, available for ma-
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1.2 Related Work

The concept of early stopping predates the current DNN literature and early attempts to define useful metrics for evaluating potential stopping points were defined prior to the recent rapid growth of available data (e.g. Prechelt’s work in 1998 (Prechelt, 1998)). Interestingly, the general ideas behind those metrics are still part of common practice today and are available in widely used packages for machine learning such as Tensorflow (Abadi et al., 2016). Early stopping uses training and validation datasets to assess changes in model generalization. When the validation error goes up, productive training is stopped. The exact heuristic for what constitutes validation error leveling off (or increasing) varies. The number of epochs of stalled progress or increases in error to continue training before early stopping is controlled by a parameter often called patience. The patience metric approach is not computationally demanding, which is a strength of the approach (Abadi et al., 2016; Ying, 2019). In practice, the DNN is trained and for any epoch that the validation error is at a value lower than the lowest previously observed, those model parameters are saved (Goodfellow et al., 2016). Once the generalization gap—the gap between the training error and validation error—increases to the point that further training seems unfruitful to continue, then the model parameters associated with the lowest validation error are chosen as the final classifier. Typical values for patience range from 3-6 epochs.

Many variations on the basic theme of early stopping continue to be developed. Much of the literature offers heuristics that are elucidated in a context-specific way. In breast cancer research, a rising trend in validation loss has been described but not quantitatively defined (Prakash and Visakha, 2020). Overfitting in the context of feature selection had an early stopping algorithm defined to reduce computing time per cross validation step (Liu et al., 2018). In the context of fuzzy clustering coupled to a neural network, a patience value of 6 was recommended (Wu and Liu, 2009). In fact, the patience value of 6 arises in other contexts too, including neural networks for computer vision (Blanchard et al., 2019; Wu and Liu, 2009), such as is quite relevant for the present application.

2 THE DEMONSTRATION PROBLEM

For our demonstration, we focus on coronary microvascular disease (CMD). CMD is notoriously difficult to diagnose non-invasively, and current methods of assessing CMD utilize only the peak velocity of the coronary flow pattern. TTDE data are typically acquired as a video of the time-varying doppler signal, and a summary image from a typical TTDE experiment (video fused into a single image in a fashion analogous to a moving-slit aperture) is shown in Figure 1. There are currently no non-invasive methods that incorporate the coronary flow pattern over a complete cardiac cycle to definitively assess and predict the development of CMD. Coronary blood flow (CBF) reflects the summation of flow in the coronary microcirculation, and we have begun to harness the
uniqueness of the CBF pattern under varying flow and disease conditions (e.g. type 2 diabetes) to determine whether it might harbor novel clues leading to the early detection of CMD. Previous studies indicate an early onset of CMD in both type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and metabolic syndrome (MetS) that occurs prior to the onset of macrovascular complications (16 wks in T2DM db/db mice). This results in blood flow impairments and alterations in coronary resistance microvessel (CRM) structure, function, and biomechanics (Angelescu et al., 2015; Gooch and Trask, 2015; Katz et al., 2011; Labazi and Trask, 2017; Lee et al., 2011a; Lee et al., 2011b; Park et al., 2008; Park et al., 2011; Trask et al., 2012a; Trask et al., 2012b). Collectively, these data strongly suggest an early onset of CMD, and therefore sub-clinical heart disease, in T2DM and MetS (Labazi and Trask, 2017). Importantly, Sunyecz et al. uncovered innovative correlations between CRM structure/biomechanics and newly-defined features of the coronary flow pattern (Sunyecz et al., 2018), some of which were unique to normal or diabetic mice.

We have initially utilized the CBF features from Sunyecz et al., in the presence and absence of other factors such as cardiac function, to develop a mathematical model that defines 6 simple factors that contain predictive information on normal vs. diabetic coronary flow patterns. Utilizing a multidisciplinary approach, we sought to test whether the elements that influence coronary flow patterning would be useful in the direct assessment of CMD using computational modeling. We tested this utilizing non-invasive Transthoracic Doppler echocardiography of coronary flow combined with simultaneous invasive cardiac pressure-volume loop (PV-loop) assessment of cardiac function.

In contrast with TTDE data which are acquired as a video using an externally-applied transducer, pressure-volume loop data are acquired as paired pressure-volume measurements using a probe inserted invasively into the heart. PV-loop data provide a completely different variety of data about cardiac function and the state of the cardiac microvasculature, from that obtainable through TTDE. A typical PV-loop recording is shown in Figure 2.

3 DATA SOURCE

Two strains of mice that were 16 weeks old were housed under a 12-hr light/dark cycle at 22 °C and 60% humidity. The two strains were normal control mice \((n = 35)\) and type 2 diabetic (DB) mice \((n = 42)\) (Jackson Laboratories). Mice were fed standard laboratory mice chow and allowed access to water \textit{ad libitum}. This study was conducted in accordance with the NIH Guidelines and was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the Abigail Wexner Research Institute at Nationwide Children’s Hospital.

3.1 TTDE Data (Non-invasive)

Transthoracic Doppler echocardiography (TTDE) video files of left main coronary blood flow with \(\approx 20\) distinct cardiac cycles each were acquired from both groups of mice at baseline (1% isoflurane anesthesia) and hyperemic (increased blood flow measured at 3% isoflurane anesthesia) conditions following the protocol described by the Trask lab (Husarek et al., 2016; Katz et al., 2011; Sunyecz et al., 2018). These videos were exported as .avi files from the Vevo2100 software and analyzed using an in-house Python script for data pre-processing. A summary image from a typical TTDE experiment is shown in Figure 1.

3.2 PV-loop Data (Invasive)

Invasive hemodynamic measures of cardiac function were terminally performed immediately following echocardiographic analysis as described by Trask et al. (Trask et al., 2010). During the terminal experiment, mice continued to be anesthetized with isoflurane (2%) in 100% oxygen followed by tracheotomy and ventilated with a positive-pressure ventilator (Model SAR-830P, CWE, Inc.). A 1.2F combined conductance catheter-micromanometer (Models FTH-1212B-3518 and FTH-1212B-4018, Transonic SciSense, London, ON, Canada) connected to a pressure-conductance unit (Transonic SciSense, London, ON, Canada) and data acquisition system (PowerLab, AD Instruments, Colorado Springs, CO) was inserted into the right carotid artery and advanced past the aortic valve into the left ventricle. Pressure-volume loops were recorded off the ventilator for \(\leq 10\) seconds at baseline and during reduced preload by gently occluding the inferior vena cava with a cotton swab. We used approximately 30 measures obtained from invasive PV loop measurements for our study. A typical PV-loop recording is shown in Figure 2.

3.3 Post-processed Data

Each TTDE image contained a varying number of heartbeats (with an average of 22.63 \(\pm\) 7.13 heartbeats per image) with low noise that were suitable for analysis. The number of heartbeats for analysis per group
was 2810 for control and 3021 for DB. TTDE data were pre-processed as described by Sunyecz et al. (Sunyecz et al., 2018).

4 ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK

Our framework consists of deep learning to predict mouse strain. Each mouse had both a non-invasive cardiac ECHO and paired invasive catheterization that obtained left ventricular pressure-volume (PV) loops. The ECHO data are non-invasive doppler-sonographic measurements of coronary blood flow, while the PV-loops are direct invasive measurements of the pressure and volume in the heart. The volumetric change of the heart, and the pressure produced ultimately influence the coronary blood flow, so the flow being measured by the non-invasive ECHO method is highly correlated to these invasive measures. The two conditions for the DNN to classify are normal control versus DB mouse strains. Diabetes changes cardiovascular structure, function, and stiffness, directly influencing the cardiac pressure-volume relationship and coronary blood flow. For both ECHO and PV-loop data, every heartbeat provides an iteration of cardiac data. The images from each mouse ECHO contain many heartbeats where each provides information for training the DNN. Labels for classification derive from the type of mouse. To infer the performance ceiling we first trained a DNN to classify control versus DB mice using the invasive PV-loop data. It is important to note that while this might appear to simply push the problem of determining a training ceiling recursively off onto a different ML training ceiling problem, the invasive PV-loop data is much more amenable to classification by simple regression. Therefore, training the DNN for the PV-loop data was compared to logistic regression to show that the DNN performance is approximately optimal given the highly informative nature of invasive measurements. In many biological systems, the literature contains well-studied quantifications of the information content available for various invasive measures, and we suggest that these may be used as ceilings for non-invasive work on those systems in lieu of performing an actual paired invasive study. Performance from training a DNN using the non-invasive data to classify control versus DB mice was compared to the invasive measurement performance ceiling to assess if overtraining has occurred. We go on to show that using both PV-loop and ECHO data in a DNN does not improve classification, indicating that no new additional information relevant to the classification is offered by the non-invasive measurement. Additionally, we tested several early stopping metrics from the literature to assess how they perform in this setting and if they can be misleading, relative to the empirical ceiling. In all analyses, data were split 80% training, 16% validation (used for testing generalization error each epoch), and 4% for the final out-of-sample test dataset. No outlier removal was applied as the exploratory analysis did not indicate any clear cases of outliers. The data were approximately balanced (see above), which is consistent with our experimental animal design. Our DNN implementation was in TensorFlow (Abadi et al., 2016) and logistic regression was performed in scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al., 2011).

5 EXPERIMENTAL

5.1 Establishing a Ceiling using Invasive Data

Invasive PV-loop data were used to classify mouse strain in a retrospective diagnostic study design. Heartbeats were randomly sampled across mouse strain for each batch. No data augmentation was applied. Batch size was set to 32 and the learning rate was 0.01 as part of the Adam algorithm (Kingma and Ba, 2014). The loss function was binary cross-entropy on a DNN with six hidden layers. Training was conducted over 2000 epochs and the early stopping procedure using a patience of 6 was applied post-hoc. Waiting longer in the training than epoch 117 would not improve predictions and final test accuracy was 0.972. Logistic regression with recursive feature elimination (RFE) was performed on the PV-loop dataset. RFE selectively dropped four physiological parameters from the final model. Logistic regression of the RFE selected model gave similar prediction accuracy as the DNN (accuracy = 0.971). As expected, results of the logistic regression indicated a significant association of the PV-loop physiological parameters with mouse strain ($\chi^2 = 7338.1, df = 15, p < .0001$). As the logistic regression model is less complicated than the DNN, this result highlights the high information content of the PV-loop data, making the less complicated regression model adequately powered to have similar predictive accuracy. From this we infer that training with PV-loop data is essentially optimal for classification and can therefore be used as a ceiling to infer early stopping for non-invasive data. Given the postulate of the study, we assert that 97% is the ceiling for cardiac-based predictions of mouse strain in this experimental setting.
Table 1: Summary of DNN training results by stopping rule. Note that Test Accuracy (subset) refers to hold out data from animals that were in the training data while Test Accuracy (novel) refers to data from hold-out animals that had no data in the training, validation, or test sets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th># epochs</th>
<th>Test Accuracy % (subset)</th>
<th>Test Accuracy % (novel)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GL</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ₃</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>0.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ₆</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>0.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patience₃</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patience₆</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.975</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Evaluating the Non-invasive Transthoracic Doppler Echocardiogram

For non-invasive TTDE data to classify mouse strain, the analysis set up was similar to the PV-loop data. Pre-processed data were classified along 15 physiological parameters, four metrics for variability and the number of heartbeats per animal. TTDE data exhibits scale variability due to the physical properties the measurement, therefore, data were normalized to the grand mean and standard deviation prior to training. Without normalization, training was inefficient and inaccurate (shown below). Training was conducted over 2000 epochs and the early stopping procedures were applied post-hoc.

5.3 Early Stopping

We applied several early stopping guidelines based on metrics and heuristics from the literature to assess how each performed in this setting and whether they could be misleading. Additionally, we used the empirical ceiling (97%) for additional guidance. The patience parameter is commonly used in the literature with values of 3 or 6 (Patience₃ and Patience₆ in Table 1). We also used the Generalization Loss (GL in Table 1) metric which is a function of the loss function value in a given iteration divided by the minimum loss observed in any previous epoch (Prechelt, 1998). We chose a value that was 5% of the initial loss. The Progress Quotient is a function of the Generalization Loss smoothed over a strip of N previous iterations (Prechelt, 1998). We chose N to be 3, and 6 (PQ₃ and PQ₆ in Table 1), to be comparable to our selected patience values. Lastly we implemented an early stopping procedure from a non-medical context that modifies the patience parameter dynamically based on the loss from the latest iteration (Deng and Kwok, 2017). If the validation loss is smaller than 0.996 of the lowest observed up to that point, then the patience is increased by 0.3 times the current number of iterations. Training stops when patience is less than the current number of iterations (DK in Table 1). Accuracy from the various early stopping procedures is summarized in Table 1, and the per-epoch accuracy and loss are shown in Figure 3.

On the unnormalized data, the best validation accuracy was 0.752 across 2000 training epochs. Given the disparity with the normalized data, we did not analyze early-stopping heuristics. This result highlights the critical need for pre-processing to reduce non-biological sources of variation in the biomedical data for this classification task.

5.3.1 Prediction from Combined PV-loop and TTDE Data

Merging the PV-loop and TTDE DNNs into a single network did not improve classification (96.5%) over PV-loop data alone (97%)—which are the same accuracy within the variability of the design—using the same early stopping rule as employed in the PV-loop only analysis. These results indicate that no additional information useful for the classification task is present in the non-invasive measurement.

6 DISCUSSION

In this paper, we develop the idea that an objective ceiling for early stopping using noise-prone, “distant” measurements, could be derived from more direct measurements of an underlying process. In this case, we postulated that an invasive measurement should provide as much, or more predictive power as a non-invasive measurement of the same underlying process. We used data from animal experiments that are part of an ongoing project to study early markers for a type of cardiac disease that affects blood flow. Cardiac catheterization to determine pressure-volume loops is an invasive measurement while sonographic cardiac TTDE is not. The latter is important since non-invasive measurements are preferred for diagnostics in humans and machine learning on diagnostics in humans is an important area for biomedical science.

Yet, early stopping for noisy biomedical measurements in real world applications relies on the same ad hoc procedures as other machine learning applications. Though biomedical datasets are often expensive to obtain and difficult to effectively work with, perhaps in one way biomedical data have an advan-
Figure 3: Accuracy (left y-axis) and loss (right y-axis) of the DNN with the training data (tan circles and green plus, respectively) and validation data (blue squares and black x, respectively) by epoch. As expected, the DNN on training data eventually becomes 100% accurate with a steady decrease in loss, due to memorization. Validation accuracy largely levels off, while validation loss reaches a minimum, and then climbs for the remainder of the 2000 epochs (data beyond 660 epochs not shown). Each early stopping rule application (described in the text and Table 1) is indicated at the epoch where the stopping rule was triggered. The best performance is around epoch 100 for generalization error, and the Patience3 procedure was the closest to that ideal in this scenario. Training the DNN beyond the invasively-determined information ceiling at 97% (horizontal brown dashed line) should be impossible without overfitting by learning training-data-specific features. Assuming zero information loss in the indirect, non-invasive data, our information-ceiling method would trigger stopping at approximately 120 epochs.

The best performance is around epoch 100 for generalization error, and the Patience3 procedure was the closest to that ideal in this scenario. Training the DNN beyond the invasively-determined information ceiling at 97% (horizontal brown dashed line) should be impossible without overfitting by learning training-data-specific features. Assuming zero information loss in the indirect, non-invasive data, our information-ceiling method would trigger stopping at approximately 120 epochs.

We postulate that when multiple approaches are available to evaluate a system, results from a more direct measurement may be used to define an information ceiling for the less direct measurements. In the bio/life sciences, it is common for there to be many different ways to measure a phenomenon, ranging from inexpensive indirect inferential measurements to expensive direct invasive measurements. We suggest that the results of the expensive direct invasive measurements, which are frequently available in the literature, may be used to define informational ceilings for machine learning on the less expensive, indirect measurements. Overall, this study is an example that offers an additional guidance possibility for machine learning researchers working in biomedical research or other similar experimental contexts.
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