Non-linear Distortion Recognition in UAVs’ Images using Deep Learning
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Abstract: Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) have increasingly been used as tools in many tasks present in Precision Agriculture (PA). Due to the particular characteristics of the flight and the UAV equipment, several challenges need to be addressed, such as the presence of non-linear deformations in the captured images. These deformations impair the image registration process so they must be identified to be properly corrected. In this paper, we propose a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) architecture to classify whether or not a given image has non-linear deformation. We compared our approach with 4 traditional CNNs and the results show that our model achieves has an accuracy similar to the compared CNNs, but with an extremely lower computational cost, which could enable its use in flight time, in a system embedded in the UAV.

1 INTRODUCTION

At the end of the 19th century, many studies addressed the problem of the world population growth and the relationship with the planet’s food production capacity. However, since the 1960s, a series of technological innovations has helped to increase food production in the world, thus preventing food shortages from becoming a problem for humanity. Among the developed technologies we can mention the use of irrigation systems, mechanization of crops, chemical fertilizers, genetically modified foods, as well as the use of satellite images. The latter allows to improve the management of the area to be planted, allowing to analyze the quantity of necessary inputs as well as the area affected by pests, among other factors (Malthus, 1872; Hazell, 2009; Farmer, 1986).

More recently, the use of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) has facilitated access to images of the area to be cultivated with greater resolution and more often, thus allowing a constant analysis of the region and a better decision-making regarding the use of inputs and pest control. Unlike other aerial image acquisition devices, such as satellites and large aircraft, UAVs make it possible to capture images at low and medium altitudes (50 to 400 m), providing a more detailed view of the region under analysis. These UAVs allow the use of a wide range of sensors that produce the most diverse types of data on the studied area: RGB cameras, heat capture sensors, multi and hyperspectral cameras, among others. In addition, recent technological advances and their popularization have reduced their costs (Jenkins and Vasigh, 2013), causing even small farmers to have adopted this technology for many applications, such as growth estimation or to identify other important agronomic characteristics, such as nitrogen stress (McBratney et al., 2005; Milella et al., 2019; Blackmer and Schepers, 1996; Sankaran et al., 2015; Kataoka et al., 2003).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 shows some recent papers published in the area. In Section 3 we detail the problem and their implications. In Section 4 we detail the non-linear model. In Section 5, we present an overview of the CNN and how it was used to deal with our problem. Section 6 presents the image dataset used in the experiments. Sections 7 and 8 present the experiments and a discussion of the results. Section 9 presents the conclusions and future work.

2 RELATED WORK

In (Yasir et al., 2018), the authors presented a data-driven framework for multispectral registration. The proposed framework assumes that the greater the number of control points, the better is the image alignment. Their work verifies all spectra taken two by two...
in order to identify order of spectra that maximizes the number of control points during the alignment process.

In (Junior et al., 2020) the authors proposed to modify the structure proposed by (Yasir et al., 2018) for the process of registering multispectral images. The modification consists of the generalization originally proposed to work with methods based on key points so that the spectral domain methods can be used in the registration process with greater precision and less execution time.

The authors in (Eppenhof and Pluim, 2019) proposed the use of deep learning methods for image registration with non-linear distortions as an alternative to traditional registration methods. The study in question is motivated by the fact that traditional methods fail to estimate larger displacements and complex deformation fields. For this complex scenario, a multiple resolution task is required. Therefore, (Eppenhof and Pluim, 2019) proposed the progressive training of neural networks to solve the problem. This, instead of training a large Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) in the one-time registration task, smaller versions of the network were initially trained with low resolution images and deformation fields. During the training, the network was progressively expanded with additional layers, which were trained with high-resolution data. Results showed that this training mode allows a network to learn greater displacements fields without sacrificing registration accuracy and that the resulting network is less prone to registration errors compared to training the entire network at once. The authors also agreed that a progressive training procedure leads to greater accuracy of the record when learning large and complex non-linear deformations.

The work of (Zhu et al., 2019) developed a correspondence method based on learning multispectral images (RGB and infrared) captured by satellite sensors. The method in question involves a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) that compares a pair of multispectral images in question and a search strategy model that will check the corresponding point within a search window in the target image for a given point in the reference image. In this way, a densely connected CNN was developed to extract the common characteristics of the different spectral bands. The experiments showed a high-performance power, in addition to the ability to generalize the proposed method, being applicable in multitemporal remote sensing images and short-range images.

3 PROBLEM DEFINITION

Due its low altitude of flight and the diversity of sensors, many methods have been developed to process the images obtained using UAVs. Some methods deal with the fact that these images and the different bands of frequencies must be organized in a mosaic that represents the entire area (Junior et al., 2019). However, the registration process may be impaired by the presence of deformation on the images.

The cameras used in Precision Agriculture already produce the most varied distortions in the images due to the most diverse factors. Thus, when these cameras are coupled to a UAV, this problem is heightened. During a flight, the UAV has three basic control axes: yaw, pitch, and roll.

Thus, the success in identifying such distortions in flight time, with a system embedded in the UAV of low computational cost, for example, would greatly enhance the subsequent processes, whose objective is to promote PA. This gain in PA activities would be fundamentally because once an image was detected with the presence of deformation, the mosaic process would be facilitated. Such a facility would be, for example, avoiding the need for new flights to cover a certain region or even discarding the captured images that would not be of value for the process in question.

In short, in this paper, we address the problem of identifying whether or not a given image has non-linear deformation. To accomplish that we proposed a Convolutional Neural Network model and compare its performance with traditional CNNs from literature.

4 NON-LINEAR MODEL

A mapping function can be defined mathematically as a 2D function, which maps the \((x, y)\) coordinates of a given A image to the \((x, y)\) coordinates of a B image. Two main types of functions of mapping, Linear and Non-Linear (or Non-Rigid), are characterized by the type of deformation in the image (Gonzalez et al., 2002).

Figure 1 shows the delimitation between linear distortions, as well as non-linear distortions, which are objects of this work.

Literature presents many works addressing the problem of non-linear deformations, in the most varied contexts in which these deformations can be present (Walimbe and Shekhar, 2006; Shekhar and Zagrodsky, 2002; Wang and Staib, 1998). According to (Wang and Staib, 1998), there is no mathematical model for this type of deformation because a given anatomical structure does not result in the deforma-
tion of another structure. Thus, an analogous model is used to represent these deformations. A Eulerian Reference can be used in the formulation of the non-linear model. For this scenario, a component of the image is tracked in relation to its final coordinates. For that, we will define the transformation in question through a homeomorphic mapping of the coordinate system in two dimensions, according to Equation 1:

$$ w = (z, y) \rightarrow (x - u_x(w), y - u_y(w)) $$

(1)

where $u(w) = [u_x, u_y]^T$ is the displacement at each pixel $w$ whose coordinate is denoted as $(x, y)$. This mapping allows for the detailed local transformation into the specific anatomy of the component of the image.

The formulation of the non-linear model is also defined in (Christensen et al., 1996), where components are considered to be displaced with a proportional force. This spatial transformation satisfies the Partial Differential Equation (PDE), as shown in Equation 2:

$$ \mu \nabla^2 u + (\mu + \beta) \nabla (\nabla \cdot u) = F(u) $$

(2)

where $\mu(w) = 0$ for $w$ on the image boundary. In Equation 2, $\mu$ and $\beta$ are Lamé constants. The body force, $F(u)$, is the driving function that deforms the images.

5 CONVOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORK

Recent advances in the use of GPUs and in the theory of neural networks have allowed the development of new machine learning techniques, including deep learning. This is a category of neural networks algorithms whose main characteristics is the presence of a large number of neurons arranged in layers, which are grouped into processing blocks.

Among the many deep learning algorithms Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) plays a important role in many task areas as computer vision, speech recognition and audio recognition. CNN is a network which is based on the concept of receptive present in the human visual system. In these networks, learning process takes place through the use of different filters that emphasizes characteristics present in the image, thus imitating the human learning process. These networks are able to analyze the spatial correlations among pixels of an image to extract relevant attributes for classification, regression, and segmentation tasks (LeCun et al., 1998; Guo et al., 2016; Ponti et al., 2017). Literature shows that the vast majority of CNN models are defined using three types of layers: convolutional, pooling, and fully connected layers. These layers can be combined in different ways to improve CNN’s learning process. Next, we present a brief description of the mentioned layers.

In a convolutional layer, the main goal is to extract significant attributes from an image. To achieve this goal, several convolution operations are applied to the input data and these operations act as receptive filters that will highlight different attributes of a local region of the image. In general, the aforementioned filters are defined as $3 \times 3$ or $5 \times 5$ kernels. Also, to speed up the training of the network and consequent improvement in results, the activation function ReCified Linear Unit (ReLU) and a batch normalization operation are applied to the result of the convolutional layer (LeCun et al., 2015).

The convolutional layer is usually followed by a pooling layer. The main objective of this layer is to reduce the feature maps that were calculated by the previous layers. This way, network sensitivities to image distortions and data changes are reduced. In general, a $2 \times 2$ pooling mask is used according to established criteria (e.g., maximum or the average of the pixels of the region), which will reduce a region of $4$ pixels to a single value (Scherer et al., 2010).

Finally, we find the fully connected (also known as dense) layer. This layer receives as input the 2D feature maps obtained from previous layers and its main objective is to learn a vector of 1D features capable of discriminating the input image. The feature vector is then used as input to a softmax classifier that will return the most likely equivalence class for the image.
6 IMAGE DATASET

6.1 Selected Images

For our experiments, we considered two mosaics created from images captured by UAVs. These mosaics have \(18543 \times 2635\) and \(8449 \times 11180\) pixels size. These mosaics refer to two different areas planted with sugar cane. It is worth mentioning that the mosaics were not captured with the same equipment and under the same climatic conditions, which reflects different resolutions for each case.

From each mosaic, we selected grayscale patches of \(128 \times 128\) pixels size. Subsequently, we discard patches that have little (or any) significant visual information. This was determined by the number of pixels \((n)\) with a value of 0 in the patch. Thus, if \(n < 10\), the patch is considered for the composition of the dataset; otherwise, the patch is discarded. Therefore, we built two datasets, which we will call DS1 and DS2 and which have, respectively, 3353 and 2365 images. Figure 2 illustrates two examples of images of patches generated for each dataset (Silva et al., 2020).

![Figure 2: Example of images that make up both datasets: (a) DS1; (b) DS2.](image)

6.2 Dataset Image Distortions

For the experiments we used the work (Eppenhof and Pluim, 2019) to create non-linear deformations in the images, where deformable transformations are implemented just like B-spline transformations and displacements are defined in a grid. In (Eppenhof and Pluim, 2019), as we deal with two-dimensional images, we used two grids, one for the displacements in the \(y\)-directions, and one for the displacements in the \(x\)-directions. Figure 3 shows the relationship of an image in our dataset with its respective grid and the distortion generated in that image through the grid.

![Figure 3: Distortion example: (a) Original image; (b) Original image (a) with their respective grids; (c) Image after applying the deformation.](image)

Still according to (Eppenhof and Pluim, 2019), we can concatenate other transformations through an interpolator method. These transformations are applied in reverse order since they are applied to the sampling grid and not to the images. Figure 4 shows an example of a 10% translation followed by a 45 degrees rotation around the point \((0.5, 0.5)\), and then the B-spline transformation.

![Figure 4: Combination of transformations for the image in Figure 3 (c).](image)

To avoid black areas, we cropped a \(64 \times 64\) pixels size region aligned with the center of the image, thus removing any artifact added to the image by the selected transformation method, as illustrated by Figure 5.

![Figure 5: (a) Deformation-free image; (b) Deformed image, according to (Eppenhof and Pluim, 2019); (c) Cropped image.](image)

Finally, when applying the transformations presented in all the patches of DS1 and DS2, we will have the corresponding elastic distorted images in both datasets. Thus, we have two equivalence classes: (1) distortion-free images and (2) distorted images. Both datasets will be available for replication and other experiments as request.
7 EXPERIMENTS

To classify the equivalence classes mentioned in the previous section, we evaluated 4 traditional CNN architectures: InceptionV3 (Szegedy et al., 2016), ResNet (He et al., 2016), SqueezeNet (Iandola et al., 2016) and VGG-16 (Simonyan and Zisserman, 2014). We used these networks pre-trained in the 2012 dataset Imagenet (Krizhevsky et al., 2012) and made the pertinent adjustments to our classification problem. We also carried out a data augmentation to reduce the possibility of overfitting in our experiments. In addition to the traditional CNNs, we proposed an alternative architecture that will be presented as follows. Our architecture is motivated by (Marcos et al., 2019b; Marcos et al., 2019a), where simpler CNNs and sets of filters were used to solve less complex classification problems.

For our CNN, we took inspiration from the AlexNet architecture. In its traditional architecture, AlexNet has eight layers, the first five of which are convolutional, with a ReLU activation function, followed by max-pooling layers, and the others consist of dense layers (Krizhevsky et al., 2012). Due to the reduced size of our images (64 × 64 pixels size), our architecture has fewer layers when compared to traditional CNNs. We used 5 convolutional layers to process the images and each layer has, respectively, 16, 32, 32, 64, and 128 filters, where, in all convolutional layers, the respective filters always have the size 3 × 3. To improve its learning ability and to enhance its training, we applied the ReLU non-linearity activation function after each convolutional layer. There is also a batch normalization after each ReLU function, which is followed by a 2 × 2 max-pooling layer. In the sequence, we used the resulting volume as an input for the dense layers. The first two dense layers have 128 neurons each and they use ReLU as activation function. We also apply a 20% dropout in each dense layer. Finally, the output layer has 2 neurons that determine the equivalence class. Figure 6 shows the structure of our CNN.

8 RESULTS

Figure 7 shows the evolution of the accuracy in both test and training sets for all evaluated CNNs, i.e., ResNet, Inception-V3, VGG-16, SqueezeNet, as well as our proposed model. Table 1 summarizes the accuracy rates obtained by each CNN in each datasets DS1 and DS2 after 20 epochs training. As we can see, results demonstrate that all CNNs model are capable of discriminate images according to the presence (or not) of an elastic distortion. All models, including ours, were able to achieve accuracy rates above 92%. Even though all CNNs present high accuracies, we noticed that dataset DS2 represents a greater challenge for all CNNs. While for dataset DS1 all CNNs are able to achieve a stable accuracy over the epochs in the test set, the same is to true for dataset DS2. All evaluated CNNs exhibit a larger variation among different epochs and their results increase or decrease despite the good accuracy obtained in the training set. Such behavior indicates that the feature learned in the training set are not as robust in dataset DS2 as in dataset DS1. This is corroborated by the results presented in Table 1, where we can see that all CNNs present lower accuracies for dataset DS2 than DS1.

All CNNs were implemented using the Python version of Tensorflow1, an open-source framework for efficient building, training, and use of deep neural network models. TensorFlow was developed by Google (Abadi et al., 2016b) and it is based on tensors and dataflow graphs. Tensors are numerical multidimensional arrays to represent the data. Dataflow graphs nodes represent operations and edges describe the flow of data throughout the processing steps (Géron, 2019; Abadi et al., 2016a; Hope et al., 2017).

For the experiments we used 75% of the samples for training, while the remaining images were used for validation. Experiments were conducted on a Personal Computer with Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-7700 CPU @ 3.60GHz, 32GB RAM, 64-bit Windows OS and GPU NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1050 Ti, 4GB GDDR5. We also used Python 3.6 and Keras 2.1.6-tf with TensorFlow 1.10.0 and CUDA Toolkit 9.0 to implement and test the experiments.

1http://tensorflow.org

Figure 6: Illustration of the architecture of our CNN.
the smaller success rate, it also presents the smallest number of parameters and, as a consequence, a considerably lower computational cost than traditional CNNs. When compared with InceptionV3, our CNN model obtained a accuracy 1.72% and 5.92% lower in dataset DS1 and DS2, respectively. However, its computational cost is smaller as it has only 0.64% of the number of parameter of the InceptionV3 model. Ad-
ditionally, we must emphasize that compared CNNs were previously trained in the 2012 Imagenet dataset while our proposed model was trained from scratch. In this sense, given that the results of our architecture are close to the traditional CNNs, this approach may enable a great advance for the use of this resource in a system embedded in the UAV. The UAV flight time detection can support subsequent processes (e.g., correction of detected distortion and image registration), in addition to reducing the financial costs inherent in the process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CNN model</th>
<th>DS1</th>
<th>DS2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ResNet</td>
<td>98.95%</td>
<td>94.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InceptionV3</td>
<td>99.25%</td>
<td>98.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGG-16</td>
<td>98.88%</td>
<td>95.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqueezeNet</td>
<td>98.43%</td>
<td>96.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed CNN</td>
<td>97.53%</td>
<td>92.92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Results obtained for each CNN model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CNN model</th>
<th># of parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ResNet</td>
<td>22,591,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InceptionV3</td>
<td>22,081,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGG-16</td>
<td>14,797,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SqueezeNet</td>
<td>723,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>141,058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Number of parameters of each CNN model.

9 CONCLUSION

Convolutional Neural Networks demonstrated a high power in the identification of non-linear deformations (and variants) in UAVs’ images. While most traditional architectures have a high computational cost, a fact that could hinder such processing during flight time, our proposed CNN represents an attractive alternative as it presents the lowest computational cost with only a small decrease in the accuracy when compared with traditional architectures. Also, as exposed in the papers of (Eppenhof and Pluim, 2018; Eppenhof and Pluim, 2019), the process of detecting the deformation vector field and the consequent image correction process has an enormous computational cost. Thus, the identification of the presence (or not) of non-linear deformations between the images would represent considerable gain.

In future work, we intend to propose a method to correct the detected non-linear distortions, thus potentializing the mosaic process of the images. Also, given the possibility of UAVs having in many cases multi and hyperspectral cameras, we intend to replicate this work in multichannel images.
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