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Abstract: Realistic animations of 3D models are currently very complex, and to stream them over a network in real-time, it is necessary to use compression. Currently, many different methods can be used. Some of them use skeleton transformation as an approximation of the animation, others use remeshing for decreasing mesh complexity. In this paper, a novel method of 3D animation compression is presented. It is based on reconstructing a 3D mesh from a 2D displacement texture and subsequent skeletal skinning enhanced by a surface tuning. The tuning is performed by displacing the skinned vertices according to high-frequency details encoded in a Differential Texture since the output of the skinning is just an approximation of the original animation. Skinning weights are encoded in another new texture type - Skinning Map. In each frame of the streaming animation, just a new skeleton pose and the Differential Texture are needed to be sent. The proposed method has a high compression ratio for various animations because the pose is a small data structure and the Differential Texture contains just high-frequency details and on top of that, it can be compressed as video. Furthermore, differences between original and reconstructed animation are minimal, as evidenced by visual and numerical comparisons.

1 INTRODUCTION

Skeletal animation of 3D models is commonly used in many animated movies or games. However, to contain more details in the animation, the skeleton is not sufficient. The other extreme, a mesh animation, defines the position of each vertex of the model for each animation frame. This way, high-quality animations can be achieved. However, the amount of data is very large, so actually, it is a problem to stream it in real-time (e.g. in an online game). There are some compression methods that address these problems and they are analyzed in this paper. Two main approaches are suitable for the animation compression: remeshing and skinning.

The first approach converts a mesh from each animation frame into a format that is either better for compression or just contains fewer vertices. The second one uses skinning as an approximation of the complicated animation and some algorithms extend this method by corrections to this approximation. There are also methods that are a combination of these two, and they use a texture for defining the mesh itself. However, the mesh surface is stretched to the whole texture, and therefore it is not optimal.

We propose a novel method of mesh animation compression, based on a combination of state-of-the-art methods for representing the mesh by texture and for skinning. In general, skinning produces just an approximation of the input mesh animation. Therefore, high-frequency details, that cannot be contained in skeletal animation, are stored in a Differential Texture. All the textures are generated using a parameterization with near-optimal stretch and consequently, the reconstruction quality is high. Due to skinning, the Differential Texture contains just high-frequency details, so the compression ratio achieves also high values. Further, the proposed method offers an option to set the level of detail so that the user can choose a trade-off between the quality and compression ratio. Properties of our proposed method are evaluated on several mesh animations and with different levels of...
detail.

The high compression ratio makes this method suitable for streaming high-detail animations for various VR applications, games, or other entertainment. For example, human animation, along with facial animations (like mouth or wrinkles movement) can be effectively compressed by our method.

2 RELATED WORK

There are already several different approaches to mesh animation compression. However, for better understanding them and also our method, we firstly analyze two problems related to the mesh animation, static mesh representation, and skeletal animation.

2.1 Static Mesh Representation

Each frame of the mesh animation is basically a static mesh which usually consists of triangles and represents the surface of some 3D object. The triangle is defined by three indices to a vertex list. However, the meshes are mostly irregular and the representation is relatively difficult to compress efficiently.

Since the mesh defines just the 2D surface of the 3D model, it is possible to represent the mesh by a 2D image which could be compressed by some classic techniques, e.g., wavelet compression. This idea was first presented by Gu et al. (Gu et al., 2002). In such a representation, some parameterization of the surface needs to be defined and its quality can be measured as $L^2$ stretch, defined by Sander et al. (Sander et al., 2001). This value also predicts the quality of a mesh reconstructed from the image (Sander et al., 2002), since the conversion causes remeshing and subsequently a lossy compression of the original model.

For optimizing the parameterization, there are many stretch-minimization methods. They can be divided into two groups, based on the boundary of the 2D domain. From commonly used methods, Yoshizawa et al. (Yoshizawa et al., 2004) fix the boundary to a convex shape (circle or square) and methods by Smith and Schaefer (Smith and Schaefer, 2015) and Rabinovich et al. (Rabinovich et al., 2017) let the boundary free during the minimization.

Bijectivity is also an important property of the parameterization, because if it is not bijective, some surface details, like corners or other extremes, cannot be preserved. Fortunately, there are some parameterization methods that guarantee bijectivity. The first of them was presented by Tutte (Tutte, 1960) where the bijective parameterization of any topological disk can be obtained by solving a linear system.

Currently, many parameterization methods can be used to represent the mesh by a texture. For example, Tarini et al. (Tarini et al., 2004) designed a PolyCube-Maps, where the mesh was simplified to a set of aligned cubes and a vertex of the mesh was mapped to a point on the surface of the nearest cube, similarly to classic cube-map. Usai et al. (Usai et al., 2015) designed a method which divides the mesh surface according to a curve-skeleton and maps segments created this way onto rectangles which were placed into the final texture.

Geometry Images (GIM) (Gu et al., 2002) cuts the model surface to reach a topological disk with lowest possible stretch. The surface is then mapped onto a square texture according to Floater (Floater et al., 1997). Thus obtained parameterization is bijective, however, has a high $L^2$ stretch value, which is subsequently minimized using the method of Yoshizawa et al. (Yoshizawa et al., 2004). As the last step, the colors of the texture pixels are set according to the mapped 3D position (red = X, green = Y, blue = Z). In reconstruction, each by 2 quad of neighboring pixels form two triangles and therefore, full texture forms a mesh which is similar to the original one. Since the full surface of the arbitrary model is mapped onto the single square, low stretch values cannot be achieved and so the reconstruction quality of more complex models is poor.

Multi-Chart Geometry Images (MCGIM) (Sander et al., 2003) is also based on the idea of representing the whole mesh by a single image, however, unlike GIM, this method divides the surface into several segments, called also charts. $L^2$ stretch of each chart parameterization is then minimized, leaving boundaries of the charts free during the optimization. This way, values of $L^2$ stretch are near ideal and therefore, the reconstruction quality is also higher. All parameterized charts are placed onto a single rectangular texture and their rasterized boundaries are overwritten to guarantee a watertight mesh after the reconstruction. As a final step, mesh optimization is performed in order to achieve even higher quality, especially in areas with sharp features of the represented model.

2.2 Skeletal Skinning

Most common animations express the movement of a human or some animal. This kind of animation can be approximated by a skeleton transformation. The skeleton itself defines a topology of the model, it consists of bones connected in a hierarchy, and by rotation (and translation) of them, a simple animation can be achieved (Jacobson and Sorkine, 2011).

Skinning of the mesh is a process, where the mesh
is deformed according to the skeleton pose. Each vertex of the mesh has defined weights which describe how much each bone influences that vertex and the bones themselves have defined transformation matrices computed from the rotations and translations (Lander, 1998).

The simplest skinning method, called Linear Blend Skinning (LBS) (Lander, 1998) uses the weight to linearly interpolate between vertex position transformed by each bone independently. This approach results in smooth deformation of the mesh, but also significant artifacts, like volume collapse near skeleton joints, if extreme rotation (near 180 degrees twist) is performed. This method is very simple, but the animation in these extreme cases looks very unnatural. Fortunately, several improvements to this method were designed later.

First automatic method (without need to manually change the skeleton), Spherical Blend Skinning (SBS) (Kavan and Žára, 2005), interpolates quaternions instead of the transformation matrices. This approach prevents the collapse of volume and requires just a few more operations in rendering than LBS.

There are many newer methods, e.g. Skinning with Dual Quaternions (Kavan et al., 2007), but we would like to pay special attention to the state-of-the-art method (Le and Hodgins, 2016), called Real-time Skeletal Skinning with Optimized Centers of Rotation (ORCS). The basic idea of this method is to rotate vertices around optimized centers, not just joints of the skeleton as in other skinning methods. The centers are pre-computed in an initialization step, as average positions of vertices with similar weights, and the real-time algorithm is similar to SBS. The output of this method looks more realistic and preserves the same volume near joints during the whole animation, even at extreme rotations.

2.3 Current Methods of Mesh Animation Compression

Currently, there are many different approaches to animation compression. The first group of algorithms is based on Principal component analysis (PCA), for example, a method by Sattler et al. (Sattler et al., 2005) or Lee et al. (Lee et al., 2007). These methods are based on the fact that in all common mesh animations, many vertices are moved very similarly between the frames and therefore use PCA for extracting the main components of this information.

Geometry Videos (Briceño et al., 2003) converts a mesh from each frame of the animation to a 2D image using the GIM method and encodes resulting images as MPEG video. Multi-chart Geometry Video (Mamou et al., 2006) is very similar, but uses MCGIM instead of GIM. These methods produce representations with higher quality than PCA-based methods.

Although none of these methods takes the advantage that most mesh animations can be approximated by skeleton transformation, there are several different methods based on that idea. In Skinning Mesh Animations (SMA) (James and Twigg, 2005), the mesh animation is automatically converted into a skeleton-based animation, and differences from the original one are encoded using a method similar to Eigen-Skin (Kry et al., 2002). However, compression is not a primary goal of this method so it does not support mesh simplification (level of detail).

There is also Skelectex representation (Madaras et al., 2018) where the mesh is represented by a skeleton and several vector-displacement textures, one for each bone of the skeleton. It could be used for animation compression as well, but the resulting animation does not guarantee \(C^1\) continuity (there are visible edges in segment boundaries).

The method by Feng et al. (Feng et al., 2010) uses an approach similar to GIM to represent the rest-pose mesh and the animation is performed by skinning of the reconstructed mesh. Skinning weights and bone indices are encoded with the same parameterization as vertex positions.

2.4 Quality Metrics

To decide whether some compression method is good or not, existing evaluation metrics will be used to evaluate the animation reconstructed from compressed representation.

If reconstructed mesh in each frame has the same connectivity as the original one, a metric defined by Karni and Gotsman (Karni and Gotsman, 2004) could be used. It considers also how much the vertices move on average during the whole animation.

However, many methods convert the original mesh from the animation to a representation with different connectivity. Therefore, a metric that is able to compare two surfaces has to be used. Most common is Hausdorff distance which measures the distance from each vertex of the first mesh to the nearest point on the second mesh surface (Rockafellar and Wets, 2009). One can compute a mean or max value of these measured distances (Cignoni et al., 1998). Payan et al. (Payan et al., 2008) compute the average of the max Hausdorff distances for the entire animation to measure the distortion of the reconstruction.
3 ANIMATION REPRESENTATION

The basic idea of our proposed compression method is to represent a static 3D model in a rest pose and its movement separately. To enable real-time streaming of highly detailed animation, the movement definition is designed to be as small as possible.

Our designed method of animation compression itself is based on skeletal skinning of mesh reconstructed from MCGIM and subsequently applying corrections from a texture stream. Therefore, the static model must contain also skinning weights and the skeleton, and the movement consists of skeleton poses and textures with the corrections. All properties of vertices (positions, skinning weights, normals, corrections, etc.) are encoded in 2D textures with the same parameterization. An overview diagram expressing the whole method and inside processes is in Figure 1.

3.1 Conversion

Within a conversion of input mesh animation, there are two processes: an initial conversion of the static 3D model in a rest pose and a real-time conversion of the animation frames.

3.1.1 Static Model Conversion

The input of the initial conversion is an arbitrary mesh in a rest pose along with its skeleton and skinning weights. These structures could be generated using an existing method for conversion the mesh animation to a skeletal one (James and Twigg, 2005). The output is a representation of the static model which consists of one main file that contains model dimensions, position, skeleton, and several textures. These files need to be sent just once, at the start of the streaming process. An example of them can be seen in Figure 2.

The main part of this conversion is to generate the geometry image and it is inspired mostly by the MCGIM method by Sander et al. (Sander et al., 2003). Firstly, the surface of the input mesh is segmented and each segment is parameterized using the bijective stretch minimizing method by Smith and Schaefer (Smith and Schaefer, 2015). This way, a set of 2D charts with near-ideal stretch is created. The following steps are placing them onto a single rectangular image, sampling of the image, and zippering in order to guarantee a water-tight mesh after reconstruction.

In addition to the image, we preserve also a parameterization as the output of the MCGIM creation, because it is necessary for exporting also all other images. To enable skeletal skinning of a mesh reconstructed from the MCGIM, we design two new texture types. Index Skinning Map (ISM) encodes the indices of bones and Weight Skinning Map (WSM) encodes amounts of bone influences.

Figure 1: Overview diagram of our method for animation compression and reconstruction.

Figure 2: Example files of the static model representation (bear model). From left: the main file, MCGIM, ISM, WSM and optional normal map.
small and can be neglected. A similar approach was
used in the method by Feng et al. (Feng et al., 2010).

The bone indices are encoded during exporting
ISM by simple bit-wise operations - since four bone
indices need to be encoded in three channels (red, green, blue). For WSM export, the weights encoding
are based on the fact that a sum of all weights for each
vertex is equal to 1.0. Therefore, one of the weights,
the highest one, is not encoded. Other weights (3 or
less) are written directly to color channels of corre-
sponding pixels. They are sorted in descending order
and to improve the precision of the quantization, each
weight is multiplied by its order in the original weight
list.

3.1.2 Real-time Conversion

During the processing of each frame in real-time
streaming of animation, an input is a new mesh with
the same connectivity as the rest-pose mesh and new
pose of the skeleton that could be obtained using a
method for extraction pose from current frame (James
and Twigg, 2005).

To generate a texture used to correct errors from
skinning approximation, the skinning of the recon-
structed mesh is performed and thus deformed mesh
is compared with the new input mesh. Differences
between these two surfaces are computed for each re-
constructed vertex using the nearest point on the sur-
face of the original mesh and they are just exported
to a new Differential Texture (DT) using the parame-
terization computed in the initial conversion. For ac-
celeration of this process, just a small triangles subset
of the original mesh is considered while finding the
nearest point.

Therefore, just the new pose and this texture need
to be sent in streaming. In addition, classic video en-
coders can be used to compress the texture stream,
since it forms a 2D video during the animation. For
example, MPEG compression was used in this paper.

3.2 Reconstruction

Reconstruction of the animation can be divided
into an initial and a real-time algorithm as well.
Within initialization, the rest-pose mesh is recon-
structed from the MCGIM just like in the original
method (Sander et al., 2003) and both skinning maps
are decoded by simple applying inverse operations to
the encoding.

Since the ORCS method by Le and Hodgins (Le
and Hodgins, 2016) is used to skin the reconstructed
mesh, the centers of rotation must be computed in
the initialization step as well. This computation is
performed according to the procedure in the origi-
nal method, but the center positions are stored in a
texture, similar to the way how vertex positions are
stored in the MCGIM. The output of the initial recon-
struction is used in a shader for a real-time rendering
of the animation.

For the reconstruction of one animation frame
in real-time, transformation matrices for ORCS are
computed from the skeleton and its new pose. The
rest-pose mesh is skinned as in ORCS and its sur-
face is then displaced by currently received Differential
Texture in order to tune the errors.

Algorithm 1: Real-time rendering of the repre-
sentation.

Input:
- global variables: transformation matrices for
texture, model offset o, model size s,
DT scale sDT
- global textures: MCGIM, ISM, WSM,
CoR (optimized centers of rotation), DT
(differential texture with corrections)
- for each vertex: UV coordinate u

Output: Deformed position v_d

Algorithm:
\[ v_r = MCGIM[u] \times s + o; //rest position \]
\[ M_4 = MATS[ISM[u]]; //4 matrices \]
\[ W_4 = WSM[u]; //4 skinning weights \]
\[ c = CoR[u] \times s + o; //center of rotation \]
\[ v_s = ORCS(v_r, M_4, W_4, c); //skinned position \]
\[ v_d = v_s + (DT[u] - 0.5) \times sDT; \]

For a better understanding of the real-time ren-
dering algorithm, a pseudo-code of a vertex shader is
stated in Algorithm 1. Although this code just recon-
structs and deforms the vertex, for final rendering in
the fragment shader, normal mapping or color textur-
ing can be added using the original UV coordinates.

4 RESULTS

In this section, there is an evaluation of a compression
ratio and a reconstruction quality for different input
animations and levels of detail. Also, a visual and nu-
meric comparison with alternative methods is listed.

4.1 Level of Detail

The proposed method enables a level of detail (LoD)
setting since the output texture resolution can be set
arbitrarily. The dependence of average reconstruction error on texture width for different input animations is shown in Figure 3. The error is computed as an average value of mean Hausdorff error through the whole animation using MeshLab (Cignoni et al., 1998).

![Figure 3: An evaluation of average reconstruction error depending on texture resolution.](image)

Visual comparison of reconstruction with different levels of detail can be seen in Figure 4. All reconstructions are flat-shaded.

![Figure 4: Detail of reconstructed frame of SMPL Male animation (Loper et al., 2015) with different levels of detail.](image)

Achieved compression ratios along with max and mean average error (computed as normalized Hausdorff distance) are in Table 1. To compute the ratio, the size of one original frame (340 kB for mesh in binary PLY format) is divided by the size of the same frame in our representation.

![Figure 5: The course of mean Hausdorff distance during the SMPL Male Hopping animation (Loper et al., 2015) reconstructed from textures with resolution 128 x 190 pixels. The average compression ratio in streaming was 405:1 for raw skinning and 62:1 for case with DT.](image)

4.2 Impact of Difference Texture

The course of reconstruction error (mean Hausdorff distance) during whole snake animation is in Figure 5. Notice the improvement in quality when using the differential texture as opposed to the case of a skinning algorithm only. The lowest error is in the first frame because it is used as bind-pose.

![Figure 6: Comparison of extreme joints rotation performed on bear model by Skeletex (top) and by our method (bottom).](image)

4.3 Comparison with Alternative Representations

If we use the Skeletex method (Madaras et al., 2018) to represent the mesh animation, it would cause visible lightning artifacts, especially in animation with extreme joints rotation. A visual comparison with our method is in Figure 6. Notice the differences around joints of the bear rear legs. Our method deforms the rest-pose model smoothly since it uses skinning and therefore has a \( C^1 \) continuity.

![Table 1: Achieved compression ratios along with average errors for the snake animation. In this table, there is noted also the resolution of textures and the stream speed which expresses the minimum needed bandwidth of real-time streaming to achieve 30 FPS during the animation.](image)
Since our method changes the geometry, the error is computed as Hausdorff distance divided by the same normalization factor as in the original metric. The improvement in the compression ratio is not big, however, note the ability to set the level of detail in our method. If high quality is not needed (e.g. if the camera in rendering is not close to the object), the LoD can be lowered which causes a significant increase of the compression ratio (see Table 1).

Table 2: Comparison of compression ratios with SMA method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>animation</th>
<th>#vertices</th>
<th>Error [%]</th>
<th>Compression ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>snake</td>
<td>9179</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>horse</td>
<td>8431</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 DISCUSSION

The proposed animation representation enables compression of the input mesh animation and real-time rendering directly using the generated textures. However, along with the mesh animation, the skeleton and skinning weights are required. For automatic extraction of them, some existing methods, like (James and Twigg, 2005), can be used.

5.1 Advantages

The main advantage of the representation is parameterization properties. It is bijective in all cases due to segmentation and the $L^2$ stretch is for most cases less than 1.01, and that implicates high reconstruction quality which was confirmed within the evaluation. Also, good compression ratios can be achieved if a PNG encoding for rest-pose texture and MPEG encoding for differential textures was used.

5.2 Limitations

The proposed representation is not suitable for animations that cannot be approximated by a skeleton, e.g. building demolition or other animation with extreme deformations. The vertices movement would have to be captured just in the DT which is designed to contain just little differences.

Another limitation is that the input meshes for all animation frames need to have the same number of vertices in the same order. This constraint makes it hard to integrate our method with the fusion of some moving object recorded by a 3D camera (Newcombe et al., 2015). If the meshes do not satisfy this condition, our compression algorithm needs to be modified to compare all pairs of input and reconstructed vertices.

6 CONCLUSION

In this paper, a new method of mesh animation compression was presented. Designed representation consists of a skeleton and several textures where different properties of a model in the rest pose are encoded. Animation reconstructed from the representation was evaluated and reasonable reconstruction quality was measured. By setting the output texture resolution, a compression ratio and the quality can be affected. This trade-off can be affected also by changing the video codec. In the evaluation, we used MPEG encoder. All textures consist of several disconnected charts, nevertheless there were no visible holes or cracks in the reconstructed mesh animation.

6.1 Future Work

There are several ideas to improve the proposed method, but they are out of the scope.

During encoding surface differences in Differential Texture, they were multiplied by a manually estimated scale so there is a limit, how big the difference can be to be yet contained in the texture. However, it could be automated and optimal value could be sent for each frame. Furthermore, the user could specify if he wants a higher compression ratio or rather higher quality and the scale would be set according to this requirement.
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