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Abstract: Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is defined by harmful inattention, disorganization, and/or hyperactivity and impulsivity. ADHD can negatively affect an individual’s life, but it is not a definitive factor for poor school performance. This work aims to identify classification rules that best describe the school performance in arithmetic, writing, and reading of students with ADHD. For this, information obtained from the Genetic Algorithm, Random Forest and specialists in ADHD were used so that later the VTJ48 and JRip algorithms could be applied. It is usual in the health area to collect various information about the individual, resulting in the frequent need to reduce the base’s dimensionality. The results found were promising, reaching up to 92% of F-Measure. The discovered rules point to environmental and emotional factors as drivers of school performance prognosis and reinforce that ADHD is not synonymous with academic failure.

1 INTRODUCTION

The large-scale collection and storage of information have hampered the analysis and visualization of data and the discovery of useful knowledge for decision making (Borges and Nievola, 2012). The high dimensionality of data, represented here by the number of features, can impair the predictive capacity of Machine Learning (ML) algorithms and increase the cost of computational processing at the time of the analysis (Santos et al., 2007). In the health area, it is common to collect various information about the individual, such as socioeconomic status, demographic characteristics, lifestyle, and health conditions, so that they can be used to assess the prognosis of the pathology (dos Santos et al., 2019). Therefore, the reduction of dimensionality in a health-oriented database is often necessary.

Present in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is defined by harmful levels of inattention, disorganization, and/or hyperactivity and impulsivity, symptoms that are excessive when compared with other people of the same age and degree of development. Population surveys suggest that ADHD occurs in most cultures in about 5% of children and 2.5% of adults, being more frequent in males (APA et al., 2014).

ADHD can have negative repercussions on the individual’s social, educational, and family life (Matos, 2015). Reduced academic performance and success, social rejection, and relationship difficulties are usually due to the disorder, which leads to considerable educational and social losses (Rangel Júnior and Loos, 2011).

Since ADHD is not a definitive factor for poor school performance (Frazier et al., 2007), it is essential to identify which other characteristics can enhance or minimize losses in the academic environment. Thus, this work aims to find classification rules that best describe school performance in arithmetic, writing, and reading (subjects that are part of the first phase of primary education, foreseen in the Standardized International Classification of Education (UNESCO, 2012) of students with ADHD). A database with 266 children and adolescents and 225 features was used. Two classification algorithms were also used: JRip, which generates rules directly from the data set, and VTJ48, a decision tree algorithm.

In order to improve the representativeness of the
database, the dimensionality reduction process was applied. As the base has a high degree of dimensionality and the classification algorithms are sensitive to the number of features, the reduction was carried out to seek non-compromise of the performance and the final result of the classification. Therefore, three approaches were used to features selection: 1) Genetic Algorithm (GA), which work to select the smallest subset of features, representative and relevant, seeking a higher quality model (Pappa et al., 2002); 2) Random Forest (RF), an ensemble-type algorithm, combining decision trees, which lists the features in order of importance; and 3) attribute selection performed by an ADHD specialist and information from the literature (Araújo, 2002).

It is hoped that the adopted methodology can be used to create strategies aimed at students with ADHD, helping them to reduce their daily difficulties.

The article follows the following structure: in Section 2, the theoretical foundation is presented, which brings the main concepts related to work. The works related to the theme are covered in Section 3. Section 4 presents the methodology used, with a detailed description of the database and the pre-processing steps. Section 5 presents the discussions regarding the results found. Finally, in Section 6, the final considerations in this article are exposed.

2 BACKGROUND

2.1 Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

The names and forms of treatment referring to ADHD have changed over the years. Research shows that the disorder is related to a deficiency of neurotransmitters, known as dopamine and noradrenaline, and a change in the right region of the frontal cerebral lobe, affecting the control of inhibitory behavior and executive functions (ABDA, Associação Brasileira do Déficit de Atenção, 2016). However, it is discussed about its cause being multifactorial, covering from biological to environmental aspects (Andrade and Lohr Júnior, 2017).

The individual with ADHD may have an inattentive, hyperactive/impulsive, or combined profile. The combined profile consists of the union of characteristics of the other two profiles and is present in about 62% of people with ADHD (Cardoso et al., 2019). The disorder is usually noticed at school age because concentration becomes more necessary for tasks' performance. However, its symptomatological signs can extend to adulthood (Santos and Vasconcelos, 2010).

The diagnosis of the disorder is based on clinical-behavioral criteria, which hinders the accuracy of the result. It depends on the patient’s clinical history, the use of consolidated questionnaires in the classification of disorders, and the information provided by parents and the school, often omitted because they are not considered essential or for other personal reasons (Andrade and Lohr Júnior, 2017). However, despite the difficulties of diagnosis, there is an increase in cases of ADHD. Social awareness on the subject brought greater collective knowledge, attracting particular attention from parents, educators, and health professionals to the topic (de Azevedo Santos, 2017; Jou et al., 2010).

The school environment is considered to be of great help in the cognitive and socio-emotional development of human beings, can assist in reducing to a decrease in these losses resulting from ADHD. However, due to these students' peculiar functioning, educational institutions have found it challenging to deal with them (Rangel Júnior and Loos, 2011). In addition to the very characteristics of ADHD, that can leave them more scattered, students face emotional and psychological factors that directly affect their academic performance, leading to high numbers of failures, expulsions, and dropping out of school (de Lima and Coelho, 2018; DuPaul et al., 2017; Mattos, 2015). Thus, the student with ADHD must be helped to overcome their difficulties in the academic environment, creating strategies that contribute to the inclusion process and making these students not be at a disadvantage about other people who do not have the disorder (Cortez and Pinheiro, 2018; de Lima and Coelho, 2018).

2.2 Features Selection with Genetic Algorithm

When it comes to reducing dimensionality in terms of the number of features, two methods stand out: 1) compression of features, which encodes or transforms the data to obtain a compact representation of the originals, as is the case of Principal Component Analysis; and 2) features selection, that detects and discards irrelevant, little relevant or redundant features. There are at least three strategies for features selection: exponential (represented by exhaustive search), sequential (represented by direct sequential selection), and random (represented by GA) (Pappa et al., 2002).

GA are mathematical algorithms inspired by the mechanisms of evolution of populations of living beings. The technique introduced by (Holland, 1975)
and popularized by (Goldberg, 1989), provides an adaptive search engine, which follows the principle of natural selection and survival of the fittest. This conception is based on the Darwinian maxim that "The better an individual adapts to his environment, the greater his chance of surviving and generating descendants." (de Lacerda and de Carvalho, 1999). The Algorithm 1 presents a simplified representation of the GA evolution process:

```plaintext
Algorithm 1: GA Pseudocode.

\[ t \leftarrow 0; \]
\[ \text{InitializePopulation}(P(t)); \]
\[ \text{fitness}(P(t)); \]
\[ \textbf{while not Stopping Criteria} \quad \textbf{do} \]
\[ \quad \text{parents} \leftarrow \text{Selection}(P(t)); \]
\[ \quad \text{children} \leftarrow \text{CrossoverMutation}(\text{parents}); \]
\[ \quad P(t) \leftarrow P(t) + \text{children}; \]
\[ \quad \text{fitness}(P(t)); \]
\[ \quad P(t + 1) \leftarrow \text{NewPopulation}(P(t)); \]
\[ \quad t \leftarrow t + 1; \]
\[ \textbf{end} \]
```

In GA, the individual is portrayed by the chromosome, a data structure representative of the possible solutions to the problem. The process begins with the random generation of a set of chromosomes, forming the so-called population. This population is assessed through fitness, which determines how well the individual has adapted to the problem in question. As long as the stopping criterion is not met, chromosomes are subjected to an evolutionary process involving: selection, which consists of finding the most suitable individuals and letting them pass on their genes to the next generation; and genetic operators (crossover and mutation), which are applied to each pair of selected parents and will generate new individuals, called children. After this process, the current population receives the new children generated. The fitness metric again evaluates this population. Finally, the next individuals who will be part of the new population are selected. After several cycles of evolution, the population should contain the fittest individuals (Pacheco et al., 1999).

3 RELATED WORKS

ML methods have been widely used in research related to ADHD and have generated important advances in searching for knowledge associated with this disorder. Anuradha et al. (2010) conducted a study for diagnosing ADHD in 100 children aged 6 to 11 years using the Support Vector Machines (SVM) algorithm. The database was collected through the students’ responses to a questionnaire and medical diagnoses indicating whether the children had ADHD. The work used GA to features selection in order to identify the essential features and increase the accuracy of the model. The study achieved an 88.7% success in diagnosing ADHD, which was considered a satisfactory result from the authors.

Rahadian et al. (2017) used GA to improve a Learning Vector Quantization 2 Neural Network (LVQ2NN) method to classify data about the type of ADHD in patients. The GA was used to optimize the weight vector in the training process. The tests performed without the GA reached 80% of correctness, while the model with the GA improved the performance to 89.5%.

Another research line that associates ML algorithms with ADHD is related to solutions that seek to diagnose the disorder through Magnetic Resonance Images (MRI). Sachnev (2015) presents a classification approach combining Meta - Cognitive Neuro-Fuzzy Interface System (McFIS) with an features selection mechanism based on Binary Coded Genetic Algorithm and Extreme Learning Machine (BCGA-ELM). The experiments show the possibility of achieving good results in the classification of ADHD based on the hippocampus images. Already Aradhya et al. (2020), describe an approach based on Metaheuristic Spatial Transformation (MST) and hybrid GA. The purpose of the work was to use the MST to perform the extraction of MRI features from the ADHD-200 base that served as input for classification using the Projection Based Learning - Meta-cognitive Radial Basis Function Network (PBL-McRBFN).

The literature also presents research that relates to data mining and the school environment. This combination has become more and more frequent, giving rise to the field of Educational Data Mining (EDM) (Angeli et al., 2017). EDM includes works that use ML algorithms to acquire knowledge on educational topics, such as prediction of student performance (Ahmed and Elaraby, 2014), learning through remote teaching (He, 2013), among other issues related to the school environment.

However, in the surveys carried out, no studies related to the use of ML, ADHD, and school performance, object of study of this work. The research results associating ML and ADHD presented studies that focus on the diagnosis of the disorder, rather than its impact on the school environment.
4 MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1 Description of the Database

The database was made available by the Department of Pediatrics of the Federal University of Minas Gerais - Brazil. The sample consists of 266 students (instances), aged between 6 and 18 years. Among them, 196 are diagnosed with ADHD and 70 have a negative diagnosis.

The database, based on 225 characteristics (features), was built from the individual and family responses present in the questionnaires. Also, interviews were conducted with those responsible and patients who are followed up at the hospital linked to the college. The base contains information on health, financial conditions, parental care, education, among others, in addition to the notes for the arithmetic, writing, and reading tests of each present in the database.

4.2 Pre-processing

With the aim to improve the quality of the data, the pre-processing of the database was performed through the steps described below.

1. Exclusion of instances that did not present the grade of the Test of School Performance (TSP) (Stein, 1994) in arithmetic, writing, or reading.

2. Transformation of the grades obtained in the TSP, comparing them with the average grade of a Brazilian state, in High and Low performance. For this, the Standards Tables present in the TSP manual were used. The tables in the manual for the classification of notes range from the 1st to the 6th grade, which corresponds from the 2nd to the 7th year in the current academic category. Therefore, the years before the 2nd year were classified according to the classification criteria of the 2nd year, and those after the 7th year followed the classification parameters of the 7th year.

3. Exclusion of irrelevant features (e.g., name and telephone number), and those who presented the same information or were complementary (e.g., age in months and age in years), concatenating them and maintaining only one feature.

4. Transformation of features belonging to the same category into a single feature (e.g., Conduct Disorder and Opposition Disorder are part of Behavior Disorders, so a single feature called "Behavior Disorders" has been created, which indicates whether the individual has "Conduct Disorder" or "Opposition Disorder").

5. Filling in the missing data by the average, in numerical data, or by mode, in categorical features.

6. Binarization of non-ordinal nominal features, that is, they were coded as the presence or absence of the characteristic.

7. Random manual separation of 15% of each class’s instances to carry out the testing stage.

8. Balancing of 85% of the remaining data using the SpreadSubsample algorithm present in the Waikato Environment for Knowledge Analysis - WEKA1. The SpreadSubsample algorithm follows the undersampling approach, randomly reducing instances of the majority class. Uniform distribution was applied. After balancing, the Randomize filter was executed in order to shuffle the instances.

Thus, Table 1 shows the total number of instances, of each class, for testing and creating models, after the pre-processing steps. It should be noted that the separation of the instances for testing was carried out before the database was balanced.

Table 1: Number of balanced instances for creating the model and unbalanced for the testing phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Training/Validation</th>
<th>Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As for the features, at the end of the pre-processing, 130 remained to represent the base. Figure 1 presents an overview of the database, with the categories of data and the number of features in each category, in the form "Number of initial features/Number of features after pre-processing".

4.3 Dimensionality Reduction with Genetic Algorithm, Random Forest, and Specialist

A combination of three methods - GA, RF, and specialist - was used to select the essential features in learning among the 130 features present in the database.

The Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm II (NSGA-II) algorithm was chosen to find the best subset of features maximizing your fitness, in this case,

---

1WEKA is open source software issued under the GNU General Public License that contains a collection of ML algorithms (Garner, 1995). Available at http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka
the F-Measure. To evaluate the F-Measure, the K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) classifier was used. His choice was motivated by the low computational cost for adjusting his parameters. In this work, the parameter \( k \) (number of closest neighbors) was adjusted, varying its value range \([1-10]\). GA was implemented in the Python language, using the DEAP library, available from Université Laval (Fortin et al., 2012).

Aiming at the adequate definition of the necessary parameters for the experiments’ execution, intervals of values were analyzed, having as a stopping criterion the number of GA generations. For each set of parameters, 10 different random seeds were used. Table 2 shows the ranges of values used.

Table 2: Range of parameter values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population initialization</th>
<th>Random</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Representation</td>
<td>Binary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover operator</td>
<td>Two Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover probability</td>
<td>70%, 75%, 80% and 85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation operator</td>
<td>One Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation probability</td>
<td>1%, 5% and 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population size</td>
<td>100, 300 and 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of generations</td>
<td>100, 300 and 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition of the new generation</td>
<td>Tournament (size = 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopping criteria</td>
<td>Non-dominated individuals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To automatically adjust the Random Forest algorithm’s parameters, CVParameterSelection\(^2\) was used (Kohavi, 1995b), varying the number of trees and features, and the depth of the trees. The RF calculates the feature’s importance, indicating which are the most important for creating the model. Only features with relevance as from 70% were considered.

Regarding specialist, the knowledge of the medical expert in ADHD, who provided the database and is a collaborator in this work, was used to finalize the features selection, in conjunction with the information present in the work of Araújo (2002), focused on the school performance.

Figure 2 shows the features selected by GA and RF, separated for each of the three disciplines (Figures 2 a, b and c). The figure also shows the features considered important, for the three disciplines (Figure 2 d), according to the specialist.

4.4 Description of Methods

To assist in discovering the rules that lead the student to obtain a high or low performance, the VTJ48 algorithm (tree algorithm) and the JRip (rule algorithm) were used. VTJ48 (Stiglic et al., 2012) has the same functioning as J48, developed by Quinlan (1993), which is a Java adaptation of C4.5. That is, the VTJ48 also builds a decision tree from the instances, but its difference is that it automatically adjusts the confidence for pruning and the minimum number of instances per leaf. JRip is a Java implementation of the Ripper algorithm, proposed by Cohen (1995) as an optimized version of the IREP algorithm. JRip constructs the rules seeking to represent the model as compactly as possible with as much information of the data; that is, it seeks to consistently explain which features are relevant to the pattern(s) found in the database, with the minimum of rules.

4.5 Model Quality Assessment Metrics

To evaluate the quality of the obtained models, Precision, Recall, and F-Measure metrics were used.

\[^3\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP+FP}\]

\[^3\text{F-Measure} = \frac{2 \times \text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}\]
Figure 2: Description of the features of the three databases, considering the three selection methods.
5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Figures 3 and 4 present the results in the phase of creating the model and test, respectively. The values refer to the experiments with the selection of the GA and the insertion of the features of the RF and specialist. Results are presented by discipline and by class.

It is noteworthy that in the experiments where the features were selected only by the GA, the number of features used in predicting arithmetic, writing, and reading performance were only 16, 29, and 7, respectively. In the experiments where the features are a combination of the non-identical features present in selecting the GA, RF, and specialist, 51 features remained for arithmetic representation, 54 of writing, and 43 of reading.

Analyzing the model creation phase, it is considered that the best results in the three disciplines come from the JRip algorithm. Regarding the disciplines of 'arithmetic' and 'reading', the best results were obtained only with the GA’s features. In the case of the discipline 'writing', the best results were obtained with the combination of the features identified by the GA, RF, and specialist. It is observed that the performance obtained by the two algorithms remained close, with F-Measure ranging from 64% to 84%.

To verify the efficiency of the generated models, tests were performed with instances not seen during the creation of the models. In terms of algorithms, the disciplines 'arithmetic' and 'reading' obtained better results with the VTJ48 algorithm, while in 'writing', the use of JRip was more efficient. Regarding the features, in the discipline 'arithmetic', the best results were obtained with the GA, RF, and specialist features. In 'writing' and 'reading', the best results were obtained with the features found only by the GA. An F-Measure ranging from 48% to 92% is perceived for both algorithms.

5.1 Generated Rules

Table 3 presents the rules found, which explain the reasons for a student to have a high or low performance in the subjects, with coverage from 10%. Coverage is the percentage of instances that the rule classifies correctly, out of all class instances.

Regarding arithmetic, analyzing the five rules, it is clear that performance in writing vigorously influences students’ performance in this discipline. It is observed that the influence is mainly in rule 3, where only good writing performance is enough for high performance in arithmetic. This connection is possible since the student's ease or difficulty decoding and/or understanding mathematical symbols can affect calculations. However, detailing each rule, the relevance of other features is observed. Rules 1 and 2, for example, indicate that if the student does poorly in writing and is of middle or vulnerable socioeconomic class, according to the Secretariat for Strategic Affairs (Kamakura and Mazzon, 2016), the student will do poorly in arithmetic, indicating that the financial aspect is important for student performance. These two rules alone classified 76% of the instances of the lower class. With rule 4, it is possible to notice several aspects of the individual’s life, because if his performance in writing is average, and he was never below the ideal weight for his age and was born by normal childbirth, and his parents give him average or low autonomy (highest present value in the base is 25) and there was no health problem during pregnancy, the performance in arithmetic is high. The parental style factor referring to autonomy may indicate that if the father has a balance between listening to his son, but not letting him dictate the house’s rules, or doing everything he wants, it has a positive impact on performance. Rule 5 reveals that if the performance in writing is average, and the student was never below the ideal weight for his age and was born by cesarean childbirth, the performance in arithmetic is high, pointing out the relevance of the type of childbirth.

In writing, rule 1 indicates that if the student has a poor performance in arithmetic, he also does poorly in writing, reinforcing the strong correlation between subjects. This single rule classifies 70% of instances of the low class. Rule 2 shows that poor performance in reading and gender = male also leads to poor writing performance. The fact that males appear in this rule may indicate a reproduction of social stereotypes where girls are said to be quieter, and boys are messy and undisciplined, which leads to a negative assessment of the child’s behavior and influences the final note (Carvalho, 2007). Rule 3 reveals that if the indi-
individual’s life characteristics do not fit into any previous rules, then his performance will be high in writing. That is, if the performance in arithmetic and reading is high and the student is female, then the writing performance will be high, with coverage of 88% of the instances of this class.

Regarding reading, it is noted that the performance in writing has a strong influence on the student’s performance in this discipline, especially in rule 2, where if the student does well in writing, he also does well in reading. However, the influence of some other features on reading performance is also observed. Rule 1, for example, with coverage of 80%, points to the importance of the parental behavior, because if the student has a low writing performance and his parents are less indulgent (the highest present value in the base is 24), that is, generally do not forgive mistakes or praise successes, their reading performance will also be low. This observation indicates that family support is essential, especially in times of...
Table 3: Generated rules and coverage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arithmetic</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>If writing performance = low and social class = average then Low</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>If writing performance = low and social class = vulnerable then Low</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>If writing performance = high then High</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>If writing performance = medium and gained little weight = no and childbirth = normal and autonomy &lt;= 20 and health problem in pregnancy = no then High</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>If writing performance = medium and gained little weight = no and childbirth = cesarean then High</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Writing</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>If arithmetic performance = low then Low</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>If reading performance = low and gender = male then Low</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>If it doesn’t fit any previous rule then High</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>If writing performance = low and indulgence &lt;= 15 then Low</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>If writing performance = high then High</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>If writing performance = average and fear of sleeping alone = no then High</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

difficulty. Rule 3 suggests that when writing performance is average, and the student is not afraid to sleep alone, he has a high reading performance. One of the justifications for fear of sleeping alone may be linked to phobic anxiety, which causes a lack of confidence, security, and support, leading to feelings of helplessness, fragility, and dependence (da Rocha Antony, 2009). Therefore, not being afraid to sleep alone can represent certain self-confidence, which results in a positive performance.

When analyzing the rules, it is noticed that knowledge in other disciplines directly influences the student’s performance in the discipline being predicted. Therefore, other experiments were carried out to verify the model’s behavior without the disciplines as an entry feature, following the same methodology described previously. However, for the ‘reading’ and ‘writing’ disciplines, the algorithmic performance was not promising, showing a loss of up to 47 percentage points in the high class. As for the discipline of ‘arithmetic’, there was again in algorithmic performance of up to 11 percentage points in the low class. In this discipline, the rules found relate some features to the high class: 1) the fact that the mother has completed a higher education course, 2) the student is less than or equal to eight years old, and the parents practice physical coercion in a moderate to low way.

With the rules found, it is noted that performance in the disciplines can be affected by family financial and health conditions during pregnancy, factors related to the student’s weight, parental behavior, situations surrounding birth, circumstances associated with fear and insecurity, mother’s education, gender and age of the student, in addition to her performance in other subjects. Therefore, a multifocal approach must be performed so that students with ADHD can improve their academic performance.

### 6 FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

With the possible unfavorable prognosis of students with ADHD, it becomes significant to identify which characteristics influence the individual’s experience to alleviate their difficulties.

To identify the classification rules, ”white box” algorithms were used. The purpose of using these algorithms was to obtain, more directly and objectively, the knowledge acquired about the school performance pattern of students with ADHD. The use of this technique proved to be positive for the database used. Regarding the reduction of dimensionality, it is noted that the Genetic Algorithm performed quite satisfactorily since in two (writing and reading) of the three disciplines evaluated, the best performance of the algorithms was obtained only with the features identified by this method.

Furthermore, the rules obtained reveal that different contexts can influence school performance, not
being ADHD synonymous with academic failure.

Therefore, it is necessary to understand the environment of that child or adolescent with ADHD before creating strategies that aim to remedy or alleviate the educational problems faced by them, since environmental and emotional factors directly affect their school performance, contributing to the difficulties already existing in the daily lives of people with ADHD are expanded.

As future work is intended to evaluate new balancing distributions with the undersampling approach since the one used in this work was the uniform distribution. Besides, it aims to investigate what the results would be like if the problem were treated as a multi-label. In other words, it is intended to evaluate the quality of the models in predicting the performance of students in all subjects, jointly.
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