Drivable Area Extraction based on Shadow Corrected Images

Mohamed Sabry¹[®]^a, Mostafa El Hayani¹[®]^b, Amr Farag²[®]^c, Slim Abdennadher¹[®]^d

and Amr El Mougy¹[©]^e

¹Computer Science Department, German University in Cairo, Cairo, Egypt ²Mechatronics Department, German University in Cairo, Cairo, Egypt

Keywords: Shadow Removal, Monocular Camera, Computer Vision, Image Processing, Unstructured Roads.

Abstract: Drivable area detection is a complex task that needs to operate efficiently in any environmental condition to ensure wide adoption of autonomous vehicles. In the case of low cost camera-based drivable area detection, the spatial information is required to be uniform as much as possible to ensure the robustness and reliability of the results of any algorithm in most weather and illumination conditions. The general change in illumination and shadow intensities present a significant challenge and can cause major accidents if not considered. Moreover, drivable area detection in unstructured environments is more complex due to the absence of vital spatial information such as road markings and lanes. In this paper, a shadow reduction approach combining Computer Vision (CV) - Image Processing (IM) with Deep Learning (DL) is used on a low cost monocular camera based system for reliable and uniform shadow removal. In addition, a validation test is applied with a DL model to validate the approach. This system is developed for the Self-driving Car (SDC) lab at the German University in Cairo (GUC) and is to be used in the shell eco-marathon autonomous competition 2021.

1 INTRODUCTION

Drivable area detection is a crucial module that is expected to be faultless in segmenting the road to ensure the safety of any autonomous vehicle as well as other traffic participants. This requires the received data from the vehicle perception to be highly reliable in most conditions including varying weather conditions and unstructured roads.

Autonomous vehicles typically utilize one of three sensor setups in the perception module to extract the drivable area: a full sensor setup which fuses the data from LiDARs, RADARs and cameras and operates under no power or processing restrictions, the Lidar only setup which is also under the high processing power category, and the final setting which mainly consists of low cost low power cameras with either Machine Learning (ML) approaches, CV-IM approaches or both.

Each sensor setup has its uses. LiDARs are used to detect pavement edges from PointClouds as in (Hata

- ^a https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9721-6291
- ^b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3679-2076
- ° https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6446-2907
- ^d https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1817-1855
- e https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0250-0984

and Wolf, 2014). Cameras can be used to detect lanes through CV (Haque et al., 2019) and ML approaches (Gurghian et al., 2016). Other camera approaches based their efforts on segmenting the drivable area using multi-frame shadow removal techniques such as (Katramados et al., 2009). In addition, sensor fusion between LiDARs and cameras is utilized in (Bai et al., 2018) to achieve a more robust all around system to work in different sunny/dark weather conditions.

Each sensor setup also has unique challenges, the Lidar-Camera setup requires high computational power to operate. The Lidar also requires high computational power and may fail if there are no clear landmarks or pavements in the environment or when the weather is rainy. Finally, the camera setup can fail if there are heavy shadows in the scene or if the environment around the vehicle has extremely low color variance. One situation is at night where the illumination is low, revealing unclear color information. Some major challenges for camera-based drivable area extraction systems include the aforementioned shadow problems, the ability to distinguish between the drivable and non-drivable areas in environments were the colors of the ground scene are very similar and rapid illumination changes.

Accordingly, this paper improves drivable area ex-

760

Sabry, M., El Hayani, M., Farag, A., Abdennadher, S. and El Mougy, A. Drivable Area Extraction based on Shadow Corrected Images. DOI: 10.5220/0010238507600767

In Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Agents and Artificial Intelligence (ICAART 2021) - Volume 2, pages 760-767 ISBN: 978-989-758-484-8

Copyright © 2021 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

traction on low cost monocular camera-only systems by proposing a pipeline that consists of CV - IM techniques to preserve the drivable area features as well as significantly reduce the shadows in the scene excluding temporal processing over multiple frames by using only the current frame and output an image with the drivable area. This would facilitate the use of the camera in various environments as well and will run in real-time. The system is plug and play and is able to tackle multiple challenging situations in unstructured and structured environments. The main contribution of this paper is proposing a CV - IM pipeline to robustly detect the drivable area in multiple unstructured environments based on removing shadows from the scene alongside other processing steps. In addition, this paper compares the output of the algorithm with a DL model trained on normal RGB and gray scale image variants.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses some key pertaining research efforts, then in Section 3 the concept of the proposed autonomous navigation is fully outlined. Section 4 describes the used platforms, sensors and the proposed pipeline. Furthermore, Section 4.3 discusses the obtained results of the conducted experiments. Finally, the conclusion and future work are presented in Section 5.

2 RELATED WORK

Camera-based drivable area detection and extraction is a complex operation that can be tackled by multiple approaches. Previous research efforts such as (Neto et al., 2013) used a simple CV-IM approach to detect the drivable area. However, the existence of shadows negatively impacts the detection quality. In (Miksik et al., 2011), they utilized information regarding the vanishing point and the Hierarchical agglomerative (bottom-up) k-means clustering (HAC) to segment the drivable area. However, the algorithm needed high computational power and did not run real-time. In addition, the testing was conducted on narrow roads and pedestrian areas only where it is easier to segment the drivable areas. Furthermore, their algorithm is based on the training area as they defined. They did not show a situation where there were no shadows in the training area and they were able to correctly extract the drivable area with shadow areas within the scene. Another research (Katramados et al., 2009) utilized different color space channels to get the drivable area through different techniques. However, the system runs on a multi-frame approach for optimal performance. Furthermore, the system was tested using a

robot platform moving at walking pace. The system also relies on a "safe" window where it is deemed safe to traverse. It was noticed that if the "safe" window does not contain shadow areas and shadows exist in the scene, this reduces the accuracy of the segmentation of the algorithm. Other papers that segment the road with either CV or a DL approach were tested in urban areas as in (Hou, 2019). However, it is rare to find approaches that utilize cameras only to extract the drivable area with the presence of shadows without issues. On the other hand, (Levi et al., 2015) used DL to detect the drivable area only based on a single monocular camera. However, the authors only used structured roads to perform the road segmentation objective. Multiple techniques and approaches were applied followed by a Bayesian framework to derive the probability map of drivable areas on the road. The work in (Kim, 2008) utilizes the road lanes to detect the road. This algorithm would fail in unstructured roads.

For shadow removal, multiple approaches were used. (Mishra and Chourasia, 2017) used the Hue-Intensity ratio based on the HSV color space to detect and remove shadows.

In this paper, the low-power sensors setting is applied with the vision-based drivable area extraction approach based on shadow removal. Multiple CV and IM techniques are applied on images obtained from a monocular camera on a golf-car platform at the campus of the GUC. In addition, a DL was used to verify the performance of the proposed pipeline. The algorithm is developed for the SDC research lab as well as the Innovators team at the GUC to be used at the Autonomous Competition/Showcase of Shell ecomarathon Asia 2021.

3 DRIVABLE AREA EXTRACTION PIPELINE

In this section, the main components of the drivable area extraction pipeline are presented and explained section by section. The overall flow of the algorithm can be seen in Figure 1. And a visual overview of the image as it gets processed in the proposed pipeline can be seen in Figure 3. The proposed algorithm introduces and combines multiple modules that are common in CV and IM algorithms to produce a robust detection of the drivable area based on removing the shadows from the scene. To the knowledge of the authors, no CV - IM algorithm was completely successful in detecting drivable areas with the presence of shadows in unstructured environments such as the ones tested in this paper.



Figure 1: The proposed pipeline flow.

To be able to efficiently extract the drivable area, shadows had to be removed based only on CV-IM, multiple factors had to be taken into consideration to ensure the algorithm accuracy is as high as possible. The correct detection and extraction of road edges independent of color have to be robust. The shadows have to be handled without losing other vital spatial information such as the drivable area edges. Rapid changes in illumination also have to be taken care of dynamically to stabilize the performance of the system during illumination transitions. The following sections discuss the pipeline flow in detail.

3.1 Gamma Correction

Given the images captured from the camera are in a gamma compressed state, Gamma decompression is applied on the input images to be able to apply the shadow removal techniques and get the best possible result. Without correction, the shadows in the image are darker than they seem. An example can be seen in (a) and (b) in 3. In other words, the gamma correction reveals better details of the areas occluded inside the shadow regions.

3.2 c1c2c3

Following the gamma decompression, the images were converted from *RGB* color to c1c2c3 color space, as defined in (Gevers and Smeulders, 1999) as in 1, 2 and 3 and shown in (c1) in 3.

$$c1 = \arctan(\frac{R}{MAX(G,B)}) \tag{1}$$

$$= \arctan(\frac{G}{MAX(R,B)})$$
(2)

$$c3 = \arctan(\frac{B}{MAX(R,G)})$$
(3)

Where the *R*, *G* and *B* are the red, green and blue channels respectively. The denominators of c1, c2 and c3 are based on the channel with the larger summation. The c1c2c3 was chosen as it reduces the variance in luminance information in the image which reduces the shadow intensities significantly and helps improve the overall result of proposed pipeline.

3.3 YCbCr Correction

c2

For further refinement, the gamma corrected image is converted to the HSV color space to apply the shadow detection technique used in (Mishra and Chourasia, 2017). This method was found to be crucial to detect the areas which are guaranteed to contain shadows. A sample result can be seen in Figure 3 (d2). After applying this technique, a simple pixel classification is applied channel wise to the YCbCr image representation of the c1c2c3 image. This step is applied to ensure that most of the shadow cells are taken into account to extract a corrected median value for the shadow and shadowless regions in each channel. Algorithm 1 contains the steps for the shadow mask expansion and channel inpainting.

The algorithm starts by taking the lower half of the Hue-Intensity image and for each channel of the

Algorithm 1: Shadow neutralization steps.	
1 $Smask_{Lower} = Smask[H*0.5:,:]$	
2 k = 0	
3 while $k < 3$ do	
4 $Median_{shadow_k} = median($	
$C_k[Smask_{Lower} > 0]$)	
5 $Median_{shadowless_k} = median($	
$C_k[Smask_{Lower} == 0])$	
6 Shadow _{loc} = ($abs(C_k - Median_{shadow_k})$;	
$abs(C_k - Median_{shadowless_k}))$	
7 $Smask_k = Smask_{Lower}$	
8 $Smask_k[Shadow_{loc}] = 255$	
9 $Median_{new_{shadow_k}} = median($	
$C_k[Smask_k > \hat{0}]$)	
10 $Median_{new_{shadowless_k}} = median($	
$C_k[Smask_k == \hat{0}]$)	
11 $Median_{diff_k} = Median_{new_{shadow_k}}$ -	
$Median_{new_{shadowless_k}}$	
12 $C_k[Smask_k > 0] = C_k[Smask_k > 0] +$	
$Median_{diff_k}$	
13 $k = k + 1$	
14 end	

YCbCr image, the median value of the shadow region and the non-shadow region are extracted. Furthermore, classification based on the extracted median values is applied channel-wise to expand the shadow mask. Finally, the extracted shadow mask region is altered by the difference between the median of the shadow and the non-shadow regions.

The *YCbCr* color space was specially chosen as it separates the luma (Y) representing the brightness of the image from the chrominance color information represented in *Cb* and *Cr*.

3.4 Channels Selection

After correcting the YCbCr image, it was converted to RGB color space as in Figure 3 (f), then the LAB and HSV images are extracted.

Following the initial approach suggested in (Katramados et al., 2009), the following channels were selected from the paper:

- 1. The Mean-Chroma as defined in (Katramados et al., 2009) and seen in equation 3.4 and in Figure 3 (g).
- 2. The Saturation-based texture as defined in (Katramados et al., 2009).
- 3. The Chroma-based texture as defined in (Katramados et al., 2009).

In addition, the edges channel obtained by applying

the Sobel operator on the A channel from the LAB color space was also added to the selected channels.

$$m_c = \frac{2A + Cb + Cr}{4} \tag{4}$$

Where the *A* is the A channel of the LAB color space. The *Cb* and *Cr* are the blue and red chrominance from the *YCbCr* color space.

3.5 Saliency Application with OTSU Thresholding

After selecting the channels, fine grained Saliency proposed in (Montabone and Soto, 2010), was computed for each channel followed by applying the OTSU thresholding approach (Otsu, 1979). This combination is near to (Fan et al., 2017) with the difference of using the fine-grained Saliency to preserve the obtained spatial information of the image as in (h) in 3. Furthermore, the 4 mentioned resultant channels were combined and the OTSU thresholding was applied once more on the combined image to classify the drivable and non-drivable regions.

3.6 Contour Validation

As a further step to refine the extracted drivable area, the object contours with small areas detected in the image produced from the OTSU thresholding were removed using the contour extraction (Suzuki et al., 1985) as seen in (k) in 3.

3.7 Deep Learning based Approach based on the Proposed Pipeline

To verify the performance improvement that the proposed pipeline produces compared to the standard grayscale image, tests using DL were applied. The output image from the pipeline before applying the saliency and the OTSU method was used as an input to a semantic segmentation DL model to see how the proposed pipeline affects the performance of DL models that can be used for drivable area segmentation. The used model is the U-Net depicted in Figure 2.



Figure 2: An example showing the structure of the U-Net model. Each blue rectangle corresponds to a feature map. The arrows denote different operations as seen in the legend in the image. Red arrows denote maxpooling down-sampling, green arrows denote upsampling, the blue arrows denote the convolutional layers and the gray arrows represent the (Qiang et al., 2019).

4 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

4.1 Setup

4.1.1 Hardware

To run the proposed pipeline, a laptop with an i7-6700HQ processor running Ubuntu 18.04 and a Logitech C920 camera were used to conduct the tests. The system was placed on the autonomous golf-car prototype of the SDC lab at the GUC.

4.1.2 Software Parameters

The gamma correction coefficient used was manually set to 2.2 as widely used computers compress the gamma by 0.45. The used U-Net input image was set to 256x256 to reduce the computing power needed and make the code run with sufficient fps for closed campus situations.

For the U-Net, An Adam optimizer with binary cross-entropy loss was used for training. Furthermore, the dataset used consist of 246 images taken from the autonomous golf-car prototype of the SDC lab inside the GUC. The data was split into 90%-10% for training and testing respectively. A batch size of 32 was used for 200 epochs. However, early stopping was applied to prevent the model from over-fitting.

4.2 Experiments

The proposed algorithm was tested on images at different times of the day at: 10 am, 12 pm, 4 pm and 7pm (sunset was around 6:30 pm during these tests) at different locations on the GUC campus. This was conducted to measure the performance related to multiple illumination conditions as well as different shadow intensities. One of the test sequences was taken while manually driving around the football court track on campus as seen in Figure 6 at an average speed of 7 kph. Other sequences were taken around the campus streets at different times of the day.

For the trained DL models of the U-Net, the accuracy was used to measure the performance. The model architecture was tested on the gray scaled image after applying the gamma correction as well as RGB images to compare the performance based on the proposed pipeline image with the normal image without the pipeline. For the CV-IM, the final image was inverted and then the accuracy metric was also applied based on a comparison with the ground truth.

4.3 **Results and Discussion**

After conducting the previously mentioned tests on different images, the following results were observed. For the U-Net training, it was observed during training that the RGB image converged first with no improvement throughout the first 15 epochs, the normal gray scaled image converged afterwards, and finally the image from the proposed pipeline converged the last. Furthermore, the results of training on the resultant image from the pipeline surpassed the normal gray scale images which exceeded the results of predicting on the RGB images. The outcome of using the resultant image from the proposed approach had an accuracy higher than that of the result of using the normal gray scale image which was in turn more than the RGB image. Qualitative results of the U-Net can be seen in Figure 4 based on the resultant pipeline image and 5 based on the normal gray scale image.

As seen in Figures 4 and 5, the output from the proposed pipeline significantly improved the prediction of the U-Net. For further testing to prove the effect of the proposed pipeline, the inverted results of using the full pipeline were also calculated without utilizing DL. A binary accuracy metric was used to calculate the pixel-wise accuracy of the segmentation. The following table shows the results of using the four segmentation methods discussed on a separate testing set of images.

Table 1.

fuble fi	
used method	accuracy
UNET on resultant image	91%
proposed pipeline	82%
UNET on gray scale image	75%
UNET on RGB image	69%



Figure 3: A visual representation of the flow of the proposed algorithm. The input image (a) read from the camera is given to the pipeline. The image is first gamma corrected (b) and then in a parallel step, a copy of the image is converted c1c2c3 image (c1) and another copy is converted to the *HSV* color space (c2) and the shadow mask is extracted by the Hue-Intensity ratio for the bottom half of the image. Furthermore, the extracted shadow mask is used with the *YCbCr* representation (d1) of the c1c2c3 image for the shadow mask expansion (e) to improve the shadow removal. The resultant corrected *YCbCr* image was converted to *RGB* color space to get the corrected *HSV* and *LAB* images alongside the corrected *YCbCr*. The 4 combined channels are then extracted (g) Mean-Chroma, Saturation-based texture, Chroma-based texture and the Sobel operator on the A channel from the LAB color space left to right respectively. Furthermore, Saliency and OTSU thresholding are applied on the 4 chosen channels (h) and combined (i). Finally, a final OTSU thresholding step is applied to get the obstacles in white and the drivable area in black (k).

From the table, it can be observed that using DL is still better with the use of the resultant image. However, the proposed pipeline alone surpasses the use of DL on the normal gray scale images as well as using the RGB image. Figure 7 shows a comparison of the different approaches to the same input image. Its worth noting that the results of the better approaches were used hence the RGB image was left out. Moreover, the computation time comparison was also held. The use of the proposed pipeline alone executed in and average of 16 fps compared to the DL which ran at around 6 fps.

For the results of the given scenarios, the algorithm was able segment the drivable area at different times of the day successfully and still was able to perform robustly as shown in Figure 7.



Figure 4: An example of the results of drivable area segmentation using the resultant image. The first column is the original image without pre-processing, the second column shows the image after the pre-processing step, the third column is the ground truth, and finally the fourth column is model's prediction.



Figure 5: An example of the results of drivable area segmentation using the gray scale image. The first column is the original image without pre-processing, the second column shows the image after the pre-processing step, the third column is the ground truth, and finally the fourth column is model's prediction.

Given that the main aim of the algorithm is to segment the drivable area at certain situations tackle limited situations, the proposed system outperforms previous systems with similar use of sensors. This is mainly due to the shadow removal pre-processing step that proved to be robust in the mentioned situations in the paper. With additional work, the algorithm can be a solid base for further development aiming at more efficient segmentation and detection algorithms for autonomous vehicles.

Limitations

The proposed algorithm has few limitations. Running the proposed algorithm at night is not currently possible due to the insufficient lighting conditions. Furthermore, if the scene contains pavements and roads







Figure 6: An example with 2 frames around the football court. Figures 6a and 6b show the gamma corrected image, Figures 6c and 6d show the inpainted image, Figures 6e and 6f show The JET color-map of the combined image and finally Figures 6g and 6h show The extracted drivable area. In this test, the prototype golf-car was moving at an average of 7+ kph.



Figure 7: Comparison for the approaches' results.

with near identical color levels, the algorithm fails to segment the drivable area correctly due to the lack of proper edges to base the segmentation on.

5 CONCLUSIONS

This paper proposed a monocular vision based drivable area segmentation pipeline that was able to detect the drivable area with and without the presence of shadows in the scene in different situations without any additional sensors. The CV-IM pipeline proved to be robust and surpassed a DL network in the process. In addition, the use of the output image from the pipeline as an input to the DL model significantly improved the prediction compared to the normal gray scale image. Moreover, the system is independent from the use of any maps and is a plug-and-play one. Future work can include making the pipeline more robust to work in more challenging situations as well as adding more modules such as object detection.

REFERENCES

- Bai, M., Mattyus, G., Homayounfar, N., Wang, S., Lakshmikanth, S. K., and Urtasun, R. (2018). Deep multi-sensor lane detection. In *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems* (*IROS*), pages 3102–3109.
- Fan, H., Xie, F., Li, Y., Jiang, Z., and Liu, J. (2017). Automatic segmentation of dermoscopy images using saliency combined with otsu threshold. *Computers in biology and medicine*, 85:75–85.
- Gevers, T. and Smeulders, A. W. (1999). Color-based object recognition. *Pattern recognition*, 32(3):453–464.
- Gurghian, A., Koduri, T., Bailur, S. V., Carey, K. J., and Murali, V. N. (2016). Deeplanes: End-to-end lane position estimation using deep neural networksa. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops, pages 38–45.
- Haque, M. R., Islam, M. M., Alam, K. S., Iqbal, H., and Shaik, M. E. (2019). A computer vision based lane detection approach. *International Journal of Image*, *Graphics and Signal Processing*, 11(3):27.
- Hata, A. and Wolf, D. (2014). Road marking detection using lidar reflective intensity data and its application to vehicle localization. In 17th International IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITSC), pages 584–589.
- Hou, Y. (2019). Agnostic lane detection. *arXiv preprint* arXiv:1905.03704.
- Katramados, I., Crumpler, S., and Breckon, T. P. (2009). Real-time traversable surface detection by colour space fusion and temporal analysis. In *International Conference on Computer Vision Systems*, pages 265– 274. Springer.
- Kim, Z. (2008). Robust lane detection and tracking in challenging scenarios. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 9(1):16–26.

- Levi, D., Garnett, N., Fetaya, E., and Herzlyia, I. (2015). Stixelnet: A deep convolutional network for obstacle detection and road segmentation. In *BMVC*, pages 109–1.
- Miksik, O., Petyovsky, P., Zalud, L., and Jura, P. (2011). Robust detection of shady and highlighted roads for monocular camera based navigation of ugv. In 2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, pages 64–71. IEEE.
- Mishra, A. and Chourasia, B. (2017). Modified hue over intensity ratio based method for shadow detection and removal in arial images. *INTERNATIONAL JOUR-NAL OF ADVANCED ENGINEERING AND MAN-AGEMENT*, 2:101.
- Montabone, S. and Soto, A. (2010). Human detection using a mobile platform and novel features derived from a visual saliency mechanism. *Image and Vision Computing*, 28(3):391–402.
- Neto, A. M., Victorino, A. C., Fantoni, I., and Ferreira, J. V. (2013). Real-time estimation of drivable image area based on monocular vision. In *IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium Workshops (IV Workshops)*, pages 63–68.
- Otsu, N. (1979). A threshold selection method from graylevel histograms. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, 9(1):62–66.
- Qiang, Z., Tu, S., and Xu, L. (2019). A k-dense-unet for biomedical image segmentation. In *International Conference on Intelligent Science and Big Data Engineering*, pages 552–562. Springer.
- Suzuki, S. et al. (1985). Topological structural analysis of digitized binary images by border following. *Computer vision, graphics, and image processing*, 30(1):32–46.