Integration of Multiple RGB-D Data of a Deformed Clothing Item into Its Canonical Shape
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Abstract: To recognize a clothing item so that it can be handled automatically, we propose a method that integrates multiple partial views of the item into its canonical shape, that is, the shape when it is flattened on a planar table. When a clothing item is held by a robot hand, only part of the deformed item can be seen from one observation, which makes the recognition of the item very difficult. To remove the effect of deformation, we first virtually flatten the deformed clothing surface based on the geodesic distances between surface points, which equal their two-dimensional distances when the surface is flattened on a plane. The integration of multiple views is performed on this flattened image plane by aligning flattened views obtained from different observations. Appropriate view directions for efficient integration are also automatically determined. The experimental results using both synthetic and real data are demonstrated.

1 INTRODUCTION

Recently, the demand for the automatic recognition of daily objects has increased aimed at robots working in the daily lives of people. The recognition of clothing items for the handling of clothing is a typical example. Large shape variation that originates from the physical deformation of clothing items makes the task of recognizing the items challenging. Deformation also reduces the size of the area that can be viewed from one direction as shown in Fig. 1, where a clothing item is handled by a robot. It is not easy to determine the clothing type (e.g., trousers) or to localize the best position to grasp next (e.g. the corner of the waist) from such a partial view of the item in curved shape. Therefore, many studies on clothing recognition for automatic handling have first attempted to spread the clothing item to reduce the level of deformation from a canonical shape, that is, the shape when the item is flattened on a plane (F. Osawa and Kamiya, 2007) (Hu and Kita, 2015) (D. Triantafyllou and Aspragathos, 2016) (A. Doumanoglou, 2014). However, selecting proper positions to grasp for good spreading is another difficult recognition problem. Additionally, such a strategy requires extra actions and time. Using the fewest handling actions that directly connect to the task goal is desirable. A totally different approach from those, virtual flattening, was proposed (Kita and Kita, 2016), which calculates the shape of a clothing item flattened on a plane from the three-dimensional (3D) data of its deformed shape. This approach has the following advantages for automatic handling of clothing items. First, it can avoid extra handling actions that do not directly connect to its task. Second, the obtained flattened shape nearly equals the item’s canonical shape, that is a typical shape of each clothing item we imagine. Therefore, once the flattened shape is obtained, clothing type and size can be relatively easily determined. In addition, each part of the virtual flattened shape can have the linkage of the 3D coordinates in the current deformed shape. Therefore, the 3D information necessary for the next action, such as the 3D location and normal direction of a waist corner, is directly known using the linkage between the flattened shape and observed RGB-D data, as illustrated by the red line in Fig. 1. Concretely, the method calculates the boundary of a flattened shape based on the calculation of geodesic line, which is the shortest path between two points on an arbitrary curved surface. However, the results were limited to the flattening of partial view or a simple combination of them (Y.Kita and N.Kita, 2019).
In this study, we propose a method to calculate not only the boundary contour but also the inside area of the virtually flattened view. Hereafter, we refer to the shape after virtual flattening as the flattened view. The flattened views calculated from multiple 3D observed data are integrated on the flattened plane by aligning them using the attributes of each pixel in the flattened view images, such as intensity (color) and 3D coordinates, inherited from the corresponding 3D observed points.

The contributions of the present work are summarized as follows: (1) flattening of whole clothing surface area, (differently from only contours of the surface (Y. Kita and N. Kita, 2019); (2) integration of multiple 3D views onto the 2D flattened plane; (3) automatic determination of efficient view directions for the integration.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 surveys related works. Sections 3 and 4 explain the methods of flattening the 3D clothing surface and of integrating the flattened views. Section 5 presents and discusses the experimental results using both synthetic and actual clothing items. Section 6 summarizes our work and discusses plans for future work.

2 RELATED WORK

As described in Section 1, most of existing methods first spread the clothing item before recognizing the clothing item. Osawa et al. (F. Osawa and Kamiya, 2007) proposed a method that re-grasps the lowest point of a clothing item twice to open the item and reduce the deformation variation. However, the shapes that form after the actions are not necessarily discriminating and there is often undesired twisting of the item. Hue et al. (Hu and Kita, 2015) proposed a method of finding the appropriate grasping point for bringing an item into a small number of limited shapes from a sequence of 3D data obtained from various viewing directions. However, detection of appropriate points for the action is not so easy. Recently, many researchers applied a learning approach for handling clothing items, some of which are dealing with hanging clothes (A. Doumanoglou, 2014) (I. Mariolis and Malassiotis, 2015) (E. Corona and Torras, 2018). However, huge number of data for learning is required and its applicability to other settings of robots and sensors is uncertain. In addition, the output of most of the method is just a type of category and does not indicate any information of the clothing state that is necessary to determine next action.

The method of calculating flattened surface without actual flattening (Kita and Kita, 2016) uses the geodesic distances on the surface observed by a 3D range sensor. Since 3D range data observed from one direction, in most of times, does not show the whole surface of the item due to curving of the surface, the method was extended to integrate two views captured from largely different directions (Y. Kita and N. Kita, 2019). However, the latter assumed that the correspondence between some surface points in different views are given. It is difficult to automatically detect multiple reliable point correspondences under the scenario in which each observed view shows only a small part of the surface. Additionally, these methods calculate only the boundary shape of the flattened clothing item, but do not flatten its inside area.

The flattening of a 3D surface onto a 2D plane has been studied mainly regarding graphical 3D models and/or uniformly dense 3D data using finite element meshes (Zhong and Xu, 2006) or a voxel representation (R. Grossmann and Kimme, 2002). However, both mesh-based and voxel-based methods as-
sume uniformly dense 3D data of objects, which is not always the case for observation data in the real world. To calculate a geodesic line directly from 3D point clouds obtained by a range sensor or stereo cameras, (Y.Kita and N.Kita, 2019) adopts an approach that calculates geodesic lines in a mesh-free way, proposed by Kawashima et al (T. Kawashima, 1999). In this paper, by sampling points from a clothing surface with small distances, we approximate the geodesic distances between the neighboring points by the Euclidean distances.

3 FLATTENING OF OBSERVED 3D SURFACE

We assume that a clothing surface can be flattened onto a 2D image plane $F(u,v)$. The input of our method is RGB-D data of a clothing item: 3D point cloud, $P_n$ ($n = 1,...,N$). Flattening can be formulated as the problem of calculating the 2D coordinates of $P_n$ on the plane, that is, $(u_n,v_n)$, when the surface is flattened.

We focus on that the geodesic line length of two surface points equals with the 2D distance between the points when the surface is flattened on a 2D plane. That is, the geodesic lengths give distance constraints among $(u_n,v_n)$. Concretely, the coordinates should satisfy the equation

$$\sqrt{(u_{n_1} - u_{n_2})^2 + (v_{n_1} - v_{n_2})^2} = G_{n_1,n_2},$$

(1)

where $G_{n_1,n_2}$ is the geodesic distance between $P_{n_1}$ and $P_{n_2}$ on the surface.

Because high accuracy of the flattened shape is not necessarily required for our purpose, by only using point pairs in the close vicinity, we approximate the geodesic distance between two points by the 3D Euclidean distance between them in the 3D point cloud, $E_{n_1,n_2}$.

By representing the use/disuse of $E_{n_1,n_2}$ as $B(n_1,n_2) = \{1,0\}$, flattening becomes the minimization problem of the equation

$$H(u,v) = \sum_{n_1=1}^{N} \sum_{n_2=n_1+1}^{N} B(n_1,n_2) (\sqrt{(u_{n_1} - u_{n_2})^2 + (v_{n_1} - v_{n_2})^2 - E_{n_1,n_2}})^2.$$ 

(2)
The solution is then obtained by solving $2N$ simultaneous equations, where the two equations for each $P_n$ are
\[
\frac{\partial H(u,v)}{\partial u_n} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial H(u,v)}{\partial v_n} = 0.
\]

To simplify the search of neighboring points, we record the observed 3D points $P_n$ in a depth image $D(i,j)$, where each pixel $(i_n,j_n)$ has the 3D coordinates of the point observed in the pixel direction. We sample the surface points from $D(i,j)$ with some interval $d$ to obtain a reasonable number of points $P_n^d (n = 1, ..., N_d)$ for practically solving the simultaneous equations. Although a small sampling width yields high-resolution flattening, the calculation of a large number of equations without an appropriate initial estimate is time-consuming and leads to instability. To avoid this, we start with a large $d$ and use the result as the initial state for high-resolution flattening.

Fig. 2 shows an example of these processes using the artificial 3D shape in (a), which is synthesized through the simulation of physical deformation of the clothing model in Fig. 3(a), when the clothing item is held at one bottom corner using Maya nCloth (GOULD, 2004). The grey dots and orange points in Fig. 2(b) illustrate the 3D points recorded in $D(i,j)$ and points $P_n^{12}$ sampled with the interval of 12 pixels. Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) show the initial state using $(u_n,v_n) = (i_n,j_n)$ and the result of solving the minimization of Eq. (2), respectively: the blue points and green lines illustrate $P_n^{12}$ and pairs of $B(p_1, p_2) = 1$. Using the result as the initial state of points $P_n^{12}$ sampled with the interval of 6 pixels, the flattened state for a high resolution is calculated as shown in Fig. 2(e). By interpolating the inside area based on Delaunay triangulation using the resultant points as its vertices, as shown in Fig. 2(f), the flattened image of the 3D surface in Fig. 2(a), $F(u,v)$, is obtained, as shown in Fig. 2(g).

In order to use the attribute of each pixel of the flattened view at the following alignment stage, we record nine attributes for each pixel in $F(u,v)$ by inheriting ones of the corresponding 3D point: color information $(r,g,b)$, 3D coordinates $(x,y,z)$, and normal directions $(n_x,n_y,n_z)$.

4 INTEGRATION OF FLATTENED VIEWS

The integration of flattened views is performed by aligning them on the flattened view plane. This strategy has the advantage of decreasing the search space of the alignment from the 3D space into the 2D space, that is, six degrees of freedom to three degrees of freedom, which increases the stability and efficiency of the alignment.

Under the scenario in which a clothing item is held by a (robot) hand, the clothing surface is curved and/or folded, mainly in the horizontal direction. To observe hidden parts behind the leftmost (or rightmost) boundaries of the clothing regions, the clothing item is rotated along the vertical axis through the holding position. Here, we call the leftmost (or rightmost) boundary as an "occluding edge " if the boundary divides one surface into visible and hidden parts.

4.1 Calculation of the Appropriate Rotation Angle

We start with the observed data taken from the view direction that provides the largest observed area of the clothing surface. The flattened view calculated from the data is extended by adding a flattened view calculated from a new observation after rotating the item so that the parts around the occluding edge move to more center. To align a new flattened view to the current view correctly, a sufficient overlapping area is necessary between the current and additional flattened views. From this viewpoint, the rotation angle should be small. By contrast, if the angle is too small, the newly added area is small and meaningless.

To automatically determine an appropriate rotation angle, we adopt the following processes. To simplify the explanation, we explain the processes by considering only the leftmost occluding edge. In the case of the rightmost occluding edge, the steps of 3 and 4 are slightly changed to fit the right side.

1. Calculate the $Z$-angle of each pixel in the current flattened view

   We focus on the component of the surface normal that is perpendicular to the $Z$-axis, the vertical axis, and calculate its angle from the $X$-axis (the direction of the camera) as illustrated in the diagram under Fig. 4(a). The value is $\text{tan}^{-1}(n_y/n_x) \cdot 180/\pi$, and is called the $Z$-angle hereafter. The $Z$-angle of each pixel in the current flattened view $F(u,v)$ is stored as $F(u,v)\cdot a$. The intensity values in Fig. 4(a) show the value of $(F(u,v)\cdot a + 90)$ of the flattened view in Fig. 2(g) under the assumed range of the measurement limit of the range sensor $|F(u,v)\cdot a| < A_w$. We used $A_w = 50$ degrees in all the experiments in this study. In the process below, only the pixels within the range are considered.
2. Calculate the number of pixels $h(u)$ and the average Z-angle $A_z(u)$

$h(u)$ is the number of pixels having the same $u$ coordinates. Under the situation where the clothing surface is curved in the horizontal direction, the points of the same $u$ have similar Z-angle. We calculate the average of them, $A_z(u)$. The yellow and red lines in Fig. 4(a) show $h(u)$ and $A_z(u)$, respectively, in the coordinates, $(u, h(u))$ and $(u, A_z(u))$, illustrated by green lines.

3. Find the Z-angle $A_0 = A_z(u_0)$, where $\sum_{u_0}^{u_{max}} h(u) > S_0$.

The blue line in Fig. 4(a) represents $u = u_0$, where the area from the occluding line exceeds the desirable overlapped area size $S_0$. The pink circle that is the intersection of the blue line and the red line shows $A_0$. $S_0$ is set based on the expected area size of the clothing item.

4. Determine the rotation angle $A = A_w - A_0$

To have a common area of size $S_0$, the Z-angle $A_0$ should be within the measurement limit range after the A rotation; that is, $A_0 + A < A_w$. To consider the maximum value under the condition, the next view direction is set to $A = A_w - A_0$.

The left and right images in Fig. 4(b) show a synthetic observation after rotating the item by $A = 50 - 0 = 50$ degrees, and its front-side area only. In this study, we assume that only the 3D data of the side of interest is segmented by pre-processing the data.

![Figure 4: Integration process: (a) histogram and average Z-angle for the row of u of the current flattened view; (b) selected view for addition; (c) flattened view of the selected view; (d) histogram and average Z-angle for the row of u of the additional flattened view; (e) corresponding lines and points based on the Z-angle; (f) initial state for alignment; and (g) renewed flattened view.](image)

**4.2 Alignment of Two Flattened Views**

The initial estimate of the alignment is also determined based on the Z-angle. First, the $h^1(u)$ and $A_z^1(u)$ of the additional flattened view are calculated, where the superscript 1(0) corresponds to the additional (current) flattened views. The yellow, red, and orange lines in Fig. 4(d) show the $h^1(u)$, $A_z^1(u)$, and $A_z^1(u) - A$. The last value represents the Z-angle before A-degree rotation, that is, the value in the first (current) flattened view. The overlap of the range of $A_z^0(u)$ and the range of $(A_z^1(u) - A)$ represents the range of the Z-angle of surface points that are observed in both data.

To find the corresponding pixels between the two flattened views, pixels with the Z-angle of the median value of the overlapped range, $A_{m}$, are detected from the current flattened view, whereas pixels with the Z-angle of $(A_{m} + A)$ are detected from the additional flattened view. The red points in Fig. 4(e) represent the pixels. Then, the first principle axes of the detected pixels on the images are calculated as shown by the blue lines in Fig. 4(e). Pixels that have the same z value (height in the 3D space) along the lines are searched to find a pair of corresponding pixels. The initial estimate of 2D translation and 1D rotation are determined so that the corresponding pixels and lines coincide. Fig. 4(f) shows the initial estimate.
The final alignment is obtained by searching the best match by adding some translational and rotational disturbance to the initial state. As criteria to assess the goodness of the alignment, we use the intensity and \( z \) (height) attributes of the flattened views.

### 4.3 Renewal of the Flattened View

When a pixel in the renewed flattened view has two observed data from both the current and additional flattened view, the data of the latter is selected in the half area that includes the occluding edge. In the other half side, the \( Z \)-angle of the corresponding pixels is checked and the data that has a smaller absolute angle is selected because the 3D data observed with a smaller absolute \( Z \)-angle is more reliable. The orientation of the renewed flattened view is set as the same as the additional flattened view, so that the angle difference from the next-added flattened view becomes smaller. Fig. 4(g) shows the renewed flattened view.

## 5 EXPERIMENTS

To examine the validity of the proposed method and also its practical applicability, we conducted experiments using both artificial data and the data of actual clothing items observed by an RGB-D sensor. Long-sleeved shirts and trousers were used in the both experiments because they are two typical clothing types and have more a complicated shape than other types, such as skirts. We assume the scenario in which a robot grasps a clothing item at its lowest point after arbitrary picking it up, which is often used to decrease the shape variation. After this basic action, the clothing item should be held at any tip of the sleeves/legs or any corner of the bottom/top lines. In both experiments, the minimum and maximum angle of rotation were set to 10 and 50 degrees, respectively, with the selection step of 10 degrees.

### 5.1 Experiments using Artificial RGB-D Data

Artificial RGB-D data were generated from the 3D shape obtained by synthetically deforming the two models in Fig. 3 using Maya nCloth (GOULD, 2004). Fig. 5 shows a case in which a long-sleeved shirt was held at a corner of the waist. The top left image in Fig. 5 shows the starting view, which had the largest observed area, and the image below is its flattened view obtained using the method described in Section 3. From the leftmost and rightmost \( Z \)-angle values, -49.0 and 20.0, respectively, only the left part was searched for occluded parts of the surface. Using the method described in Section 4, the flattened view was extended gradually using observed data obtained by rotating the item by 50, 30, 30, 30, and 20 degrees, as shown in Fig. 5. For the views in which only a small part of the surface was visible, the rotation angles were determined to be smaller. As a result, six effective observations, the view direction of 0, -50, -80, -110, -140, and -160 degrees from the initial state, were used, which led to efficient and good flattening (the bottom right image).
Fig. 6 shows a case in which trousers were held at the tip of one leg. In this case, the fold at the joint of the thigh of one leg was fairly steep. As a result, within a long range of -90 to -180 degrees from the initial state, only a small part of the surface was visible. The proposed method properly decreased the rotation angles in the range so that it succeeded in obtaining the entire surface, as shown in the final result. The view directions used were 0, 50, 80, 90, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, 160, 170, 180, and 200 degrees.

5.2 Experiments using Real Clothing Items

We also conducted preliminary experiments using real clothing items by observing them using an RGB-D sensor: RealSense D435 (RealSense, 2020). Each clothing item was hung at any tip of the sleeves/legs or any corner of the bottom/top lines and captured while it was rotated by 10 degrees around the vertical axis through the holding position. As noted previously, the 3D data that belongs to one side of the surface of interest should be extracted from all the observed data before applying the proposed method. We found that the 3D data outputted from RealSense were strongly smoothed, and two surfaces were often smoothly connected. Because this made automatic segmentation very difficult, we manually extracted the 3D data of only the surface of interest.

Fig. 7(a) shows the results of the flattening of a long-sleeved shirt with a green checkered pattern held at the tip of a sleeve. Seven views were selected to obtain the flattened view of the entire surface, specifically, taken from 0, -40, -50, -60, -90, -130, and -160 degrees from the initial view direction. Although the resultant flattened view, shown in Fig. 7(c), is not as realistic compared with the physically flattened shape shown in Fig. 7(b), it has a sufficiently close shape to enable the recognition of the clothing type and approximate size.

Fig. 8 shows another two results. Fig. 8 (a) shows the result of a pair of trousers when it was held at a corner of the waist. An entire surface was flattened using seven view directions: 0, -50, -70, -80, -90, -100, and -150 degrees. However, the flattening of the trousers held at the tip of one leg failed at the steep fold of one leg. Although the 3D shape was similar to the synthetic shape in Fig. 6, actual sensor data did not have sufficient resolution to correctly align the flattened views of small parts.

Although an entire surface was flattened when a long-sleeved shirt with a small floral print was held at a tip of one sleeve, flattening failed when the same item was held at a corner of the bottom line, as shown in Fig. 8 (b). The failure occurred when the proposed method attempted to add the flattened view of -170 degrees to the flattened view integrated up to -120 degrees (the right image) because of the wrong initial estimate of the alignment. This occurred because the corresponding lines based on the Z-angle were badly determined because of the planarity of the overlapped area. To avoid this, the approach to finding initial correspondences should be improved rather than using only surface points with the medium value of the Z-
6 CONCLUSION

We proposed a method of deriving the canonical shape of a clothing item held in the air by a robot hand. The method is based on the virtual flattening of a deformed clothing surface onto a 2D plane. Since the flattened view calculated from the RGB-D data observed from one direction is partial, flattened views obtained from different view directions are integrated on the 2D plane to get whole surface. The method also automatically calculates the view direction which efficiently add parts unseen by the time.

From the experimental results, the resultant flattened shape was close to its canonical shape, which is beneficial for recognizing the clothing item. It should be noted that the resultant canonical shape was not as realistic, but had the advantage that each pixel had a link to the 3D point of the current deformed shape. Therefore, once the next action is decided based on clothing type recognition, such as "grasp one of the shoulders (or one of the corners of the bottom line)" for shirts, the robot can immediately know how and to where it should move its hand to perform the action.

A problem that remains is the automatic segmentation of one surface from all the observed data; the difficulty of the problem largely depends on the accuracy of the 3D sensor used.
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