Smartphone Glass Inspection System
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Abstract: In this paper we address the problem of detection and discrimination of defects on smartphone cover glass. Specifically, scratches and scratch-like defects. An automatic detection system which allows to detect scratches on the whole surface of a smartphone’s cover glass without human participation is developed. The glass sample is illuminated sequentially from several directions using a special ring illumination system and a camera takes a dark-field image at each illumination state. The captured images show a variation of the defect image intensity depending on the illumination direction. We present a pipeline of detecting scratches on images obtained by our system using convolutional neural networks (CNN) and particularly U-net-like architecture. We considered the scratch detection problem as a semantic segmentation task. The novel loss technique for solving the problem of imbalance, sparsity and low representability of data is presented. The proposed technique solves two tasks simultaneously: segmentation and reconstruction of the provided image. Also, we suggest a nested convolution kernels to overcome the problem of overfitting and to extend the receptive field of the CNN without increasing trainable weights.

1 INTRODUCTION

Currently, visual inspection is the main technique for detecting macro defects (scratches, scuffs, cracks) on smartphone cover glasses (Fig. 1) during mass production. Quality and speed of visual inspection is highly dependent on the human factor. Each human inspector has different experience and visual sensitivity. So the result of visual inspection is subjective. Also, in mass production, the sample inspection time is strongly limited. This leads to defects skipping and thus low inspection accuracy. Visual inspection requires a lot of human and time resources, which results in the final product cost increase. Development and implementation of an automatic inspection system that would reduce the inspection time and cost, increase inspection accuracy and exclude subjectivity is an actual task and a big challenge. Here, we present hardware and software solution for automated smartphone glass inspection. The developed system provides fast and more objective judgment on a glass sample quality.

In hardware we used a white light dark-field approach which is proposed for visual inspection of optical elements in standard [ISO 14997:2017 Optics and photonics — Test methods for surface imperfections of optical elements] as the most appropriate for tiny defect detection. In our system glass sample is illuminated sequentially from different directions and a dark-field image is taken at each state of illumination. The captured images show a variation of the defect image intensity depending on the direction of illumination.

![Figure 1: Smartphone cover glass. Image is taken from [https://www.ushio.co.jp].](https://www.ushio.co.jp)

Inspired by recent success of CNNs in many tasks, we utilize artificial intelligence for our image processing solution. Images obtained in our setup are pro-
vided to the CNN input. As output, CNN produces a probability map of scratches. In most cases, scratches occupy a very small sample area, below 0.1%. In order to train a network with such extremely imbalanced data, we introduce special loss techniques. Then to aggregate pixels in a consistent object, we used a classification method like DBSCAN (Ester et al., 1996).

Below, we present a detailed description of our optical system, type of data and related problems, network architecture and software solutions.

2 RELATED WORK

In recent years, many machine vision systems for fast automatic glass surface inspection have been proposed. Most of them utilize dark-field imaging.

For example (Tao et al., 2015), combined dark-field and bright-field imaging for inspection of large aperture optical components are used. Dark-field imaging system consists of linear light source and line scan camera. It fastly scans the whole area of the inspected sample and detects possible defects’ location. After the dark-field scanning is finished, a bright-field imaging system comprising coaxial light source and area camera with microscopic lens moves sequentially to the coordinates of possible defects and takes a magnified bright-field images. These images are used for defects measuring and classification. The system is capable of defect and dust distinction, no information about distinguishing between defects and stains is provided. The second pass to capture microscopic images may take too long (especially if there are many defects), which is unacceptable for mass production. Besides, weak scratches are barely visible in bright-field images. Later authors (Tao et al., 2016) use only dark-field approach accompanied by air dust/fiber remover and morphological features for stain/scratch/residual dust distinguishing.

Another approach to inspection system illumination which is partially based on dark-field is demonstrated (Yue et al., 2019). The authors use patterns of bright and dark fringes as a source of diffused light and analyze the modulation of light reflected from the inspected specular surface. The article describes the possibility of defects and contaminants detection using this method but not distinguishing between them. It is hard to evaluate the sensitivity of this system as the authors use scratch samples with substantial depth of 2 μm.

Dark-field based approach is described in literature (Schöch et al., 2018). The system comprises a dome of LEDs over a sample in the center and an area camera. The dome with camera can rotate around sample in two orthogonal axes. The system is designed to inspect small flat or curved optical components and does not allow to distinguish real defects from dust and stains.

Among commercially available inspection equipment, AGROS system from Dioptic (Etzold et al., 2016) and OptiLux SD from RedLux Ltd. (RedLux, 2005) can be highlighted. Both apply dark-field approach. AGROS system comprises dome-shaped illuminators including individually enabled LEDs with line or area cameras. The system is available in different implementations and mainly operates with rotationally symmetric flat or curved optical components. OptiLux system consists of uniform dome-shaped LED illuminator and area camera and operates with flat surfaces. Both systems use only morphological features analysis and therefore have limitations in terms of defects and surface contaminations distinction. Dioptic declares detection of defects and contaminations without specifying defects and contamination types.

All aforementioned systems are not suitable for fast automated inspection in smartphone cover glass mass production. They are either too slow, or not sensitive enough, or do not reliably distinguish real defects from contaminations. The latter is especially important. Even human inspectors experience difficulties with distinguishing between real defects and contaminations. They use mechanical wiping for this purpose, which can produce additional defects. In this paper, we present feasible solution for fast automated non-contact smartphone cover glass inspection allowing distinguish real defects from contaminates reliably enough. It utilizes the principle of directional light scattering on real defects to highlight scratches on dark field images.

In tasks of detecting and discriminating defects in images two categories of algorithms are usually used. The first one is algorithms based on neural networks, and another is handcrafted algorithms, which usually used with classical machine learning.

In work (Wang et al., 2019a) a double threshold segmentation algorithm based on area threshold and gray threshold is presented to extract defects from background. Then for each defect the features of shape and geometry are calculated. Based on these features a binary tree classifier is constructed to classify defects. Coarse-to-fine strategy for the detection of weak scratches in dark-field images of optical elements is proposed (Tao et al., 2016). Detected possible scratch segments are connected into complete scratches by line segment detector, defects are classified by GIST (Torralba et al., 2006) features. Another method (Jiang et al., 2018) suggests a multi-scale line
detector, which combines all recalls at different scales and then utilizes morphological operations to get the full consistent area of scratches.

Another pool of algorithms comprises neural network approach. One of them (Tao et al., 2018) proposes a cascaded autoencoder designed for localization of defects on the metallic surfaces. The output is a probability mask based on semantic segmentation. Then, it leverages compact CNN, which executes final classification. Recent work (Song et al., 2019) solves the tasks of scratch detection on metal surfaces as semantic segmentation task, utilizing deep CNN. It uses U-net-based (Ronneberger et al., 2015) architecture. Another one (Yuan et al., 2018) focused on defects of an infrared radiation hole of a smartphone glass. It also consider this task as semantic segmentation problem. They propose a generation process of data based on generative adversarial networks (Goodfellow et al., 2014) for extending a training set.

Handcrafted and machine learning algorithms do not have enough accuracy and are not flexible, moreover the proceeding time depends on the amount of defects and sometimes it achieves significant time. Moreover, changes in technical production may lead to changes in the types of defects, which will make it necessary to change the algorithm. In the case of neural networks, all you need to do is gather a dataset and train the model. We have made our choice in favor of neural networks and based on the previous experiences developed our own CNN which we adjusted for solving problem related with specific of our tasks and problems.

### 3 SYSTEM SETUP DESCRIPTION

The developed inspection system is based on the principle of darkfield imaging, i.e. observation of scattered light from inhomogeneities of fine polished transparent optical elements. The system layout is shown on the Fig. 2. It comprises area cameras with lens, an illumination system (ring-shape illuminator) consisting of several paired opposite LED groups, a light absorbing box assembly and two-coordinate XY-translator for an inspected sample providing its translation for zone-by-zone inspection. Both transmission and reflection layouts are available, but it was found that in case of curved edge samples transmission layout is more preferable due to less parasitic glare on images.

Illumination system consequently switches on and off opposite LED groups arranged in a circle providing discrete change of illumination direction. LEDs in a group are designed in such a way to ensure illumination uniformity at inspected zone (region of interest, RoI) in each state of illumination. Cameras are triggered by illuminators and capture one dark-field image of a inspected sample’s RoI in each state of illumination. Light absorbing box assembly blocks both a parasite light from illumination system to camera and a scattered light from mechanical parts of the system hardware to enhance image contrast.

On a dark-field image, a smooth inspected surface without any defects looks almost black since specular reflection is directed out of the camera aperture. In case of an irregularity on the surface which scatters light into the camera, it appears bright. The volumetric distribution of light scattering on irregularities correlates with its topography and direction of illumination. Usually contaminants scatter light uniformly in all directions, while scattering on scratches is more directional. Therefore, scratches on dark-field images in our system will be either brighter or weaker depending on the direction of illumination and its profile. For the most common scratches with “triangular” surface profile, the highest brightness is obtained at lighting direction perpendicular to the scratch. However, scratches with a “rugged” profile shows more specific brightness variation vs illumination direction. Consequently, a higher brightness variation of scattered light from a defect vs. illumination direction is the key feature we used to distinguish scratches from other types of defects.

Fig. 3 shows the typical scattered light intensity variation depending on illumination angle for main defects and contaminants image points. These graphs were obtained experimentally by rotating the illuminator around a sample located in the center with 2° ro-
The general behavior most of the defects corresponds to the presented plots: scratches show sharp intensity modulation peaks; dust and stains have weak intensity modulation and are often oversaturated. These graphs can be used as a design rules for illumination system configuration – number of directions and lamps, collimation.

In our system, the ring-shaped illumination system is custom-designed and comprises 12 tilted facets, i.e. 6 pairs of opposite facets arranged in a circle with a constant angular pitch. Each facet consists of 3 rows and 3 columns (9 totally) of white LEDs. Each LED has 3W power and $25^\circ \pm 3^\circ$ FWHM of angular distribution (collimated for better system efficiency). Number of LED groups was chosen to fit the required inspection time, size of RoI and system dimensions. Both transmission and reflection optical layouts use color cameras and lenses of 35 mm focal length. The sample inspected zone size (RoI) is $40 \times 35 \, \text{mm}^2$. It was defined by required system resolution and dimensions.

The developed inspection system is able to detect typical scratches with dimensions of order of microns ($>1 \, \mu\text{m}$) width and tens nanometers ($>30 \, \text{nm}$) depth.

4 DATASET DESCRIPTION

In our system, there are 10 RoIs in the sample, which are inspected sequentially (see Fig. 4). Sample is moving in $XY$ direction by the translator. 6 images with different illumination directions are captured by camera in each sample’s position. To avoid problems of non-robust predictions on images near the edge every zone overlapped on $\sim 256$ pixels ($\sim 2 \, \text{mm}$).

In order to train CNN model, high quality labeled dataset with different types of possible defects is needed. Dataset gathering process is illustrated in Fig. 5. It includes following steps. First of all, an image of a clean sample after washing and drying is captured. Next, the baseline model predicts possible defects and shows the result to the skilled human inspector. Then a human inspector checks the entire sample and regions with the predicted possible defects and marks found real defects on sample with pen marker.

It sometimes happened that the thin scratches were not recognized by the human eye, but in the images from our inspection system they were clearly seen. Since the sample can be rejected from the production line for even one scratch and skipping defects is not allowed, we needed to reduce the number of non-labeled true defects. For such cases we wiped a sample to see if the possible defect is gone or not and sometimes used a microscope to check it. This step increases labeling quality - false positive defects are eliminated at this stage. Labeling time ranged from 1 minute in simple cases up to 10 minutes in complex ones. In contentious cases with microscope measurements labeling time sufficiently increased up to 30 minutes per sample.

After human inspection the image of glass sample with marked real defects is captured and labeled manually with bounding boxes using labeling software. High precision of moving translator guarantees repeatable position between two captured images (before and after human inspection) with sufficient toler-
ance and allows using labeling made in the second image for the first image of clean sample. In the end, final segmentation masks of scratches are obtained by merging bounding boxes with masks, obtained by a pre-trained model.

In general, camera captured images and human’s eye perception have very good correlation that guarantees labeling will have relevant accuracy for business metrics. Examples of defects are shown on Fig. 6.

Figure 6: Examples of defects, (left) - scratches, (right) - stains and dust.

The collected dataset contains \(\sim 10000\) glass samples for train (95%) and validation (5%) and additionally 1000 samples for test. Every sample includes 10 series with 6 RAW 12-bit 3504x3120 px images with Bayer pattern (Bayer, 1976) GRGB. Dataset includes 8735 scratches for train and validation and 391 for test. The mean relation of scratch area to sample area, i.e. dataset imbalance, was less than 0.05%.

5 ALGORITHM

Currently there are well working architectures like DeepLabV3+ (Chen et al., 2018), ResNeSt (Zhang et al., 2020), HR-Net (Wang et al., 2019b), but we focus on architecture to check easy interpretable features - U-Net (Ronneberger et al., 2015). The U-Net family becomes classic in semantic segmentation with a huge number of features that allows it to train networks with more accurate solutions. U-Net shows good results in some segmentation tasks of tiny objects like roads detection on satellite aerial images (Ulmas and Liiv, 2020), (Venkatesh and Anand, 2019). Additional U-net advantages are flexibility and a lot of options that allow making experiments.

5.1 Common Architecture

Proposed network consists of encoder and asymmetric decoder. Decoder is usually used for restoring an accurate segmentation map, but in our case it is more important to detect the presence of a scratch rather than its accurate location, so our decoder has lower number of convolution layers on each level. Encoder consists of 6 scale levels, including 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5 convolution layers with kernel size 3x3 respectively on each level. It has at each level 96, 128, 196, 256, 512, 768 features respectively. The large amount of features at the first level is based on requirement large information capacity of high-resolution images due to tiny scratch width. Decoder has 192, 96, 48, 24, 8 features respectively and only 2 convolution layers per level. We used max pooling 2x2 for decreasing resolution of features and ELU with \(\text{slope} = 1\) as activation function. Experiments with ReLu activation showed that most neurons die due training and model doesn’t converge. The architecture of our model is presented on Fig. 7. The reason of using \(\text{tanh}\) as output activation function is described below.

5.2 Nested Weights

As a rule, to make a network to learn more complex tasks, number of model parameters is increased. On the one hand, large number of weights gives a large network capacity and ability to learn more complex features, but on the other hand, the model becomes prone to overfitting, especially in case of data with common features and low information content. Sometimes, it is inevitable if you need to maintain a high resolution of the input data and to provide a proper receptive field. In our case, we need to provide both parameters: high resolution of input images, because all scratches are very tiny; and receptive field for processing long scratches or spots that can reach significant dimensions on the image.

We suggest novel approach with nested weights of convolution, which helps to solve both described problems. In our approach each convolution of the encoder (except for the first two) takes weights from shared pool of weights. Illustration of this method is shown on the Fig. 8.

The first convolution converts feature map tensor with depth 256 to tensor with depth 512 and the second one converts tensor with 512 depth to 512. Weight’s tensor has shape 256x512x\(K^2\) in the first case (red line in the picture), and 512x512x\(K^2\) for the second case, where \(K^2\) is the kernel size.

Such an approach allows usage a lot of convolution layers and significantly increases receptive field.
without increasing number of weights. Another advantage of nested convolution layers is consistency of large-range details (in case of large scratches, this is highly important). While processing its scale, each nested kernel provides fractal self-similarity clues for the next scale. In this way, the same set of kernel weights could be used for processing different scales of image.

Also we added ResNet (He et al., 2015) shortcut connections with 1x1 convolution which apply their own weights, to reduce training time and to avoid gradient’s vanishing problem. Building blocks of proposed CNN are shown on the Fig. 9.

Eventually, our network with nested weights has 13.9 millions parameters, whereas a network without nested weights has 44.4 millions parameters.

5.3 Space to Depth

Due to large resolution of our images (input patch resolution is 1024x1024), to increase receptive field more, we apply space to depth technique (Sajjadi et al., 2018), which extracts shifted low-resolution grids from the image and places them into the channel dimension. The operator can be described as follows:

\[ S_q(I)_{i,j,k} = I_{q_i + k \% q, q_j + (k/q) \% q, k/q^2} \]  

where \% is modulus and / is integer division.

So, as input we use a patch with size 512x512x24, where 24 channels are a stack of 6 RAW Bayer images. In the same time, label ground truth is rescaled from 1024x1024 to 512x512 with max pooling 2x2. Eventually, taking in account all convolution layers, the receptive field covers the whole patch.

5.4 Loss Function

Another problem related to our data is extremely high imbalance between objects and background. It is not trivial to make a network to converge with such data. A network tends to find trivial solution, which is the image all pixels belong to the background. In this
case to make a model to converge, we have to set the proper amount of patches with scratches in the batch. We need at least 15% patches with scratches to obtain result with binary cross-entropy loss in case of usage sigmoid as output activation function. But we have a relation of amount patches with scratches to patches without scratches is less than 0.01, and in that way we can’t cover the whole our dataset uniformly.

To avoid these problems, we suggest the novel “autoencoder $L_2$-loss”. We force our network to predict scratches and also to restore other defects and background by using tanh activation function. Everything except scratches was restored with an inverse sign as it increases the gap at feature space between scratches and other objects. Label ground truth, we want to restore is:

$$\hat{y} = \begin{cases} 1, \text{if } gt = 0 \\
\max_i I_i, \text{if } gt = 1
\end{cases}$$

(2)

where $I_i$ is image of $i$ stage of illumination, $gt$ is binary mask of scratches; $\max_i I_i$ is image, where each pixel is maximum along depth (illumination state) dimension.

Then we introduce $L_2 = (y - \hat{y})^2$ loss striving to restore the label ground truth.

This approach allows varying the amount of patches with scratches in batch, and setting it to minimal value, to cover more fake-defects (spots, stains, dust and so on) other than scratches. Now, it’s only one patch with scratch in the batch. We compared conventional $L_2$ loss, binary cross-entropy and our “auto-encoder” loss. The plots of convergence are shown in Fig. 10. Conventional $L_2$ loss finds trivial solution and does not converge at all. Cross-entropy has fast convergence, but accuracy is low (see performance accuracy in the Results section).

$$L = \alpha * fp + (1 - \alpha) * fn$$

(3)

where $fp = \max((y - \hat{y})^2)$ and $fn = \max((\hat{y} - y)^2)$. Our study shows that optimal value of $\alpha = 0.7$.

All losses are calculated on three different scales of tanh outputs. The final loss is:

$$Loss = \sum_{i=1}^{3} L_i$$

(4)

5.5 Post-processing

To aggregate obtained probability in a united consistent object at image space domain and to get bounding boxes, we applied a two-step thresholding strategy with DBSCAN clustering. Firstly, the probability map was thresholded with a low value of threshold $T_{low}$. Then the DBSCAN clustering method was launched. Actually, that sort of clustering has so large complexity ($O^2$), and to reduce processing time, we accomplish clustering in low resolution (8 times smaller than original). Then, each class $C_i$, where maximal probability is lower than $T_{high}(\max_j p_j(C_i) < T_{high}; p_j(C_i)$ - probability of scratch for $j$-pixel which belong to the class $C_i$) was discarded. Each remaining class is single scratch. In our study we used value $T_{low} = 0.25$, $T_{high} = 0.75$ and for DBSCAN clustering the maximum distance between two samples for one to be considered as in the neighborhood of the other is 25. and minimal amount of points to be considered as separate class is 16.

5.6 Implementation Details

The model was implemented in MXNet framework (Chen et al., 2015) and trained during 50 epochs (each epoch is 4k patches) on four Nvidia GeForce GTX 2080 Ti GPU with a batch size of 16 using Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2014) with the learning rate $10^{-4}$ and $b_1 = 0.5$, $b_2 = 0.9$, $eps = 10^{-8}$. Weights were initialized by Xavier initializer (Glorot and Bengio, 2010) with uniform type of distribution and the scale of random number range is 3.

Images were augmented by adding Gaussian and “salt, pepper” noise. To rotate images we used the approach of bayer pattern augmentation (Liu et al., 2019), to not corrupt Bayer pattern. The Albumentation library (Buslaev et al., 2018) was utilized. The patches which hadn’t any information (without any spots, only background) were discarded.
Table 1: The quantitative results of models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error level</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Image</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 RESULTS

To evaluate the performance of our model 1000 additional samples were gathered, which were evaluated by a skilled human inspector. The ~30% of samples has scratches. Model quality performance calculations based on a sample level (decision for sample) and image (decision for each position) level.

Since our model consists of several contributions, we performed three experiments and obtained the following models: without nested weights with proposed "auto-encoder" loss (1), model with ResNet-34 as a backbone (2), full model with nested weights and cross-entropy loss (3) and full model with nested weights and "auto-encoder" loss (4). The quantitative results of FP and FN of applying each model are shown in Table 1. Model 1, 2 and 4 show practically the same results, but proposed model has a smaller amount of weights, what helps to avoid overfitting.

Total estimated time needed to check one sample is about 8 seconds. For the inference we used the same GPU. Note that in the production line a human inspector spends ~20-25 seconds to check one sample, and one sample is checked 5 times. For the production line the FN ratio is more important, because a product with defects in no case should go to the consumer. And there is no so big cost to reproduce an overkill (FP) sample.

Therefore, although our model doesn’t give absolute accuracy, it allows to reduce the amount of times which needed to check one sample and the final cost of the product.

7 CONCLUSION

We have presented full pipeline of smartphone cover glass surface inspection. Our solution consists of a setup based on directional illumination to highlight scratches on dark field images, which allows us to distinguish scratches from contaminants, and CNN-based method for scratch detection. The dataset of cover glass samples images was gathered and labeled (~11000). We have utilized a special loss technique, to overcome problem of extremely high data imbalance. Nested convolution kernels approach, which allows to reduce the amount of weights and achieve receptive field covered full patch during training without any risk of overfitting was presented. Our system was tested in real production line. The results show that our solution really may help to reduce resources which are needed for sample inspection.
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