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Abstract: Along with wide accessibility to Big Data, arise the need for a standardized quality measurement model in order to facilitate the complex modeling, analysis and interpretation of Big Data quality requirements and evaluating data quality. In this paper we propose a new hierarchical goal-driven quality model for ten Big Data characteristics (V’s) at its different levels of granularity built on the basis of: i) NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) definitions and taxonomies for Big Data, and ii) the ISO/IEC standard data terminology and measurements. According to our research findings, there is no related measurements in ISO/IEC for important Big Data characteristics such as Volume, Variety and Valence. As our future work we intend to investigate theoretically valid methods for quality assessment of the above-mentioned V’s.

1 INTRODUCTION

It has been more than seventy years since the “information explosion”, the term used to represent the extensive growth rate of the volume of data (Press, G., 2013). Through the time, storing, retrieving and interpreting the large amount of data which overwhelmed the storage devices, networks and retrieval systems have been challenging. However, recently the term Big Data has been coined and with the advancement in data generation and the increase of availability of data storage, Big Data computing has been considered as one of the prominent innovations in the last decade. The fruit of this is promising in different aspects of life such as detecting and preventing health problems, individualization of precision medicine, spotting business trends, determining quality of research, determining real-time roadway traffic conditions and etc. This allows Federal governments, business leaders, and health care organizations to analyze and visualize data effectively to make decisions. (Singh, N. et al, 2013) (Agbo, B. et al, 2018).

In this paper, we focus on Big Data quality measurement. In section 2, we first review the notion of Big Data and its quality, the quality characteristics of Big Data and the existing standards for Big Data,. Then, in section 3, we introduce our hierarchical quality measurement model for Big Data and in section 4, we merge our model with the existing ISO/IEC standards 25012 and 25024. Finally we conclude and discuss our future work directions.

2 BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

2.1 Notion of Big Data and its Quality

Big Data is defined in different ways. Some refer to it as any collection of data which is difficult to be managed. Some define it as the data that is too large to process on a single server. However, “big” (elusive) is not only referred to the size itself. “Big Data” is an umbrella term referring to the overflow of mostly unstructured digital data from millions of heterogeneous sources, such as ubiquitous sensors, health records, etc. Big Data’s volume and heterogeneity contribute extensively to the complexity of its engineering processes (Oussous, A., et al., 2018).

The above complexity makes it challenging for data engineers to keep track of all sources of potential data quality flows. In particular, Big Data modeling, analysis and interpretation require standardized quality measurement models of data. For many emerging Big Data domains these do not exist (Lodha, R., et. al., 2014). Quality of Big Data affects many societal sectors since it is known to be the root cause for many vital difficulties in the lack of

2.2 NIST Taxonomy of Big Data

NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) has stimulated collaboration among professionals to secure the effective adoption of Big Data techniques and technology, and developed Big Data standards roadmap to this aim. NIST clarified the definitions and taxonomies for Big Data interoperability framework that we will adopt in our study. The taxonomy consists of a hierarchy of roles/actors and activities that visits the characteristics of data at different levels of granularity, namely, element, record which is a group of related elements, dataset which is a group of records and subsequently multiple datasets, as depicted in Figure 1.

In section 3 of this paper, NIST Taxonomy will be used as a foundation for building the proposed new hierarchical measurement model of Big Data’s quality at its different levels of granularity (that is, elements, records, datasets and multiple datasets) in section 3. The characteristics of Big Data and its quality are surveyed next.

2.3 Characteristics of Big Data

For characterizing the Big Data, 3 V’s coined by Doug Laney of Gartner, which are defined as follows (Laney, D., 2001):

- Volume: refers to the vast amounts of data that is generated every second/minute/hour/day in our digitized world.
- Velocity: refers to the speed at which data is being generated and the pace at which data moves from one point to the next.
- Variety: refers to the ever-increasing different forms that data can come in, e.g., text, images, voice, geospatial.

Furthermore, almost a decade later there are the rise of the 4 V’s of Big Data, then 7 V’s, and then 10 V’s (notwithstanding the 5Vs, 6Vs and 8Vs) (Gupta, U., Gupta, A., 2016) (Demchenko, Y., et al., 2013) (Soupal, V., 2015) (Staff, B., 2013) (Normandeau, K., 2013) (Maheshwari, R., 2015) Some additional V’s are defined as below:

- Veracity: refers to the quality of the data, which can vary greatly.
- Valence: refers to how Big Data can bond with each other, forming connections between otherwise disparate datasets.

The characteristics volume, velocity, variety, veracity and valence are the main characteristics that construct value “heart” of the Big Data.

Furthermore, the list of recognized V’s includes:

- Value: Processing Big Data must bring about value from insights gained.
- Volatility: the fact that how long the data is valid and how long it should be stored. (Normandeau, K., 2013)
- Vitality: implies to criticality of the data which is very crucial (Maheshwari, R., 2015).
- Validity: the fact the data is accurate and correct for the purpose of usage. (Demchenko, Y. et al., 2013)
- Vincularity: refers to connectivity and linkage of data. (Maheshwari, R., 2015)

We aim at assessing quantitatively the ten V’s by tracing them to the NIST taxonomy levels (data element, record, dataset and multiple dataset, see section 2.2) and to the existing international data quality measurement summarized below.

2.4 Big Data Quality Characteristics

Quantitative assessment of Big Data V’s requires an establishment of data quality characteristics that must be considered when specifying Big Data quality requirements and evaluating data quality.

Comprehensive data quality characteristics are proposed in the ISO/IEC international standard ISO/IEC 25012 (ISO/IEC 25012:2008). The data quality model defined in the standard ISO/IEC 25012 is composed of 15 characteristics that reflect two
points of view: i) inherent data quality (refers to the degree to which data quality characteristics satisfy data requirements), and ii) system dependent data quality (degree to which data quality is reached and preserved when data is used under specified conditions) (ISO/IEC 25012:2008).

From this point of view data quality depends on the technological domain in which data are used; it is achieved by the capabilities of computer systems’ components. For example, the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI) developed an integrated framework for measuring health data quality (Long J., et al., 2002).

However, no specific guidelines or models exist for characterizing the quality of Big Data. In the next section, we propose a model that can be used for assessing the quality of Big Data.

3 OUR HIERARCHICAL MODEL FOR BIG DATA QUALITY MEASUREMENT

In this section, we build a foundation for assessing the quality of Big Data at its different levels of granularity (that is, elements, records, datasets and multiple datasets).

We use the Goal Question (Indicator) Model (GQ(I)M) top-down approach to align the measurement process with the business goals of Big Data users (Berander, P., Jönsson, P., 2006). With respect to the measurement goals that are set up, some questions are generated. Then each question is analyzed in order to identify quality characteristics, their indicators and measurement procedures that are needed to answer them. Indicators can be derived from multiple base measurements to provide quantification and an interpretation of the status of the designated measurement goal.

We explicitly define our measurement goal as: What is the Quality for Big Data? The goal is refined into quantifiable questions and consequently, refined into a set of indicators and measures for the data to be collected. The quantifiable questions and the related indicators will be used to help the measurer achieve the measurement goals. In this way, we built up a Big Data Quality Measurement model that covers the issues related to 10 V’s of Big Data and a set of questions that specifies each issue in a meaningful and quantifiable way. The questions and indicators are defined based on our adapted GQ(I)M structure as depicted in Table 1.

Figure 2 depicts the root of our new hierarchical quality model designed specifically for the purpose of measurement of quality for the selected ten V’s of Big Data.

When the indicators Mvol, Mvel, Mvar, Mver, Mvale, Mvola, Mvit, Mvalid and Mvinc are each calculated and summed up and applied to obtain measurement value, which characterizes the overall quality of a Big Data set.

Our next step is to derive Big Data measurements for the quality indicators identified in Table 1 and depicted in Figure 2. In order to carry on this step, we first study the applicability of the existing quality characteristics and measurements published in ISO/IEC 25012 and ISO/IEC DIC 25024 for assessing the ten V’s of Big Data selected in our work.

Table 1: GQ(I)M Definition for Big Data Measurement Model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>To Evaluate Big Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>What is the Quality for Big Data?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Qvol</td>
<td>What is the Volume of the Big Data?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Mvol</td>
<td>Volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Qvel</td>
<td>What is the Velocity of the Big Data?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Mvel</td>
<td>Velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Qvar</td>
<td>What is the Variety of the Big Data?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Mvar</td>
<td>Variety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Qver</td>
<td>What is the Veracity of the Big Data?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Mver</td>
<td>Veracity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Qvale</td>
<td>What is the Valence of the Big Data?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Mvale</td>
<td>Valence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Qval</td>
<td>What is the Value of the Big Data?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Mval</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Qvola</td>
<td>What is the Volatility of the Big Data?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Mvola</td>
<td>Volatility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Qvit</td>
<td>What is the Vitality of the Big Data?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Mvit</td>
<td>Vitality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Qvalid</td>
<td>What is the Validity of the Big Data?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Mvalid</td>
<td>Validity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Qvinc</td>
<td>What is the Vincularity of the Big Data?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Mvinc</td>
<td>Vincularity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 MEASURING BIG DATA QUALITY INDICATORS

As discussed in Section 2.3, there is no existing model for the measurement of Big Data quality characteristics. In this section we investigate the possible mapping of the ISO/IEC 25012 quality characteristics to the ISO/IEC DIC 25024 international standard’s data quality measurements. Please review the Appendix for the more detailed definitions of ISO/IEC DIC 25024 measurements.

Here, we intend to adapt the ISO/IEC 25024 in order to define the quality model on the basis of the ten V’s of Big Data. Figure 3 shows an overview of our approach to find measurements for assessing the Big Data characteristics (the ten V’s).

On the basis of the definitions of the V’s of Big Data and the measures specified in the ISO/IEC standards, we were able to map seven of the V’s of Big Data to the ISO/IEC DIC 25024 measurements as follows:

i) Velocity to Accessibility, Efficiency, Availability, Portability,

ii) Veracity to Accuracy, Completeness, Credibility, Currentness, Availability,

iii) Value to Understandability, Credibility, Currentness, Compliance,

iv) Vincularity to Traceability,

v) Validity/Volatility to Credibility, and

vi) Vitality to Currentness.

According to our research findings, for the Volume, Variety and Valence, there is no related measurements found in ISO/IEC. As our future work we intend to investigate theoretically valid methods for quality assessment of the above-mentioned V’s.

Each of the ten V’s of Big Data with the exception of Volume, Variety and Valence is represented by an indicator and the corresponding characteristics in ISO/IEC standard 25024 as depicted in Figures 4, 5, 6 and 7.
As shown in Figures 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7, the quality characteristics in our hierarchical quality model are delineated through several layers. The quantification of the quality characteristics is standardized based on reliable measurement procedures to ensure fairness of the assessment. In other words, it is assured that users produce same measurement results every time the measurement is undertaken on the same source and in the same context. This consistency of measurement is considered very important (Fenton, N., Bieman, J., 2014).

5 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we first critically reviewed the literature on Big Data characteristics (V’s) and then we proposed a new hierarchical model for Big Data quality measurement based on the selected V’s. The quality measurement hierarchy was developed by associating the ISO/IEC standard measurements with the Big Data hierarchical levels defined by NIST, namely: data element, record, dataset and multiple dataset. The validity of the proposed quality model is rooted in the standardization of: i) the above-mentioned Big Data taxonomy, and ii) data measurements defined in ISO/IEC. The quality model is tailored in a way that facilitates the evaluation of such systems in terms of: Availability, Accuracy, Accessibility, Credibility, Completeness, Compliance, Currentness, Efficiency, Portability, Traceability and Understandability.

In our research findings, we discovered that there are no related measurements for important Big Data characteristics Volume, Variety and Valence in ISO/IEC. As our future work, we intend to define indicators and measurement procedures to be used for quality assessment of the above-mentioned V’s.
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to the data and any modification in a specific context of use.
11) Understandability: represents to which data has attributes that enable it to be read and interpreted by users in a specific context of use.
12) Availability: represents the degree to which the data has attributes that enable it to be retrieved by authorized users in a specific context of use.
13) Portability: represents the degree to which data has attributes that enable it to install or move from one system to another in a specific context of use.
14) Recoverability: represents the degree to which data has attributes that enable to maintain a specific level of operations and quality in a specific context of use.