Unity 3D Simulator of Autonomous Motorway Traffic Applied to Emergency Corridor Building

Jurij Kuzmic and Günter Rudolph

Department of Computer Science, TU Dortmund University, Otto-Hahn-Str. 14, Dortmund, Germany

- Keywords: Simulator in Unity 3D, Emergency Corridor Simulation on Motorways, Accident Simulation, Autonomous Driving, Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V) Communication, Lane Detection, Distance Detection, Rotation Detection.
- Abstract: This paper introduces a 3D simulator made with the game engine Unity to analyse the behaviour of autonomous vehicles in the case of unexpected accidents in motorway traffic. This simulator works towards the removal of current problems with building an emergency corridor on motorways. It is often the case that rescue vehicles cannot reach the scene of an accident and are obstructed by other road users. This means that the help for those involved in the accident may come too late. To prevent this in future with autonomous vehicles and to save human lives, building an emergency corridor for self-driving cars will be simulated and presented with the game engine Unity. Since the autonomous vehicles also have to communicate while driving, the techniques of Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) Communication, Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V) Communication and Infrastructure-to-Infrastructure (I2I) Communication will be reviewed theoretically. Besides, practical methods for lane, distance and rotation detection will be presented. Furthermore, we discuss sensor technology such as position estimator, lidar, radar and video camera. Also, the levels of automation of self-driving cars will be shown. This will make it possible to determine the level of the automated rescue corridor formation. Several experiments prove the simulator's functionality concerning unexpected accidents and the formation of the rescue corridor. Finally, further research and work in this area will be explained briefly.

1 INTRODUCTION

The autonomous vehicles industry is trying to improve the safety and therefore to reduce the accidents caused by autonomous vehicles as much as possible. Nevertheless, accidents could be due to technical defects or unexpected software errors. In order to map the behaviour of unexpected accidents with autonomous vehicles, a simulator has been implemented with Unity 3D. Before starting the implementation of the simulator, an established traffic flow simulator has been searched. Some simulators are available: PTV Vissim (PTVGroup, MATSim (MATSim, 2019), 2019). SUMO (Behrisch, Bieker, Erdmann and Krajzewicz, 2011), MAINSIM (Dallmever and Timm, 2012) Unfortunately, the behaviour of the car cannot be freely programmed. In addition, the position of the car cannot be freely changed. This is the reason why an own simulator has been implemented with Unity. This allows to affect the behaviours of the car, the communication between vehicles and the detection of the lane, distance, rotation and accident in relation to a traffic flow simulator. The motorway can also be freely designed. For instance, several lanes can be added, which can vary in width.

There are already several projects in Unity that deal with the simulation of autonomous vehicles. For example, roads with cars, pedestrians and autonomous vehicles with sensors can be created (De Oliveira, 2018). Some projects even include selfdriving cars with image recognition of the simulated environment (De Oliveira and Duong, 2018). We wanted to simulate the building of the rescue corridor with autonomous vehicles on motorways, among other things. So we needed the ability to create motorways with crash barriers and multiple lanes. For this reason, we implemented our own simulator. To the best of our knowledge, there is still no published research in the field of building an emergency corridor with autonomous vehicles automatically.

In Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Internet of Things, Big Data and Security (IoTBDS 2020), pages 197-204 ISBN: 978-989-758-426-8

Kuzmic, J. and Rudolph, G.

Unity 3D Simulator of Autonomous Motorway Traffic Applied to Emergency Corridor Building.

DOI: 10.5220/0009349601970204

Copyright (© 2020 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

2 AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES IN PRACTICE

In order, to implement a suitable simulator for unexpected accident simulations with autonomous vehicles on motorways, the technology of autonomous vehicles was examined focusing on practical applications.

2.1 Lane, Distance and Rotation Detection

This section discusses briefly the lane detection, the distance detection, the rotation detection and the used sensors in practice. Usually, the detection of lane or keeping the vehicle on track is carried out by image recognition via the video camera. Usually, this is installed in the front windshield. The perception of the urban environment is done by a rotatable sensor called lidar on the roof of the vehicle. Thereby 3D mapping (3D environment) can be created. The distance sensors (radar) are necessary for automatic parking and getting the distance to the front vehicle. The position estimator is required for the vehicle's rotation detection and the determination of the position of the vehicle (Azmat and Schuhmayer, 2015).

2.2 Communication between Self-driving Cars

In practice, communication between autonomous vehicles is often divided into three communication options. The first is Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) Communication. For example, this allows to transmit the current colour of the traffic light to the arriving vehicle. The second option is Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V) Communication. In this way, an accident vehicle can notify the other vehicles in the urban area. The third is Infrastructure-to-Infrastructure (I2I) Communication. I2I Communication can be used to switch traffic light systems intelligently to avoid traffic jams. To enable communication, a vehicular ad-hoc network (VANet) is set up (Hartenstein and Laberteaux, 2008). This is a mobile ad-hoc network (MANet), whose nodes for message distribution are the vehicles themselves. These vehicles have On-Board Units (OBUs) that are responsible for communication between the vehicles and road-side units (RSUs) (Alheeti, Gruebler, McDonald-Maier, 2016).

2.3 Levels of Automation

This section surveys the levels of automation for the autonomous vehicle. These are divided into five levels. The classification of the level depends on the technologies used in the vehicle and the driver's intervention in the driving process (Urooj, Feroz and Ahmad, 2018). The following table 1 describes these levels.

Table 1: Levels of automation (NHTSA) (Leftlaneadvisors, 2013).

Level	Automation
Level 0	No vehicle autonomy,
	Driver has Control
Level 1	Vehicle provides driver info/warnings,
	Driver has informed control
Level 2	Vehicle integrates detection/response,
	Driver ready to take control
Level 3	Vehicle fully autonomous,
	Driver takes control in emergency
Level 4a	Vehicle fully autonomous,
	Occupants do not need ability to drive
Level 4b	Vehicle connected and cooperating,
	Optimised System operation and passive
	driver experience

The self-driving car could build an emergency corridor automatically after the third level of automation. From this level, the vehicle is completely autonomous. The driver only takes part in the driving process in dangerous situations.

3 SIMULATION IN UNITY 3D

Based on how the autonomous vehicles work in practice. the requirements for a suitable implementation of the simulator could be derived. To ease the implementation effort, the asset "Car'Toon : The Sport Car with interior" was retrieved from the Unity Asset Store (Unity Asset Store, 2019). It already contains the implementation of the steering behaviour, acceleration, braking and physics of a car. So the car could be successfully used in our project. During the implementation of the automated emergency corridor formation, it was noticeable that the car could not be steered during emergency braking because the wheels of the car from this example completely block during braking with all four wheels. In order to be able to build an emergency corridor, it is not only necessary to brake during emergency braking, but also to steer. In practice, this is only possible with Anti-lock Braking System (ABS).

As a result, this was also implemented in this simulator by hand. In a dangerous situation, a human cannot brake and think about building an emergency corridor at the same time. For comparison, the autonomous vehicle is able to do this, because it does not react nervously and hectically towards the human.

3.1 Lane, Distance and Rotation Detection

This section deals with the detection of the lane, the detection of the distance to the following vehicle and the detection of the rotation of the car in the presented simulator. For simplicity, the detection of the lane was implemented in a different way than known from practice (image recognition with video camera). Ray casts from Unity were used to determine the width of the lane to keep the vehicle on track. This replaces the image recognition with a video camera. Ray casts can be imagined as a line in a certain direction with a certain length.

As soon as a game object is hit by this line, it also provides the name and distance to this object in a three-dimensional space. This allows to determine the object which has been hit and how far it is from the ray origin (Fig. 1).



Figure 1: No hit/hit ray cast example.

For the detection of the lane, two ray casts were used (Fig. 2, left-top). Both are directed from the vehicle to the front and they slightly look downwards (Fig. 2, left-bottom). Ray casts can be used to simulate not only lane detection, but also distance sensors (radar). In practice, radar determines the distance to the front vehicle. The various practical sensors are described more detailed in section 2. The nine forward ray casts, shown in figure 2, are necessary to determine the distance to the following vehicle. As soon as the distance can be measured, a function calculates the safety distance to the following vehicle on the base of the driving speed.

The implemented rotation detection of the own vehicle includes the monitoring of the rotation per frame. This implementation simulates the sensor for the position estimation from practice. As soon as a large rotation has taken place in a short time, an unexpected rotation of the vehicle can be assumed. This rotation detection helps to simulate the bursting of a tire while driving. More about this experiment can be found in section 4. In addition to the rotation, the collision of the vehicle is also monitored. This method is provided by Unity and is automatically called when a game object collides with another game object. As soon as one of these two accidents is detected, the self-driving car makes an emergency stop, switches on the indicator warning lights and notifies the self-driving cars in the area. This scenario is explained in more detail in the next subsection.



Figure 2: Ray casts of a self-driving car for lane and distance detection. Left-top: Ray casts for lane detection. Left-bottom: Top-view of a car. Right: Side-view of a car.

Based on own experiments, an effective function (Eq. 1) for the braking distance could be found for this simulation. This function provides the braking distance (bd) based on the vehicle's driving speed (s). However, this is only the braking distance, which has to be kept in any case. In our used function (Eq. 2), a linear value (d) for the safety distance (sd) was added to the braking distance (bd).

$$bd = -0.00004s^3 + 0.0135s^2 + 0.0601s \qquad (1)- 0.02219$$

$$sd = bd + d \tag{2}$$

Other models are imaginable and will be tested in future.

3.2 Communication between Self-driving Cars

Communication between autonomous vehicles is very important. This exchange of messages between autonomous vehicles is like a warning by flashing indicator warning lights or brake lights for humans. It is also important that the communication takes place from vehicle to vehicle. This means that the communication works without a central point, such as a pole. This can fail or be manipulated. The coordination of the self-driving cars can also be realized by the communication. As an example, all vehicles stop unexpectedly. That raises the questions: Who has to do something first? Here it is also difficult to map the rules. How do vehicles behave in the event of an accident, for example, due to a technical defect? Who drives by? Who stops? Even for a human, in some situations it is difficult to decide how to behave correctly.

Communication can also be used to find out the lane position of the vehicle and the number of lanes of the motorway in total. The autonomous vehicles can independently recognize the environment and communicate this to the next autonomous vehicles. Through unique identification numbers (IDs), the vehicles know which vehicle is in front, behind, left and right. As soon as an accident is detected, this has also to be passed on to the following vehicles. As soon as a message arrives, the lidar on the roof of the vehicle turns yellow, which is still currently under construction in this paper. This colouring makes the arrival of the messages visible for the human eye. Communication between agents can be implemented with Unity in two different ways. Both approaches have been tested for communication between vehicles. The first approach utilises a sphere given a centre point and a radius. All objects inside this sphere can be located. This allows to quickly determine which vehicles are nearby. At this point, the own vehicle is also delivered. This has of course to be ignored in this case. Figure 3, left shows the sphere in use. The centre of this sphere was placed at the centre of the autonomous vehicle.



Figure 3: Communication between agents. Left: Communication with sphere. Right: Communication with ellipse.

In the previous figure 3, left can also be seen, that the sphere recognises the objects above and below the vehicle. Sending the messages above or below is not necessary in this case. This does not correspond to reality. In practice, the signal would not be wasted upwards or downwards. This would be a signal in a certain direction by directional antennas. This allows reaching larger distances with the same transmission power. For this reason, a separate ellipse was implemented. The figure 3, right shows this ellipse graphically. In this case, the communication ellipse is a game object that is located in the vehicle as a sub game object. This contains methods to trigger the objects and a dictionary with the collided game objects. These collisions are called haptic and not physical collisions. The methods are called automatically if a collision occurs or leaves. This happens in the background, so the dictionary with the collided vehicles is always up to date.

As soon as an accident is detected, the vehicle performs an emergency stop, switches on the indicator warning lights and inform the vehicles in the surrounding area. The vehicles that receive the message, decide on their own how to react to this message. The direction and the position of the vehicles are compared. Only the vehicles that are moving in the same direction and are behind the accident vehicle slow down and forward this message to the rear vehicles. The other vehicles that are nearby and do not have to brake, as there is no danger for these vehicles, accept the message and inform the emergency services. The experiments show that the detection of the vehicles in the surrounding area with the ellipse as a sub game object works faster. Thus, the messages can be transmitted faster to the vehicles in the surrounding area. The reason for this, the haptic collision detection of collided vehicles in the surrounding area is located in the background and is always up to date at any time of the program. With the sphere, on the other hand, the vehicles in the surrounding area are calculated each time the messages are sent. With many messages per vehicle, this of course takes more time. A message controller class has been implemented to control the incoming and outgoing messages. This is necessary for the storage of the messages. A message contains the following information: transmitter id, outgoing message, receiver id, incoming message, message enter time, accident car drive direction and accident car position. Currently can be responded to the outgoing message like "SPIN", "ACCIDENT" and "FORWARD". The stored messages are always kept up to date. Each time a message is received, the current incoming data is updated. The vehicles, that decide the message is not relevant, for themselves save the message and contact rescue.

4 EXPERIMENTS

The following experiments were carried out to control the functionality of the simulator. In the event of an accident, the formation of the rescue corridor is very important. Unforeseeable accidents happen again and again on roads and motorways. Serious accidents can sometimes occur on motorways because vehicles move at high speed. This leads to traffic jams and road closures. In this case, there is a regulation for the formation of an emergency corridor for the emergency vehicles arriving at the scene of the accident. However, unfortunately this is just the theory. The drivers of motor vehicles sometimes forget to form a rescue corridor in a traffic jam. In some cases, it is no longer possible to build an emergency corridor at a later point of time. When the vehicles come to stand, they are usually too close to each other. In addition, due to the daily commute to work on the motorway, it is also noticeable that even if a rescue corridor is formed and an emergency vehicle drives past, the drivers of the motor vehicles close this emergency corridor again. This always leads to obstruction of the rescue vehicle. The problem is already known and has been discussed for some time (Dębiński, Jukowski and Bohatkiewicz, 2018). This does not only occur on motorways, but also in cities. There is currently no optimal solution for this problem. Some countries impose heavy fines for the obstruction of emergency vehicles. To counteract this problem, a warning system for an emergency vehicle (Emergency Vehicle Warning System) has already been introduced (Buchenscheit, Schaub, Kargl and Weber, 2010). To solve this problem, the emergency corridor has to be formed automatically by the self-driving cars that will soon be on the roads. The question is not whether, but when the autonomous vehicles will come onto our roads.

For building an emergency corridor with autonomous vehicles in the presented simulator, it is necessary to know on which lane the vehicle is currently located and how many lanes the motorway has in total. For example, on a motorway with two lanes or more, the vehicles on the left lane drive even more left to the crash barrier. On the other hand, the vehicles on the right lane drive more to the right onto the lane marking. The ray casts are accessed again to compare the position of the left and right front wheel with the lane markings. This can be used to determine in which direction (left or right) the car has to move to build an emergency corridor. As soon as the speed falls below a certain value, the vehicles automatically form a rescue corridor. This means that an emergency corridor is always build in the event of slow-moving traffic or traffic jams. This can be seen in the figures in section 4.1.

The algorithm (Fig. 4) for the formation of the rescue corridor works in accordance with a simple principle. The numbering of the lanes starts at the middle crash barrier.

1: for every screen update (frame) do 2: procedure EMERGENCYCORRIDOR(speed, lane) 3: speedCorridor = 30 4: if speed < speedCorridor and lane == 1 then 5: create left ray cast on the left front wheel 6: create right ray cast on the left front wheel 7: move the vehicle to the left until touching left lane marking 8: keep left front wheel on the left hane marking 9: else if speed < speedCorridor and lane == 2 then 10: create left ray cast on the right front wheel 11: create right ray cast on the right front wheel 12: move the vehicle to the left until touching right lane marking 13: keep right front wheel on the right lane marking 14: else 15: create left ray cast for lane detection 16: create right ray cast for lane detection 17: move the vehicle to the centre of the lane 18: keep the vehicle on track 19: end if 20: end procedure 21: end for	Algorithm 1 Building an Emergency Corridor	
 3: speedCorridor = 30 4: if speed < speedCorridor and lane == 1 then 5: create left ray cast on the left front wheel 6: create right ray cast on the left front wheel 7: move the vehicle to the left until touching left lane marking 8: keep left front wheel on the left lane marking 9: else if speed < speedCorridor and lane == 2 then 10: create left ray cast on the right front wheel 11: create right ray cast on the right front wheel 12: move the vehicle to the right until touching right lane marking 13: keep right front wheel on the right lane marking 14: else 15: create left ray cast for lane detection 16: create right ray cast for lane detection 17: move the vehicle to the centre of the lane 18: keep the vehicle on track 19: end if 20: end procedure 	1: for every screen update (frame) do	
 4: if speed < speedCorridor and lane == 1 then 5: create left ray cast on the left front wheel 6: create right ray cast on the left front wheel 7: move the vehicle to the left until touching left lane marking 8: keep left front wheel on the left lane marking 9: else if speed < speedCorridor and lane == 2 then 10: create left ray cast on the right front wheel 11: create right ray cast on the right front wheel 12: move the vehicle to the right front wheel 13: keep right row the left until touching right lane marking 14: else 15: create left ray cast for lane detection 16: create right ray cast for lane detection 17: move the vehicle to the centre of the lane 18: keep the vehicle on track 19: end if 20: end procedure 	2: procedure EmergencyCorridor(speed, lane)	
 5: create left ray cast on the left front wheel 6: create right ray cast on the left front wheel 7: move the vehicle to the left until touching left lane marking 8: keep left front wheel on the left lane marking 9: else if speed < speedCorridor and lane == 2 then 10: create left ray cast on the right front wheel 11: create right ray cast on the right front wheel 12: move the vehicle to the right until touching right lane marking 13: keep right front wheel on the right lane marking 14: else 15: create left ray cast for lane detection 16: create right ray cast for lane detection 17: move the vehicle to the centre of the lane 18: keep the vehicle on track 19: end if 20: end procedure 	3: $speedCorridor = 30$	
 6: create right ray cast on the left front wheel 7: move the vehicle to the left until touching left lane marking 8: keep left front wheel on the left lane marking 9: else if speed < speedCorridor and lane == 2 then 10: create left ray cast on the right front wheel 11: create right ray cast on the right front wheel 12: move the vehicle to the right until touching right lane marking 13: keep right front wheel on the right lane marking 14: else 15: create left ray cast for lane detection 16: create right ray cast for lane detection 17: move the vehicle to the centre of the lane 18: keep the vehicle on track 19: end if 20: end procedure 	4: if $speed < speedCorridor$ and $lane == 1$ then	
 7: move the vehicle to the left until touching left lane marking 8: keep left front wheel on the left lane marking 9: else if speed < speedCorridor and lane == 2 then 10: create left ray cast on the right front wheel 11: create right ray cast on the right front wheel 12: move the vehicle to the right until touching right lane marking 13: keep right front wheel on the right lane marking 14: else 15: create left ray cast for lane detection 16: create right ray cast for lane detection 17: move the vehicle to the centre of the lane 18: keep the vehicle on track 19: end if 20: end procedure 	5: create left ray cast on the left front wheel	
 keep left front wheel on the left lane marking else if speed < speedCorridor and lane == 2 then create left ray cast on the right front wheel create right ray cast on the right front wheel move the vehicle to the right until touching right lane marking keep right front wheel on the right lane marking create left ray cast for lane detection create right ray cast for lane detection create right ray cast for lane detection keep the vehicle to the centre of the lane keep the vehicle on track end if end procedure 	6: create right ray cast on the left front wheel	
9: else if speed < speedCorridor and lane == 2 then	7: move the vehicle to the left until touching left lane marking	
 create left ray cast on the right front wheel create right ray cast on the right front wheel move the vehicle to the right until touching right lane marking keep right front wheel on the right lane marking else create left ray cast for lane detection create right ray cast for lane detection create right ray cast for lane detection keep the vehicle to the centre of the lane keep the vehicle on track end if end procedure 	8: keep left front wheel on the left lane marking	
 create right ray cast on the right front wheel move the vehicle to the right until touching right lane marking keep right front wheel on the right lane marking else create left ray cast for lane detection create right ray cast for lane detection create right ray cast for lane detection keep the vehicle to the centre of the lane keep the vehicle on track end if end procedure 	9: else if $speed < speedCorridor$ and $lane == 2$ then	
 move the vehicle to the right until touching right lane marking keep right front wheel on the right lane marking else create left ray cast for lane detection create right ray cast for lane detection create right ray cast for lane detection keep the vehicle to the centre of the lane keep the vehicle on track end if end procedure 	10: create left ray cast on the right front wheel	
 13: keep right front wheel on the right lane marking 14: else 15: create left ray cast for lane detection 16: create right ray cast for lane detection 17: move the vehicle to the centre of the lane 18: keep the vehicle on track 19: end if 20: end procedure 	11: create right ray cast on the right front wheel	
 14: else 15: create left ray cast for lane detection 16: create right ray cast for lane detection 17: move the vehicle to the centre of the lane 18: keep the vehicle on track 19: end if 20: end procedure 	12: move the vehicle to the right until touching right lane marking	
 15: create left ray cast for lane detection 16: create right ray cast for lane detection 17: move the vehicle to the centre of the lane 18: keep the vehicle on track 19: end if 20: end procedure 	13: keep right front wheel on the right lane marking	
 create right ray cast for lane detection move the vehicle to the centre of the lane keep the vehicle on track end if end procedure 	14: else	
 move the vehicle to the centre of the lane keep the vehicle on track end if end procedure 	15: create left ray cast for lane detection	
 keep the vehicle on track end if end procedure 	16: create right ray cast for lane detection	
19: end if 20: end procedure	17: move the vehicle to the centre of the lane	
20: end procedure	18: keep the vehicle on track	
	19: end if	
21: end for	20: end procedure	
	21: end for	

Figure 4: Algorithm of building an emergency corridor.

4.1 Building an Emergency Corridor: Slow-moving Traffic

The emergency corridor is always formed as soon as the speed falls below a certain value (30 km/h). In the case of slow-moving traffic, the vehicles automatically form a rescue corridor. This can be seen in figure 5, left. Figure 5 also shows that all vehicles drive in one row in order. This is done by keeping the safety distance to the front vehicle and drive at equal speed. Therefore, the road is used completely as well. This also ensures that the vehicles stop in time to avoid injuring the passengers. As soon as the distance to the following vehicle increases, the vehicles accelerate automatically. When the speed increases, the vehicles automatically increase the safety distance and close the gap for the emergency vehicles (Fig. 5, right).



Figure 5: Building an emergency corridor at slow-moving traffic on a two-lane motorway. Left: Open an emergency corridor. Right: Close an emergency corridor.

4.2 Building an Emergency Corridor: Obstacles in Front

This experiment shows the formation of the rescue corridor when approaching any obstacles. For example, this could be an accident that blocks the total road. The next figure 6 shows the formed rescue corridor in the event of an accident on a two-lane motorway.



Figure 6: Building an emergency corridor in the event of an accident on a two-lane motorway. Left: Front-view. Right: Rear-view.

The automatic building of an emergency corridor in the case of an accident was also tested on a three-lane motorway. This can be seen in the next figure 7.



Figure 7: Building an emergency corridor in the event of an accident on a three-lane motorway. Left: Front-view with normal lanes. Right: Front-view with extra wide lanes.



Figure 8: Building an emergency corridor on a three-lane motorway with normal lanes (Rear-view).

The vehicles know the width of the lane. Thus, this width can be incorporated into the formation of the

rescue corridor. Therefore, the width of the lane can vary. This can be seen in figure 7, right and figure 8. To ensure that the simulation also works for more than three lanes of a motorway, a four-lane motorway (Fig. 9) was also built and tested successfully.



Figure 9: Building an emergency corridor in the event of an accident on a four-lane motorway.

4.3 Building an Emergency Corridor: Front Tire Bursting

To investigate whether the rescue corridor can be formed at any unexpected time, an unexpected accident was simulated while driving. This showed that the autonomous vehicles at any opportunity could build an emergency corridor. The simulation was random to cover every possible case. Therefore, the vehicle for the accident, the rotation in degrees and the time of the accident were determined by chance. This simulation is intended to simulate the bursting of a front tire while driving. The following figure 10 illustrates this example.



Figure 10: Simulation of a front tire bursting while driving. Left: Not built emergency corridor. Right: Built emergency corridor.

The vehicles in front of the accident vehicle continue driving and contact the rescue service. The other vehicles stop to avoid a collision. Figure 10, right also shows that the car's lidar has coloured yellow. This illustrates the arrival of a message. Due to these experiments, the unexpected and unimaginable behaviour through the physics of the vehicle can be shown. Above all, the reaction to the adjustment of the rotation was always different. This results from the G-forces, which are dependent on the direction and rotation of the vehicle. Further experiments and considerations of this work can be found in section 6.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The presented simulator helps to demonstrate the behaviour of autonomous vehicles in the event of unforeseeable accidents in motorway traffic. The vehicles for the simulation were imported from the Unity Asset Store and extended in our project. The lane and distance detection was performed with ray casts. The rotation detection of the self-driving car is done by monitoring the own car spin on the road. The collision detection could be realised by the methods provided by Unity. The vehicles move autonomously. These automatically accelerate, keep the safety distance, adjust the safety distance to their speed and adjust the emergency corridor to the width of the lane. If the speed falls below a certain value, an emergency corridor will be opened automatically. This is important in slow-moving traffic. If the speed exceeds a certain value, the rescue corridor will be closed automatically. Communication between vehicles is very important in simulation and practice. The vehicles have to exchange messages about the situation on the road. This allows to make decisions and to forward the direction or the position of the accident vehicle. The exchange of messages is realised via an ellipse, which contains the vehicles in the surrounding area as a game object. Some experiments were carried out to test the functionality. These test cases were randomly generated to cover as many different behaviours as possible. The communication between autonomous vehicles in practical applications was also briefly explained. distance, rotation and urban Below, lane, environment detection in practice have been discussed. Furthermore, the sensors used in autonomous vehicles were explained. The levels of automation were also presented to be able to integrate the formation of the rescue corridor into the driving process. From the third level onwards, the vehicle moves autonomously on the road. From this level on, an emergency corridor can be automatically built by the respective vehicle. In this work the simulation is based on these parameters: the total number of lanes on the motorway, the own lane number and the width of the lane.

6 FUTURE WORK

The following questions also arise: What happens if the autonomous vehicle breaks through the crash barrier and enters oncoming traffic in the event of an unforeseeable accident in the opposite lane? What happens in the case of an unexpected deer crossing? To answer these questions further implementations and experiments are necessary. In this simulator the lane detection is done using ray casts. Therefore, the next step is the implementation of a lane detection using video cameras (image recognition) as known from practice. It is possible that not only sequential programming but also the methods of machine learning will be investigated. It would also be interesting to see how the autonomous vehicles behave when, for example, zipper procedures are used on a construction site or driving onto a motorway in flowing traffic. In the current simulation, the vehicles drive at equal speed in each lane and keep the safety distance to the following vehicle. This is why the autonomous vehicles drive side by side in each lane. This optimum uses the space on the road, but this does not guite correspond to reality. What will also be transferred to the simulator and would be conceivable in practice is that each lane has its specific speed. For example, on a three-lane motorway, the autonomous vehicles drive up to 100 km/h in the right lane. Vehicles with speed between 101 and 119 km/h drive in the middle lane. From 120 km/h on, the vehicles drive in the left lane. The driver of the autonomous vehicle can set this maximum speed himself. Further experiments on this topic will follow.

REFERENCES

- Alheeti, K. M. A., Gruebler, A., McDonald-Maier, K. D., 2016. An Intrusion Detection System against Black Hole Attacks on the Communication Network of Self-Driving Cars, Sixth International Conference on Emerging Security Technologies (EST), pp. 86-91, ISBN 978-1-4673-9799-5.
- Azmat, M., Schuhmayer, C., 2015. Self Driving Cars. Academia.edu. [online]. Available at: https://www.academia.edu/14750561/Self_Driving_C ars_Future_has_already_begun.
- Behrisch, M., Bieker, L., Erdmann, J., Krajzewicz, D., 2011. Sumo - Simulation of Urban MObility: an overview, The Third International Conference on Advances in System Simulation, pp. 63-68, ISBN 978-1-61208-169-4.
- Buchenscheit, A., Schaub, F., Kargl, F., Weber, M., 2018. A VANET-based emergency vehicle warning system,

IoTBDS 2020 - 5th International Conference on Internet of Things, Big Data and Security

2009 IEEE Vehicular Networking Conference (VNC), pp. 1-8, ISBN 978-1-4244-5685-7.

- Dallmeyer, J., Timm, I. J., 2012. MAINSIM MultimadAl INnercity SIMulation, Conference on Artificial Intelligence (KI2012), pp. 125-129.
- De Oliveira, J., 2018. Jump start your autonomous simulation development with Unity's SimViz Solution Template, Blogs.unity3d.com. [online]. Available at: https://blogs.unity3d.com/2018/11/08/jump-start-yourautonomous-simulation-development-with-unityssimviz-solution-template/?_ga=2.67797393. 1847280321.1575365091-841449263.1575365091.
- De Oliveira, J., Duong, R., 2018. AirSim on Unity: Experiment with autonomous vehicle simulation, Blogs.unity3d.com. [online]. Available at: https://blogs.unity3d.com/2018/11/14/airsim-on-unityexperiment-with-autonomous-vehicle-simulation/
- Dębiński, M., Jukowski, M., Bohatkiewicz, J., 2018. Emergency Corridors - Necessary Solutions in a Modern Road Network, MATEC Web of Conferences 231 (GAMBIT 2018), pp. 1-6.
- Hartenstein, H., Laberteaux, L. P., 2008. A tutorial survey on vehicular ad hoc networks, IEEE Communications Magazine (Volume: 46, Issue: 6), pp. 164-171, ISSN 0163-6804.
- Jain, R., 2014. Introduction to Vehicular Wireless Networks, cse.wustl.edu. [online]. Available at: https:// www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse574-14/ftp/j 08vwn.pdf.
- Leftlaneadvisors, 2013. NHTSA Levels of Vehicle Autonomy Infographic, Leftlaneadvisors.com. [online]. Available at: https://leftlaneadvisors.com/project/ nhtsa-levels-of-vehicle-autonomy-infographic/.
- MATSim, 2019. Agent-Based Transport Simulations, Matsim.org. [online]. Available at: https://www.matsim. org/about-matsim.
- PTVGroup, 2019. Traffic Flow Simulation with PTV Vissim, Ptvgroup.com. [online]. Available at: https://www.ptvgroup.com/en/solutions/products/ptvvissim/areas-of-application/traffic-flow-simulation/.
- Unity Asset Store, 2019. *Car'Toon : The Sport Car with interior*, Assetstore.unity.com. [online]. Available at: https://assetstore.unity.com/packages/3d/vehicles/land/car-toon-the-sport-car-with-interior-62697.
- Urooj, S., Feroz, I., Ahmad, N., 2018. Systematic literature review on user interfaces of autonomous cars: Liabilities and responsibilities, 2018 International Conference on Advancements in Computational Sciences (ICACS), pp. 1-10, ISBN 978-1-5386-2172-1.