A Deep-learning based Method for the Classification of the Cellular Images
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Abstract: The present work proposes a classification method for the Human Epithelial of type 2 (HEp-2) cell images using an unsupervised deep feature learning method. Unlike most of the state-of-the-art methods in the literature that utilize deep learning in a strictly supervised way, we propose here the use of the deep convolutional autoencoder (DCAE) as the principal feature extractor for classifying the different types of the HEp-2 cellular images. The network takes the original cellular images as the inputs and learns how to reconstruct them through an encoding-decoding process in order to capture the features related to the global shape of the cells. A final feature vector is constructed by using the latent representations extracted from the DCAE, giving a highly discriminative feature representation. The created features will then be fed to a nonlinear classifier whose output will represent the final type of the cell image. We have tested the discriminability of the proposed features on one of the most popular HEp-2 cell classification datasets, the SNPHEp-2 dataset and the results show that the proposed features manage to capture the distinctive characteristics of the different cell types while performing at least as well as some of the actual deep learning based state-of-the-art methods.

1 INTRODUCTION

Computer-aided diagnostic (CAD) systems have gained tremendous interests since the unfolding of various machine learning techniques in the past decades. They comprise all the systems that aim to consolidate the automation of the disease diagnostic procedures. One of the most challenging tasks regarding those CAD systems is the complete analysis and understanding of the images representing the biological organisms. In case of the autoimmune diseases, the automatic classification of the different types of the Human Epithelial type 2 (HEp-2) cell patterns is one of the most important steps of the diagnosis procedure.

Automatic feature learning methods have been widely adopted since the unfolding of deep learning (LeCun et al., 2015). They have shown outstanding results in the object recognition problems (LeCun et al., 2004; He et al., 2016) and many researchers have adopted them as principal tool for the HEp-2 cell classification problem (Gao et al., 2016). Unlike conventional methods whose accuracy depends on the subjective choice of the features, deep learning methods, such as deep convolutional neural networks (CNNs), have the advantage of offering an automatic feature learning process. In fact, many works have demonstrated the superiority of the deep learning based features over the hand-crafted ones for the HEp-2 cell classification task. Although the performance obtained with the supervised learning methodology continues to reach impressive levels, the exigency of always having labelled datasets in hand, knowing that deep-learning methods necessitate huge amount of images, can represent a relative drawback for these methods.

We propose an unsupervised deep feature learning process that uses the deep convolutional autoencoder (DCAE) as the principal feature extractor. The DCAE, which learns to reproduce the original cellular images via a deep encoding-decoding scheme, is used for extracting the features. The DCAE takes the original cell image as an input and will learn to reproduce it by extracting the meaningful features needed for the discrimination part of the method. The latent representations trapped between the encoder...
and the decoder of the DCAE will be extracted and used as the final high-level features of the system. The DCAE will help to encode the geometrical details of the cells contained in the original pictures. The discrimination potentiality carried by the extracted features allows us to feed them as the inputs of a shallow nonlinear classifier, which will certainly find a way to discriminate them. The proposed method was tested on the SNP HEp-2 Cell dataset (Wiliem et al.) and the results show that the proposed features outperform by far the conventional and popular handcrafted features and perform at least as well as the state-of-the-art supervised deep learning based methods.

2 PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

Auto-encoders (Hinton et al.) are unsupervised learning methods that are used for the purpose of feature extraction and dimensionality reduction of data. Neural network based auto-encoder consists of an encoder and a decoder. The encoder takes an input \( x \) of dimension \( d \), and maps it to a hidden representation \( y \), of dimension \( r \), using a deterministic mapping function \( f \) such that:

\[
y = f(Wx + b)
\]

(1)

where the parameters \( W \) and \( b \) are the weights and biases associated with the encoder. The decoder then takes the output \( y \) of the encoder and uses the same mapping function \( f \) in order to provide a reconstruction \( z \) that must be of the same shape or in the same form (which means almost equal to) as the original input signal \( x \). Using equation (1), the output of the decoder is also given by:

\[
z = f(W'x + b')
\]

(2)

where the parameters \( W' \) and \( b' \) are the weights and bias associated with the decoder layer. Finally, the network must learn the parameters \( W, W', b \) and \( b' \) so that \( z \) must be close or, if possible, equal to \( x \). In final, the network learns to minimize the differences between the encoder’s input \( x \) and the decoder’s output \( z \).

This encoding-decoding process can be done with the use of convolutional neural networks by using what we call the deep convolutional autoencoder (DCAE). Unlike conventional neural networks, where you can set the size of the output that you want to get, the convolutional neural networks are characterized by the process of down-sampling, accomplished by the pooling layers, which are incorporated in their architecture. And this sub-

sampling process has as consequence the loss of the input’s spatial information while we go deeper inside the network.

To tackle this problem, we can use DCAE instead of conventional convolutional neural networks. In the DCAE, after the down-sampling process accomplished by the encoder, the decoder tries to up-sample the representation until we reconstruct the original size. This can be made by backwards convolution often called “deconvolution” operations. The final solution of the network can be written in the form:

\[
(W, W', b, b') = \arg\min_{W,W',b,b'} L(xz),
\]

(3)

where \( z \) denotes the decoder’s output and \( x \) is the original image. The function \( L \) in equation (3) estimates the differences between the \( x \) and \( z \). So, the solution of equation (3) represents the parameter values that minimize the most the difference between input \( x \) and the reconstruction \( z \).

In our experiments, the feature vectors extracted from the DCAE contain 4096 elements. The second part of the method consists of giving this feature vector to a shallow artificial neural network (ANN). Finally, in order to predict the cell type, a supervised learning process will be conducted using the extracted features from the DCAE as the inputs and a 2 layered ANN as the classifier.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There are 1,884 cellular images in the dataset, all of them extracted from the 40 different specimen images. Different specimens were used for constructing the training and testing image sets, and both sets were created in such a way that they cannot contain images from the same specimen. From the 40 specimens, 20 were used for the training sets and the remaining 20 were used for the testing sets. In total there are 905 and 979 cell images for the training and testing sets, respectively. Each set (training and testing) contains five-fold validation splits of randomly selected images. In each set, the different splits are used for cross validating the different models, each split containing 450 images approximatively. The SNPHEp-2 dataset was presented by Wiliem et al. (2016). Figure 1 shows the example images of the five different cell types randomly selected from the dataset.

As previously mentioned, the created feature vectors extracted from the DCAE contain 4096 elements. So, our network will have 4096 neurons in
the input layer. The best results were obtained using a 4096-250-50-5 architecture, meaning that we have 4096 neurons in the input layer, 250 neurons in the first hidden layer, 50 neurons in the second hidden layer and a final layer containing 5 neurons corresponding to the 5 cell types of our dataset. The total accuracy reached by the network was 88.08%.

The details of the results are shown in the confusion matrix depicted in Figure 1. In the figure, ‘Homo’, ‘Coarse’, ‘Fine’, ‘Nucl’ and ‘Centro’ denote the homogeneous, the coarse speckled, the fine speckled, the nucleolar and the centromere cell types, respectively.
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**Figure 1:** Confusion matrix of the results obtained with a 4096-250-50-5 neural network using the extracted features from the DCAE as the inputs.

In the confusion matrix in Figure 1, we can see that the most distinguishable cells for the classifier are the centromere cells, for which the classification accuracy reaches 93.19%. But, in the same time, we can notice that there is a significant confusion between the centromere and the coarse speckled cells: 6.54% of the coarse speckled cells were misclassified as centromere. The confusion is confirmed by also taking a look at the classification rate of the coarse speckled: 5.17% of them were misclassified as centromere, as we can see in the second column (fifth row) of the confusion matrix.

The homogeneous cells also are well classified in general, over 91% of them were correctly recognized by the classifier. Another important confusion comes between the homogeneous and fine speckled cells. As we can notice in the confusion matrix, 6.11% of the homogeneous cells were misclassified as fine speckled. And in the case of the fine speckled cells, the confusion is even more noticeable. We can see in the matrix that almost 10% (9.97) of the fine speckled were misclassified as homogeneous. Trying to decrease the confusion between the cells that show strong similarities in terms of shape and intensity level can be the direction of any consideration about the future works. As mentioned before, the overall classification rate of the proposed method is 88.08%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texture features + SVM</td>
<td>80.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPB descriptors + SVM</td>
<td>85.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 layers CNN</td>
<td>86.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present work (DCAE features + ANN)</td>
<td><strong>88.08%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have conducted a comparative study with the handcrafted features and one deep learning method using the CNN in a strictly supervised manner for the classification of the cellular images. The results of the comparative study are shown in Table 1. We can clearly see that the proposed method outperforms the handcrafted features. The proposed features from the DCAE perform also slightly better than the supervised deep-learning method proposed by Gao et al. (2016) using a 5 layers’ network.

### 4 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a cell classification method for the images portraying the microscopy data, the HEp-2 cells, a method that has adopted the DCAE as the principal feature extractor. Unlike most of the methods in the literature that are based on the supervised learning, we have used the DCAE in order to construct the feature vectors in an unsupervised way. These obtained vectors were then given to a nonlinear classifier whose outputs determine the cell type of the image. The results show that the proposed feature extraction method really captures the characteristics of each cell type. The comparative study demonstrates that our proposed features perform far better than the handcrafted ones and slightly better than the supervised deep learning method.

But, as we have discussed in the results, many cell types exhibit strong similarities between them in terms of shape and intensity level. These similarities encourage a significant confusion during the discrimination step of the proposed features. We consider that the next step of our work is to try to find a way of minimizing the confusion between these cells that show strong similarities.
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