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Abstract: Adaptive classifiers detect previously unknown classes of data, cluster them and adapt itself to classify the newly detected classes without degrading classification performance on known classes. This study explores applying transfer learning from pre-trained CNNs for feature extraction, and adaptive classifier algorithms for predicting radio waveform modulation classes. It is surmised that adaptive classifiers are essential components for cognitive radio and radar systems. Three approaches that use anomaly detection and clustering techniques are implemented for online adaptive RF waveform classification. The use of CNNs is explored because they have been demonstrated previously as highly accurate classifiers on two-dimensional constellation images of RF signals, and because CNNs lend themselves well to transfer learning applications where limited data is available. This study explores replacing the last softmax layer of CNNs with adaptive classifiers to determine if the resulting classifiers can maintain or improve the original accuracy of the CNNs, as well as provide for on-the-fly anomaly detection and clustering in nonstationary RF environments.

1 INTRODUCTION

Radio frequency (RF) spectrum systems are facing increasing challenges with respect to electromagnetic spectrum access and RF interference (RFI) caused by other RF sources in or near the bands of device operations. For example, it has been shown that RFI significantly degrades performance for radars such as air traffic control and weather (Martone, 2018). RF spectrum is a limited resource where access is typically managed by government organizations to prevent interference (Tang, 2010), (Ali, 2008).

Although governing organizations have attempted to keep RF interference to a minimum, regulations do not always resolve interference between devices that operate on the same RF band. This forces legacy RF users to investigate alternative methods of cooperation and co-design as increasing numbers of systems clog the RF bands. The Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) has conducted extensive research in developing software-defined radio systems that autonomously collaborate, called “Collaborative Intelligent Networks” (Paul, 2017), (Chaudhari, 2018). To mitigate RF spectrum interference, (Paul, 2017) views the future of RF devices where transceiver architectures will not function strictly as fixed function devices, but as universal RF transceiver platforms that dynamically reconfigure themselves as cognitive devices, meeting the immediate functional demand. Commercial software-defined radios available on the market have the potential to implement such architectures, but there is much needed research on applying artificial intelligence and/or machine learning algorithms (AI/ML) to provide these devices with the cognitive abilities to operate adaptively in nonstationary environments.

2 MOTIVATION OF THIS STUDY

An important component of a cognitive RF communication system is the ability to accurately classify multiple classes of waveforms acquired by its sensors. Such classifiers are typically trained using supervised learning techniques on known data sets.
However, when one of these classifiers is presented with unknown waveform classes it was not trained on, it will surely fail. Therefore, there is the need while in online operation to not only classify the known waveform classes, but to also recognize the presence of anomalous waveforms. Upon recognizing such anomalies there is a desire to adaptively cluster them into unknown subclasses. The ability of RF devices to adaptively classify activity in nonstationary environments is a crucial component of cognitive RF systems. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to explore approaches for offline training of classifiers on known classes and to augment them with adaptive algorithms for online unsupervised waveform detection, clustering, and classification.

3 BACKGROUND

3.1 Transfer Learning with CNNs

The understanding of the human vision system has inspired tremendous research in the development of convolutional neural networks (CNNs) providing image classification accuracies surpassing that of humans. CNNs became of great importance in image classification when AlexNet was created for the ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge competition (ILSVRC-2010), (Krizhevsky, 2012). Deep learning networks achieve state-of-the-art performance; however, training such models requires large data sets that can take tremendous time, and the number of available training samples may be limited. A common approach to overcome this is to use transfer learning. To take advantage of their high accuracy and generalization, transfer learning is used where CNNs previously trained on massive data sets are repurposed for different domains. The initial feature extraction layers are transferred while the last layers used for classification are retrained for the new problem (Soekhoe, 2016), (Conn, 2019).

3.2 Constellation Images

Table 1: Sample of 3-Channel images.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8PSK</th>
<th>16QAM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In RF digital communications the information carrying signals are modulated onto a carrier waveform before transmission. The carrier is modified by a triplet of attributes consisting of the carrier’s amplitude, phase, and frequency. Modifying these parameters in relation to the information bearing signal allows for the superposition of the information onto the carrier for RF communications. To visualize these digitally modulated waveforms, constellation images in the complex in-phase and quadrature plane are often used. A technique defined by (Peng, 2017) uses constellation images to map the complex real and imaginary components to generate RGB 3-Channel images. The images are used as inputs to CNN classifiers. Two 3-Channel image examples shown in Table 1, generated per (Conn, 2019). The first image is 8 phase shift keying (8PSK) and the second is 16 quadrature amplitude modulation (16QAM). It is clear the images are discernible for classification.

3.3 Clusters, Centroids and Euclidian

A cluster defines a set of objects in which each object is closer to or more similar to an example object that defines the cluster than to the example of any other cluster. Clusters of objects are also often referred to as classes. For data with continuous attributes, the representative example of a cluster is often referred to as a centroid, and it is often defined as the average of all the points in the cluster. To assign a point to the closest centroid, a proximity measure that quantifies the concept of "closest" for the specific data under consideration must be defined. The Euclidean (L2) distance is often used for real data points in the Euclidean space and is the most widely used distance measurement because it is preserved under orthogonal transformations such as the Fourier transform (Tan, 2006) (Der, 2013). Given two \( n \) dimensional vectors \( p \) and \( q \), where \( p = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_n) \) and \( q = (q_1, q_2, ..., q_n) \), the Euclidean L2 Distance between them is defined as:

\[
D(p, q) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i - q_i)^2} \tag{1}
\]

3.4 Dynamic Online Growing Neural Gas (DYNG)

Approaches based on topological feature maps such as self-organizing maps (SOM) (Kohonen, 1990), neural gas (NG) (Hohonen, 1982), and growing neural gas (GNG) (Fritzke, 1995) have been successfully applied to online clustering problems. Although successful, their architectures do not allow for the labelling of different classes of data presented to the networks. To address this DYNG (Beyer, 2013)
extends GNG by allowing for online training and class labelling of known data presented to a GNG network. Figure 1 depicts a simplified diagram of a DYNG network. DYNG exploits labelled data (C1, C2, and C3) during training to adapt the network structure to the requirements of the classification task.

While the network is in online training mode it can be presented with labelled and unlabelled data. The labelled data are clustered into a set of neurons marked with the same label. All unlabelled data presented to the network are labelled as “?” and the generated associated neurons are also labelled as “?”.

A key limitation of DYNG is it does not allow for the distinct labelling of different “unknown” classes of stimuli as they are presented to the network. DYNG does not explicitly detect when the unlabelled data entries are from different classes or from different population distributions, and then label them as such. It is only at a later time when DYNG is presented with a newly labelled stimulus that some subset of the “unknown” neurons that fall within the criteria of distance measures are relabelled to the new label name. The research herein will attempt to address this by providing a mechanism to immediately detect and cluster anomalies as they occur online.

4 METHODOLOGY

4.1 Overall Approach

CNNs have been demonstrated in countless applications as highly accurate classifiers. However, they are brittle in the sense that once trained they cannot adapt to processing anomalous data and will fail under such circumstances. To address this, a twofold approach has been taken. First, we make use of transfer learning and take advantage of the feature extraction expertise that pre-trained CNNs have gained from training on massive amounts of data. This is done by freezing the weights and biases of all but the last fully connected layer of the CNNs. Secondly, we remove the last fully connected classification layer of the CNNs and replace it with an adaptive classification layer capable of maintaining the original accuracy of the CNN. This adaptive layer also provides for online anomaly detection, adaptation, and clustering (or categorization) of the anomalies into new unknown classes. Three such adaptive classification algorithms are presented in the remaining sections.

4.2 Training and Test Data

For this study, the GoogleNet, AlexNet, ResNet, Inception, and MobileNet CNN architectures were used to get a sampling of performance across various architectures. Transfer learning was used by replacing the last 1000-class output layer of the pre-trained CNN with a 9-class softmax output layer with the weights and biases trained on the waveform data sets. The original weights and biases of all other layers were frozen. For this work only known data sets are trained on and presented to the classifiers for testing. As of the writing of this paper, the results of anomalous stimuli data are not presented; however, preliminary results have shown favourable performance accuracies. This study focuses on how accurate the adaptive classifiers are in classifying the known data they were trained upon. For each known class type, there were 750 training samples, and for validation there were 300 samples. The training data set consisted of sample sets from nine different waveforms. The nine classes were bipolar phase shift keying (BPSK), quadrature amplitude shift keying (4ASK), quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK), orthogonal quadrature phase shift keying (OQPSK), 8PSK, 16QAM, 32QAM, 64QAM, and Noise. Using the approach detailed in (Conn, 2019) and (Peng, 2017) for training, the modulated waveforms were used to generate the 3-Channel constellation images as shown in Table 1. The simulated waveforms had varying levels of White-Gaussian noise with SNRs ranging from -6 dB to 14 dB.

4.3 Baseline Accuracy Procedure

To establish the CNN-baseline accuracy, transfer learning was used by replacing the last 1000-class output layer of the pre-trained CNN with a 9-class softmax output layer as shown in Figure 2. The
weights and biases of the new classification layer were trained on the waveform data sets. Following the training, accuracy of the networks was assessed using the validation data. After the baseline accuracy of the CNNs was established, the last 9-class layer of the CNNs was removed and replaced with one of the three adaptive classifiers discussed in section 4.4.

4.4 Adaptive Classifiers

Three adaptive classifiers are explored in this research: 1) Centroid with Anomaly Detection and Clustering (CADC) algorithm; 2) Frequency Hits Anomaly Detection and Clustering (FHITS-ADC); and 3) DYNG Extended with CADC (DYNG-CADC). For each of these algorithms the following are defined: the specific model; the model training phase; the online predictions, anomaly detection and adaptation process; and finally the anomaly insertion operation.

4.4.1 CADC Algorithm

The CADC algorithm is designed to perform class predictions, anomaly detections, and semi-supervised clustering (adaptation) functions. For each class, the CADC algorithm generates a prototype node as shown in Figure 3. The CADC prototypes have four attributes. The class label $\lambda$ defines the name of the class (for example “BPSK”). $\phi$ defines a feature vector of length $N$ where each component defines the mean of each corresponding feature from all training data of the class. The $\phi$ dimension is of length $N$ with the value defined by the length of the CNN output layer from which the centroid node takes input. $\phi$ is considered the centroid of all training samples from the same class. The $L_2$ standard deviation $\sigma_{L_2}$ and mean $\mu_{L_2}$ establish boundaries on the use of the centroid feature vector and play a significant role in prediction decisions.

4.4.2 CADC Training

Before the CADC can perform classification, anomaly detection, and anomaly clustering, it must first be trained on $C$ number of known training classes to generate a CADC node for each known class.

![CADC Node](image)

After training, the CADC will be composed of $C$ centroid nodes. Each centroid node will have a unique label $\lambda$ derived from the labelled training data and it will have a feature vector $\phi$. $\phi$ for each centroid is the result of averaging the values at the corresponding feature index positions from all training samples in the same class. Once $C$ prototype centroids are established, the statistics $\sigma_{L_2}$ and $\mu_{L_2}$ are computed. This is done by reiterating through the training data for each class and calculating the mean and standard deviation of the $L_2$ distances between a class centroid and all training samples with the same class label. Once trained, all centroids and associated statistics for the known classes are defined, and the CADC algorithm can then be taken online.

4.4.3 CADC Online Prediction & Adaptation

With the trained CADC model taken online, it can perform class predictions and adaptations when anomalies are detected. As a stimulus is presented to the centroid network, the $L_2$ distance is computed between the input stimulus and all centroid nodes. The centroid with the smallest $L_2$ distance is selected as the candidate predicted class. To confirm acceptance of the candidate, the number of standard deviations hyper-parameter ($\eta$) is used. The $L_2$ distance is checked to determine if the stimulus falls within $\eta$ standard deviations of the centroids ($\eta=3$ used for reported results). If the stimulus’ $L_2$ distance falls within the thresholds, it is accepted as classified. If the stimulus is successfully declared as one of the known classes, that prediction stands and no further processing is required for that stimulus. However, if...
the stimulus is successfully predicted as one of the unknown classes (as discussed in the next section), the L2 standard deviation and mean statistic values for that unknown class are updated. This action provides for online refinement of the unknown class statistics each time a stimulus is declared a particular unknown class. If the incoming stimulus prediction is rejected because the calculated L2 distance falls outside of the selected centroid’s threshold, the stimulus is declared and processed as an anomaly as discussed in the next section.

4.4.4 CADC Anomaly Class Insertion & Adaptation

The insertion of an unknown stimuli into the model is a critical step of the adaptive learning process. When a stimulus is declared an “anomaly” the goals are to: 1) create a new unknown centroid class; 2) establish prediction statistics for the new unknown class; and 3) report the anomaly as a new unknown class. The approach relies on the concept of one shot learning, and the current statistics of all nodes of the CADC model. When the first anomaly is detected a new centroid class is created and labelled as “unknown1”; and when a second anomaly is detected a new centroid class is created and labelled as “unknown2”, etc. The feature vector of the new unknown centroid is initialized to the value of the stimulus vector. Then, to establish a model on the new class, the statistical knowledge already established on the centroids presently in the network model is leveraged. In doing this, the L2 standard deviation and mean for the new centroid is initialized to be the average of all the L2 standard deviations and means of all the existing centroid nodes. This average includes averaging the statistics from the known and unknown classes. Upon insertion completion, a new unknown node has been generated with a reasonable starting vector and statistics.

4.4.5 FHITS-ADC Algorithm

For each class, the FHITS-ADC algorithm generates a prototype node as shown in Figure 4. The class label \( \lambda \) defines the name of the class (for example “BPSK”). The standard deviation (\( \sigma \)) and mean (\( \mu \)) vectors establish decision boundaries for predictions. FHITS-ADC also requires two hyper-parameters, \( \eta \) and \( \beta \). The integer \( \eta \) defines the number of standard deviations a feature vector’s components can fall outside of boundary before an error is declared. The real number \( \beta \) (can take on any value from 1.0 to 0) is used to define the percentage of feature vector components that can be in error before a stimulus is declared an anomaly.

4.4.6 FHITS-ADC Training

The FHITS-ADC training algorithm begins with generating labelled centroid nodes, \( n_k \). The nodes have a class label, a standard deviation feature vector (\( \sigma \)), and a mean feature vector (\( \mu \)). The feature vector dimension is defined by the length of the CNN output layer that the centroid node will take input from (this size is \( N \)). Before FHITS-ADC can be taken online, it must first be trained on the C known classes. Thereafter the FHITS-ADC model will consist of C nodes. The \( \mu \) feature vector is calculated similarly to CADC. It is the result of averaging the values at the corresponding feature index positions from all \( x_t \) training samples within the same class. The \( \sigma \) feature vector is the result of calculating the standard deviation at the corresponding feature index positions from all \( x_t \) training samples within the same class.

4.4.7 FHITS-ADC Online Prediction and Adaptation

With the trained network online, it can perform class predictions, anomaly detection, and adaptation. As a \( x_t \) stimulus is presented to the network, the frequency of error hits parameter \( errorCount \) is computed between the input stimulus and all class nodes in the network. To carry out this computation, for all centroid classes \( n_k \), each component of the stimulus vector (\( x_t[f] \)) is checked to determine if it falls within \( \pm \eta \) standard deviations of the component’s average using the statistics computed during training. If a corresponding value of the stimulus vector falls outside the statistical limits, \( errorCount \) is increased indicating a non-match. The class with the least hits is a candidate for the predicted class of the stimulus.

To confirm acceptance of the class prediction, the hyper parameter threshold \( \beta \) is used. The \( \beta \) parameter defines the maximum percentage of error hits allowed before the stimulus is declared an anomaly. If the stimulus’ hit counter falls below the threshold, the
stimulus is accepted as classified. If the stimulus is successfully declared as an unknown class (as discussed in the next section), the mean statistic values are updated using the stimulus value. This action provides for online refinement of the unknown class statistics each time a stimulus is declared as a particular unknown class. If the incoming stimulus prediction is rejected because the calculated hit counter falls above the threshold, the stimulus is declared as an anomaly and insertion processed as discussed in the next section.

4.4.8 FHITS-ADC Anomaly Insertion

When a stimulus is declared an “anomaly” the goals are to: 1) create a new unknown node class; 2) quickly establish prediction statistics for the new unknown class; and 3) report the anomaly as a new unknown class. To create unknown centroid classes, a running index counter is used starting at value 1. When the first anomaly is detected a new centroid class is created and labelled as “unknown1”, and when a second anomaly is detected a new centroid class is created and labelled as “unknown2”, etc. To quickly establish the centroid statistics, the feature vector of the new unknown centroid is initialized to the value of the stimulus vector. Then to establish a statistical model of the new class, the knowledge already established on the centroids presently in the network model is leveraged by assigning the standard deviation and mean vector of the new centroid to be the average of all the standard deviations and means of the statistics of all the existing centroid classes. This includes averaging the statistics from the known and unknown classes.

4.4.9 DYNG-CADC Algorithm

There is interest in exploring use of DYNG for online streaming data classification because of its great flexibility in adapting its structure to non-stationary data while online. The strategy used is to extend (or augment) the DYNG network with the CADC algorithm to allow for the online rapid detection and unique labelling of new anomaly classes as they are input to the network. A similar approach could have been taken by extending DYNG with FHITS-ADC. The remainder of this subsection discusses DYNG training and how CADC is used to extend the DYNG network’s functionality.

4.4.10 DYNG-CADC Training

A complete description of the training algorithm for DYNG is provided in (Beyer, 2013). Before the DYNG-CADC algorithm is taken online, they both must be trained on C known classes of training data. The feature vector’s x dimension is defined by the length of the CNN’s output layer that the DYNG nodes will take input from (this size is N).

After training, the initial DYNG model is composed of C clusters of nodes representing the C known classes. The number of nodes within each cluster varies per class, and is determined dynamically by the DYNG training algorithm. The CADC network structure is also created and trained on the training data set as described in the CADC training section. In the DYNG-CADC algorithm, the CADC structure is only used as an online adaptive anomaly detector, and the DYNG network is used for classification. It is expected (not proven) that the DYNG network will provide greater classification accuracy than CADC because it has multiple nodes representing each class (therefore more voting power) than CADC, which has only one node structure per class. The hyper-parameters for the DYNG networks are shown in Table 2. MAX_NODES was set to 100 nodes to limit the growth of the DYNG networks.

Table 2: DYNG Hyper-parameters.

| EB 0.1; EN 0.0006; ALPHA 0.5; D 0.0005; LAMBDA 30; MAX_AGE 25; MAX_NODES 100; NUM_STD 5.0; |

4.4.11 DYNG-CADC Online Prediction and Adaptation

Once DYNG-CADC is trained on the known data set, it can be taken online for classification, anomaly detection, and clustering of unknown stimuli. In this mode, CADC processes the stimuli first to determine if the stimuli is declared an anomaly. If not declared as such, DYNG is used to perform the stimuli classification. If CADC declares the stimulus an anomaly, a unique label is generated and the stimuli with the new label is input to the DYNG in its training mode to incorporate the new class into the network. See section 4.4.1 for the CADC algorithm.
5 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

5.1 CNN Results

Figure 5 shows the performance of the five CNN networks retrained for the nine classes. Overall, the networks perform well. In general and as expected, the accuracy of the classifiers increases as the SNR increases. An unexpected observation for high SNRs between 6 to 14 dB is that ResNet50, AlexNet, and GoogleNet performances tend to drop, although their overall performance is still above or near 90%. It is not clear why these drops occur, as this behaviour does not show itself in MobileNet and Inception. The speculation is that additional training or hyper-parameter adjustments on those networks will correct for the degraded performance on the higher SNRs.

5.2 CNN-DYNG Results

Figure 6 shows the overall classification performance of the CNN-DYNG networks, with AlexNet performing the least accurate and MobileNet providing the best overall accuracy at about 85%.

5.3 CNN-CADC Results

CNN-DYNG generally performed better than the CNN-CADC results, as shown in Figure 7 with Inception for CNN-CADC giving the best performance. For CNN-DYNG, MobileNet gives the best performance. AlexNet consistently performed the poorest.

5.4 CNN-FHITS-ADC Results

The CNN-FHITS-ADC results in Figure 8 gives a comparable performance to the other algorithms. It stabilizes at about SNRs of 6 dB and above.

5.5 Overall Accuracy Summary

Table 3 shows the overall performance of all algorithm configurations. The top three performers are CNN-FHITS-ADC-ResNet50, CNN-MobileNet, and CNN-GoogleNet. In some cases, the standard softmax layer for CNNs does not provide optimal classification accuracy. For example, CNN-FHITS-ADC-ResNet50 provides greater performance (87.19%) than CNN-
resenet50 (83.63%). Another similar case is where CNN-FHITS-ADC-AlexNet performance (84.63%) is greater than CNN-AlexNet (84.10%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Overall Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CNN-FHITS-ADC-ResNet50</td>
<td>87.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CNN-MobileNet</td>
<td>87.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CNN-GoogleNet</td>
<td>86.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CNN-FHITS-ADC-GoogleNet</td>
<td>86.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CNN-FHITS-ADC-MobileNet</td>
<td>86.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CNN-Inceptionv3</td>
<td>86.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CNN-DYNG-MobileNet</td>
<td>85.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CNN-DYNG-ResNet50</td>
<td>85.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CNN-FHITS-ADC-Inceptionv3</td>
<td>85.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CNN-CADC-Inceptionv3</td>
<td>84.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CNN-FHITS-ADC-AlexNet</td>
<td>84.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>CNN-CADC-MobileNet</td>
<td>84.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>CNN-AlexNet</td>
<td>84.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>CNN-DYNG-Inceptionv3</td>
<td>84.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>CNN-CADC-ResNet50</td>
<td>83.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>CNN-ResNet50</td>
<td>83.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>CNN-DYNG-GoogeNet</td>
<td>82.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>CNN-CADC-GoogeNet</td>
<td>81.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>CNN-DYNG-AlexNet</td>
<td>79.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>CNN-CADC-AlexNet</td>
<td>70.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Overall Accuracy.

However, use of these alternative last layer classifiers does not guarantee greater performance than softmax. For example, CNN-MobileNet using the standard softmax layer outperforms all other variants of MobileNet.

6 CONCLUSIONS

This work investigated using transfer learning and adaptive classifiers for RF waveform classification with various CNN architectures. This research presented three online adaptive classifier frameworks for the replacement of the last layer of CNNs to allow for high accuracy classification performance in nonstationary environments.

7 FUTURE WORK

Future research will investigate performance of the online anomaly detection and adaptation capability of these algorithms to demonstrate that such algorithms can sustain acceptable accuracies in non-stationary environments. Preliminary work has in fact shown that these algorithms can provide acceptable performance.
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