Sentiment Analysis from Sound Spectrograms via Soft BoVW and Temporal Structure Modelling
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Abstract: Monitoring and analysis of human sentiments is currently one of the hottest research topics in the field of human-computer interaction, having many applications. However, in order to become practical in daily life, sentiment recognition techniques should analyze data collected in an unobtrusive way. For this reason, analyzing audio signals of human speech (as opposed to say biometrics) is considered key to potential emotion recognition systems. In this work, we expand upon previous efforts to analyze speech signals using computer vision techniques on their spectrograms. In particular, we utilize ORB descriptors on keypoints distributed on a regular grid over the spectrogram to obtain an intermediate representation. Firstly, a technique similar to Bag-of-Visual-Words (BoVW) is used, where a visual vocabulary is created by clustering keypoint descriptors, but instead a soft candidacy score is used to construct the histogram descriptors of the signal. Furthermore, a technique which takes into account the temporal structure of the spectrograms is examined, allowing for effective model regularization. Both of these techniques are evaluated in several popular emotion recognition datasets, with results indicating an improvement over the simple BoVW method.

1 INTRODUCTION

The recognition of human emotional states constitutes one of the most recent trends in the broader research area of human computer interaction (Cowie et al., 2001). Several modalities are typically used towards this goal; information is extracted by sensors, either placed on the subject’s body or within the user’s environment. In the first case, one may use e.g., physiological and/or inertial sensors, while on the latter case cameras and/or microphones. Even though video is becoming the main public means of self-expression (Poria et al., 2016), people still consider cameras to be more invasive than microphones (Zeng et al., 2017). Body sensors offer another reasonably practical alternative but they have been criticized for causing discomfort, when used for extended periods of time. Therefore, for many applications, microphones are the preferred choice of monitoring emotion.

As such, a plethora of research works in the area of emotion recognition is based on the scenario where microphones are placed within the subject’s environment, capturing its vocalized speech, which is comprised of a linguistic and a non-linguistic component. The first consists of articulated patterns, as pronounced by the speaker, while the second captures recognition step; instead, recognition is based only on independent models since they do not require a speech pronunciation. Of course, the problem remains challenging in this case, since cultural particularities can majorly affect the non-linguistic content, even when dealing with data originating from a single language;
there exists a plethora of different sentences, speakers, speaking styles and rates (El Ayadi et al., 2011).

In this work, we extend previous work (Spyrou et al., 2019) and we propose an approach for emotion recognition from speech, which is based on the generation of spectrograms. Visual descriptors are extracted from these spectrograms and a visual vocabulary is generated. Contrary to previous work, each spectrogram is described using soft histogram features. In addition, a sequential representation is utilized to capture the temporal structure of the original audio signal. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 certain works related to our subject of study are presented. In Section 3 we present the proposed methodology for emotion recognition from audio signals. Experiments are presented and discussed in Section 4 and conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2 RELATED WORK AND CONTRIBUTIONS

Several research efforts have been conducted towards the goal of emotion recognition from human speech. Wang and Guan make use of both low level audio features, namely prosodic features, MFCCs and formant frequencies, as well as visual features extracted from the facial image of the speaker, for this task, demonstrating a high level of accuracy when both modalities are used (Wang and Guan, 2008). In a similar vein, while also studying purely audio signals, Nogueiras et al. make use of low level audio features, in conjunction with HMMs, for the emotion recognition task (Nogueiras et al., 2001). Their results demonstrate the value of classification methods relying on sequential data, as well as that of features extracted directly from the auditory aspects of speech.

Research on emotion recognition has also been conducted on text data, which is can be thought as an alternative representation of speech. In particular, Binali et al. study the task of positive and negative emotion recognition through text derived from online sources (Binali et al., 2010). This is done via syntactic and semantic preprocessing of text, before using an SVM for the classification of the data. Such lexical features can also be utilized for vocalized speech analysis, as demonstrated by Rozgić et al., who make use of an ASR system to extract the corresponding text, before using both acoustic and lexical features for emotion recognition (Rozgić et al., 2012). A similar fusion of low level acoustic and lexical features, using an SVM as a classifier is performed by Jin et al., where the text transcription is available beforehand, rather than extracted (Jin et al., 2015).

The task at hand has also been studied via the analysis of spectrograms corresponding to the audio signals of speech. Spyrou et al. make use of visual features extracted from keypoints of spectrograms, and a Bag-of-Visual-Words (BoVW) representation is used to describe the entire speech signal. In this representation, clusters are created from the feature vectors corresponding to keypoint descriptors, and then a histogram, where each keypoint is assigned to its closest word/cluster, is used to represent the signal (Spyrou et al., 2019). However, this representation might be slightly rigid, since each keypoint is forcefully assigned to a single visual word, even if it is closely related to more than one. Moreover, a single feature vector is assigned to the entire audio signal, so no information is extracted from the temporal relations encoded in the spectrogram.

The value of these temporal relations in the task of emotion recognition can be seen from several works which make use of recurrent classifiers to obtain regularized models for sentiment analysis. Wöllmer et al. make use of Bidirectional LSTMs to recognize emotion based on features derived from both the speech and facial image of the speaker, demonstrating the capacity of this architecture for such a task (Wöllmer et al., 2010). Lim et al. also use both LSTMs and CNNs when analysing spectrograms for speech, with similarly good results regarding the accuracy of emotion recognition (Lim et al., 2016). Moreover, Trentin et al. make use of probabilistic echo state networks (π-ESNs) for the given task (Trentin et al., 2015). They also note that recurrent networks of this alternative type not only perform very well on the task of emotion recognition based on acoustic features, but are also able to handle unlabeled data, since their unsupervised nature allows them to increase the number of distinct emotions as necessary. Finally, this temporal structure can also be modeled solely via the use of CNNs, as performed by Mao et al. (Mao et al., 2014). In that work, the authors analyze the spectrograms of the audio corresponding to speech, using convolutional layers over the input to extract data which preserves the structure of the image. Afterwards, discriminative features are extracted from this analysis, leading to a model with great capacity in emotion recognition from the corresponding speech signals.

In this context, the main contributions of this work are the use of a modified BoVW model which utilizes soft histograms for the frequency of visual words in a spectrogram, as well as the examination of a sequential representation of the spectrograms, where we consider a soft histogram of visual words at each “posi-
3 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Target Variables in Emotion Recognition

In this subsection we give a few remarks regarding the labeling of data for sentiment analysis. Most existing datasets (e.g., Burkhardt et al., 2005), (Jackson and ul haq, 2011), (Costantini et al., 2014)) with categorical labels utilize in some way the basic emotions featured in Plutchik’s theory (Plutchik, 1980). These are joy, trust, expectation, fear, sadness, disgust, anger, and surprise. The boundaries between these may be thin and one can argue that many affective states are not well represented by this discretization. For this reason other datasets consider real-valued target variables to capture emotional state (Busso et al., 2008). Such characterizations of emotions may vary but typically rely on the PAD model (Mehrabian, 1995), which analyzes emotion into Pleasure-Arousal-Dominance, each represented by a real number value. Nevertheless, in this work we treat sentiment recognition as a classification task as we feel that this approach leads to more intuitive results and more clear validation.

3.2 Spectrogram Generation & Descriptors

In the first step of building our representation, we start from a subsampled sound signal \( \{ s(t_k) \}_{k=0}^{N-1} \) and use the Discrete-Time Short-Time Fourier Transform (Giannakopoulos and Pikrakis, 2014), to obtain a two-dimensional spectrogram given by,

\[
S(k, n) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} s(t_k)w(t_k - nT_s)e^{-\frac{2\pi ink}{Nw}},
\]

where

\[
w(t - \tau) = \begin{cases} 
1, & |t - \tau| \leq T_w \\
0, & o/w \end{cases}
\]

and \( N_w \) is the number of samples in each window. The window slides over the entire signal using a step size \( T_s \). The resulting spectrogram is converted to a
grayscale image $I$. In our performed experiments, the window size was set to 40ms while the step size was 20ms and each audio clip was cropped to be of length 2s.

The next step is to define a grid of keypoints, each specified by its pixel coordinates and a scale value. For each keypoint an ORB descriptor is obtained (Rublee et al., 2011). This replaces the choice of SIFT/SURF (Lowe, 2004), (Bay et al., 2006) in previous work (Spyrou et al., 2019) and has the benefit that unlike SIFT and SURF, ORB is free, increasing the potential for utilizing our method in a real emotion recognition system.

### 3.3 Extracting Visual Words & Soft Histogram Features

After extracting the ORB descriptors for each image, a pool $\mathcal{P} = \{w_i\}$ of visual words is created using some clustering technique on the entire corpus of ORB descriptors for all images and keypoints. The size of $\mathcal{P}$, (i.e., the number of clusters used/produced) corresponds to the number of visual words that will be subsequently used to construct the histograms. In (Spyrou et al., 2019), for a given pool of words, a histogram representation of an image is obtained by matching each descriptor to its nearest word and then counting the instances for each word.

In this work, we instead use a soft histogram representation. For a given keypoint descriptor $x$, we compute its $t_2$-distance from each word $w_i$, denoted $d_i(x)$, and obtain a vector $h^s$ with its $i^{th}$ component given by,

$$h^s_i = \frac{e^{-d_i(x)}}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{P}} e^{-d_j(x)}}. \quad (3)$$

The representation of an image $I$ as a soft histogram is then given by,

$$h(I) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} h^s. \quad (4)$$

$h^s_i$ can be thought of as a soft candidacy score of $x$ for each word $i$. This is to be contrasted with the hard candidacy score used for each keypoint in the simple histogram approach in (Spyrou et al., 2019). Note that if only one word $w_i$ is close enough to a keypoint $x$, then $h^s_i \approx 1$ and $h^s_j \approx 0$ for each $j \neq i$. For such keypoints, the contribution of $x$ to the soft histogram is similar to its contribution in the hard case. However, keypoints that have similar distances to many words are better described by their soft candidacy scores.

Our methodology for this section is described in more detail in Procedure 1 and in Figure 2.

### Procedure 1: Pseudocode for soft-histogram extraction.

**Input:** Data of subsampled audio signals $D$, parameter set $\lambda$;  
**Result:** Soft histogram representation of elements in $D$;  

1. **# Compute Spectrograms**  
   $\hat{D}, \hat{S} \leftarrow \{\}, \{\}$;  
   **for** each signal $s$ in $D$ **do**  
   $\hat{s} \leftarrow \text{DTSTFT}(s, \lambda(T_i, T_f))$;  
   keypoints $\leftarrow \text{get.grid}(\hat{s}, \lambda(\text{resolution}))$;  
   descriptors $\leftarrow \text{ORB(keypoints)}$;  
   $\hat{S} \leftarrow \hat{S} \cup \{\hat{s}\}$;  
   $\hat{D} \leftarrow \hat{D} \cup \{\text{descriptors}\}$;  
   **end**

2. **# Compute Visual Vocabulary**  
   words $\leftarrow \text{Clustering}(\hat{D}, \lambda(\text{vocabulary_size}))$;  

3. **# Compute Soft Histograms**  
   $H \leftarrow \{\}$;  
   **for** each set of descriptors $d$ in $\hat{D}$ **do**  
   histo $\leftarrow \bar{H}$;  
   **for** each descriptor $d$ in $d$ **do**  
   histo $\leftarrow$ histo + soft_score($d$, words);  
   **end**

   $H \leftarrow H \cup \{\text{histo}\}$;  
   **end**

**Note:** If enough words are used, simple histograms may offer a sufficiently good description. Nevertheless, increasing the number of words by a lot increases both preprocessing and inference complexity. Soft histogram representations are thus beneficial because they allow us to achieve better results with fewer words.

We may equivalently think of this procedure as performing a form of fuzzy clustering to obtain a fuzzy vocabulary of visual words. In fact an alternative methodology would be to perform some fuzzy clustering algorithm, e.g., gaussian mixture clustering (Theodoridis and Koutroumbas, 1999), and directly sum the candidacy scores of each keypoint in a given spectrogram to obtain a soft histogram representation. Obvious other alternatives like using a different soft scoring function are also possible.

### 3.4 Modelling Temporal Structure

An additional limitation of the BoVW model is that it ignores the temporal structure of matched visual words. Recall that the spectrogram columns trace a sliding window in time. This fact can be exploited to build more robust models for the classification task. In particular, our approach in this work is to represent the spectrograms as a sequence of soft histograms.
Figure 2: A visual overview of the proposed signal representation for our emotion recognition scheme. A spectrogram is produced from the audio signal and a grid of keypoints characterized by ORB descriptors is constructed. Clustering of keypoint descriptors is performed, leading to a vocabulary of visual words corresponding to the obtained clusters. A soft histogram is obtained by summing the soft candidacy scores of keypoint descriptors to each cluster. The resulting representation can be fed into a classifier to recognise a speaker’s affective state. (Figure best viewed in color).

Procedure 2: Pseudocode for sequential soft-histogram representation of spectrograms.

```plaintext
Input: Data of subsampled audio signals \( D \), parameter set \( \lambda \);
Result: Sequential soft histogram representation of elements in \( D \);
\( \hat{D} \).words ← Procedure 1 \((D, \lambda)\);
\( \text{seqH} \ ← \{\} \);
for each set of descriptors \( d \) in \( \hat{D} \) do
    seq ← [];
    for each column of descriptors \( c \) in \( d \) do
        histo ← 0;
        for each descriptor \( d \) in \( c \) do
            histo ← histo + \text{soft_score}(d, words);
        end
        seq.append(histo)
    end
    seqH ← seqH \∪ \{seq\};
end
```

This sequential representation consisting of soft histograms encodes more information than the simple BoVW representation, which is insensitive to random permutations of the columns of the spectrogram. Such permutations of the columns lead to a spectrogram corresponding to an entirely different audio signal than the original, yet, both will have the same BoVW representation. In other words, information about the temporal structure of the audio signal is preserved by our sequential representation. As such, our procedure may potentially boost the performance of classifiers.

Our methodology for this section is described in more detail in Procedure 2 and in Figure 3. The spectrogram descriptors and visual vocabulary are obtained by following the same steps as in Procedure 1.

Recurrent neural network architectures have shown great success in processing sequential data and are thus a natural candidate model for processing spectrograms in our sequential representation. Among other architectures, in our methodology and experiments we propose a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) network for emotion classification (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997). These networks
contain memory cells which are defined by the following equations, as presented by Sak et al.:
\[
i_t = \sigma(W_{ix}x_t + W_{im}m_{t-1} + b_i) \\
f_t = \sigma(W_{fx}x_t + W_{fm}m_{t-1} + W_{fc}c_{t-1} + b_f) \\
c_t = f_t \odot c_{t-1} + i_t \odot g(W_{cx}x_t + W_{cm}m_{t-1} + b_c) \\
v_t = \sigma(W_{ox}x_t + W_{om}m_{t-1} + W_{oc}c_t + b_o) \\
m_t = o_t \odot h(c_t) \\
y_t = \phi(W_{ym}m_t + b_y)
\]
which, when applied iteratively for a given input sequence \((x_1, \ldots, x_T)\), produce a corresponding output sequence \((y_1, \ldots, y_T)\) (Sak et al., 2014). This architecture is capable of recognising long-term dependencies between items in the sequence, while also being superior to alternative recurrent architectures in this aspect.

4 EXPERIMENTS

In this section we describe the experimental procedure we followed to provide evidence for the effectiveness of our proposed methods in the sentiment recognition problem. Our experiments are split in two rounds. In the first round, our aim is to show that using soft histograms in the usual bag of words model (Spyrou et al., 2019) provides better results with fewer visual words. In the second round our aim is to combine the ideas from section 3.4 to create a classifier that outperforms the simple BoVW models, even using soft histograms.

For all our experiments, visual words were obtained from the spectrograms using the mini-batch k-means algorithm (Sculley, 2010). In particular, the entire corpus was used for each dataset to extract the words, i.e., the validation and test set too. In practice this can always be done; once we have a dataset on which we want to recognise emotion, we can construct a new pool of words which utilizes information (through an unsupervised algorithm) from the unlabeled set to improve prediction performance.

4.1 Datasets

The datasets utilized in our experiments consist of the following popular emotion recognition datasets: EMO-DB (Burkhardt et al., 2005), SAVEE (Jackson and ul haq, 2011) and EMOVO (Costantini et al., 2014). The considered emotions in our experiments were Happiness, Sadness, Anger, Fear and Neutral which were common to all considered datasets. For each dataset and sample corresponding to these emotions, a spectrogram was constructed from the corresponding audio file, and a 50-by-50 grid of keypoints was used at three different scales for a total of 7500 descriptors per recording. The spectrograms which were extracted from the audio files corresponding to each dataset can be seen in Figure 1.

4.2 Experimental Procedure

4.2.1 Feature Representation Comparison

For the first round of experiments, we evaluated our soft histogram representation using three popular classifiers: SVM (with an RBF kernel), Random Forest and k-nearest neighbors with Euclidean distance as a proximity measure. Each was trained in the emotion recognition task on different representations of the dataset; soft and simple histograms resulting from different pools of visual words. We also evaluated the regular BoVW representation, in order to have a baseline for comparison with our method.

We performed a 90% – 10% train-test split on each dataset. For each representation, the values of hyperparameters for each of the considered classifiers, were also selected through grid search and cross-validation over the training set. In particular, we used 5 folds for cross validation. For each combination of hyperparameters, the one attaining the highest average accuracy among all folds was chosen as the best. After obtaining the hyperparameters, the resulting classifier was trained on the entire training set, and evaluated on the test set. In addition, three different numbers of visual words were used and the entire evaluation was repeated for each. These were 250, 500 and 1000.

4.2.2 Classification Benchmarking

In this section we describe our methodology for the second round of experiments. We built a recurrent neural network model using Long-Short Term Memory (LSTM) units (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997) to classify spectrograms represented as sequences of soft histograms (see section 3.4). A simple evaluation procedure was repeated for each dataset and each choice of the number of visual words used. A bidirectional LSTM network with a single layer was used as a feature extractor and and a multilabel logistic regression model was used on top for classification. For each trial, an 80% – 10% – 10% train-validation-test split was made and the model was trained on the training set using an early-stopping callback for regularization, which monitored the accuracy on the validation set. In particular, the accuracy on the validation set was monitored with a patience of 15 epochs.
Table 1: Results for the first round of experiments, where the histogram and soft-histogram representations are compared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>W.C.</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>SVM</th>
<th>KNN</th>
<th>Random Forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMO-DB</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>SMH</td>
<td>47.22</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>38.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BoVW</td>
<td>44.44</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td>38.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>SMH</td>
<td>52.78</td>
<td>41.67</td>
<td>52.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BoVW</td>
<td>52.78</td>
<td>38.89</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>SMH</td>
<td>55.56</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BoVW</td>
<td>52.50</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td>52.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAVEE</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>SMH</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BoVW</td>
<td>28.13</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>SMH</td>
<td>52.78</td>
<td>48.89</td>
<td>61.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BoVW</td>
<td>52.78</td>
<td>44.44</td>
<td>61.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>SMH</td>
<td>58.33</td>
<td>52.78</td>
<td>58.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BoVW</td>
<td>55.56</td>
<td>36.11</td>
<td>55.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMOVO</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>SMH</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>32.50</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BoVW</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>32.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>SMH</td>
<td>32.50</td>
<td>42.50</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BoVW</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>32.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>SMH</td>
<td>32.50</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BoVW</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Results for classification benchmarking experiment. Accuracies are given in percentages. W.C. represents word count and B represents the benchmark obtained from the previous round of experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>W.C.</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMO-DB</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>59.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>62.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>56.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAVEE</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>37.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>65.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>68.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMOVO</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>40.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>50.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>50.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The resulting model was evaluated on the test set and 10 trials were made for each word count-dataset setup. The mean, maximum and minimum achieved accuracies are listed as percentages and contrasted with the benchmarks obtained in the first round of experiments.

4.3 Results

The observed results on both experiments are in line with our expectations. For the first round, the soft histogram representation is indeed found to aid classification for all tested classifiers relative to the simple histogram representation. The results are summarized in Table 1. In the second round of experiments, we observe the added value of the sequential representation of spectrograms, through the much better obtained classifiers relative to the BoVW model from experiment 1. The results are summarized in Table 2. We observe that although there is a significant increase in performance when going from a vocabulary size of 250 to 500 for both methods, the performance change when increasing the vocabulary size from 500 to 1000 is not significant and may even lead to decreased performance. This is possibly because the signal-to-noise ratio is decreased for too large visual vocabularies and this provides additional evidence that using soft histogram representations is beneficial, since it leads to increased performance for fewer words.

5 CONCLUSIONS

There is an increasing interest in emotion recognition from audio signals, as sound recordings can be col-
lected without causing as much discomfort to the underlying subjects as other methods (e.g., video, body sensors e.t.c.). For this reason, we have explored new methods for analyzing audio signals of vocalized speech for the purpose of recognizing the affective state exhibited by the speaker, which build on spectrogram analysis methods found in the literature.

We conclude that the proposed approaches to sentiment recognition from sound spectrograms offer a significant improvement over previous work. In particular, by combining the soft histogram representation of visual words along with temporal structure modelling, we are able to obtain much better classifiers in terms of accuracy. Another upside is that we replaced the use of SIFT/SURF (which previous work utilized) with ORB, which is a free alternative, without loss in model quality.

Overall, the explored approach to emotion recognition offers good performance on data collected through a non-invasive method and can be found useful in many HCI applications including assisted living and personalizing content. Moreover, because our method relies on low-level features (spectrograms) it leads to language independent models and we have empirically verified its good performance on two different languages (English and German) for the same sentiment analysis tasks.
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