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Abstract: This paper outlines a novel approach to 3D visualization of network traffic. Existing approaches, which present node-graphs in 3D space may not be making the best use of the advantages of 3D. By combining the time component of network traffic data with nodal information and displaying these on separate planes it should be possible to provide analysts with insights that go beyond just the nodal information. The goal of allowing analysts to quickly form a mental map that corresponds with the network traffic ground truth may be achieved with this approach. The visualization approach is demonstrated through development of a tool which implements the approach and discusses its application to a recent network forensics challenge.

1 INTRODUCTION

Increases in network traffic and cyber-security threats are outpacing the ability for analysts to defend against them. The cyber security industry needs ways of ingesting data faster and converting this data into information in the collective minds of analysts, thereby creating knowledge (Rowley, 2007) which contributes to better decision making and responses.

Three-Dimensional (3D) visualization has been used for data analysis in computer security and other fields since as early as 1997 (Risch et al., 1997). Computer generation of 3D-looking images goes back to computer games of the 1980’s, such as Battlezone (Rotberg, 1980), and since then the gaming industry has driven significant advances in the realism and immersive quality of 3D gaming. The application of this technology to data analysis in the cyber security domain is ongoing, but is yet to see significant traction (Goodall, 2009, Staheli et al., 2014). Advances in the use of interactive 3D models in the field of medicine (Tanagho et al., 2012, Czauderna et al., 2018), archaeology (Sommer et al., 2009, Staheli et al., 2018) and chemistry (Müller et al., 2018) suggest that there is utility in this type of display over traditional tabular or 2D graphical representations. While there have been attempts to display computer network traffic in a 3D setting, either as an immersive abstraction (Bass et al., 2017), or as a 3D representation on a 2D screen, the efforts have not been widely adopted by industry, which suggests they have not demonstrated significant advantages over existing 2D approaches.

This research proposes an approach to display of raw network traffic data that seeks to improve conversion of data into knowledge about a network. In 2002 Dwyer and Eades proposed an approach (Dwyer and Eades, 2002) presenting time in the third dimension and applied it to movement of fund managers within the stock market. This work extended work by Koike in 1993 applying the concept of a third data axis to power control and robotic systems (Koike, 1993).

The approach to visualization of network traffic proposed here is unique in the use of time-based information as a display axis combined with a computer network topology in a single, interactive model of the network traffic data. The novelty of this work comes from this alternate perspective; instead of forcing an analyst to concentrate over time to interpret replayed instances of communication between systems, the information is presented statically.

This paper is structured as follows. Related work in 3D representation of computer security information is presented in Section 2. Section 3 describes the
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proposed visualization approach and its implementation. Section 4 outlines the application of the approach to a network forensics challenge and Section 5 discusses results and Section 5 outlines future work.

2 RELATED WORK

A visual display showing network traffic at the instant it is occurring would require constant attention from an analyst and be of little use from a security or post-event analysis point of view. For this reason, most efforts in visualization have relied on some mechanism to capture behavior over time and present it in a single display or set of displays (Cappers et al., 2018, Arendt et al., 2016, Leichtnam et al., 2017).

Plotting data against a time axis is an established approach to data visualization (Aigner et al., 2011). Fingerprinting of malicious behavior through simple 2D graphs was presented to VizSEC in 2004 (Conti and Abdullah, 2004) and again in 2005 (Krasser et al., 2005). This research was based on the idea that specific types of malicious behavior could be identified visually, provided the right data processing could be conducted to produce useful visual representations. Several implementations, such as CLIQUE, Traffic Circle and VACS (Best et al., 2010, Fischer and Keim, 2013), expanded on this concept.

Bass et al (Bass et al., 2017) used the approach of converting network information into 3D spaces showing nodal connections to achieve this effect. A similar approach based on event information was implemented in STARLORD (Leichtnam et al., 2017). The concept of presenting network data in 3D is not new, with the “Spinning Cube of Potential Doom” being one of the first attempts to do this, described by Stephen Lau in 2004 (Lau, 2004).

There exist real-time tools that provide visualization of attacks, but they are mostly based on sensors flagging known malicious traffic and plotting it globally (Baykara et al., 2018). Although these tools are visually interesting, they are not well suited to identifying anomalous traffic from within a complex network. The human capacity to remain alert, combined with the speed and complexity of network data exchange and the added difficulty differentiating malicious from benign traffic combine to make this a challenging task (Bliss et al., 1995, Stubler and O’Hara, 1996). Human-System Interfaces (Stubler and O’Hara, 1996) research in the control domain has highlighted that the disparity between the mental model of a system held by an analyst compared to the ground truth can be a cause for error.

This principle also applies to visual abstractions of network traffic. The effectiveness of 3D abstractions in assisting with fast development of accurate mental models was a key component of Koike’s work in 1993(Koike, 1993).

Based on examination of published work to date, there are no other projects using the combination of force directed nodegraphs (Harary et al., 1965) with time-series information in a single 3D visualization for the purpose of allowing cyber security analysts to quickly develop knowledge of a network.

3 VISUALIZATION APPROACH AND IMPLEMENTATION

Given the assumption that real-time visual detection by human analysts is not practical, the design goal selected for this research was to present a segment of historical traffic data to an analyst in a way that allows insights which might not be possible when looking at the data in other formats, such as tabular packet analysis tools like Wireshark (Combs, 1998). The approach also avoids signature-based Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS), as this field is already quite mature (Wanda and Jin Jie, 2018). The goal of the visualization approach is to provide a security analyst with a means to do in-depth analysis of a segment of network traffic, likely prompted by alerts from an IDS.

Early iterations of the Scanmap3D (Clark, 2013) software, first released in 2003, utilized a grid layout to show connections between hosts based on port number. Packets were shown through animation with an adjustable replay speed. One key drawback of this approach was that the analyst had to watch the activity progress, rather than view the overall scenario using a single static display. It was difficult to make correlations between packets across time using a replay-based approach.

The second key drawback was that the visualization was still essentially a 2D display with minor excursion into the 3rd dimension to show different ports associated with each host (an approach often referred to as 2.5D (Cockburn and McKenzie, 2002). This resulted in significant problems with occlusion and edge crossing (Jianu et al., 2009), making it difficult to interpret the display when density of nodes exceeds the resolution and size of the display. These problems can limit the utility of 3D visualization. Lessons from the initial design were used in the development of the new iteration of the software.
3.1 Visualization Approach

Based on the challenges outlined above a new approach to the visualization of packet information was developed. The underlying approach to this has been twofold: to highlight time, and to create an effective immersive network visualization. The former of these concerns the approach to position, colour and size of glyphs, and the latter overcomes some of the known limitations with 2.5D approaches through providing multiple layout styles and easy manipulation of orientation of the viewer and the dataset.

The approach is based on network node data displayed in a 2D plane using cylindrical host symbols combined with a representation of time-series packet information in the third dimension. Layout of host nodes in the 2D plan can be cycled through circular, spiral-grid or a force directed graph layout, based on the GraphStream (GraphStreamDevelopers, 2018) library.

Figure 1: Scanmap3D v4.1.

Figure 1 shows the graph view with hosts represented by cylindrical icons (including two cones showing the relative number of packets and size of data as the height and base for traffic as source and destination (Detail in Figure 2). Host objects are colored based on their role in the traffic capture as source, destination or both.

The vertical axis is used to show the time sequence of individual packets. Raw PCAP (Saavedra and Yu, 2017) files from the IDS dataset published by the University of New Brunswick (Shiravi et al., 2012) and the Network Forensics Puzzle Contest (Davidoff, 2019) were used to initially test the tool. While the visualization could have focused on a dataset of flow data or individual alert information, raw packets were chosen as a good foundation data set with clear node-to-node attributes. Future iterations could be expanded to use any nodal data.

Figure 2: Host Symbols.

Individual packets appear on the vertical axis as a quad mesh between host locations in the horizontal plane (representing a point in time or single entry in the packet capture) with specific shapes (glyphs) at the source and destination depending on the IP Protocol (Postel, 1990) in use. UDP packets are shows as a triangular prism for the source and pentagonal prism for the destination, while TCP packets have a cylinder for the source and a hexagonal prism for the destination. ICMP packets are square prisms. Glyphs are showing in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Traffic Glyphs.

The analyst can cycle the vertical arrangement of packets as either a sequential distribution over the whole timeframe of the packet capture (which is scalable), a cyclic/round robin of the packets over a specific time frame (hourly or daily) or a stack of all packets in the order they appear in the capture.

It is expected that a degree of pre-processing would occur on raw packet captures prior to viewing them with this tool, however, a limited filtering capability has been included which allows the analyst to select several host objects and then filter the display to only show traffic and hosts connected to the selected objects.

3.2 Implementation Technical Detail

The example implementation of the visualization approach was developed using the jMonkeyEngine
(jMonkeyDevelopers, 2017) including community supported libraries for PCAP processing (jNetPcap) and nodegraph layout (GraphStreamDevelopers, 2018). Full source code for the project is provided at SourceForge.

![Packet Processing Model](image)

Figure 4: Packet Processing Model.

The packet processing model for the example implementation is shown in Figure 4. The raw PCAP file is iterated through based on either the full file or a user selected number of packets. The current implementation is limited to processing ICMP, UDP and TCP packets. As each packet is processed, a list of hosts and packets is developed, along with a 3D shape relating to each object. Per-host statistics across the whole capture are built-up during the processing phase and used to adjust the appearance of each host icon and form the edge weighting for the nodegraph.

Once the initial 3D mesh has been constructed, the operator can pan, zoom, rotate and translate the resulting model. Filtering has been implemented to allow a set of hosts to be selected and only the related traffic and hosts displayed.

The detail for each packet is available as a text overlay when the mouse is hovered over the line representing a packet. This approach follows Schneiderman’s Overview, Zoom, Filter, Details-on-Demand task model (Shneiderman, 2003). It is expected that an analyst would initially adjust the layout and spacing of the visualization to show an overview then zoom to an area of interest, filter irrelevant traffic and then look at packet detail. Display of the packet detail in the default view would make the scene cluttered and decrease legibility. Providing additional information when hovering allows the analyst to stay within the visualization while accessing additional information about a component of the visualization.

Two mechanism are utilized to visually queue the analyst to the direction of traffic flow, the quad mesh between the send and receive port prisms is tapered from the send to the receive glyphs and a common glyph is used for the send port in TCP/UDP. A gradual color change from source port to destination port is applied. As it is not possible to display 64435 unique colors, colors that are as far apart as possible are generated using the Golden Ratio (Livio, 2008) in the Hue color space method described by Martin Ankerl (Ankerl, 2019).

4 APPLICATION TO NETWORK FORENSICS

In order to conduct preliminary assessment of the approach, prior to engaging the network forensics community in a more structured assessment, the tool was trialed on a published network forensics problem from 2010. Noting the age of this data, the same approach was also taken with an example challenge from 2018 to confirm that the dataset was still relevant (outside the scope of this paper).

The dataset from the 2010 network forensics challenge was selected because the task aligns with the expected utility of the visualization tool. For the selected challenge, the raw packets, questions, ideal solution and a range of participant approaches are all published.

4.1 Network Forensics Puzzle

LMG Security conduct a regular Network Forensics Puzzle Contest (Davidoff, 2010) which includes PCAP files and published user solutions. The 2010 challenge included a 1MB evidence file with the associated task of identifying a network reconnaissance actor on the network. This challenge was used to test the tool, as it had the following characteristics:

- A filtered set of data suited for an analyst to work with
- Several questions commensurate with questions that would be posed to a network security professional or analyst
- A suitable ground-truth and responses against which the visual approach could be validated.

Within the challenge the summarized questions posed relating to the packet capture were:

1. What was the IP address of Mr. X’s scanner?
2. For the FIRST port scan that Mr. X conducted, what type of port scan was it?
3. What were the IP addresses of the targets Mr. X discovered?
4. What was the MAC address of the Apple system he found?
5. What was the IP address of the Windows system he found?
6. What TCP ports were open on the Windows system?

The questions in the challenge represent a reasonable set of generalized information goals that a network forensics analyst may have when provided with a packet capture. The existence of several worked solutions to the puzzle allowed for easy comparison with the information that can be gathered from the visualization. The packet capture was first analyzed with the visualization tool then several published example answers were compared with the results from the visual approach.

It is acknowledged that the data in this puzzle is old, the capture size is trivial by current standards and that a port scan is a very simple attack type, however, the purpose of the testing was to make an initial observation of the use of a time-based 3D view in a known problem space. Issues of scalability for large packet captures and observation of more complex attack types is planned for future research. The verification that the data set is still relevant to current tasks was confirmed using a 2018 network forensics challenge.

4.2 Visualization Applied to the Puzzle

The Scanmap3D application ingested the 13,625 packets in the capture and produced the initial view shown in Figure 5. Fourteen packets were not processed, possibly due to failed checksums or a protocol other than TCP/ICMP/UDP.

![Figure 5: View of hosts.](image)

The initial view of the hosts layer shows several machines on the 10.42.42.0/24 network. From the size of the source/destination cones on the 10.42.42.253 host we can see that this is the most active node on the network.

By looking more closely at the traffic symbols we see that most of the initial traffic consists of TCP [SYN] packets from .253 being sent to three other nodes, .50, .56 and .25. These are mostly responded to with TCP [RST, ACK], indicating no listening service on the requested ports. Hovering over each connection request and responding rejection, it can be seen that common service ports are being targeted (22:SSH, 139:Netbios and 80:HTTP).

With this information visible within minutes of generating the visualization it is possible to answer questions 1, 2 and 3 from the forensics challenge.

1. What was the IP address of Mr. X’s scanner?
   **Answer:** 10.42.42.253

2. For the FIRST port scan that Mr. X conducted, what type of port scan was it?
   **Answer:** SYN Portscan (TCP Connect)

3. What were the IP addresses of the targets Mr. X discovered?
   **Answer:** 10.42.42.50, 56 and 25.

A feature added to the application during the testing was the highlighting of [SYN, ACK] packets, indicating a connection response from an active host (or possibly a scan using the [SYN, ACK] flags), shown in Figure 6.

![Figure 6: Connect Highlight.](image)

By scrolling down the stack of traffic, like floors in a high-rise building, it was possible to quickly bypass traffic from failed scan packets and identify where a listening service had replied to the probes. Host .50 replies on port 139, showing a NetBIOS service listening on this host, and most likely an indicator of a Windows machine. This information answers questions 5 and 6, or at least provides a trigger to look more closely at this traffic exchange within Wireshark.

Further into the scan an additional successful connection is made to .50 on port 135, mostly likely a Remote Procedure Call service on the suspected Windows machine.

5. What was the IP address of the Windows system he found?
**Answer:** 10.42.42.50

6. What TCP ports were open on the Windows system?

**Answer:** Ports 139 and 135

Scans to host .25 stand out because of the absence of a [RST, ACK] response, indicating some filtering of ports on the host.

Towards the very end of the capture, the suspected scanner pings each of the hosts and receives a response. There is then two-way UDP traffic from each of the hosts on non-service ports (>1024).

Finally, there is a series of packets sent from .253 to .56 with a range of flags set to port 1. This possibly suggests an attempt at OS fingerprinting.

Question 4, related to identifying an Apple system based on the MAC Address of the host. As the visualization tool does not currently ingest MAC data, it was not possible to answer this question. With a reference list of network device manufacturers, it would be possible to indicate on the host symbol which manufacturer is indicated by the MAC Address.

### 4.3 Comparison to Other Answers

LMG has published the worked solutions of the winner and the four finalists for the Mr X challenge. Without replicating the full content of the submissions, some general comments about the approaches will be made. One of the finalists was not included as the response provided only answers and no description of the analysis process. Full text of the submissions and access to generated tools are available at the LMG site (Davidoff, 2019).

#### 4.3.1 Winning Response – Argus/Pyscanxtract

The winning response, by Sebastien Damaye (Damaye, 2010), began with a sequence of script-based statistical analysis of the packet capture, showing the breakdown of protocols and the unique hosts that appear in the PCAP. Damaye relied mostly on Argus (QoSient, 2015) for this phase of analysis. Once the raw PCAP is analyzed by Argus, there are several steps used to show different statistical information about the capture, including a list of all hosts seen, and then hosts sorted by the number of packets associated with each host pair. The address of the scanner is assumed based on the most active host within the capture.

Damaye uses the pyScanXtract tool written for the challenge (available at the submission reference). The tool generates statistical graphs and reports based on detected scan types.

A question answered by Damaye, which was not answerable using the current iteration of the Scanmap3D tool was Question 4, regarding the MAC address of the Apple system. Network device vendors can be identified by the first 3 octets of the MAC Address. Of course, MAC addresses can be modified in software, and it would be necessary to validate the assumption using OS matching at the Network/Transport layers.

#### 4.3.2 Adam Bray – SQL Approach

One of the top four responses was from Adam Bray (Bray, 2010). All the analysis was conducted by loading the packet capture into an SQL database. Analysis was conducted by direct SQL queries using grouping, sorting and filtering of the primary data to answer the puzzle questions. In cases where packet content needed to be inspected, the SQL query results were used as a cue to go back to the original PCAP file and extract a specific subset of packets. This method relied on a significant amount of experience and prior knowledge of how to structure SQL queries to get the desired results.

#### 4.3.3 Eric Kollman – Bespoke Tool

Like Damaye, Kollman (Kollmann, 2010) wrote a bespoke scan analysis tool specifically for the challenge. The tool conducts statistical analysis of the PCAP file based on known characteristics of network scans.

#### 4.3.4 Eugenio Delfa – Bespoke Tool

Delfa (Delfa, 2010) also write a bespoke Python script to collate statistical data from the PCAP file. Existing tools were used to fingerprint the operating system (p0f) and an IDS rule to characterize the specific scans (Snort). There are several key common features that the top responses had:

- Multiple returns to the dataset to extract new pieces of information.
- Iterative branching approach to discovery of the desired information.
- Use of several specialist tools, or single tools with specialist sub-functions used in isolation.

This approach, while reaching the desired answer, has potential to be greatly improved using automated, visual based, statistics generation.
5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The application of the Scanmap3D tool to a published network forensics problem demonstrated that the approach is effective in this specific instance. Information which was gathered through several iterations in the top published responses was immediately available visually in the 3D tool.

The use of multiple bespoke tools for analysis of PCAP data by the puzzle respondents could be easily incorporated into the visualization, for example, the output of OS fingerprinting could be visually shown with a symbol on each host.

None of the respondents generated a topology of the network represented by the PCAP. The value of such a map to the process of network forensics would need to be tested. The ability to see the scans and responses as a sequential time-series allows for a faster assimilation of the sequence of events.

Only in the winning response, from Sebastien Damaye, was a 2D graph used to show the time series visually. The limitation of a 2D graph in only showing the activity from a whole of network perspective, rather than a host to host perspective was clearly a contrast to the ability of Scanmap3D to show both the topology and time series.

6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

While the broader commercial cyber security community is yet to embrace 3D display as a key component of cyber security operations, there are researchers continuing to demonstrate the ways that these tools can be effective additions to the set of tools used in post-event network forensics and in network intrusion detection.

The ability to turn raw traffic capture files into rich visualizations using inexpensive hardware should be an area attracting investment both in terms of academic research and commercial development. These visualizations have the capability to rapidly move from raw data to shared knowledge amongst analysts, provided effective mechanism for conveying the data can be found.

This paper outlined the development of a 3D visualization approach focused on the unique idea of combining network topology in one plane, with the time series network traffic in a third axis.

The approach was applied to a published network forensics challenge and the results compared to the top responses to the challenge using more traditional statistical and 2D graphical analysis approaches. More structured testing with a diverse range of network forensics specialists on recent network forensics challenges needs to be undertaken.
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