# Outdoor Illumination Estimation for Mobile Augmented Reality: Real-time Analysis of Shadow and Lit Surfaces to Measure the Daylight Illumination

Fulvio Bertolini<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup><sup>a</sup> and Claus B. Madsen<sup>2</sup><sup>b</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electronic Systems, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark <sup>2</sup>Department of Architecture, Design and Media Technology, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark

Keywords: Mobile Augmented Reality, Outdoor Illumination, Real Time Light Estimation, Mobile Computing.

Abstract: A realistic illumination model in Augmented Reality (AR) applications is crucial for perceiving virtual objects as real. In order to correctly blend digital content with the physical world it is necessary to measure, in real time, the illumination present in the scene surrounding the user. The paper proposes a novel solution for real-time estimation of outdoor illumination conditions, based on the video stream from the camera on handheld devices. The problem is formulated in a radiometric framework, showing how the reflected radiance from the surface maps to pixel values, and how the reflected radiance relates to surface reflectance and the illumination environment. From this we derive how to estimate the color and intensity of the sun and sky illumination, respectively, using areas in the video stream that are in direct sunlight and in shadow. The presented approach allows for rendering augmentations that adapt in real-time to dynamically changing outdoor illumination conditions.

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Lighting is pivotal in most of computer graphics applications to achieve a realistic result. In Augmented Reality (AR) applications, an additional requirement must be taken into account for the correct blending of virtual objects in a real scene: the illumination of virtual objects needs to match the real lighting of the scene. This constraint implies that, in some way, the lighting present in a scene needs to be measured, to be then replicated and applied to the synthetic content. This is done to simulate the illumination of the physical world as closely as possible, so that the virtual objects appear to the viewer as they really belong to the scene they are placed in.

Measuring light to provide information for a coherent rendering is an ill posed problem and there are many ways to tackle it. The target of this work is real time light estimation on mobile phones, and the entire pipeline is design to run on hardware with limited resources and computational power. This technique makes it possible to render augmentations on mobile devices in a manner where the shading and shadows



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0762-3713



Figure 1: In our approach the user initially indicates a sunlit area and a shadow area in the scene, (a). After that, the proposed approach renders augmentations, in this case an apple, while continuously adapting to the illumination conditions of the scene to achieve visually consistent color balance and depth of shadow areas, (b).

Bertolini, F. and Madsen, C.

Copyright (C) 2022 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

Outdoor Illumination Estimation for Mobile Augmented Reality: Real-time Analysis of Shadow and Lit Surfaces to Measure the Daylight Illumination.

DOI: 10.5220/0008943002270234

In Proceedings of the 15th International Joint Conference on Computer Vision, Imaging and Computer Graphics Theory and Applications (VISIGRAPP 2020) - Volume 1: GRAPP, pages 227-234 ISBN: 978-989-758-402-2: ISSN: 2184-4321

of the virtual objects respond in real-time to fast dynamic changes in the illumination of outdoor scenarios, as it could be a cloud temporarily occluding the sun. In addition, it does not require any presence of known objects in the environment nor previous training demanding ground truth datasets. However, some limits are introduced to simplify the problem statement scope and the final solution: it is restricted to outdoor environments and it requires a brief user initialization.

The user input consists in the selection, through touches on the screen showing the camera feed, of small area surfaces (referred as patches from now on) that will be captured in pairs. Each patch will be tracked during the AR session, thanks to the functionalities of plane recognition and pose tracking available in Apple ARKit and Google ARCore frameworks. Each of these pairs links two patches referring to the same material, where one of them is lit by the sunlight and a shadow is cast on the other (thus being illuminated only from indirect lighting). Having setup one or more pairs of patches as initialization, the system will be able to sample pixel values during the AR application execution, that will be used to estimate the overall illumination present in the environment (Figure 1).

### 2 RELATED WORK

Accurate light measurements are well established in the literature thanks to image-based techniques making use of light probes. Light probes convey the information about the incident light on a 3D point or surface and there exist different kinds: 1) a mirrored sphere (Debevec, 1998), 2) a multi-camera system (Walton and Steed, 2018), 3) an omni-directional camera (Stumpfel et al., 2006) or 4) an objects which albedo and/or shape is priorly known (Knorr and Kurz, 2014; Hara et al., 2005). Light probes techniques yield precise measurements but the limitation of having specific objects or additional equipment is not suitable for a general mobile AR scenario, where only a Low Dynamic Range (LDR) single view image from a handheld camera would be available. There are other ways of computing light information without light probes, but they are computationally heavy, preventing them to be run in real-time (Lopez-Moreno et al., 2010; Lopez-Moreno et al., 2013).

To bypass this limitation, some literature suggests to take advantage of Neural Networks, training them beforehand to compute the lighting information from LDR images used as input (Hold-Geoffroy et al., 2016; LeGendre et al., 2019). While some of

this techniques provides exceptional results on mobile and in different conditions (outdoor/indoor), it is required a large data set of examples for the system to be trained correctly. Other methods instead are based on direct measurements of radiometric quantities, computing the illumination parameters from the appearance of surfaces already present in the scene (Madsen and Lal, 2013; Jachnik et al., 2012). The capture of the light reflected by surfaces provides clues for the computation of the incident light in real-time. Unfortunately they are not designed to be executed on mobile hardware and much more capable laptop or desktop Graphical Processing Units (GPUs) are used. Our work falls within this category as well, but it is optimized to be fully functional on a mobile device hardware.

Lastly, an alternative proposal is capable of estimating an outdoor lighting environment with a combination of various indirect measurements such as live-feed weather forecast, ambient lighting, geolocalization, date and time (Barreira et al., 2018). Here the weather predictions are used to define if the sun is possibly covered by clouds, the ambient light sensor to predict if the mobile device is sunlit or covered by a shadow, GPS coordinates and temporal data to compute the sun direction. The main downside of this work is that the rotation and position of the handheld device will influence the outcome of the light estimation, modifying the values recorded by the ambient light sensor and affecting the lighting of the virtual object. In addition, the weather forecasts do not include any fast local changes in the sun occlusion.

The work introduced here tries to solve these problems and poses itself as an improvement on those weak sides. It is based on the same assumptions and approach of an already existing shadow analysis (Madsen and Lal, 2013), but it adds three main contributions to it: 1) we develop the approach so as to be fast enough for real-time execution on mobile devices, 2) we extend the approach to work with a handheld, dynamic camera, rather than a static one, and 3) propose rendering the estimated sky illumination using N directional light sources rather than a simple ambient term to achieve ambient occlusion/contact shadows. These contributions define this method as an option to compute outdoor illumination estimation on mobile devices in real-time, performing direct radiometric measurements, without the need of light probes nor gathering ground truth data to train the system beforehand. In this work we demonstrate that it is possible to measure an outdoor illumination environment with a brief initial user input; future work will address this constraint, researching how to fully automate the placement of the patches.

### **3 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 3.1 Images as Radiometric Measurements

To manipulate the information conveyed by an image, it is necessary to define what a pixel value represents. Pixels are characterized by triplets of values and from now on, to simplify the notation, all the color channel dependent equations will be treated as scalar expressions, since each color channel is independent from the others.

If light transmission effects, e.g. fog, are neglected, pixel values arising from the projection of a surface point will depend on three quantities: the bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) of the material, the total incident radiance at the surface point and the camera response function. An essential hypothesis used in our analytical model is that the camera response function is linear, hence the values sampled from the color images are proportional to the incident radiance on the optic sensors. A nonsaturated pixel value P will then be proportional to the outgoing radiance from the 3D point represented by that pixel (Dutré et al., 2006):

 $P \propto L$ 

More specifically, if the camera response function is defined as c, a generic pixel value representing a point x is equal to:

$$P = cL(x \to \Theta)$$

where  $L(x \to \Theta)$  is the outgoing radiance from the point *x* in the direction of the camera sensor. The outgoing radiance,  $L(x \to \Theta)$ , can in turn be expressed by the material BRDF at the point and the integral of incoming radiance,  $L(x \leftarrow \Psi)$ , from all directions  $\Psi$  over the hemisphere  $\Omega_x$  as defined by the surface normal direction  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  at *x*:

$$P = c \int_{\Omega_x} f_r(x, \Psi \to \Theta) L(x \leftarrow \Psi) (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\Psi}) d\omega_{\Psi} \quad (1)$$

It is assumed that all the materials examined for the light estimation analysis behave as perfect Lambertian reflectors, defining their BRDF as pure diffuse:

$$f_r(x, \Psi \leftrightarrow \Theta) = \frac{\rho}{\pi}$$
 (2)

Even though the BRDF of any material is way more complex than a simple Lambertian representation, pure diffuse reflections can be a good approximation for most of the materials that usually occur in an outdoor scene (grass, concrete, asphalt, etc.). The quantity  $\rho$  represents the fraction of incident energy that is reflected at a surface, it is bounded between 0 and 1 and it is termed the albedo. The integral of all the incident radiance over the hemisphere in Eq. 1 is called the irradiance, E(x), at x. Therefore Eq. 1 can be rewritten as:

$$P = c \frac{\rho}{\pi} E(x) \tag{3}$$

In an outdoor environment, surface points are illuminated by the sun and by the sky. The total irradiance, E(x), will thus have two contributions: one from the sun,  $E_{sun}$ , and one from the sky,  $E_{sky}$ . Following the method developed in (Madsen and Lal, 2013):

$$E_{sun} = (\mathbf{\hat{n}} \cdot \mathbf{\hat{s}}) E_{sun}^{\perp} \tag{4}$$

where  $E_{sun}^{\perp}$  is the irradiance from the sun onto a surface with its normal pointing straight into the sun, and  $\hat{s}$  is the unit vector defining the direction from the surface point to the sun (Figure 2). In addition, due to Rayleigh scattering theory, the atmosphere is also illuminated, creating the sky as an important illuminant, the irradiance of which we shall formulate as:

$$E_{sky} = V_s E_{sky}^{\perp}$$

where  $V_s \in [0, 1]$  is the fraction of visible the sky from the examined 3D point and  $E_{sky}^{\perp}$  is the irradiance produced by the sky dome from a surface point with normal pointing upwards and with no occlusion between itself and the sky.

The part of the illumination arising from global illumination, i.e., light reflected from other surfaces in the scene, will be ignored in this analysis. The sky dome is modelled as an hemispherical light source, with uniform radiance across all directions, as in (Madsen and Lal, 2013). The total irradiance,  $E_{tot}$ , caused by the sun and the sky is thus:

$$E_{tot} = E_{sun} + E_{sky}$$
  
=  $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}) E_{sun}^{\perp} + V_s E_{sky}^{\perp}$  (5)

Now it is possible to express a pixel value recorded by the camera as a function of the material albedo and the incident irradiance generated by the sun and the sky:

$$P = c \frac{\rho}{\pi} [(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}) E_{sun}^{\perp} + V_s E_{sky}^{\perp}]$$
(6)



Figure 2: Outdoor illumination model. The total irradiance on a surface point will depend on the portion of visible sky and the angle between its normal and the sun direction.

#### 3.2 Irradiance Computation

Eq. 6 correlates a pixel value to the light sources producing it, but it is not enough to compute them from camera measurements. The strategy adopted to do so is to compare two pixel values corresponding to surfaces having the same albedo p, but in different lighting conditions. It is left to the user to initially identify two patches of the same material, and it is assumed material coherence between them. The values used for the light estimation analysis are the average value across pixels sampled from each patch. The two possible lighting conditions in an outdoor environment are the material lit by the sun and when a shadow is cast on it. When a surface point is shadowed by an occluder, the sun contribution is set to zero. Eq. 7 defines the value of the pixel value P' representing a surface in shadow:

$$P' = \frac{\rho c}{\pi} \left[ V'_s E_{sky}^{\perp} \right] \tag{7}$$

Combining Eq. 6 and 7 is possible to extrapolate the ratio between  $E_{sun}^{\perp}$  and  $E_{skv}^{\perp}$ :

$$\frac{E_{sun}^{\perp}}{E_{sky}^{\perp}} = \frac{V'_s - \beta V_s}{\beta(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}})}$$
(8)

where  $\beta$  is the ratio between P' and P. For the final solution of the light estimation analysis, two more quantities needs to be addressed: the visibility of the sky  $V_s$  and the dot product between sun direction and surface normal  $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}})$ . The sun direction is computed combining GPS and temporal data (Reda and Andreas, 2004), and its position relative to the device is maintained thanks to ARKit pose tracking functionality. Through ARKit API it is also possible to detect planar surfaces, which define the normal direction that will be used for the analysis.

As for the sky visibility coefficient  $V_s$ , in the present paper the physical 3D environment for the augmentation is considered to be an horizontal plane, therefore all surface points are assumed to have a full sky visibility factor of 1.0. If a 3D model of the environment, e.g. a 3D cityscape from Google Earth imagery, is available to the application, the sky visibility can be pre-computed for each point in the scene. If the 3D model is not available, it could be partly established using Structure-from-Motion techniques as it is already possible on mobiles with some AR engines such as 6D.ai or ARCore Depth API. When a 3D model representing the physical geometry is available to the application, its contribution for the sky visibility calculation can be defined sampling the shadow maps produced by all the light sources representing the sky illumination. This topic of research is left as a future improvement, while here we will only demonstrate the light estimation of a wide open scene. Eq. 8 is very important for the illumination estimation outcome, but it is not enough: it provides only one equation and two unknowns  $(E_{sun}^{\perp} \text{ and } E_{sky}^{\perp})$  for each color channel.

To overcome this situation, an additional equation is introduced, based on the white balancing factor of the camera sensor. This equation is the mathematical equivalent of imposing the camera sensor to be white balanced for horizontal surfaces. Modern smartphones are very capable of performing real-time white balancing, and by relying on the smartphone to automatically keep the video stream white balanced, we also automatically achieve white balancing on the estimated illumination, hence the rendered augmentation geometry will be subject to dynamic white balancing consistent with the real scene. We assume the camera to be white balanced according to horizontal surfaces, meaning that the total irradiance on those surfaces is white balanced. This implies that it exists a value k that, for each color channel, the following equation is satisfied:

$$k = V_s^{wb} E_{sky}^{\perp} + (\hat{\mathbf{n}}^{wb} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}) E_{sun}^{\perp}$$
(9)

where  $V_s^{wb}$  is defined to be the average portion of visible sky from the horizontal surfaces in the scene, and  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}^{wb}$  is the normal vector of those surfaces ([0,1,0] in y-upward coordinate systems). The value of k is defined to be:

$$k = \frac{\pi Y}{\overline{\rho}} \tag{10}$$

in which  $\overline{Y}$  is the average relative luminance Y (Eq. 11 (Stone, 2002)) across the entire image and  $\overline{\rho}$  is the earth's average albedo, set empirically to 0.25.

$$Y = 0.2126R + 0.7152G + 0.0722B \tag{11}$$

Defining k this way, the irradiances of sky and sun will be proportional to the luminance of the input image, making it possible to run the AR application for long periods, even with automatic gain control on. Now that all the quantities are defined, Eq. 8 and Eq. 9 form a system of two equations with two unknowns, meaning that both sun and sky irradiances can be calculated based on pixel measurements and data gathered with a standard mobile device (an Apple iPhone 7 was used to perform all the computations):

$$E_{sun}^{\perp} = E_{sky}^{\perp} \frac{V_s' - V_s \beta}{(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}) \beta}$$
(12)

$$E_{sky}^{\perp} = \frac{k}{V_s^{wb} + \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{n}}^{wb} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}})V_s'}{(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}})(\beta - V_s)}}$$
(13)

Outdoor Illumination Estimation for Mobile Augmented Reality: Real-time Analysis of Shadow and Lit Surfaces to Measure the Daylight Illumination

#### 3.3 Rendering

Section 3.2 described how to estimate the irradiances of the sun and the sky using radiometric measurements and geometrical properties of the scene. Given these irradiance estimates we need to develop a way to render the virtual models, making their illumination coherent with the environment they are placed in, for a real time photo-realistic augmented reality experience.

In this work the sun is modelled as a directional light source, which is straightforward to render, including a shadow map approach for shadow casting. Similarly, we propose to approximate the sky (which in our illumination model is a uniform hemispherical light source) by a set of N discrete directional lights which will all contribute as the sky illumination, and which will all cast shadows using shadow maps (Figure 3). The advantages of modelling the sky by Ndirectional light sources rather than a simple ambient term are threefold: 1) it will provide a much more realistic shading as compared to combining ambient occlusion with an ambient term, 2) rendering the Nsources with cast shadows will give the scene very realistic contact shadows created by the augmented geometry and 3) occlusions caused by objects outside the camera view frustum will be taken into account, differently than using a Screen Space Ambient Occlusion approach. In addition the structure of this methodology is scalable, and the number of directional light sources can be set according to the capabilities of the hardware, producing better results with a higher number of light sources.

We have designed and implemented two shaders; one for shading the augmented geometry, and one for creating the shadows cast by the augmented geometry on surfaces of the real scene.

The custom shader for the augmented geometry is straightforward. The final pixel color is computed by summing the contributions from each light source, taking into account shadows, and then multiplying the total illumination by the albedo of the object as given by the texture map. The only complicating element is how to distribute the estimated sky irradiance across the *N* directional sources. Assume the *N* sources are uniformly distributed over the hemisphere; then the combined cosine weighting of those sources can be computed as  $W = \sum \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{l}}_i$ , where  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  is the normal defining the hemisphere, and  $\hat{\mathbf{l}}_i$  is the direction vector of the i-th sky source. The contribution from all sources can then be combined:

$$P = \frac{\rho}{\pi} [\sigma_s(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}) E_{sun}^{\perp} + \sum \sigma_i(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{l}}_i) \frac{1}{W} E_{sky}^{\perp}] \qquad (14)$$

where  $\rho$  is the albedo coefficient sampled from texture



Figure 3: Single contributions of the light sources associated with the sun (a) and the sky (b). The model used for testing is an apple that was created through photogrammetry measurements. The vertices produced by the original scan were decimated and a normal map was generated through a modelling software<sup>1</sup>.

map of the model,  $\sigma_s \in \{0,1\}$  is a boolean representing whether or not the fragment is in shadow from the sun, and  $\sigma_i \in \{0,1\}$  similarly represents whether or not the fragment is in shadow relative to the i-th sky light source. Eq. 14 only represents the diffuse part of a standard Phong reflection model; in our actual implementation we also include a specular part, although the sky light sources are not allowed to produce specular contributions.

The other shader in the custom lighting system is the one applied to the geometry that models the physical surfaces surrounding the user. The shader computes the possible appearance change of points of the real scene as a result of virtually adding the augmentation geometry to the scene, i.e., whether or not the points are now in shadow, and if so, then what is their new appearance. This is accomplished by multiplying the pixel values from the video stream with the ratio of *received total irradiance prior to augmentation*. Using superscript star to indicate quantities relating to *prior to augmentation*:

$$P = P^* \frac{\sigma_s(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}) E_{sun}^{\perp} + \sum \sigma_i(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{l}}_i) \frac{1}{W} E_{sky}^{\perp}}{\sigma_s^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}) E_{sun}^{\perp} + V_s^* E_{sky}^{\perp}}$$
(15)

This way shadows cast by the sun and the N directional sky sources are able to lower the intensity of scene points. And not only does this approach allow for shadows being cast on real surfaces; the color balance in the shadows will also mimic the real shadows correctly, as the color balances of the various light sources are consistent with the real scene.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All 3D models and textures were produced by Loïc Norgeot (https://sketchfab.com/norgeotloic), "Lowpoly Fruits" and "Lowpoly Tools" licensed under CC BY 4.0

#### 4 **RESULTS**

Two main aspects will be discussed: 1) qualitative results in order to assess the correct blending between the virtual objects lighting and the natural illumination and 2) the performance in terms of execution time.

#### 4.1 Qualitative Results



Figure 4: Qualitative results of light estimation performed during a sunny day and applied to different 3D models.

To evaluate the overall look of the rendered objects, some example are given next. The images shown in this section are all screenshots made from an iPhone 7. Figure 4 depicts how the outcome of the light estimation affects the final rendering, providing a photorealistic appearance to virtual models placed in the environment. It is possible to notice the shadow and lit selected area used to compute the irradiances. This procedure allows to produce synthetic shadows with color balance and intensity consistent with the real ones. Another important cue from Figure 4 is the presence of a contact shadow where the virtual model touches the ground, providing an additional realistic feature to the rendering. Further, the set of pictures in Figure 5 illustrates the results of the rendering alongside a real apple, to see how different they look and to highlight the limits of this technique. The main issue for a realistic look is the lack of indirect lighting on the model surfaces that are not lit by the sun. This causes a darkening on those surfaces that is not so deep on the real object. Also the sharp edges of the model and its shadow make still easy to recognize the virtual model from the real object.

Lastly, long sequences of light estimation were recorded during fast changing sky conditions and sun occlusion. The presence of small and fast chunks of clouds covering the sun momentarily allowed the shadows to change in intensity very fast, while performing the light estimation. It is possible to see how the synthetic shadow adjust automatically to changes in the illumination, providing a realistic looks to the scene in real-time. In Figures 6 and 7 the main transitions from two sequences are presented.







Figure 6: Sequence of dynamic illumination, the shadow intensity changes according to the real one.



Figure 7: Sequences of dynamic illumination conditions. The light estimation was performed on grass while the sun occlusion was varying over time.

#### 4.2 Performance

While in the previous section the qualitative results of the light were given, here it will be discussed the efficiency of the algorithm, with a focus on the amount of time to compute the required values at run time.

The measurements presented in this section are obtained using an Apple iPhone 7. The main task that performs the light estimation is split into two components: one that deals with the computation of the irradiance values and one that is specifically created to only compute the average value of the camera color image. The latter task is completely executed on the GPU, since its CPU version would cause a significant bottleneck to the frame execution. To exploit the parallelised structure of the graphic hardware, the final average color of the camera feed is implemented in a compute shader, that divides the input image in small chunks of pixels, evaluating each one of them simultaneously in separated threads. The total duration of the algorithm execution is 4.96 ms, where 10,3% of it is spent on the CPU computations and the 89.7% is dedicated to execution of the compute shader on the GPU. The time required to perform the light estimation is small enough to allow the application to execute other processes required for the augmented reality session to take place.

In addition to the tasks carried out by the engine to run the AR framework, a part of the frame execution time is employed by the rendering process. In this context the rendering is performed using 16 directional light sources to model the sky contribution; using more than 16 light sources will improve the overall quality of the rendering but will also slow down the frame rate of the application. Figure 8 shows how the FPS of the application decreases when adding more directional lights to the lighting system. The current version of the rendering system is executed with 17 directional lights, 16 for the sky contribution and 1 to model the sun illumination.



Figure 8: Measurements of frame-per-second values while rendering with different numbers of directional light sources.

# **5 DISCUSSION**

The results demonstrated that it is possible to perform measurements of the natural light present in outdoor environments in real time. The approach taken for the design of the system focused on its execution on mobile devices with limited computational power.

This was possible introducing approximations on how light propagates and how the camera measures physical quantities. The light estimation procedure has revealed to be a good compromise between precise measurements and a fast execution. Testing different materials demonstrated the versatility of this approach to understand the illumination condition, without the need of placing known objects in the scene. However, it also highlighted some limitations given by the low dynamic range of the sensor employed: saturated pixels are produced only in rare occasions, but still relevant to the use-case scenario of this methodology. This pattern is found especially when the sun is very bright and the camera cannot represent shadow and lit surfaces with significant measurements at the same time.

Furthermore, the selection of shadow and lit patches is crucial to the light estimation outcome and a bad positioning would compromise the results. An automated selection of the area of interest would prevent leaving this task to the user, but a more complex environment understanding should be employed; the development of such system is left as a topic for future research. Another issue that is not possible to overcome with the presented methodology is the change in illumination when the patches are not in view to perform the measurement.

As for the rendering results, this approach to produce photo-realistic models in real time exhibits that it is possible to use multiple directional light sources to simulate an image based lighting technique. Nevertheless, compromises between quality and execution time must be evaluated in this context as well. As for the device used during the development, 17 directional lights yielded an acceptable result, while not decreasing the frame rate of the application.

In spite of everything, this system poses itself as an alternative to what is currently available for real time outdoor lighting estimation on mobile devices. It demonstrates that it is possible to compute daylight illumination parameters relying only on sensors available on the majority of smartphones, performing radiometric measurements and yielding coherent results between the lighting applied to the virtual models and the illumination of the environment they are placed in.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is funded by the DARWIN project under the Innovation Fund Denmark, case number: 6151-00020B, which is gracefully acknowledged.

#### REFERENCES

- Barreira, J., Bessa, M., Barbosa, L., and Magalhaes, L. (2018). A context-aware method for authentically simulating outdoors shadows for mobile augmented reality. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 24(3):1223–1231.
- Debevec, P. (1998). Rendering synthetic objects into real scenes. In *Proceedings of the 25th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques* - *SIGGRAPH98*. ACM Press.
- Dutré, Ph., Bala, K., Bekaert, Ph., and Shirley, P. (2006). Advanced Global Illumination. AK Peters Ltd.

- Hara, K., Nishino, K., and Ikeuchi, K. (2005). Light source position and reflectance estimation from a single view without the distant illumination assumption. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 27(4):493–505.
- Hold-Geoffroy, Y., Sunkavalli, K., Hadap, S., Gambaretto, E., and Lalonde, J.-F. (2016). Deep outdoor illumination estimation. 2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 2373– 2382.
- Jachnik, J., Newcombe, R. A., and Davison, A. J. (2012). Real-time surface light-field capture for augmentation of planar specular surfaces. In 2012 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR). IEEE.
- Knorr, S. B. and Kurz, D. (2014). Real-time illumination estimation from faces for coherent rendering. In 2014 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR). IEEE.
- LeGendre, C., Ma, W.-C., Fyffe, G., Flynn, J., Charbonnel, L., Busch, J., and Debevec, P. (2019). Deeplight: Learning illumination for unconstrained mobile mixed reality. In *The IEEE Conference on Computer Vision* and Pattern Recognition (CVPR).
- Lopez-Moreno, J., Garces, E., Hadap, S., Reinhard, E., and Gutierrez, D. (2013). Multiple light source estimation in a single image. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 32(8):170–182.
- Lopez-Moreno, J., Hadap, S., Reinhard, E., and Gutierrez, D. (2010). Compositing images through light source detection. *Computers & Graphics*, 34(6):698–707.
- Madsen, C. B. and Lal, B. B. (2013). Estimating outdoor illumination conditions based on detection of dynamic shadows. In *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, pages 33–52. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Reda, I. and Andreas, A. (2004). Solar position algorithm for solar radiation application. *Solar Energy*, 76:577– 589.
- Stone, M. (2002). *Field Guide to Digital Color*. A. K. Peters, Ltd., Natick, MA, USA.
- Stumpfel, J., Jones, A., Wenger, A., Tchou, C., Hawkins, T., and Debevec, P. (2006). Direct hdr capture of the sun and sky. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2006 Courses, SIG-GRAPH '06, New York, NY, USA. ACM.
- Walton, D. R. and Steed, A. (2018). Dynamic hdr environment capture for mixed reality. In *Proceedings of the* 24th ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology, VRST '18, pages 18:1–18:11, New York, NY, USA. ACM.