Clothing Category Classification using Common Models Adaptively Adjusted to Observation

Jingyu Hu 1 ,∗, Nobuyuki Kita 2 and Yasuyo Kita 2

1 Technology Platform Center, IHI Corporation Technology & Intelligence Integration, Japan
2 Intelligent Systems Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tsukuba, Japan

Abstract: This paper proposes a method of automatically classifying the category of clothing items by adaptively adjusting common models subject to each observation. In the previous work (Hu and Kita, 2015), we proposed a two-stage method of categorizing a clothing item using a dual-arm robot. First, to alleviate the effect of large physical deformation, the method reshaped a clothing item of interest into one of a small number of limited shapes by using a fixed basic sequence of re-grasp actions. The shape was then matched with shape potential images of clothing category, each of which was configured by combining the clothing contours of various designed items of the same category. However, there was a problem that the shape potential images were too general to be highly discriminative. In this paper, we propose to configure high discriminative shape potential images by adjusting them subject to observation. Concretely, we restrict the contours used for potential images according to simply observable information. Two series of experiments using various clothing items of five categories demonstrate the effect of the proposed method.

1 INTRODUCTION

As home and rehabilitation robots are expected to play an important role in an aging society, it will become necessary for robots to automatically handle daily objects including clothing items. The large deformation of clothing items that is accompanied by complex self-occlusion makes it more difficult to recognize items. There have been many studies on the recognition for handling clothes, such as those on classification (B. Willimon and Walker, 2013) (Stria and Hlavac, 2018), and automatic folding (J. Maitin-Shepard and Abbeel, 2010) (S. Miller and Abbeel, 2011) (Y. Kita and Kita, 2014) (P. Yang and Ogata, 2017).

The present paper focuses on the automatic classification of clothing items into a category (e.g., shirts, trousers) using a dual-arm robot without flattening the items on a table. Unlike most existing methods, we aim at a method that can work with general models of the category without requiring preregistration of the clothing items to be recognized. Considering the almost infinite variation in clothing shape, it is extremely difficult to make the model of each category that is highly discriminating among different categories and at the same time is tolerant of intra-category shape variation. To overcome this problem, in the previous work (Hu and Kita, 2015) we proposed a two-stage method to separately deal with the shape variation due to design variation of a category from that caused by physical deformation. First, to lessen the effect of physical deformation, the item of interest is reshaped into one of a small number of limited shapes in the air. Then, to absorb the shape variation of each limited shape due to the different size, design and material within the category, we match the shape with a potential image, which is made by combining contours of clothing items of various design and material. The feasibility tests of the work demonstrated a high potential of the strategy. However, we also found the defect of the shape model represented by the potential image: while the model can cover variation caused by large individual size, design and materials, it has low discriminative power.

To overcome this weak point, this paper proposes to add a feedback process from observation to the model building process, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Although we, human, naturally do such feedback, few
works take this approach: most works prepare the model at the first and just directly use it to match with observations. The contribution of the present paper is to build discriminating common models in response to each observation. Owing to this improvement, the proposed method well classifies clothing category without requiring either the preregistration of individual clothing information or a learning stage using huge training data.

This paper is organized as follows. After surveying related works in Section 2, in Section 3, we briefly explain a base two-stage method. Section 4 describes how to configure potential images that are adjusted to each observed case. Section 5 presents the results of experiments conducted using both a manual setting and a humanoid system, and then finally, the paper is concluded with discussions of the current status and future direction of research in Section 6.

2 RELATED WORK

Miller et al. (S. Miller and Abbeel, 2011) used parameterized models as general models for the analysis of clothing items flattened on a desk. However, flattening an item itself is not an easy task, as shown in other studies (e.g., (B. Williamon and Walker, 2011)), and requires extra procedures.

Classifying the category of clothing items held in the air has also been studied from the early days(Hamajima and Kakikura, 2000)(F. Osawa and Kamiya, 2007). Recently, many researchers applied a learning approach for handling clothing items, some of which are dealing with hanging clothes(A. Doumanoglou, 2014)(I. Mariolis and Malassiotis, 2015)(Stria and Hlavac, 2018). Doumanoglou et al. (A. Doumanoglou, 2014) used 3D features extracted from depth images of clothing items to classify the clothing category and to detect the position to hold according to the random forests algorithm. Although they obtained good results even for items different from those used in the learning stage, their methods require approximately 30,000 observation data for training to achieve the results. It is uncertain if the learned classifier still works when the situation (i.e., the robots and 3D sensors) changes. Mariolis et al. (I. Mariolis and Malassiotis, 2015) applied a deep learning approach to automatically extract efficient features from 3D clothing shapes, aiming at classifying the pose and category of clothing. They reduced the burden of the learning stage using a synthetic database obtained by physics based simulation. In the learning stage, however, the method requires the model of the individual clothing item to be recognized and does not target the general categorization of arbitrary items. Stria et al. (Stria and Hlavac, 2018) proposed to use 3D point clouds of the whole circumference of a garment held by a robot hand as input for category classification. They extracted auto-encoder features from the point clouds using a convolutional neural network (CNN) and use the features for SVM classification of garment categories. When using the 3D shape of a garment after grasping its lowest part, high success ratio was reported. However, the shape held by only one hand originally shows small difference between different categories especially in the case of garments made of soft materials. In addition, the output of their method is just a type of category and does not indicate any information of the clothing state. Before taking specific
Figure 2: Two-stage method for overcoming two types of shape variations of clothing.

handling actions such as folding and spreading, an additional method to recognize the state is required.

For the purpose of classification of category at the same time of recognizing the clothing state, we take the two-stage approach shown in Fig. 2, where a clothing item of interest is first brought into one of a small number of limited shapes and the type of limited shape is then recognized. Osawa et al. (F. Osawa and Kamiya, 2007) proposed a method that re-grasps the lowest point of clothing items twice to limit the physical deformation. The difference between their way and ours is that we bring clothing items into more discriminative shapes by finding a proper grasping position.

3 BASE METHOD

3.1 Bringing an Item into a Limited Shape

Fig. 2 shows the flow of our two-stage method. To bring any item into a shape that is as discriminating as possible without prior knowledge of the item, we select the following sequence of actions: 1. Pick up an item at an arbitrary point; 2. Grasp the lowest point and release the first hand; 3. Grasp the convex point closest to the currently grasped point and spread the item. After the application of this basic sequence of actions, an item of any category is brought into one of a few types of shapes. We refer to such possible shapes as the set of limited shapes. In the case of the five categories that we deal with in this paper, all categories are brought into two types of limited shapes. Figure 3(a)–(d) shows the two limited shapes of four of the five categories, namely long-sleeve shirts, trousers, skirts and half-sleeve shirts, where pink and green points illustrate the two grasping points after the sequence is executed. We refer to a limited shape as, for example, “Shape A of a long-sleeve shirt”, abbreviated as LS-A as shown in Fig. 3. For A types, left-right symmetrical shapes also exist.

These actions are automatically done by a dual-arm robot by the method proposed in (Hu and Kita, 2015). To detect the convex point of items such as shoulder of shirts stably, a sequence of 3D depth images from different view directions are used to track possible convex points during the sequential observation.

3.2 Potential Image for Representing Intra-category Shape Variation

After bringing the clothing item of interest into one of the set of limited shapes, the proposed method classifies the type of limited shape, such as LS-A in Fig. 3, according to the shape of the observed clothing area. To absorb variations of the contour shape of a type of limited shape depending on the size, design and softness of clothing items in the category, we use a potential image to represent each limited shape.

First, several typically designed clothing models are built for each category. For this, we take the shapes from different clothing items on the Internet. The clothing model is represented by a simplified planar surface that deforms three-dimensionally. As examples, pictures of long-sleeve shirts from the Internet and the models built manually from their shapes are shown in Fig.4(a). Limited shapes of each category are then physically simulated using these models by manually giving two holding positions. For this simulation, we use the “n-cloth” function in Maya(.GOULD, 2004). To consider different fabrics of clothing, we also change parameters of the softness in the models, such as stretch resistance of dynamic properties. Fig.4(b) show examples of 3D shapes for Shape A of long-sleeve shirts obtained after the simu-
Figure 4: Building a database of 3D shapes (long-sleeve shirts (LS-A)): (a) pictures of long-sleeve shirts from the Internet and the models built from their shapes; (b) limited shapes physically simulated using the models and different elastic property.

Figure 5: Calculation of potential images: (a) collection of different contours in an image; (b) smoothing of the possible shape.

The two-dimensional (2D) contours extracted from the projection of the simulated 3D shapes are normalized based on the distance between two grasping points and integrated on a image as shown Fig. 5(a). Then the area enclosed by the contours are filled with the value of 1.0 (the middle figure of Fig. 5(b)) for the purpose of compensating the small number of samples for infinite shape variation. Then the potential image is obtaining by smoothing the image using a Gaussian filter (the right figure of Fig. 5(b)). We refer to the calculated image as the “potential image of limited shape”. \( P(i, j) \), where \( (i, j) \) is the 2D coordinates of the image.

In the observation stage, the contour of the clothing area in an observed depth image is extracted and normalized in the same way as the model building process. The consistency between the extracted contour and the potential image of each limited shape is then measured using \( R \):

\[
R = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} P(i_n, j_n)}{N},
\]

where \( N \) is the number of pixels of the observed contour and \( (i_n, j_n) \) denotes the coordinates of contour point \( n (n = 1, \ldots, N) \).

4 ADAPTIVE ADJUSTMENT OF A POTENTIAL IMAGE

The potential image described above can represent large intra-category variations in each limited shape, but on the other hand, its generality weakens the ability to discriminate from other categories. Figure 6 shows an example, an ambiguity of potential images between Shape B of trousers (TR-B) and Shape B of skirt (SK-B). Because the potential image of TR-B covers a large area owing to the high variance of the ratio of the width and the length of trousers for children and adults, contours of limited shapes other than TR-B also have a high value of \( R \) (shown under each figure) in the matching with it, and the recognition thus becomes unreliable.

Here, we propose to feedback observed information to the model-building process for obtaining more discriminating models. Some information obtained from observation using simple image processing can lead the constraint of shape variation. Model contours that is inconsistent to the constraint can be removed.
from candidates. Concretely, we measure the three lengths as shown in Fig. 7(a): $B$ (base) is the horizontal distance between two holding positions; $L$ (length) is the vertical distance between a holding position and the lowest point of the observed item; $W$ (width) is the horizontal distance between the leftmost and rightmost points of the observed item. The simple ratios between them are calculated:

$$k_1 = L/B, \quad k_2 = W/B.$$ 

For each 2D model contour, $m$, obtained in the process described in Section 3.2, $(k_{1m}, k_{2m})$ is calculated and recorded in advance. When categorizing an observation, $(k_1, k_2)$ of the observed item is calculated and only the model contours that are consistent with these ratios are integrated into one potential image. Considering the length variation caused by the difference in how strongly stretched the clothing item, some allowable differences, $L_d, W_d$, from observed values of $L, W$ are introduced. A 2D model contour $m$ is selected only if its ratio, $(k_{1m}, k_{2m})$, satisfies

$$\begin{align*}
(L - L_d)/B &< k_{1m} < (L + L_d)/B, \\
(W - W_d)/B &< k_{2m} < (W + W_d)/B.
\end{align*}$$

Figure 7(b) and (c) shows examples of potential images built using $L, W$ calculated from the observation of Fig. 6(a) and (b), respectively. Through this adjustment, potential images become more specific, resulting in discriminating against different categories, while still absorbing intra-category shape variations. We see the values of $R$ of incorrect potential images become low, while ones of correct potential images keep high.

In all experiments of this paper, we assume the length variation affected by the strength of pulling as $\pm 3.5$ cm and set both $L_d$ and $W_d$ at 7 cm.

5 EXPERIMENTS

We conducted two types of experiments to separately examine the performance of the classification method using adjusted potential images and the practical potency of the two-stage strategy using the classification method. In both experiments, we deal with clothing items of five categories: long-sleeve shirts, trousers, skirts, half-sleeve shirts, and towels. Because clothing of each category is brought into two limited shapes after the basic sequence of actions described in Section 3.1, the task of the experiments is classification of clothing items into one of 10 limited shapes.

In advance of the experiments, a database of 2D contours of various limited shapes was built as explained in Section 3.2. Specifically, we chose nine items of different design and size for each category from pictures on the Internet and gave two types of softness to each shape, resulting in 18 different contours for each limited shape besides the towel. Because the towel has less variation in design, only 12 contours were used. Therefore, the database consists of $(18 \text{ (contours)} \times 4 \text{ (categories)} + 12 \text{ (contours for the towel)}) \times 2$ (limited shapes) = 168 contours. As we noted in Section 4, the potential images are adaptively calculated after observation.

5.1 Experiments using Manual Setting

We conducted experiments with different clothing items by manually setting the items into limited shapes. Specifically, a clothing item was hung by being pinched at two points as shown in Fig. 8(a). The 3D data of clothing items were obtained using a trinocular vision system (Ueshiba, 2006) as shown in Fig. 8(b) and (c) for the texture-mapped 3D data and

Figure 8: Observation setting for Experiments using manual setting: (a) observed image; (b) 3D data of the clothing item.
Figure 9: Variance of \( R_c \) and \( R_{ib} \): (a) comparison of the distribution of \( R \) with (red) and without (green) model adjustment; (b) distribution of the difference between \( R_c \) and \( R_{ib} \) with/without model adjustment.

Table 1: Classification results for a manual setting: the number of success, failure and confusing (conf.) cases when using potential images non-adjusted \( P(i,j) \) and adjusted \( P(i,j) \) respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limited shape</th>
<th>non-adjusted</th>
<th>adjusted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Success</td>
<td>Failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-sleeve</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shirts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trouser</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skirt</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-sleeve</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shirts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towel</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 3D observed points (gray dots).

In this experiment, we used 10 long-sleeve shirts, 10 pairs of trousers, 10 skirts, 10 half-sleeve shirts and five towels. We formed two limited shapes for each piece of clothing, and a total of 90 observations were thus made. The experimental results are summarized in Table 1. To evaluate the effect of model adjustment, experiments without the adjustment process (i.e., using all contours of the database to make potential images) were also conducted; these results are listed in the column “non-adjusted”.

The diagram of Fig. 9(a) shows the distributions of \( R \) of the correct type, \( R_c \), and the largest \( R \) among the incorrect types, \( R_{ib} \). The former and latter are plotted with solid and dashed lines respectively, while the color red/green indicates the result with/without model adjustment. In contrast to the result that the distribution of \( R_c \) does not change greatly with model adjustment, the distribution of \( R_{ib} \) largely shifted to lower values. Figure 9(b) shows the distribution of the difference between \( R_c \) and \( R_{ib} \) with/without the model adjustment (red/green). The difference clearly became large with the adjustment; the average of the distribution increases from 0.165 to 0.238. The improvement in discriminant efficiency is also clearly shown in Table 1. In all experiments, the correct types of the limited shape were successfully selected as shown in the column “adjusted”.

Three examples of classification results are shown in Fig.10. The first and second columns of Fig.10 show the clothing item and the 3D observation data of its limited shape. The last three columns show the potential image of the limited shapes with the three highest \( R \) of (a) Shape A of trousers, (b) Shape A of skirt and (c) Shape B of half-sleeve shirt.
consistency $R$ calculated for each potential image is shown under the image. As shown in the examples of Shape A of trousers (TR-A, Fig. 10 (a)) and Shape A of skirt (SK-A, Fig. 10 (b)), $R$ of the correct type was clearly the largest in most cases. The column “confusing (conf.)” in Table 1 shows the number of cases where the difference between the largest and second largest $R$ is less than 0.05. There were four confusing cases of the proposed method. Two were ambiguity between Shape B of half-sleeve shirts (HS-B) with very short sleeves and Shape B of skirts (SK-B) as shown in Fig. 10(c).

Owing to inaccurate 3D observation data around the boundary of clothing regions, extracted contours are not so smooth even after several dilation/erosion image processes. Insensitivity to such disturbance is one advantage of using potential images. The computational time for adaptively configuring potential images is 0.2 s (using an Intel Xeon 3.47-GHz processor) on average, which is sufficiently short for real-time processing.

5.2 Experiments using a Humanoid System

We examined the practical potency of the total strategy, using the humanoid robot HRP-2 (Kaneko et al., 2004) and the same trinocular vision system as used in the first experiment set in front of the robot at a distance of 3 m. In this experiment, we used six long-sleeve shirts, five pairs of trousers, six skirts, seven half-sleeve shirts and eight towels. By using some of the items multiple times, 52 trials were done in total.

Figure 11 shows some examples of the case where items were successfully reshaped into one of the limited shapes and then correctly classified. All experimental results are summarized in Table 2. From the third to the fifth columns, the denominator and numerator are the number of experiments and successful cases respectively, whereas the third, fourth and fifth columns give the results of detection of the close convex point, spreading the item using the detected points, and classification using the spread shapes.

Table 2: Experimental results using a humanoid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Num. of explt.</th>
<th>Selection</th>
<th>Spreading</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LS shirts</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>7/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trousers</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9/11</td>
<td>9/9</td>
<td>9/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skirts</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6/11</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS-shirts</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6/10</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>4/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towels</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6/10</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11: Examples of successful spreading in Experiment using a humanoid system: (a) Shape B of trousers; (b) Shape A of skirts; (c) Shape B of long-sleeve shirts.

Figure 12: Examples of failures in Experiment using a humanoid system: (a) spreading failure of a skirt; (b) classification failure due to unexpected shape deformation.

The overall success rate of reshaping an item into one of the limited shapes was not high, 60% (31/52). Most of failures happened in the case of skirts, half-sleeve shirts and towels, because we just applied the reshaping method developed for the other two categories, long-sleeve shirts and trousers. Figure 12(a) shows an example of the failure in detecting close convex point of skirts. Although the current method detects only convex points at a planar part, waist parts of skirts were often spread into a round shape, causing detection failures.

Once clothing items were successfully spread into one of the limited shapes, the items were successfully classified into the correct type of limited shape except in the two in 31 cases. Fig 12(b) shows one failure case: a half-sleeve shirt was spread into a perfectly flattened shape by chance, which is not expected as typical shapes. In this case, even the largest $R$ became very low and indicative of such special situation.

6 CONCLUSION

We proposed a method of clothing category classification that builds discriminating common models using the feedback from current observation. Under the framework where a dual-arm robot first reshapes a clothing item into one of a small number of limited
shapes, two series of experiments using many different clothing items of five clothing categories were conducted. Although the method of bringing clothing items into one of the limited shapes is still in a stage of development, the classification is highly correct once the items are successfully reshaped into a limited shape. Though we need more thorough experiments for assertion, the current results showed that the feedback of observed information to model building process enables common category models that is highly discriminating among different categories and at the same time is tolerant of intra-category shape variation.

Because, in the proposed framework, the state of the clothing item is also known at the same time as the classification, such as Shape A of trousers in Fig. 10(a), the method can be directly connected to subsequent actions for specific tasks such as folding or spreading into a fixed shape. The results also have high affinity with the model-driven method of (Y. Kita and Kita, 2014) to perform further tasks.
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