Detecting Tunnels for Border Security based on Fiber Optical Distributed Acoustic Sensor Data using DBSCAN
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Abstract: The Border Situational Awareness may consist of many different features. Mainly, these features focus on detecting intrusion activities. New generation security systems are collecting important amount of data obtained from sensors. In general, the alarm confirmation mechanism is visual identification using cameras and Video Management Systems. On the other hand, this approach may not be enough to identify an invisible tunnel digging activity underground for trespassing the border. This paper is suggesting a new method to detect tunnels by using statically filtered alarm data and DBSCAN algorithm. In this particular case MIDAS® Fiber Optic based Distributed Acoustic Sensor (DAS) system is used, which is designed by ASELSAN Inc. The proposed approach is evaluated and positive results are seen on diverse areas of the Turkish borders.

1 INTRODUCTION

Border Situational Awareness may consist of many different features. Mainly, these features focus on detecting intrusion activities. New generation security systems are collecting important amount of data obtained from sensors. In general, the alarm confirmation mechanism is visual identification using cameras and Video Management Systems. On the other hand, this approach may not be enough to identify an invisible tunnel digging activity underground for trespassing the border. This paper is suggesting a new method to detect tunnels by using statically filtered alarm data and DBSCAN algorithm. In this particular case MIDAS® Fiber Optic based Distributed Acoustic Sensor (DAS) system is used, which is designed by ASELSAN Inc. The proposed approach is evaluated and seen positive results on diverse areas of the Turkish borders (Figs. 1 and 2).

DAS Technology is commonly based on coherent Rayleigh scattering. The principle of DAS is based on Rayleigh-scattering. Basically this phenomenon can be explained by physical vibrations that cause scattering from multiple points within the same fiber. These captured scattered light signals lead the system to detect and identify intrusions. DAS provides long distance spatial-resolution (around 50 km range), and high dynamic-range sensing. In addition to this, DAS uses standard single mode fiber optic cable to provide the long-distance acoustic and seismic detection. With suitable analysis software, continuous monitoring of pipelines for unwanted interference, as well as leaks or flow irregularities and environmental monitoring is possible (Abbar, 2019). Roads, borders, railways, traffic, perimeters etc. can be monitored for unusual activity with the position of the activity being determined to within approximately 10 meters. Due to the ability of the optic fiber to operate in harsh environments, the technology can also be used in oil well monitoring applications. This ability allows real-time information on the state of the well to be determined. In this document the channel referred to each measured scattering point. Which represents the distance from the beginning of the fiber connection. Each channel has known with its geographic location (latitude and longitude).

Clandestine Tunnel is one of the ancient techniques for intrusion. There are already certain methodologies exist to discover tunnel’s underground such as using magnetic sensors and ground penetration radars (Llopis, Dunbar, Wakeley, Corcoran, 2005, Nibi, Menon, Amrita, 2016 and
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Kumari, Kumar, Gupta, Priyadarshini, 2018) as well as discovering digging activities coming from underground by using two different DAS sensor systems which are located apart from each other (Duckworth, Owen, Worsley, Stephenson, 2013). These techniques require additional equipment, installation and trained personal that will increase the cost dramatically, regarding the hundreds of miles of border length. This paper presents a cost-effective approach by using already recorded unclassified alarm data in Data Base rather than using signal processing techniques or additional devices and sensors.

The method consists of three phases (Fig.3). The first phase analysis the activations on Time Domain. This process searches increasing trends of activities according to channel based historic data. The second phase analysis Spatial Domain. This process finds active channels in comparison with neighboring channels. The third phase takes the data combined from Step 1 and Step 2 as input. It searches the clustered channels by using DBSCAN algorithm. The purpose of this step is to combine multiple suspected consistent channels which are located closely to eliminate false alarms.

2 METHOD

Expecting tunneling activities most likely will affect more than one channel. It is a combination of construction, observation and logistics events. According to gathered intelligence and experience, tunnels are made around 10 to 50 meters long and it takes around 7 days to finish. And all tunneling activities are made during the nighttime. In order to detect tunneling activities, unclassified DAS sensor alarms are used. In other words, every detection above a certain threshold point is reported as alarm by DAS equipment. The data have been collected from Data Base of Active Border Patrol Command Control Station. The data used here is from real environment, real case. Collected data consists of 865 channels. As
a result, 103,949 alarm records are captured. Every record has Date, Time, and Channel information. By using time and date information, all alarm counts have been calculated for each channel, on nighttime duration. During development phase, the algorithm has been implemented in VBA in Excel and DBSCAN is implemented in Python (ver.3.7.3). Spyder IDE is used over Anaconda and Pandas installations. However, In Border Security System the algorithm has been implemented in Java on Windows 10 OS. In real case is designed to operate on the server which launches the algorithm on nightly bases with latest data. It searches the Data Base and finds the most active channels and executes the methods and generates warnings.

The method consists of three phases (Fig. 3). The first phase analyzes the activations on the Time Domain. This process searches increasing trends of activities according to channel based historic data. The second phase analyzes Spatial Domain. This process finds active channels in comparison with neighboring channels. The third phase takes the data combined from Step 1 and Step 2 as input. It searches the dense clustered channels by using DBSCAN algorithm. The purpose of this step is to combine multiple suspected consistent channels which are located closely to eliminate false alarms.

![Figure 3: Phases of Method.](image)

### 2.1 Time Domain Data Analysis

The purpose of this step is to detect highly active channels according to its historic data. The Long-Term Daily Average alarm counts are calculated as reference point (1).

\[
\text{Average}_r = \frac{\sum_{i} A_i}{n}
\]

r : Channel Number  
n : Number of Days  
i : Day Index  
A_i : Nightly Alarm Count for channel r

According to given information a typical tunnel boring activity takes around seven days to finish. The reason of this conversion is because some channels can have big AR numbers because they have very low activation history (Long Term Activation Average) and small activations highly increase their percentage. As a result, the sigmoid function is used to limit the results of Activation Rate for every channel (r) (4).

### 2.2 Spatial Domain Analysis

According to gathered field experience the alarm count information may vary channel to channel due to differentiations of environmental conditions.
Wind, river, earth types, rocks may affect each channel to generate different numbers of alarms. For example, wet earth absorbs some of the seismic vibrations. On the other hand, a floating river may cause more alarms around that region. The vibrating camera holders and poles from wind is also another factor. Installation differences of the cable also creates differences on the field.

A discovery process is applied on each channel location along with their local area statistics. The reference frame should be large enough to encapsulate sensing a possible boring tunnel. As a result, five channels’ windows are used (maximum tunnel length 50m corresponds with 5 channels). Regional Average is calculated as the number of alarms of every reference window. RAᵣ: Regional Average.

\[
RAᵣ = \frac{\sumᵢ^{5} \text{Average}ᵢ}{5} \quad (5)
\]

Standard Deviation technique is used to compare specific channel’s Recent Activation Rate against reference window average (Fig. 5). Standard Deviation method is a common approach in data classifying (Kumar, Kuttiannan, 2006). Sigma measures how far an observed data deviates from the average.

\[
\sigmaᵣ: \text{Standard Deviation for channel } r \\
\sigmaᵣ = \sqrt{\frac{\sumᵢ^{5} (RAᵣ - \text{SMA5})^2}{4}} \quad (6)
\]

Most active 10% of the values lie within two standard deviations of the mean, respectively. As a result, to determine intrusion, values above 2 sigma (\(>2\sigma\)) are collected (Fig. 6). Even so all channels that have a standard deviation less than 2\(\sigma\) are filtered out. Others are used for further analysis.

### 2.3 DBSCAN Algorithm and Clustering Channels

Previously, Time Domain Analysis finds the most active channels according to their historic data. And Spatial Domain Analysis finds the most active channels according to their local area. The result of these two analysis has been merged in a table consisting of the most active channels, in terms of both time and location analysis.

In the literature, there are several extensive reviews discussing intrusion detection approaches. Kumar (Banerjee and Kumar, 2009) provided a recent review of the intrusion detection problems, techniques, and application areas. DBSCAN is a clustering method that is used in machine learning to separate clusters of high density from clusters of low density. DBSCAN is a density-based clustering algorithm, very effective way of seeking areas in the data that have a high density of observations. The main purpose of DBSCAN algorithm is to locate regions of high density alarms that are separated from one another by regions of low density alarms. DBSCAN iteratively expands the cluster, by going through each individual channels within the cluster, and counting the number of other data points nearby (Fig. 7). Following the definition of dense region, a point can be classified as a Core Point if \(|N(p)| \geq \text{MinPts}\) (7). The Epsilon neighborhood of a point P in the database D is defined as the following (referring to the definition from Ester et.al. Ester, 1996).

\[
N(p) = \{q \in D \mid \text{dist}(p, q) \leq \epsilon\} \quad (7)
\]

Figure 6: Standard deviation distribution of Data Analysis.
The Core Points, as the name suggests, lie usually within the interior of a cluster. An outlier Point has fewer than MinPts within its $\epsilon$-neighborhood (N). However, it lies in the neighborhood of another core point. Noise is any data point that is neither core nor outlier point.

In this paper DBSCAN algorithm is used to find the high-density clusters based on statistical data and location. Clusters with high density will be considered as intrusion locations. As a result, DBSCAN has been implemented in Python. The Software takes the input produced by Excel in csv file format basically a table consisting of three columns. These are channel numbers, RAL (Recent Activation Level), LAL (Local Area Activation Level). The purpose of this phase is to find consistency in between suspected channels by clustering DBSCAN algorithm. Instead of using channel numbers, geographic location data (latitude, longitude) can be used in the future. The DBSCAN algorithm will cluster dense channels with given parameters.

The LAL, RAL parameters of selected Channels are read from the csv file (marked as "SIG", "STD", "CHN" in the table and Python Code) as input. The parameter settings of $\epsilon$ and MinPts are set to 0.3 and 3 respectively (noise is labelled as -1) (Fig. 8). The algorithm find 1 cluster as the result which will be further evaluated in section 3.

Figure 8: Python Code and results of DBSCAN.

3 RESULT

The algorithm has been implemented with real environmental data acquired from South Turkish Border. The collected data have 103,949 Alarm Records (every record consists of Time, Date and Channel Number) coming from 865 channels. Methods are applied to data base alarm records. At the first step “Time Domain Analysis” has detected 93 recently active channels. At the second step “Spatial Domain Analysis” has detected 49 active
channels. At the third step these two data sets combined in a table of suspicious 34 channel candidates marked by both methods. The result of DBSCAN algorithm is a cluster consisting of the following channel numbers: 690, 668, and 667. The Channel numbered 668, pinpoints the exact location of a discovered tunnel at the border.

Figure 9: Tunnel found at Turkish Syria Border.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper a data mining approach is presented to detect tunnels under ground as a part of Smart Border Security System (Svitek, Horak, Cheu and Ferregut, 2019). This method has three distinct phases. Time Domain Data Analysis classifies acquired data according to the most recent nightly activities. Spatial Domain Data Analysis phase finds the active channels according to their local statistics. And finally, DBSCAN Algorithm is used to detect clusters in the similar channels. The detection result with real case and real data shows that data mining can help to discover intrusion tunnels.

The major limitation in this work is both the lack and difficulty to obtain real case data. Additionally, using moving averages generates late results. However, the border security system eventually will accumulate tagged data for future usage, containing the time domain and spatial domain analysis table. This tagged data can be linked to a KNN based machine learning algorithm as a future work (Amer and Goldstein, 2012). There are new methods and studies for intrusion detection. They could be alternative to KNN (Banerjee and Kumar, 2009). Also, the approach can be extended to other specific types of intrusions such as identifying periodic smuggling events, massive immigration events. On the other hand, system can identify harmless events at border such as agriculture activities. Those types of events can be masked to reduce unnecessary false alarms. Sensor fusion techniques will help to analyze other type of sensor alarms such as motion detection alarms generated by cameras, geophone seismic sensors, PIR sensors, and radars. As a result, Smart Border Security System Software nightly analyzes the latest data and increase situational awareness by generating high level alarms.
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