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Abstract: With the advent of high performance computing and scientific advancement, deep convolutional neural networks (CNN) have already been established as the best candidate for image classification tasks. A decisive requirement for successful deployment of CNN models is the vast amount of annotated images, which usually is a costly and quite tedious task, especially within an industrial environment. To address this deployment barrier, we propose an enhanced active learning framework of a CNN model with a compressed architecture for chip defect classification in semiconductor wafers. Our framework unfolds in two main steps and is performed in an iterative manner. First, a subset of the most informative samples is queried based on uncertainty estimation. Second, spatial metadata of the queried images are utilized for a density-based clustering in order to discard noisy instances and to keep only those ones that constitute systematic defect patterns in the wafer. Finally, a reduced and more representative subset of images are passed for labelling, thus minimizing the manual labour of the process engineer. In each iteration, the performance of the CNN model is considerably improved, as only those images are labeled that will help the model to better generalize. We validate the effectiveness of our framework using real data from running processes of a semiconductor manufacturer.

1 INTRODUCTION

In the semiconductor industry, wafers are considered one of the most vital primary components, as chips (or die) are manufactured from them. Depending on the wafer and the chip size this allows to process up to several tens of thousands die in parallel. Their fabrication process comprises of hundreds of steps with a high degree of complexity and extremely tight quality requirements. By conducting electric/optic inspection tests defective dies are revealed and wafer maps of the defects are formed with discrete spatial patterns. In addition to the automated inspections, a manual one may be performed by the process engineer, who carefully reviews and manually classifies sampled dies or chips through a (scanning electron) microscope. This delicate task can be extremely laborious as well as error prone, especially when the number of chips per wafer is high (several thousands per wafer) and the types of defects are unknown. Thus, automatic classification schemes of the wafer surface defects on the dies based on novel techniques are imperative in order to successfully address the above challenges.

Multifaceted benefits are derived for the entire fabrication process from an automatic classification scheme of the surface wafer defects. Not only overall production costs are reduced, but final product quality is continuously improved, since personnel is allocated for more essential tasks within the fabrication process and an accurate root cause analysis can be performed. With the advent of powerful computing infrastructures from deployment of multiple graphical processing units (GPUs) and scientific advancement, novel deep learning techniques were emerged and with great success employed for automatic defect classification purposes (Kyeong and Kim, 2018), (Cheon et al., 2019). These approaches introduced convolutional neural networks (CNN) which outper-
formed existing feature-crafted methods for applications of chip defect classification tasks. During training of such deep neural networks, millions of parameters are learned, thus resulting to large size models which in real production settings are quite cumbersome for deployment in embedded devices which have tight real-time requirements. Amongst a very large collection of CNN architectures (Rawat and Wang, 2017), more compact ones need to be deployed in mobile devices that are able to achieve a trade-off between computational overhead and classification performance.

A major prerequisite condition for the successful deployment of such deep learning models is a very large amount of labeled images that will be utilized for training. This constraint, however, comes with a great economical cost, as process engineers have to conduct a monotonous and error-prone task of annotating images of defective dies. During annotation only the most informative defect images need to be selected, as they will facilitate the learning of a generalized model that will be able to recognize unseen underlying patterns in the defect images. In particular, for a human annotator performing such informativeness ranking on the defect images seems tedious or even impossible to perform. Active learning (Settles, 2009) is able to alleviate this burden by automatically choosing the right amount of images to be labeled that will ultimately achieve the best performance on the machine learning algorithm. In particular, batch mode active learning is performed with an iterative way by querying groups of instances for labeling in a parallel manner by multiple annotators (oracles) that can be more efficient.

In light of the above challenges, we propose an enhanced active learning framework of a specially designed convolutional neural network for defect classification in a real wafer fabrication site. Our proposed method comprises mainly of five major steps: 1) query most informative subsets of images based on their estimated uncertainty, 2) perform density-based clustering on the metadata from wafer, 3) discard instances outside of dense neighborhoods, 4) annotation of the queried images by the oracle and 5) model update. Initially, we design a suitable CNN architecture based on compression techniques that resulted to a model size as large as 1MByte without sacrificing the final accuracy on the test set. With the proposed architecture we conduct a series of experiments for different image defect sizes, as it is a detrimental parameter for the overall classification performance. We adopt an active learning technique for querying the minimum amount of the most informative and diverse instances by estimating the uncertainty from the model’s output class probabilities. To further enhance the queried subset of images, we perform a density-based clustering based on the metadata, in which spatial coordinates of the defective dies in the wafer with their ids are stored. Experiments show that our active learning framework converges to a test set accuracy above 95% and it outperforms the greedy approach, in which all images are used for training the CNN model. Last but not least, within the quality control process of the wafers the inspection times were significantly decreased, thus increasing the overall yield as well as the product quality.

2 RELATED WORK

Several studies in the field of wafers defect classification (Nakazawa and Kulkarni, 2018; Kyeong and Kim, 2018) have laid their focus mostly on the wafer maps it self and their pattern classification. From a technical point of view, defects on the wafer maps are simpler to classify, as no chip architecture at all is taken into account. Especially, when complex chip architectures are occluded in the images, it is quite challenging for the algorithm to discern and classify the defect on the chip’s surface. Chou et al. (Chou et al., 1997) were among the first ones that developed a defect classification system by engineering image related features, such as size, shape, color and location of the defects, and feeding them into the classifiers. Their evaluation on different test sets showed that probabilistic neural networks outperformed the decision tree classifier. A recent study of Cheon et al. (Cheon et al., 2019) employed CNN to classify five surface defect types on the wafers. The authors also exploited the latent feature representation of the CNN and build a clustering technique to filter out the defect images originating from an unknown class. However, the employed network architecture, which bears great resemblance to AlexNet (Krizhevsky et al., 2012), learns an extremely large amount of trainable parameters (>1,000,000), mostly due to the large image size of the inputs and the number of the feature maps. Feature maps are generated in each convolutional layers by applying filters, starting initially from the input image.

In general, the majority of the previous studies focused on the wafer maps as a whole, while only a few addressed the challenging problem of the defect classification at the die level, in which the chip architecture is occluded in the image.

Wherever supervised learning approaches are adopted, one of the greatest challenges is to annotate large amount of images that will be used for train-
ing of the machine learning models. When the context of the image is not obvious due to occlusions, such as of a chip architecture, it is even more difficult for a human annotator to judge not only the class of the defect but whether the image is suitable for supervised learning or not. By fusing convolutional neural networks with active learning, more informative and model-friendly groups of instances are selected, while the same time minimizing the high cost of labelling, as less images are included into the annotation pool.

The authors in (Wang et al., 2016) proposed a framework, that first introduced CNN for image classification with uncertainty-based active learning which yielded a significant improvement in accuracy and efficiency. Based on the estimated class probability from the output softmax layer, three selection criteria for uncertainty estimation were applied in order to query the best candidate instances for labelling. The proposed active learning system queries samples in two ways. First, samples with high confidence from softmax function are automatically labeled and stored into the general pool of instances. Second, the algorithm obtains samples with high uncertainty and diversity and directs them for human labelling, while afterwards the union set of all the labeled samples is used to incrementally update the CNN model. Recently, Shim et al. (Shim et al., 2020) proposed a cost-effective framework with active learning for classification of wafer map patterns. Their approach shares several similarities with (Wang et al., 2016), as it is also focused on uncertainty sampling strategies to query the smallest possible amount of informative unlabeled samples. A Bayesian approach is adopted on the CNN architecture itself by randomly dropping out trainable parameters and hence both avoidance of overfitting and uncertainty estimation is achieved. CNN model’s weights are iteratively updated, once only few informative wafers are labeled and hence the cost from annotating massive datasets diminishes. Our work differs from (Shim et al., 2020) in two points. First, we leave the model’s trainable parameters invariant during the whole iteration procedure. Second, we exploit the spatial metadata of the defects in wafer, and not the wafer maps, with their ids to further enhance our active learning framework, as only the most informative instances are ultimately labeled by the process engineer. To the best of our knowledge, our work is the first that takes into account the metadata of wafer surface defects with active learning of convolutional neural networks.

3 PROPOSED FRAMEWORK

3.1 Overview

We investigated a case of an internationally acting semiconductor company. The entire production process involves >100 production steps. In our case study we focused primarily on the automated optic inspection (AOI) from post wafer bonding. Wafer bonding is an advanced wafer-level packaging technology for the fabrication of micro mechanical 3D structures by fusing different types of wafer surfaces (Huang and Pan, 2015). In manual inspections, it turned out, that many defects are caused by previous process steps. However, due to the huge amounts of data accompanied with high complexity errors, the investigation could not be made systematically and hence the adjustment and improvement of the suspicious process was impeded. In particular, it may take an expert approximately 30 minutes per wafer, to conduct a qualitative review including the defect classification. Hence, further overhead costs are induced and allocated for the inspection task.

In the context of our study, we build an enhanced
active learning framework with the aim of establishing a minimum overhead for image annotation by the process engineer along with a high performance and efficient CNN classifier. An overview of the proposed framework is summarized in Figure 1. First, an initial subset of images was manually classified and used to first train the CNN model. Next, class probabilities from the output layer are used to estimate the uncertainties and their margin from a new subset of unlabeled images. Out of this set, a subset of the most informative and diverse defect images is queried. In later section we describe in detail, how this subset is queried based on the uncertainty estimation.

In the next phase, metadata of these images that contain spatial information of the defects in the wafer are clustered with DBSCAN algorithm (Schubert et al., 2017). DBSCAN aims at finding automatically dense clusters from the data without explicitly assigning the number of the clusters. Initially, it detects the core points that, within a radius \( \epsilon \), enclose a minimum number of neighbors \( \text{minPts} \). Hence, it reaches the minimum density in order to form a neighborhood. The rest of the points, which are not reachable by any of the core points, are tagged as noisy and they do not belong to any of the derived clusters. The two former parameters are critical for the method, as they control both the number and the structure of the final clusters. The intuition behind DBSCAN for our case is that more dense areas on the wafer’s surface constitute a systematic defect pattern, while less dense areas with a random arrangement yield noisy instances, which will be removed from the queried batch of images. Hence, defect images are mostly included with a specific map pattern in the level of detail of the wafer map. Furthermore, the final subset is guided for annotation by the process engineer (oracle) and utilized for updating the CNN model. The process is iteratively performed until a maximum number of iterations is reached. Eventually, a significantly smaller amount of informative images are obtained with the minimum human effort that will be utilized for training of the CNN model.

3.2 Classification Model

Extensive research on the field of image recognition indicated the best fit of deep CNNs for image classification purposes (Krizhevsky et al., 2012). Since numerous CNN architectures are available, the design of the network can be a quite non-trivial task with many factors to consider, for instance high accuracy and optimized real time deployment in embedded devices. Our design architecture was based on SqueezeNet (Iandola et al., 2016), which combines AlexNet (Krizhevsky et al., 2012) with Fire modules, a mixing of compressing and expanding convolutional layers. First, a squeeze layer resulted from the convolution of 1x1 filters will serve as the expand layer of two convolutional layer with respectively 1x1 and 3x3 filters. Overall, we chose to design a relative simple, yet powerful multiclass classification model, by achieving a balance between desired accuracy and performance. Main advantage of the SqueezeNet architecture is the ability to reduce the amount of the learned parameters, without any compromise on the accuracy. Small model sizes (<1MB) that result from such a compact architecture can facilitate their deployment on embedded systems of mobile devices for real-time defect evaluation right on the wafer inspection process.

Our deployed CNN architecture of SqueezeNet is illustrated in Figure 2. Initially, our architecture begins with a batch normalization layer preceding a standalone convolutional layer with a filter size of 3x3 and 32 feature maps. Batch normalization technique is applied on each mini-batch, which can deal with the issue of covariate shift and the same time accelerate training convergence (Ioffe and Szegedy, 2015). Usually the number of feature maps is increased as deeper as the network progresses generating more parameters to learn. To prevent overfitting from the large amount of learnable parameters, pooling layers are included to the model by extracting the maximum values with the filter size, which in our case happens to be 2x2. In the middle of our architecture,
we add two core components of SqueezeNet with increasing number of feature maps, the Fire modules, which is the key idea of the algorithm for compressing convolutional layers. The compression technique of SqueezeNet is achieved by a the squeeze layer with 1x1 filters and following an expand layer with a blend of 1x1 and 3x3 filters.

We emphasize that in wafer fabrication environments such optimized designs in the architecture can be of great advantage, since smaller models are trained faster and hence much more easily deployed for evaluation right in the production site.

3.3 Enhanced Active Learning

Active learning is mainly considered an improvement technique in machine learning, as it aims at selecting for training those subsets of data that will help the predictive model to perform and generalize better. Since labelling of instances is both a tedious and a costly task, active learning can play a pivotal role; as with less training data better classification accuracy is achieved. Main ingredient of such methods is the estimated uncertainty, which is usually derived from the softmax output values of the CNN model that represent the probabilities of each individual predicted class. Although existing alternatives are available for quantifying the uncertainty, such as least confidence or entropy (Settles and Craven, 2008), (Hwa, 2004), we employ the least margin approach (Scheffer et al., 2001) to estimate the uncertainty, which is the difference of the largest output probability with the second largest output probability.

In the following part of the section we introduce the active learning algorithm that we employ for our framework with the necessary notation. Let \( \mathcal{D} = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^{N} \) be the whole dataset with \( N \) the total number of the images, \( X_i \in \mathbb{R}^{px \times px} \) the image matrix of pixel size \( px \times px \). Including the label vector \( y_i \) for \( i \)-th image \( X_i \), we denote a labeled set \( \mathcal{D}_L \subset \mathcal{D} \) that is used for training the CNN model. Similarly, an unlabeled set denoted by \( \mathcal{D}_U \subset \mathcal{D} \) will be queried by the proposed algorithm to further filter out all the unimportant noisy images. At the end step, the CNN model is updated by the enhanced dataset \( \mathcal{Q} \).

For each image \( i \), the model outputs the softmax probability \( p_{j_i} \) with \( j_i \in \{1, \ldots, C\} \), where \( C \) the number of classes. Hence the least margin of a \( i \)-th image is calculated as follows.

\[
\text{lm}_i = p_{j_1}(X_i, y_i) - p_{j_2}(X_i, y_i)
\]

where \( j_1 \) and \( j_2 \) represent the first and second most probable output classes from the CNN model. The margins are mainly utilized to form a weighted clustering via a density based technique.

We further utilize the metadata information for every defect image from each wafer, that constitutes an additional dataset \( \mathcal{M} = \{w_{i1}, w_{i2}, w_{i3}\}_{i=1}^{N} \), where \( w_{i1}, w_{i2}, w_{i3} \) the spatial Cartesian coordinates of the defect images on the wafer and its identification number, respectively. Compacty, denoted by \( \{w_{i}\}_{i=1}^{N} \). Algorithm 1 presents in detail the pseudocode of the entire framework.

Algorithm 1: Pseudocode for proposed system.

```
Input : Datasets \( \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M} \), initial size of labeled dataset \( N^1 \), query size per repetition \( N^2 \), maximum size \( N^L \) of labeled set
Output: Enhanced labeled dataset \( \mathcal{Q} \)
1 \( \mathcal{D}^L \) ← random sample from \( \mathcal{D} \) of size \( N^1 \)
2 Annotate images from \( \mathcal{D}^L \)
3 \( \mathcal{D}^U \) ← \( \mathcal{D} \) \( \setminus \) \( \mathcal{D}^L \)
4 Train CNN model with \( \mathcal{D}^L \)
5 Initialize \( k \leftarrow 1 \)
6 while \( k < N^L \) do
7 \( \mathcal{Q} \) ← most uncertain images from \( \mathcal{D}^U \)
8 Query most uncertain images from \( \mathcal{D}^U \)
9 Apply DBSCAN on set \( \{w_i; i \in \mathcal{Q}\} \)
10 Set \( \mathcal{P} \) with images out of dense neighborhood
11 Update queried set \( \mathcal{Q} \leftarrow \mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathcal{P} \)
12 \( k \leftarrow k + 1 \)
13 Train CNN model with \( \mathcal{Q} \)
14 end
```

4 EXPERIMENTS

4.1 Preprocessing

We introduced five defect classes, with their sizes, for our classification task: \( dc1 \) (8791), \( dc2 \) (12135), \( dc3 \) (3951), \( dc4 \) (3912), and \( dc5 \) (145). Common defects might be stain, cracks, etc. The dataset consisted in total of 28,935 images from 1073 unique wafers. Each image file name was accompanied with its metadata information, which incorporates wafer id and spatial coordinates of the chip defects. In order to alleviate the high imbalance in the dataset, we apply class weights during training to the loss function of cross entropy. Defect class with code \( dc3 \) comprises images with higher intra-class variance, which they don’t belong neither to the rest of the classes.

To establish the appropriate image size for the overall defect classification scheme, we introduce a preprocessing step of the image dataset. With regard
to the image size, two essential criteria should be fulfilled. First, the entire defect’s structure must be included as well as centered in the image, with the constraint that only the affected die is captured without any neighboring ones. Second, the final size needs to be at least twice the width of the chip separating borderlines, so that the defect is more distinguishable for the classification task.

Figure 3 illustrates two defected die images of size 90x90 pixels with their borderlines on the wafer. In case the borderlines are dominating the image, confusion to the final classification is increased and respectively performance will be severely affected. We carried out a 5-fold cross validation for the evaluation of the performance accordingly to each cropped image size as shown in Figure 4. Additional insight for the final decision of the image size was provided from the process engineer as well, as a size of 90x90 pixels can include a chip defect within the die with an ample buffer. In addition, the Elbow Method (Ketchen and Shook, 1996) on the accuracy diagram provided us a further hint about the final decision on the image size for the dataset to achieve a trade-off with classification performance.

4.2 Experimental Design

We embody our active learning algorithm with the Squeezenet architecture of the CNN model. Initially, we kept out in total 20,182 images as a test set with the following distribution of classes: dc1 (6113), dc2 (8495), dc3 (2722), dc4 (2773), and dc5 (79). The rest 8,753 defect images were used to build the training set. For the needs of our experiments, we assume that the images in the training set are unlabeled, from which at every iteration of the algorithm a subset is queried for annotation from the expert. Hence, the validation of the expert on the class labels is already incorporated into the examined dataset, in order to be able to properly conduct the evaluation process.

The effectiveness of our proposed method with the CNN is evaluated, by comparing it with other two widely known classification algorithms, support vector machine (SVM) and multi-layer perceptron (MLP). Initially, we conducted a 5-fold cross validation to obtain the optimal values of the hyperparameters for the former methods. More specific, for the SVM we used the radial basis function kernel with a regularization parameter 1/100, while for the MLP, we deploy three layers with 64, 128, 64 hidden units, respectively. Moreover, we select the rectified linear unit (ReLU) as an activation function in the hidden layers for the MLP, which in practice has proven to outperform other more complex functions (Ramachandran et al., 2017). Both machine learning packages are implemented in (Pedregosa et al., 2011). Besides SVM and MLP classification methods, we further consider the full approach as a baseline method, in which the CNN model is trained over the entire training set without any active learning scheme.

To further evaluate and properly quantify the overall performance of all methods, we consider four widely used measures, accuracy, precision, recall and f1-score. The three performance measures are calculated as follows.

- **Accuracy**: the ratio of the correctly classified defect images to the total number of images.
- **Precision**: the ratio of correctly classified images for each defect class to the total number of images that were predicted to be of each specific defect class.
- **Recall**: the ratio of correctly classified images for each defect class to the total number of images that were actually of a specific defect class.

F1-score is the harmonic average of the precision and recall and in practice it is a quite useful metric.

For training the CNN classifier, an Adam optimizer was employed with a learning rate of 0.001 and a batch size of 32 for 10 training epochs. Generally, for multi-class classification problem the cross-entropy cost function is optimised during gradient descent algorithm. In order to obtain all class probabilities, we applied the softmax activation function to the output layer of the network, which we also used.
for estimating the prediction’s uncertainty from the queried subset.

We initialized our active learning system with 200 labeled images, randomly sampled from the training set with a stratified manner. We set all images in the training set as the limit of the total iterations of the algorithm. A subset size of 128 images is first queried based on least margin estimations during each iteration. By utilizing spatial metadata of the queried subset $Q$, DBSCAN clustering was conducted on each wafer. Based on production requirements on the existing wafer fabrication line, we set 5 data points as the minimum size of a dense neighborhood $\text{minPts}$ with a minimum radius $\epsilon$ of 10. Any point that is not reachable within a dense neighborhood, constitutes a systematic wafer defect and is removed from the set $Q$. The iterative process continues until no other training data are available for querying.

### 4.3 Results and Discussion

Figure 5 shows the comparison results of the baseline classifiers with the CNN model, which they are all integrated into the active learning framework. Evaluation is performed with the images from the test set, that were held out from the training process and a weighted average of F1-score is calculated. As shown in the figure, at the 15th active learning iteration the performance of the CNN model generally converges and clearly outperforms the other two methods, even at the beginning of the iterations. SVM performs worse than the CNN model and slightly better than the MLP, as it can handle better cases with $D \gg N$, where $D$ and $N$ the number of dimensions and sample size, respectively. However, a better performance of SVM comes with a higher computational cost in both training and predicting as the number of sample size is incrementally increasing. Similarly as CNN, MLP starts to converge in a later time as the network needs more images to learn the underlying data distribution. Overall, the number of the iterations towards convergence amounts to $< 1,900$ labeled images which is significant less than the number of the total images that we initially set as the upper limit of the iterations.

Table 1 summarizes the classification results for the enhanced active learning with all classifiers as well as the training of the CNN model with the full set of the training data, respectively. Enhanced CNN with active learning reported superior performance, in terms of the average of accuracy, precision and recall. In contrast, CNN that is trained with the entire dataset achieves better performance than MLP, yet with a higher labelling and computational cost. Although, SVM with active learning performs evenly good with the full CNN, training of the former is by far the most computationally intensive of all other competitors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MLP</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>0.772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNN (enhanced)</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>0.849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNN (full)</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>0.796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5 CONCLUSION

In this study we propose an iteratively active learning framework of a convolutional neural network in a real wafer manufacturing process. We employ a SqueezeNet CNN architecture that best fits the needs for an optimized deployment of our system, as the final prediction model barely exceeds a size of 1MB. A preprocessing step is preceded in order to determine the most appropriate image size of the chip defects for our classification purposes. At the first stage, most informative and diverse defect images are queried based on uncertainty estimation that derived from the softmax output probabilities. The queried subset, at the second stage, is further enhanced by dropping noisy instances via a weighted density-based clustering algorithm with the spatial metadata information. Our experiments show that our active learning system outperformed the full model with an ample margin as well as other classification algorithms. With the proposed system, not only we improved the classification performance but less effort and time is invested by the process engineer for labelling the chip defect images.

As future work, we will explore the incorporation of...
of other sources of heterogeneous data from the wafer fabrication line, such as text, in order to further reduce the annotation cost by partially automating the process. Also, we are interested in developing novel criteria for querying the most informative instances in the dataset that will lead to more robust and accurate predictive models.
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