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Abstract: This article presents the current results and details of modeling the Tibetan verbal system in the formal grammar and computer ontology. The partially automated model uses an ontological editor to construct semantic classes for verbs based on their syntactic and semantic valencies following the corpus data. The resulting system plays a necessary pragmatic role in automatic syntactic and semantic analysis and disambiguation of Tibetan texts. The research covers a range of problems concerning Tibetan verbal system, such as modeling auxiliary verbs and copulas, verb compounds, verbs with special case government and others.

1 INTRODUCTION

The research presented in this article describes methods of modeling semantic and syntactic valencies of Tibetan verbs in both the formal grammar and computer ontology and the ways of automating the modeling process. This work is part of a study aimed at the development of a formal model of the Tibetan language, including morphosyntax, syntax of phrases and hyperphrase unities, and semantics, that can be used to perform the morpho-syntactic, syntactic, and semantic analysis. The development of a full-scale natural language processing and understanding engine on the basis of a manually tested corpus of Tibetan texts continues through several research projects. By the moment the created corpus (69388 tokens) includes texts of the Tibetan grammatical tradition and the theory of writing both in Classical and Modern Tibetan. The earliest of them are dated back to 7th-8th centuries. The corpus is provided with metadata and morphological annotation.

Hereinafter we will use the term 'semantic valency' to denote the ability of a verb meaning to participate in semantic relations to actants and circumstances of specific semantic classes. Semantic actants are obligatory participants of the situation, which can be variable or (in some special cases) constant, all the other elements are deemed to be its circumstances. Semantic valencies of verb meanings are not to be confused with syntactic valencies of a verb, which refer to the number and type of dependent syntactic arguments that the verb can take, and are determined by the morphological properties of the verb. Therefore, syntactic actants are specified by the government pattern of a verb (Mel'čuk, 2004, p. 5-6). The development of approaches to modeling verbal valencies is very important for several reasons. For Tibetan verbs, polysemy is typical. This means that different meanings of the same verb can have varying valencies. This ambiguity cannot be resolved on the levels of morphology and syntax. That's why the semantic analysis becomes crucially important.

Moreover, unlike many other languages, the Tibetan language is characterized by a wide use of verbal compounds derivational models. Without correct syntactic and semantic models of compounds, there arises a huge ambiguity for Tibetan texts segmentation and parsing.

However, the process of modeling verbal valencies is associated with a number of difficulties. First of all, there is no universal agreement among linguists on the list of necessary grammatical
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categories of the Tibetan verb. Transitivity (an action being transferred from an agent to a patient) and volition (explicit or implicit involvement of the agent) are often mentioned, but described differently and even intermixed.

In addition, classification of verbal concepts has to be conducted in accordance with several classification attributes at once to properly depict semantic classes of all potential verb actants and circumstances. The existing classifications of semantic classes of Tibetan verbs (by S. V. Beyer, N. Tournadre, B. Zeisler, J. B. Wilson, P. G. Hackett, N. W. Hill) do not rely on corpus data and cannot provide a consistent method for categorizing polysemantic verbs.

All of these reasons led us to establishing a pragmatic principle for modeling Tibetan verbal system, according to which only a minimal number of verbal grammatical characteristics necessary to perform morpho-syntactic parsing should be predefined in the formal grammar, while, en masse, the semantic classification of verbal concepts has to be based on the syntactic and semantic valencies of the verbs in the corpus via automated and partly automated means of text processing.

2 RELATED WORK

Tibetan verbal semantics is a newly established field of knowledge with many classification models presented as observations in articles and grammar books. The majority of the authors agree that transitivity and/or volition play major roles in differentiating between Tibetan verbs, but the exact semantic types are usually not elaborated.

S.V. Beyer names transitivity as the primary characteristic for verbal distinction that displays itself at morphological, syntactic and semantic levels (Beyer, 1992, p. 163). According to his definition, events denoted by transitive verbs occur through “agencies” external to their patients, while patients of intransitive verbs require no such agencies (Beyer, 1992, p. 252-253). The third major verbal semantic category he lists is the category of equative verbs (equational copulas *yin* and *red*) which express the equation of two notions and thus require two patients. More importantly, S.V. Beyer was the first to note that “some verbs require additional participants” (such as locus, accompaniment, instrument, etc.) and even to touch on semantic properties of participants for certain verbs (for example that the patient for the verb *thob* ‘to get, attain’ has to be an abstract object) (Beyer, 1992, p. 255).

N. Tournadre sets two basic syntactic and semantic categories for Tibetan verbs: volition (“intentional or unintentional nature of the action”) and valency (the number and types of action participants) (Tournadre, 1991, p. 95). He also notes the grammatical difference between volitional and non-volitional verbs, such as absence of the imperative stem for non-volitional verbs and their inability to be supported by intentional auxiliaries. Later, he further develops this verb classification, stating that there are four basic classes of verbs: volitional transitive, volitional intransitive, non-volitional transitive and non-volitional intransitive (Tournadre, 2003, p. 142). Additionally, he describes three types of special “verb constructions” for some involuntary verbs that require special case government for the subject and object: egophoric (e.g., *mthong* ‘to see’ in (1) has Ergative subject and Absolutive object), affective (*zhed* ‘to be afraid of’ in (2) has Absolutive subject and Dative object) and possessive (*skyey* ‘to be born’ in (3) has Dative subject and Absolutive object) (3, p. 152-153).

(1) བོད་ལ་སྦྱོར། བོད་ལྟ་ལ་མི་སྦྱོར། mo s nga mthong byi-la byi-la r zhed she ERG I see child cat DAT be_afraid ‘she sees me’ ‘a child is afraid of a cat’

(2) འབྲེལ་བ་དཔོན་པ། འབྲེལ་བ་དཔོན་པ། pho-rog la skra-dkar mi skye child Dat white_hair NEG be_born the raven Dat white_hair NEG be_born ‘the raven does not grow white feathers’

Based on the relation between subject and object of the verb, J. B. Wilson differentiates three basic groups of verbs - existential, transitive action verbs and intransitive action verbs (Wilson, 1992, 531-532). Additionally, he presents a formal subcategorization of verbs depending on number and types of their arguments into three formal classes: verbs with nominative subjects, with agentic subjects and verbs in specialized usages. This system accounts for eight large classes and attempts to reflect not only syntactic properties of verb groups, but also judges their semantics (e.g., such groups as “verbs of dependence,” “verbs of living”).

P. G. Hackett defines Tibetan as an ergative language where agents of transitive language are marked with agentic case (Hackett, 2005, p. 2). He mostly follows Wilson’s classification of Tibetan verbs, also imputing a notion of “causative and inchoative” (non-causative) uses of transitive verbs.
By inchoative usages he understands occurrence of transitive verbs in passive constructions, for example *spro* ‘to elaborate upon,’ but *spro ba* ‘elaborated (topic).’

An original semantic classification is provided by B. Zeisler in “Relative Tense and Aspectual Values in Tibetan Languages”. She divides all Tibetan verbs into two groups: control action verbs (which she also links to the concept of the Tibetan traditional grammar *rang-dhang-can gyi bya-tshig* ‘self-powered action words’) and accidental event verbs (or *ghzan-dhang-can gyi bya-tshig* ‘other powered action words’) (Zeisler, 2004, p.250). She further classifies these categories into subgroups judging by dynamism, durativity and telicity of the verbs.

In his classification, N. W. Hill defies the notion of transitivity for Tibetan verbs altogether, as by his reasoning, “accusative case has no meaning in Tibetan”, the category of transitivity itself is not sufficiently separated from valence, rection and volition (Hill, 2010, p. xxii). Thus volition, or control of the action by the agent, becomes one of the major verbal categories for his system of classification. Volition of the verb is deducted judging by its lack or presence of imperative stem.

For the current study we mainly focus on the category of transitivity, a complex graded phenomenon that has grammatical manifestation in Tibetan language in the form of verb valencies. Volition showed no significant data for the current research, other than the difference in the number of verb stems, but it may become an interesting point for later analysis.

Although the current research was influenced by some of the mentioned ideas, we couldn’t use any of the original classifications because of their limitations in the number of described verbs, structural inconsistencies. Most importantly, the main purpose of the present study has been to create a practice-oriented model that is based on corpus data and works as part of the Tibetan natural language processing (NLP).

We consider semantic analysis to be an essential part of Tibetan NLP due to the ambiguity of both the segmentation of Tibetan texts into morphemes (since there are no word delimiters between word forms in Tibetan writing) and the syntactic parsing. To resolve the problem of morphosyntactic ambiguity a computer-based linguistic ontology was developed. In our project, the term “linguistic ontology” is understood as a consistent classification of concepts and relations between them that unite the meanings of Tibetan linguistic units, including morphemes and idiomatic morphemic complexes (Dobrov et al., 2018-1, p. 340).

In the first generations of natural language understanding systems (NLU systems), ontologies were used as semantic dictionaries. In the early 1990s, several scholars already used the term “ontology” in the most general sense, which allowed linguistic thesauri to be considered as types of ontologies. The WordNet computer thesaurus has come to be called an “ontology,” and this trend has only been growing in the majority of modern works.

Thesauri, including the WordNet, reflect more or less specified semantic relations between lexical units (words): synonymy, hyponymy, hypernymy, antonymy, meronymy, holonymy, logical entailment, the relation of an adjective to a noun, etc. (for more information see (Miller, 1995; Fellbaum, 1998). These relations can be used to perform lexical disambiguation. Unfortunately, these relations alone are not enough to solve the problem of lexical or morphosyntactic ambiguity, especially in Tibetan, since they do not reflect semantic valencies (Dobrov, 2014, 114).

The Framenet database initiated by Charles J. Fillmore covers most of English verbal vocabulary (“FramNet,” 2020). The verb lexicon VerbNet (“VerbNet,” 2020) also contains syntactic descriptions and semantic restrictions for English verbs. Both of them, however, cannot be considered linguistic ontologies. Moreover, these resources do not model the meanings of nouns in relation to semantic classes created to describe verbal valencies. The format for presenting information in both resources is not universal and cannot be used to model the meanings of lexical units related to other parts of speech.

PropBank (“PropBank,” 2020) is another verb-oriented resource that also remains close to the syntactic level. Despite the fact that it contains manually made semantic role annotation, it cannot be used directly to perform semantic analysis.

There are few other resources in the world, mainly for English and a few of other widely used languages, that could be classified as linguistic ontologies, the use of which for semantic interpretation of syntactic structures is not impossible, such as SUMO (Dobrov, 2014, p. 149) and OpenCyc (Matuszek et al., 2006). Both ontologies are universal and provide profound classifications of concepts behind lexical meanings, however, neither one, nor the other is in any way oriented to verbs, or, moreover, in any way pretend that it contains all the information about verb valencies necessary for resolving ambiguity.
Thus, the existing software tools mainly model the syntax of verbs or semantics of nouns; and do not have all the features of linguistic ontologies necessary for the semantic analysis.

3 THE SOFTWARE TOOLS FOR PARSING AND FORMAL GRAMMAR MODELING

This study was performed with use of and within the framework of the AIIRE project. AIIRE is a free open-source NLU system, which is developed and distributed in terms of GNU General Public License (http://svn.aiire.org/repos/proc/trunk/t/).

This framework implements the full-scale procedure of natural language processing, beginning from graphematics (Aho-Corasick algorithm had to be used for the Tibetan language due to absence of word delimiters), continuing with morphological annotation, going further with syntactic parsing, and ending with semantic analysis.

Several files were created in order to analyze Tibetan morphosyntactic structures. The grammarDefines.py file determines types of atoms (atomic units), their properties and restrictions. Other files contain atoms of different types (v_root_atoms.txt, adj_root_atoms.txt, adverbs_atoms.txt, etc.). These files are allomorphs' dictionaries that specify the morpheme, the token type, and properties for each allomorph, also in accordance with grammarDefines.py file. At the present stage, 45 different types of atoms have been identified. All these types of atoms have their morphological and morphophonemic features indicated in the grammarDefines.py file (Dobrov et al., 2017, 2017, p. 145-146).

For the verbal roots we set the following potential properties: the mood (indicative, imperative), the tense (present, past, future), the availability of transitivity, the availability of tense category, the availability of mood category, the availability of transitivity, the availability of ergative, dative, transformative and associative indirect objects and the type of final phonemes defining the compatibility of the verbal root with suffix allomorphs.

The restrictions for the verbal root require that the category of tense is available only if the respective parameter "has_tense" is set to "true," and the parameter of "mood" is set to "indicative." For example, the following entry in the allomorphs dictionary: "bigs|morpheme=bigs|type=v_root|dative=False|mood=ind|has_tense=True|tense=pres|fin_gra

4 MODELING VERB CONCEPTS IN THE COMPUTER ONTOLOGY

4.1 The Software Tools for Ontological Modeling

The ontology is implemented within the framework of AIIRE ontology editor software; this software is free and open-source, it is distributed under the terms of GNU General Public License, and the ontology itself is available as a snapshot at http://svn.aiire.org/repos/tibet/trunk/aire/lang/ontology/concepts.xml and it is also available for unauthorized view or even for edit at http://ontotibet.aiire.org (edit permissions can be obtained by access request). The basic ontological editor initially was created for the Russian language.
Its structure and development for Tibetan is described with examples in (Dobrov et al., 2018a), (Dobrov et al., 2018b), (Grokhovskii, Smirnova, 2017).

Modeling verb (or verbal compound) meanings in the ontology is associated with a number of difficulties. First of all, the classification of concepts denoted by verbs should be made in accordance with several classification attributes at the same time, which arise primarily due to the structure of the corresponding classes of situations that determine the semantic valencies of these verbs. These classification attributes are, in addition to the semantic properties themselves (such as dynamic/static process), the semantic classes of all potential actants and circumstances, each of which represents an independent classification attribute. With the simultaneous operation of several classification attributes, the ontology requires classes for all possible combinations of these attributes and their values in the general class hierarchy.

Special tools were created to speed up and partly automate verbal concepts modeling. The AIRe ontological editor – Ontohelper is used to build the whole hierarchy of superclasses for any verb meaning in the ontology. The logic behind this tool is also based on the division of verbs into dynamic (terminative and non-terminative) and static ones (Maslov, 1998). Dynamic verbs express actions, events and processes associated with different changes. Static verbs express states, relations or qualities (Bolshoy entsiklopedicheskii slovar, 1998, p. 105). A terminative verb denotes an action which has a limit in its development. A non-terminative verb denotes an action which doesn’t admit of any limit in its development (activity).

When using the Ontohelper editor, it is necessary to determine whether the verb being modeled denotes action, state or activity. Terminative, non-terminative and static verb meanings correspond to subclasses of concepts ‘to perform an action’, ‘to perform an activity’ and ‘to be in a state’ in the ontology, respectively.

The editor of the ontology indicates the basic class for subjects of the verb to be modeled, as well as the basic class of direct objects for transitive verbs and the class of indirect dative objects for verbs denoting addressed actions. It is also possible to specify classes of circumstances, i.e., objects with special case government.

When all the necessary attributes of a verb meaning are specified, the Ontohelper editor builds the whole hierarchy of ontological classes from scratch for this particular combination of attributes, and if some classes are already present in the ontology, they are not built again, but tested in terms of consistency with the current actant / circumstantial relations model.

Thus, despite the fact that the process of modeling verb valencies is only partly automated, the developed tool allows to significantly boost the speed of semantic valencies fine-tuning for verb classes. For example, modeling the meaning of the verb ‘shyin ‘to give’ requires 523 classes of verb concepts to be present or in case of absence to be created in the computer ontology.

The Ontohelper editor also allows to rebuild the whole hierarchy in cases when a new actant / circumstance relation or class has to be established according to some new observations on the corpus phenomena.

4.2 Modeling Verbs with Special Case Government

To model basic valencies it is enough to specify in the Ontohelper editor classes of possible subjects/objects/addressees, i.e. the meanings of nominal groups, attached to standard case markers (ergative/dative) or used without any marker (absolutive). The function “Special case government” allows to model syntactic patterns that engage specific case markers in specific meaning. In such cases, for a particular case marker the specific meaning is described. This case marker meaning, as well as classes of nominal groups attached to it, are defined by the main ontology interface. Thus, the whole modeling process could not be done only with the use of the editor, therefore verbs with special case government are treated separately by creating non-typical semantic classes of verbs in the computer ontology.

With the help of this function, not only classes of verb circumstances are modeled, but also some classes of actants (for example, actants of verbs that govern the associative case).

In order to establish the relation denoted by a certain case marker between the meaning of a verb and the meaning of a noun phrase, it is necessary that it itself has all necessary relations with these meanings in the computer ontology. The general scheme for actions to be done in the computer ontology is represented on the Fig. (1).

For example, several verbs found in the texts of the corpus (e.g., ‘byung ‘emerge,’ snang ‘occur,’ ‘char ‘appear’) can attach a nominal group with the general meaning “source of origin” using the
Figure 1: Relations between meanings of verb, case marker and nominal group.

The meaning of the ablative case marker las is modelled as a binary relation. For this case we described the meaning of the case marker as ‘to have an origin (about an action)’ ((3) on the Fig. 1). The reverse relation was called ‘to be the origin.’ Between the basic class for verbs ‘to perform an action of appearing’ and the meaning of the ablative case marker the relation ‘to be the subject of a relation’ was established ((2) on the Fig. 1). The meaning of the ablative case marker was also connected with the necessary class for nominal group ‘any object or process’ ((5) on the Fig. 1) with the relation ‘to have an object’ ((4) on the Fig. 1). Thus any concept that inherits this class can be a source or an origin for the mentioned verbs.

After that, when modeling the corresponding verbs using the Ontohelper editor, it is enough to indicate the necessary case marker in the column for special case government, after which the editor builds the necessary hierarchy of verb classes.

5 VERBAL COMPOUNDS

All Tibetan compounds are created by the juxtaposition of two existing words. Compounds are virtually idiomatized contractions of syntactic groups which have inner syntactic relations frozen and are often characterized by omission of grammatical morphemes (Beyer, 1992, p. 102). At previous stages of our research we distinguished different types of noun and verbal compounds depending on the syntactic model of the compound derivation (full classification is presented in (Dobrov et al., 2019)).

By the moment the formal grammar contains CIC for the following basic types of verbal compounds: verb coordinate compound (VerbCoordCompound); compound transitive verb phrase (CompoundTransitiveVP); compound atomic verbal phrase with circumstance (CompoundAtomicVPWithCirc) and compound associative verb phrase (CompoundAssociativeVP).

Verbal compounds like other verbs are processed using the Ontohelper editor (at present stage of research meanings of 133 verbal compounds are modeled in the ontology). In most cases, the direct hypernym of verbal compounds is the concept expressed by their verbal component. In other cases, there is no class-superclass relation between the meaning of the verbal compound and the verb from which it is derived. However, their type and valency are usually the same. Moreover, it was revealed that such grammatical features of Tibetan verb compounds as transitivity, transformativity, dativity, and associativity are usually inherited from the main verb, even when the corresponding syntactic valency seems to be fulfilled within the compound.

Compounds of different types require specific ontological modeling. The only type of verbal compounds that does not require establishing any special semantic relations in the computer ontology is VerbCoordCompound. These compounds are contractions of regular coordinate verb phrases with conjunctions omitted. It is enough that the meaning of the compound and its components are modeled in the ontology, and that the general coordination mechanism is also modeled in the module for syntactic semantics (the meaning of a coordinate phrase is calculated as an instance of ‘group’ concept which involves ‘to include’ relations to its elements).

In compound transitive verb phrase (5), the first nominal component is a direct object of the second verbal component. To ensure the correct analysis of compounds of this type, it is necessary that the concept of the nominal component of the compound be a subclass of the basic class specified as a direct object class for the concept of the verbal component of the compound. E.g., the literal meaning of the compound (5) is ‘to fasten help.’ The class ‘any object or process,’ which includes the concept phan-'pa ‘help,’ was specified as a direct object for the verb ‘dogs ‘to fasten’ (Dobrov et al., 2019, p. 150).

The CIC CompoundAtomicVPWithCirc was made for a combination of CompoundAtomicVP (verbal phrase within a compound represented by a
single verb root morpheme – the head class) and the modifier – CompoundCircumstance, attached on the left. CompoundCircumstance stood for circumstances which can be expressed by function words of different case meanings (e.g., ablative in (6)).

The relation ‘to have a manner of action or state’ was indicated as a hypernym for all case meanings of nominal phrases from which compounds with circumstance are formed. The basic class of the nominal component should be connected with the relation ‘to be a relationship object’ with this relation ‘to have a manner of action or state’.

The CIC CompoundAssociativeVP was introduced for contractions of regular associative verb phrases. It consists of the associative verb (the head class) and its indirect object. Thus, the first component of the compound (7) lhag-ma ‘remainder’ should belong to the class of associative objects specified for the verb bcas ‘to be together with’ in the Ontohelper editor (Dobrov et al., 2019, p. 151).

The semantic valency in these phrases differs from that of the initial verbs, but syntactic valency can be inherited from the basic verb, even when it seems to be fulfilled within the verbal phrase like in (10).  Though in some cases, compound verbs and verbal compounds cannot attach a direct object, but remain ergative, which can be explained by the fact that the noun preceding the verb can be analyzed as an internal object. Thus the verbalizer acts as an autonomous transitive verb (Tournadre, 2003, p. 207).

6 IDIOMATICITY

Idiomatic verbal phrases are very common in the Tibetan language. In Spoken Tibetan, they are even more frequent than simple verbs (i.e., comprising one syllable) (Tournadre, 2003, p. 204). However, since they were not systematically studied with the involvement of corpus data, the terms used for their description are vague and sometimes denote different linguistic phenomena. In particular, in Tibetologic works one can find such terms as “phrasal verbs” (Denwood, 1999, p. 109) or “multi-syllabic verbs” (Wilson, 1992, p. 380), “compounds” (Beyer, 1992, p. 106), “compound verbs” (Tournadre, 2003, p. 204).

Despite the fact that our study is based on a relatively small corpus (the corpus contains 664 simple verb stems, the total frequency of use is 4421), the cases of idiomatic verbal use discovered in the corpus allow us to preliminarily distinguish the following types of the idiomatic verbal phrases:

1. **Verbal compounds** (discussed in section 5 of this paper) are usually characterized by typical syntactic structures with the omission of function morphemes and in most cases express solely idiomatic meaning.

2. **Idiomatic collocations** consist of a verb and different types of complements (usually a noun with a certain case marker like in (8)).

3. **Compound verbs** consist of a verb (the so-called “verbalizer”) and a noun, that is usually a direct object for the transitive verbs or a subject for the intransitive verbs. Verbalizers do not convey any specific meaning, and the meaning of the whole verbal phrase is determined by the meaning of its nominal component (like in (9)). The set of verbalizers is supposedly limited. The most frequent are: gton(g ‘to send,’ rgyag ‘to send off,’ byed ‘to do.’

Verbal phrases of these types, including verbal compounds, retain a certain syntactic flexibility. A verb can be separated from the rest part of a phrase by the negative particle, adverb, adjective or another complement. For example, in the example (11) compound verb (10) is split by the adverb zhib-mor ‘thoroughly.’
Methods of verbal compounds modeling are described in section 5. Verbs from phrases of the second and third types are modeled via the Ontohelper editor as cases of polysemy— as separate concepts of the same expression. To avoid ambiguity the meaning of the very noun, which was used in the idiomatic verbal phrase, is specified as a possible subject or object. For example, the literal meaning of the transitive verb len from (8) is “to take.” Its direct hypernym will be ‘to perform an unaddressed action of any creature directed toward an object.’ Such hypernym excludes the version in which kha ‘mouth’ can take something (i.e., to assert). Thus, to ensure the possibility of the idiomatic use of this verb the second meaning ‘to assert’ is modeled in the ontology. For this meaning the Tibetan word kha ‘mouth’ is indicated as the subject of the action. Thus, a hypernym of the second concept of the expression len will be ‘to perform an unaddressed action of kha.’

Still due to syntactic flexibility and possible change in semantic valency, the cases of verbal idiomatic use and ways of modeling of their meanings should be specifically investigated on the basis of a larger corpus.

7 AUXILIARY VERBS AND COPULAS

One of the Tibetan verbal properties according to the created formal grammar is transitivity that is the property of verbs that relates to whether a verb can take direct objects (‘trans’). For main verbs the value of this property is only ‘true’ or ‘false’. For auxiliaries and equative verbs the values ‘aux’ and ‘copula’ were added.

Equative and Auxiliary verbs are represented in the formal grammar by separate classes – CopulaGroup and AuxVPNoTenseNoMood respectively. The class for copula group consists of an equative verb (the head class) and a noun phrase (the argument). In the CIC AuxVPNoTenseNoMood the auxiliary or modal verb is considered to be the head class, while the main verb with the intersyllabic delimiter form the argument class attached on the left.

Auxiliary verbs in Tibetan can indicate aspect (how an action or state extends over time), mood, tense, evidentiality (source of information) and vary depending on the verb's volition.

Tibetan equatives and existential verbs can act both as main verbs (12) and as auxiliaries (13).

To ensure the correct semantic parsing of (12) the meaning of the existential verb yod ‘to exist’ was modelled in the computer ontology using the Ontohelper editor. After the type of a verb and a class of possible subjects, that is ‘any object or process,’ was specified, the editor built the whole hierarchy of verb’s subclasses with the direct hypernym ‘to be in an unaddressed, unaddressed state of an object or process.’

Like other verbs, auxiliary verbs are processed using the Ontohelper editor. Auxiliary verbs (including modal verbs) govern verb phrases instead of nominal ones, therefore, semantically are treated as transitive verbal phrases. Thus, the basic class for all verbs in the ontology ‘to perform an action or state’ is indicated as a direct object of auxiliary verbs in the Ontohelper editor. As a result we get the direct hypernym of the auxiliary verb – ‘to perform an unaddressed action of someone directed toward his own action or state.’ The semantic graphs for the phrases (12) and (13) are presented on the Fig. (2) and (3) respectively.

![Figure 2: Semantic graph for the phrase (5).](image1)

![Figure 3: Syntactic graph for the phrase (6).](image2)
As it is shown on the Fig. 3 the action of the auxiliary verb yod is directed toward the notional verb sbyor ‘to add,’ while the direct object of the verb sbyor is the noun sgra ‘grammatical marker.’

8 SEMANTIC CLASSES OF TIBETAN VERBS

The data on Tibetan verbs extracted from the created ontology allowed to identify typical semantic classes of modelled verbs according to several classification attributes: type of the verb (dynamic or static), indicated classes for subjects and direct and indirect objects. For example, Table 1 represents a particular combination of attributes that represents the semantic class ‘to perform a liberative action of any creature directed toward any object or process.’

Table 1: The semantic class ‘to perform a liberative action of any creature directed toward any object or process’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of verb</th>
<th>dynamic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Special case government (SCG) type</td>
<td>liberation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCG case</td>
<td>Ablative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCG semantic class</td>
<td>object or process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>any creature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct object</td>
<td>object or process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of verbs</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The frequencies of modelled simple verbs grouped by their type, addressedness, transitivity and SCG along with the correlating semantic classes are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: The statistics on verbal concepts in the computer ontology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Static addressed intransitive no SCG</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Static unaddressed intransitive no SCG</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static unaddressed intransitive SCG</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static unaddressed intransitive SCG</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total amount</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dynamic unaddressed transitive no SCG verbs expectedly make up for the biggest statistical group, with semantic classes denoting actions of different actors, the largest of which are two classes of general actions of any creature directed at an object or process (44 verbs, e.g., gsang ‘to hide’) and at an object (43 verbs, e.g., ’jom ‘to destroy’). They are followed closely by a large semantic class of “motion verbs” that denote dynamic intransitive unaddressed actions of any creature (27 verbs, e.g., gshegs ‘to come’) and “qualitative verbs” that denote intransitive unaddressed states of objects or processes (22 verbs, e.g., mang ‘to be abundant’).

Despite the fact that the amount of modelled verbs’ meanings is not large, the resulting data has already revealed some interesting linguistic phenomena. One of them is semantic division between static verbs that produce adjectives and stative verbs that do not. Among all the semantic classes created for static verbs, 12 classes seem to form a larger semantic group of “qualitative verbs” (verbs that answer the question “to have what quality”).

These 12 verb classes (62 verbs) comprise the majority of static verbs as such that differ only in subject. Twenty two verbs denote qualitative states performed by ‘any object or process’ (e.g., bzang ‘to be good’), the possible subjects of 15 verbs are united by the class ‘any object’ (e.g., drag ‘to be firm’) and 7 verbs denote state that can be performed only by ‘any creature’ (e.g., phyug ‘to be wealthy’). All of the verbs that belong to these classes can obviously produce proper adjectives with the help of suffix -po (e.g., phyug-po ‘rich’).

The remaining 9 semantic classes of static verbs (21 verbs) do not produce adjectives. A number of them constitute existential and equational copulas. Three classes consist of verbs denoting special states, like cooperative state (e.g., bcas ‘to be together with’) or comparative state (e.g., phud ‘to be the best of’). The last two classes contain 9 so-called “mental verbs” that denote either emotions or states of the subject’s mind (e.g., skrag ‘to fear’).

Division into semantic classes not only represents basic statistics on types, transitivity,
addressness and special case government of verbs modelled in the ontology, but also allows to indicate larger semantic classes that would include several semantic classes (e.g., "qualitative verbs," "mental verbs," etc.).

Additionally, typical semantic valencies of modeled Tibetan verbs allow us to draw some conclusions about the Tibetan linguistic picture of the world. For example, the most frequently used class of subjects is the basic class 'any creature' (73 semantic classes), while for the Russian language it is the class 'any person'. At the moment, this basic class includes several hyponyms in the ontology, some of which include only humans (e.g., mi ‘human’), and others unite people and animals (e.g., sens-can ‘sentient being having a dualistic mind,’ gro-ba ‘migrant,’ skyes-dtan ‘having a birth’) or even people, gods and Buddhas (e.g., ‘any creature that is not an animal’).

9 CONCLUSIONS

The process of the formal grammatical and ontological modeling of Tibetan verbs and its current results presented in this article continue to represent one of the first formal automated descriptive systems for Tibetan language material. Pragmatic approach to modeling Tibetan verbal system that is centred around solving tasks of the Tibetan language module as part of text processing system, allows to follow less of the disputable grammatical theories and more of the actual corpus data.

Further work will include the development of semantic annotation, and if necessary the correction of formal grammar according to new linguistic phenomena found in the texts of the corpus. We hope to create more detailed semantic verb classification based on verbal syntactic and semantic valencies, including idiomatic verbal phrases. The complete description of the semantic classes of Tibetan verbs also requires a deeper understanding of the division between semantic classes of Tibetan nouns that we hope to achieve in further studies.
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