Robotic Finger Design Workflow for Adaptable Industrial Assembly Tasks
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Abstract: In this work, we introduce a web-based system connected to a simulation framework that can be used to facilitate the design of industrial fingers. We provide an overview of the state of the art and of the currently used manual gripper finger design methods prevailing in the industry. With a concrete use case we demonstrate the advantages in terms of quality and saved time for designing the fingers when utilizing our presented framework compared to a common manual method of designing the gripper fingers.

1 INTRODUCTION

The design of fingers for industrial gripper is a major obstacle for setting-up robot assembly solutions (Krüger et al., 2014). Usually for each new object a new finger needs to be designed manually, tested and refined in the work-cell with which the assembly problem should be solved. In particular SMEs avoid robot solutions because of the complexities involved in these processes.

In earlier work (Wolniakowski et al., 2017) a solution was presented for this problem by replacing the manual processes by optimization in simulation. Simulation allows to test many variants of grippers within a second and at the end even enable the optimization of the shape which is expressed by means different parameters according to externally given objectives (such as, e.g., the ability to align objects in the gripper that are positioned imprecisely due to, e.g., vision errors) (Baizid et al., 2015).

In this work, we frame our prior work such that it is directly applicable by external companies. Based on a web-service, the company can formulate the problem at hand, and by means of a software that has been improved in terms of ease of use as well as the introduction of enhanced features, the problem can be solved in rather short time.

In this paper, we show the overall process for an industrial use case connected to the assembly of a drone. By that, a service will be exemplified that can facilitate the use robots in the production lines in particular SMEs.

2 STATE OF THE ART

The majority of the finger design cases, that can be found in industrial automation, is still designed manually in terms of a CAD design made by a professional engineer. The design process is usually very time consuming and it involves an expert with in-depth field knowledge of robot based grasping and rich hands-on experience with the design methodologies. Due to high manufacturing costs, in the past simple shapes, e.g. cutouts, were embedded in the base fingers for simple robot based manipulation tasks (see also (egr, 2020)). However, with the emergence of modern manufacturing technologies in the sense of additive manufacturing, more complex shapes are easier and cost efficient to embed and manufacture, consequently raising the complexity level of the design process, respectively. One of the biggest bottlenecks of the hand design approach is to rely on the...
experience of the engineer, because tools for testing and benchmarking the design in simulation are not yet widely available. Therefore, the only efficient way of testing the design is to manufacture it and test on the real objects, which prolongs and makes the overall development process more expensive.

The difficulty associated with finger design has encouraged wide research effort and commercial interest. Researchers have proposed gripper design methodologies based on geometry generation, optimization and simulation (Honarpardaz et al., 2016a; Honarpardaz et al., 2016b; Gorce and Fontaine, 1996; Cuadrado et al., 2002; Datta and Deb, 2011; Causey, 1999). Immense interest was also directed towards grasp planning and grasp quality metrics (Zheng and Qian, 2009; Ferrari and Canny, 1992; Kraft et al., 2014), as well as generic robotics-centered design and optimization (Baizid et al., 2016).

Of the commercial products made available for the finger design assistance, worth noting is the eGRIP tool developed by Schunk (egr, 2020). The tool takes a form of a web application, where the user can upload the model of an object desired to be grasped. The user can subsequently define various parameters of the grasping scenario, i.e. select the gripper from the list of Schunk produced devices, specify the relative transforms during the grasping and the object properties, such as mass and material. The finger shape is then generated automatically by subtracting the object’s geometry from the blank fingers. Some post-processing is also done in order to facilitate the mounting and gripper clearance. The generated fingers can be previewed and approved by the user, who has an option to purchase the product online.

3 FRAMEWORK

The overall organization of our proposed framework for adaptable gripper finger design is presented in Fig. 1. The system consists of two main parts: the web service serving as the end-user interface (left side of the figure), and the off-line gripper design and the finger design application (middle part of the figure) which relies on the domain specific dependencies, such as simulation engine (ODE), optimization methods, and previously researched learning methods (Schwartz et al., 2017).

The web-service is used for the purpose of task and context definition, where the end-user has the possibility of defining in detail the problem to be solved, i.e. specifying the object to be grasped, preferred gripper structure, desired materials etc. Base on this end-user input, a task summary is generated, which is then used to configure the grasping scenario simulated and evaluated with the use of the off-line application. The application is designed to be handled by an experienced user, who can set up the simulation and optimization parameters and generate evaluated and optimized gripper finger shapes. The user requests and the generated designs are stored in a database, which can subsequently be used to extract...
high level features in order to further improve and shorten the processing time of the future requests.

4 METHODS

In this section, we describe the workflow of our proposed finger design system. This section is split according to the overall system architecture presented in Fig. 1: The web-service layer responsible for end-user interaction is described in section 4.1, the finger design application is described in section 4.2, and the further back-end features are described in section 4.3.

4.1 Web-service

The web-service (see Fig. 2) provides the end user with a graphical user interface that allows for uploading CAD files and entering information relevant for designing fingers for a gripper. The user must provide the following information:
- task description,
- gripper information,
- part description,
- finger design information,
- contact information.

The task description provides information about the task performed by the robot. Gripper information covers what gripper is to be used and details about it. For the part description, a CAD file of the object to be grasped should be uploaded, and details about the object should be entered (e.g. shape, material, weight). Finger design information should specify dimensions (length, width, depth) of the fingers, and based on the CAD file of the object, the user is able to manipulate the object with respect to a set of fingers in a 3D environment, to show how the object should be grasped. It is also possible to upload an image of how the object should be grasped. Finally the user provides contact information. When the user has submitted all relevant information, a confirmation e-mail with a detailed order description is sent to the provided e-mail address. In Fig. 2 you can see the grasp definition and the part description sections of the web-service.

4.2 Finger Design Application

The finger design application is designed to be the middle-layer of the proposed system that is operated by the experienced user. The application is used to create a specific “finger design project” based on the input provided through the web-service (see section 4.1). The workflow of the application is shown in figure 3.

First, the finger design project is created that allows for the organization of the data (1). In this finger design project, the environment is set-up according to the task specification provided by the end-user. This setup consists of four steps: (2) workcell selection (this defines the environment in which the grasping is performed), (3) importing the object (the object to be grasped is provided by the end user), (4) gripper selection (grippers can be selected from the database or created by the middle user), and (5) grasp set generation. The grasp set is defined based on the desired grasp and the process noise expected for the given scenario.

Next (7), it is the middle-user task to decide on the finger parametrization and the preliminary finger designs. These can be imported from 3D model files generated in CAD software, or created as parametrized Constructive Solid Geometry meshes. These finger blanks can be easily modified for the object grasping through the use of application features: molded cutout and imprint based cutout (Schwartz et al., 2017).

With the project configured as described above (1-7), it is now possible to run grasping simulation (8), where an evaluation of the finger design performance is provided to the middle-user based on the success of grasping in the previously defined grasp set (5). Based on the feedback from this step, the user may decide to approve the design or go back to the parametrization step (7) and tweak the design parameters.

4.3 Optimization as Optional Step

While iterated finger design, simulation and evaluation are often sufficient for arriving at a feasible fin-
ger design, the proposed finger design system also offers a possibility of employing a range of numerical optimization methods to further supplement and automate the design process. To this end, an application feature is currently being developed to integrate various numerical optimization algorithms (e.g. simplex, BOBYQA, simulated annealing, RBFopt, etc., see (Jørgensen et al., 2018)).

The experienced user will be able to set-up the evaluation and optimization meta-parameters (8) and create optimization tasks which can be run unsupervised on the workstation or a dedicated computer cluster. The optimization results would then be evaluated by the experienced user before the final verdict is made and the product sent to the end user.

The task specifications and the generated results are going to be stored in a database, such that when considerable amount of data is acquired, the process can be further accelerated by extracting the common features by employing information from the finger design project data base and machine learning techniques.

5 FRAMEWORK BENCHMARKING

In the following section we evaluate the proposed framework in simulation. Furthermore we will also present and discuss the real-world implementation of the designed fingers in an industrial assembly task.

To benchmark the proposed approach, we implemented it as an service in the SDU Industry 4.0 Laboratory. The aim of the laboratory is to develop new lean production technologies, where the core functionalities lie in the adaptable collaborative robot assembly. The first implementation of the system is based on a novel drone assembly, where the proposed system is implemented in the supporting framework for agile and flexible development of tools, needed for the assembly to be executed.

The assembly task requires handling parts for an unmanned aerial platform e.g. drone conducting high voltage power line inspection (d4e, 2020). The grasped objects includes a drone arm "hub", facilitating the drone motor as well as the landing gear.
parts was re-designed from their initial geometry with the objective of enhancing producibility to achieve efficient high volume manufacturing rather than prototyping. The results show that designing with automation in mind made the assembly process easier for robots - but also humans.

As seen in Fig. 4 the landing gear has a square shape and locks in place with the hub through a snap-connection, rather than a threaded assembly. Parts assembled in fixtures were designed with embedded features leading to a kinematic coupling between part and fixture during the process. The general feature across all of the parts was to embed flat faces leading to a simpler finger design and a higher rate of successful manipulations.

The overall framework proposed in this paper was tested on the drone motor assembly use case. The production engineers used the front-end of the finger design framework to specify the requirements and give information about the task specifying how the parts are introduced to the cell, what is the task comprised of, grippers and robots used for the task, materials, etc. Some of the requirements given through the front-end interface are:

- The parts arrive in the robot cell in fixtures where their position is semi-defined.
- The parts have to be aligned in the finger to ensure a stable pose of the object for the following assembly process.
- The finger acts as a fixture onto which the rest of the sub-assembly parts have to be assembled.
- The robot has to perform various tasks, therefore the fingers have to be exchanged when another task arises.
- The fingers have to be manufactured through additive manufacturing.

As mentioned before the main idea of the front-end is to gather user information related to the task, which has to be handled. One of the functionalities is also the ability to upload the 3D representations of the object and visualize how they can be grasped. This functionality can be customized by the user: the user configures the view (see Fig.3, web application data) until he is satisfied with the pose of the object placed in the mock-up gripper. This information gives the designer using the back-end the information on the grasp pose of the object in relation to the gripper fingers. The information is compiled and used in the back-end finger design and evaluation procedures.

To design the suitable finger shape for the presented assembly task, we have utilized our previously introduced gripper simulation framework (Wolniakowski et al., 2018). The finger design framework is based on the Open Dynamics Engine (Smith, 2005) and a visual interface provided by RobWork (Ellekilde and Jorgensen, 2010).

Furthermore, the requirement presented by the end user is to use the fingertip exchange mechanisms (Kramberger et al., 2019) in order to perform quick changeovers between fingertips dedicated for different part handling. In addition we had access to a manual CAD fingertip designed for handling the motor assembly, by an expert engineer. Therefore, we can compare the manual CAD design with the design produced by the presented framework. A comparison between the two designs is shown in Fig. 6.

The hand and the design made with the back-end were furthermore tested in simulation in order to establish the range at which the fingers are able to compensate the possible position uncertainty introduced by the object handling before the assembly task. In order to define the uncertainty and compensation mapping, grasps were executed in simulation with increasing offset from the nominal pose along all three principal position and orientation axis. In total 100 grasps with offsets from the nominal pose per axis were executed in the range from \( \sigma_{\text{pos}} = -10\text{mm} \) to \( 10\text{mm} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{ang}} = -5^\circ \) to \( 5^\circ \). The combined simulation experiment results for the two finger designs are presented in Fig. 5. The figure is divided into two parts. The left side represents the position and the right side the orientation part of the conducted tests (three plots for each of the position and orientation axes respectively) represent the grasping success and reliability distribution for the two designs tested in simulation.

For each of the finger designs, the experiments were executed in six batches, where in each batch the object was displaced from its nominal pose defined by the user along one of the axes. Each individual plot in Fig. 5 shows the grasping success evaluated along the individual axis \((x, y, z, Rx, Ry, Rz)\). The solid green, yellow and red lines represent successful, misaligned and failed grasps for the hand designed fingers respectively. Whereas the dotted blue, cyan and black lines give the indications of the before mentioned grasping evaluation indices related to the design made by the presented framework in this paper. The results acquired with the design framework show a boundaries of the alignment capabilities which are easily to distinguish. In comparison, in the hand design results the boundary outlining the successfully aligned grasps is more dispersed and cannot be easily defined.

For instance, with the experiments conducted in the roll and pitch direction with the hand design, it can be seen that finger design is not able to compensate for the pose uncertainty repetitively, therefore the success measures e.g. green are dispersed along
the entire test range. This outlines that the tolerances and the embedded features are not designed properly to accommodate the part geometries. In comparison the tests conducted with the design produced with the presented framework, are more evenly distributed and there is a clear border visible between the successful and misaligned experiments, giving and indication that the design is more robust and reliable in a certain area of operation and outperforms the hand CAD designed fingers.

One additional criterion for comparing the two designs is also the time spent on the design process it-
self. It was reported by the expert engineer that the typical design process for a finger or fingertip takes roughly 6 to 8 hours, depending on the complexity of the embedded features and the number of 3D prints needed to verify the design. 3D printing is in this particular case the only method that can be used for verification of the design before actual manufacturing. On the other hand, if following the proposed framework (Fig. 3) an initial design and a quick verification in simulation can be executed in less than 1 h. This approach not only saves time but also provides an indication how good the design actually is, without the need for a time consuming 3D printing step.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we presented an end-to-end framework for designing optimized gripper fingers for industrial tasks. The framework takes into account the task specification and requirements supplied by the end user through a web-based interface. The supplied data is analyzed and compiled in a way such it can be used in the design process, respectively.

The strong point of the presented framework is a significant cut down of the development time. After the data acquired through the front-end is processed and imported into the back-end, the design procedure is executed semi-automatically. In comparison with the manual design, where the relevant measurements and constraints have to be properly prepared and set up, the presented framework does the set-up automatically and consequently speeds up the process. In addition, the only way to verify the hand design is to manufacture the finger, thus prolonging the evaluation and design process. In the presented framework, we also have the functionality of testing the designs in dynamic simulation environment. The tests give us an insight on how good the design actually is, without the need of manufacturing the finger.

The conducted experiments show that the fingers designed with the presented framework outperformed the hand designed fingers supplied by an expert engineer. The biggest benefit of the presented approach is to save time and the ability to evaluate the proposed design.

In the future, we will extend the presented framework to incorporate deformable materials which can be embedded into the finger designs for better friction conditions during the grasp execution. Furthermore, although for now the front-end of the presented framework is available for internal use only, in the future we plan to make it available to the broader spectrum of users.
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