FoodOntoMap: Linking Food Concepts across Different Food Ontologies
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Abstract: In the last decade, a great amount of work has been done in predictive modelling in healthcare. All this work is made possible by the existence of several available biomedical vocabularies and standards, which play a crucial role in understanding health information. Moreover, there are available systems, such as the Unified Medical Language System, that bring and link together all these biomedical vocabularies to enable interoperability between computer systems. However, in 2019, Lancet Planetary Health published that the year 2019 is going to be the year of nutrition, where the focus will be on the links between food systems, human health, and the environment. While there is a large number of available resources for the biomedical domain, only a limited number of resources can be utilized in the food domain. There is still no annotated corpus with food concepts, and there are only a few rule-based food named-entity recognition systems for food concepts extraction. There are also several food ontologies that exist, each developed for a specific application scenario. However there are no links between these ontologies. For this reason, we have created a FoodOntoMap resource that consists of food concepts extracted from recipes. For each food concept, semantic tags from four food ontologies are assigned. With this, we have created a resource that provides a link between different food ontologies that can be further reused to develop applications for understanding the relation between food systems, human health, and the environment.

1 INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Nowadays, the use of predictive modeling in healthcare increases with the large amount of data that is becoming available. One example of such data are the electronic health records (EHRs) (Gligic et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019), which represent the largest source of medical data. Analyzing them, the medical information is presented as natural language text (i.e. unstructured data) and the key challenge is to extract terms that are different medical concepts (e.g., drugs, diseases, procedures, treatments, etc.). For this reason, a lot of named-entity recognition methods (NERs) have been developed (Boag et al., 2015), which are further used to extract this information for each patient and then trying to find a patient’s representation for some predictive study. Besides the unstructured data, there are also resources that consists of structured patient medical information. One such example is the MIMIC-III data (Johnson et al., 2016), which consists of data relating to patients who stayed within the intensive care units at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center. The common thing about the medical data, no matter from where it comes (unstructured or structured data), is that it is further used to find a patient’s representation by projecting the data into a continuous vector space (Beam et al., 2018; Choi et al., 2016; Miotto et al., 2016). In this way, medical embeddings are learned in order to capture the non-linear relationships that exist between the medical concepts. These representations are further used with some advanced machine learning or deep learning methods to perform predictive studies in healthcare. However, all this happens as a result of the availability of biomedical vocabularies.
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and standards that can be used to normalize the medical concepts before learning the embedding space. One such example is the Unified Medical Language System (UMLS) that brings and links together several biomedical vocabularies to enable interoperability between computer systems (Bodenreider, 2004).

However, in 2019, the Lancet Planetary Health published that the year 2019 is going to be the year of nutrition, where the focus will be on the links between food systems, human health, and the environment. Contrary to the large number of available resources for the biomedical domain, in the food domain there is a limited number of resources that can be used. There is still no annotated corpus with food concepts, and there are few rule-based food named-entity recognition systems that can be used for food concepts extraction (Efthimov et al., 2017b; Popovski et al., 2019). Additionally, a number of food ontologies exist, each developed for a specific application scenario, but there are no links between them (Boulos et al., 2015). In order to move ahead the work for finding relationships between the human health, food systems and environment, we should have resources that will be used for food concepts normalization. For this reason, we have created a FoodOntoMap resource that consists of food concepts extracted from recipes and for each one the semantic tags from four food ontologies are assigned. With this, we have created a resource that provides a link between different food ontologies that can be further reused to develop embedding space for food concepts and applications for understanding the relation between food system, human health, and the environment.

2 RELATED WORK

In this section we provide an overview of the available food semantic resources that can be used for food data normalization, followed by the methodologies that can be used for food information extraction and normalization.

2.1 Food Semantic Resources

In the domain of food, several food ontologies already exist, such as:

- FoodWiki provides a model of different types of foods, together with their nutritional information, and the recommended daily intake (Çelik, 2015).
- AGROVOC is a large multilingual thesaurus, whose terminology is widely used in practice for subject fields in agriculture, fisheries, forestry, food and related domains (Caracciolo et al., 2012).

Open Food Facts is an open source global food database that allows users to learn about a food’s nutritional information and compare products from around the world (Boulos et al., 2015). It is also beneficial for the food industry, where it can be used to track, monitor, and strategically plan food production.

- Food Product Ontology describes food products using common representation, vocabulary and language for the food product domain. It is an extended version of a widely used standardized ontology for product, price, store, and company data (Kolchin and Zamula, 2013).
- FOODS (Diabetics Edition) is an ontology-driven system that delivers a web-based food-menu recommendation system for patients with diabetes in Thailand (Snae and Brückner, 2008).
- FoodOn focuses on the human-centric categorization and handling of food (Griffiths et al., 2016). Its main goal is to develop semantics for food safety, food security, agricultural and animal husbandry practices linked to food production, culinary, nutritional and chemical ingredients and processes. It uses parts from several ontologies covering anatomy, taxonomy, geography and cultural heritage. Its usage is related to research and clinical data sets in academia and government.

A detailed review of the aforementioned food ontologies was provided by Boulos et al. (Boulos et al., 2015).

OntoFood is an ontology with SWRL rules of nutrition for diabetic patients and is available in the BioPortal.

- SNOMED CT (Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine - Clinical Terms) is a standardized, multilingual vocabulary of clinical terminology that is used by physicians and other health care providers for the electronic health records (Donnelly, 2006). Beside the medical concepts that are the main focus of this ontology, there is also a Food concept that can be further used for food concept normalization.

The Hansard corpus is a collection of text and concepts created as a part of the SAMUELS project (2014-2016). It consists of nearly every speech given in the British Parliament from 1803-2005. The main benefit is that it allows semantically-based searches of these speeches. More details about semantic tags can be found in (Alexander and Anderson, 2012; Rayson et al., 2004). The words are organized in 37 higher level semantic groups, in which one of them is also Food and Drink (i.e. AG).

Table 1 summarizes the availability of food semantic resources.
Table 1: Availability of food semantic resources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource name</th>
<th>Availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FoodWiki</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4496660/">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4496660/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGROVOC</td>
<td><a href="http://agroportal.lirmm.fr/ontologies/AGROVOC">http://agroportal.lirmm.fr/ontologies/AGROVOC</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Food Facts</td>
<td><a href="https://vest.agrisemantics.org/content/open-food-facts-food-ontology">https://vest.agrisemantics.org/content/open-food-facts-food-ontology</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Product Ontology</td>
<td><a href="https://vest.agrisemantics.org/content/food-product-ontology">https://vest.agrisemantics.org/content/food-product-ontology</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FoodOn</td>
<td><a href="https://foodontology.github.io/foodon/">https://foodontology.github.io/foodon/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OntoFood</td>
<td><a href="https://bioprocess.bioontology.org/ontologies/OF/?p=summary">https://bioprocess.bioontology.org/ontologies/OF/?p=summary</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNOMED CT</td>
<td><a href="https://confluence.ihgsitools.org/display/DOC/Technical+Resources">https://confluence.ihgsitools.org/display/DOC/Technical+Resources</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansard corpus</td>
<td><a href="https://www.hansard-corp.us/">https://www.hansard-corp.us/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Food Named-entity Recognition System

Contrary to the extensive work in named-entity recognition methods for biomedical tasks, the situation in the food and nutrition science is completely different.

The UCREL Semantic Analysis System (USAS) is a framework for automatic semantic analysis of text, which distinguishes between 21 major categories, one of which is “food and farming” (Rayson et al., 2004). The USAS can provide additional information about the food entity, but the limitation is that it works on a token level. For example, if in the text two words (i.e. tokens), like “grilled chicken”, denote one food entity that needs to be extracted and analyzed, the semantic tagger would actually parse the words “grilled” and “chicken” as separate entities and obtain separate semantic tags.

In (Eftimov et al., 2017b), a rule-based NER used for information extraction (IE) from evidence-based dietary recommendation, called drNER, is presented, where among other entities, food entities were also of interest. This work was extended into a rule-based named-entity recognition method for food information extraction, called FoodIE (Popovski et al., 2019). It is a rule engine, where the rules are based on computational linguistics and semantic information that describe the food entities. Evaluation showed that FoodIE behaves consistently using different independent evaluation data sets and very promising results have been achieved.

The NCBO Annotator is a Web service that annotates text provided by the user by using relevant ontology concepts (Jonquet et al., 2009). It is available as a part of the BioPortal software services (Noy et al., 2009). The annotation workflow is based on a highly efficient syntactic concept recognition (using concept names and synonyms) engine and on a set of semantic expansion algorithms that leverage the semantics in ontologies. The methodology leverages ontologies to create annotations of raw text and returns them using semantic web standards.

2.3 Food Concepts Normalization

In the last few years, food concepts normalization is an open research question that is highly researched by the food and nutrition science community, calling it food matching. For this reason, StandFood (Eftimov et al., 2017a) is recently introduced, which a semi-automatic system for classifying and describing foods according to a description and classification system, such as FoodEx2, proposed by the European Food Safety Agency (EFSA) ((EFSA), 2015). It consists of three parts. The first involves a machine learning approach and classifies foods into four categories, with two for single foods: raw (r) and derivatives (d), and two for composite foods: simple (s) and aggregated (c). The second uses a natural language processing approach and probability theory to perform food concept normalization. The third combines the result from the first and the second part by defining post-processing rules in order to improve the result for the classification part. However, the food normalization process was based only on lexical similarity between the food concepts names, avoiding the semantic similarity between them.

3 FoodOntoMap DESIGN

To provide a data set in which food concepts are normalized by semantic tags from different food ontologies, we selected 22,000 recipes from Allrecipes (Groves, 2013), which is the largest food-focused social network where people share their recipes and provide information about recipes in a non-standardized manner. The recipes were selected from five recipe categories: Appetizers and snacks, Breakfast and Lunch, Dessert, Dinner, and Drinks.

To extract and annotate food concepts using different food ontologies we used two approaches.
First, we used the recently proposed rule-based food-named entity recognition method called FoodIE. Using FoodIE, the extracted food concepts are additionally annotated using the Hansard corpus semantic tags. Then, we also used the NCBO annotator together with the food ontologies that are available in the BioPortal (i.e., FoodOn, OntoFood, and SNOMED CT). The semantic tags that are assigned to each food concept are the semantic tags that belong to the tokens from which the concept is constructed, so it is a multi-label annotation process. Further in the paper, we are going to explain each step in more detail.

After collecting the recipes, FoodIE is used to extract the food concepts. Further, we additionally annotated the food concepts by assigning the semantic tags from the Hansard corpus. For example, if the food concept is "grilled chicken", it obtains the semantic tags AG.01.t.07[Cooking] and AG.01.d.06[Fowls]. After assigning the semantic tags to each food concept, the results were organized in the BioC format, which is a simple format to share text data and annotations, with the goals of simplicity, interoperability, and broad use and reuse (Comeau et al., 2013). The BioC format for one recipe and its annotations are presented in Figure 1. From it, it is evident that each recipe is presented as a document for which the category, description (text), and food annotations are included. Each annotation consists of the food concept that is extracted, the semantic tags from the Hansard corpus that are assigned to it, and the offset that points the token position from the beginning of the text where the food concept starts and its character length. The FoodIE NER method is publicly available on GitHub (https://github.com/GorjanP/foodie). The data set organized in the BioC format is also publicly available at http://cs.ijis.si/repository/FoodBase/foodbase.zip.

Furthermore, the same recipe data set was processed using the NCBO annotator with the FoodOn, OntoFood, and SNOMED CT ontology. For this reason, we used an R client that uses the Annotator API available at http://data.bioontology.org/documentation. The annotator API was used with the recipe text as input and filters provided by the ontology id (i.e. FoodOn, OntoFood and SNOMED CT). When SNOMED CT was used, additionally another filter was applied based on a semantic type for which food was specified (i.e. Food(T168)). An example of an annotation is presented in Figure 2. Using this figure, it is evident that for each annotation we have the semantic tag (i.e. id), the food concept text, and two numbers indicating the starting and ending position of the food concept mention, which are referred as from and to. It is important to note that the BioC recipe format uses different metrics to define the position of the mentioned food concept. The NCBO annotator uses character based offsets (from and to), while the BioC format uses two metrics referred to as offset and length. The offset indicates at which token from the beginning of the recipe text the mentioned food concept occurs, while the length indicates the character length of the food concept. Due to this difference, these numbers were converted between the two types of location description while the mapping was being performed. Using these location metrics it is determined which food concept mentions extracted by the NCBO annotator refer to the same food concept. More specifically, if some food concept mention is a subset of another mention, their information is aggregated and represented as the superset food concept mention. With this duplicates, synonyms and multiple labels are resolved into a more complete food entity.

The FoodOntoMap data set consists of food concepts extracted from the recipes and normalized to different food ontologies. It also provides a link between the food ontologies. For this reason, the food concepts are matched and for each food concept the semantic information from each dataset is assigned.

The concept matching is done by iterating through each food concept that is extracted by the NER method FoodIE. If the concept is also recognized wholly or partially by the NCBO annotator in combination with any of the selected ontologies, the semantic tags from that ontology are also assigned to the food concept. However, it is not uncommon while using the NCBO annotator for it to provide semantic tags on a token level instead of on a concept level. Such an example would be when an ontology returns two outputs for the food concept "salad dressing", instead of classifying it as a single food concept consisting of two tokens. In these cases, each incomplete food concept extracted by the NCBO needs to be matched to its corresponding superset food concept concept extracted by FoodIE. This was done by checking if the location metrics from the NCBO annotator are in accordance (more specifically, whether they are a subrange) with the location metrics of a food concept provided by FoodIE. If such a match exists, the NCBO food concept is added to the mapping set of the FoodIE concept. By doing this, the mapping sets aggregate all the corresponding food concept mentions that map to a food concept, along with their semantic information, even if the NCBO annotator does not classify some food concepts wholly.

To illustrate this with an example, on Figure 1 it is evident that we have multiple food concept mentions that overlap. Such mentions are “SALAD at
DRESSING”, starting at 19 and ending at 32 and appearing twice with different semantic information; “SALAD”, starting at 19 and ending at 23; and “DRESSING”, starting at 25 and ending at 32. During the matching step that is mentioned above, all these are aggregated into a single food concept mention that holds all the semantic information of its component food concepts. The sole food concept mention becomes “SALAD DRESSING”, starting at 19 and ending at 32 along with four different semantic tags, one from each component mention. Then, after converting the location metrics to be compatible with the BioC recipe format, the food concept is matched to its corresponding food concept from the BioC recipe dataset. This means that the resulting mapping is “dry ranch salad dressing mix” to “SALAD DRESSING”. Notice that not all tokens are caught by the NCBO annotator using FoodOn. With this, the normalization is complete, the code mapping being A000046 to B000027.

In instances where one code from a dataset maps to two separate codes from another dataset, the NCBO tagger failed to produce one food concept mention which contains the full semantic information. Instead, it produced only a token-level mentions, and as such they were not aggregated into one larger food concept mention. Such an example is “SALTED WATER”, which maps to “WATER” and “SALTED” separately, the codes being A000123, B000012 and B000065, respectively.

The flowchart of the FoodOntoMap design is presented in Figure 3.

The availability of the resources that are used to create the FoodOntoMap is presented in Table 2. The results from the FoodOntoMap are four different datasets and one mapping. Each dataset consists of an artificial id for each unique food concept that is extracted using each approach, the name of the
extracted food concept, and the semantic information assigned to it. Each dataset corresponds to one of the four semantic resources: Hansard corpus, FoodOn, OntoFood, and SNOMED CT. At the end there is one data set mapping, called FoodOntoMap, which, for each concept that appears at least in two datasets, provides the links between them by listing the artificial id of the concepts from each of the datasets in which it is mentioned.

An example for one instance from FoodOntoMap is A000016, B000011, C000012, D000002. This means that this food concept is mapped from the Hansard corpus to the respective ontologies, i.e. FoodOn, SNOMED CT and OntoFood. The provided codes are artificial unique identifiers that are assigned to the food concept using each of the aforementioned food semantic resources, respectively. If we look at the separate datasets, we can see that A000016 corresponds to “garlic” with semantic tag [Onion/leek/garlic] from the Hansard corpus, B000011 corresponds to “garlic” with semantic tag http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/NCBITaxon_4682 from the FoodOn, C000012 is for “garlic” with the semantic tag http://purl.bioontology.org/ontology/SNOMEDCT/735030001 from the SNOMED CT, and D000002 corresponds to enquotegarlic with the semantic tag http://www.owl-ontologies.com/Ontology1435740495.owl#Garlic from the OntoFood.

The datasets consist of 13,205; 1,069; 111; and 582 unique food concepts, obtained using Hansard corpus, FoodOn, OntoFood, and SNOMED CT, respectively. The FoodOntoMap data set consists of 1,459 food concepts that are found in at least two food semantic resources.

From the results, we can conclude that FoodIE with the Hansard corpus gives the most promising results because it can extracted larger number of food

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource name</th>
<th>Availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FoodIE</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/GorjanP/foodie">https://github.com/GorjanP/foodie</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioC format recipe set</td>
<td><a href="http://cs.ij.s.si/repository/FoodBase/foodbase.zip">http://cs.ij.s.si/repository/FoodBase/foodbase.zip</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapper client and NCBO annotator client</td>
<td><a href="https://github.com/GorjanP/FOM_mapper_client">https://github.com/GorjanP/FOM_mapper_client</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansard corpus</td>
<td><a href="https://www.hansard-corpus.org/">https://www.hansard-corpus.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCBO annotator</td>
<td><a href="http://bioportal.bioontology.org/annotator">http://bioportal.bioontology.org/annotator</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCBO annotator REST API</td>
<td><a href="http://data.bioontology.org/documentation">http://data.bioontology.org/documentation</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FoodOn</td>
<td><a href="https://foodontology.github.io/foodon/">https://foodontology.github.io/foodon/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OntoFood</td>
<td><a href="https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OF/?p=summary">https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/OF/?p=summary</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNOMED CT</td>
<td><a href="https://confluence.ihtsdotools.org/display/DOC/Technical+Resources">https://confluence.ihtsdotools.org/display/DOC/Technical+Resources</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
concepts compared with the NCBO annotator in combination with some of the selected ontologies. Moreover, the results prove that the three food ontologies (i.e. SNOMED CT, FoodOn, OntoFood) do not represent the food domain well, as many food concepts cannot be extracted using the NCBO annotator, which indicates that they do not exist in the food ontologies themselves.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Impact

To the best of our knowledge, FoodOntoMap is the first resource that provides normalization of food concepts to different food ontologies, additionally providing a link between them. The motivation for building such a resource in the food domain comes from the existence of the UMLS, which is extensively used in the biomedical domain. For example, the MRCONSO.RRF table that is a part of the UMLS is used in a lot of semantic web applications because it can map the medical concepts to different biomedical standards and vocabularies. To make progress in analysing the large amount of data that is available in order to find these relations, resources for food concepts normalization are extremely valuable and welcome.

The main benefit of using FoodOntoMap is that the food concepts can be normalized by mapping them to a unified system. Furthermore, the semantic tags can be reused to find the non-linear relations that exist between the concepts in the vector space, by learning the embedding space. This can also be done together with some medical and environment concepts. Once the embedding space is learned, the embeddings can be used for predictive studies in order to explain the relations between human health, food systems, and the environment.

4.2 Reusability

FoodOntoMap can be used as a resource that represents a normalized dataset of food concepts. Additionally, users can also follow the described pipeline of steps used to create the FoodOntoMap mapping in order to create their own new resource where the food concepts will be normalized. Both approaches, FoodIE and NCBO, used for food concepts extraction have already been well documented and evaluated. The ontologies that are used by the NCBO annotator have also been well documented and are easy to utilize. Furthermore, FoodOntoMap can be easily extended on additional recipes, as well as a wide variety of different ontologies. With this it can provide an ever wider coverage of food concepts. Additionally, the FoodOntoMap pipeline can be used to normalize food concepts that exist in food consumption and food composition databases.

4.3 Availability

The resource FoodOntoMap is published and publicly available for download at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2635437, under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) licence. With this, we encourage users to further contribute to this resource and modify it as need be. Zenodo was the platform of choice, as it provided all the framework tools needed for such a dataset. Hosted along with the resource’s datasets is a DCAT specification file, which briefly describes the structure of the resource. Furthermore, the resource will be actively maintained and extended as new ontologies, annotators, NER methods and NLP methods become available. The goal is to keep the resource relevant and contemporary while also improving its domain coverage with the ever improving NLP tools.

5 CONCLUSION

The resource that is presented in this paper represents a data normalization pipeline. FoodOntoMap targets the domain of food and nutrition science, normalizing food concepts across three different ontologies and one semantic dataset. Additionally, it provides a set with semantic information for each food concept present in the dataset. This was made possible with the use of NER methods for information extraction from unstructured textual data. FoodOntoMap represents a first of its kind in the domain of Food and Nutrition, with no other such resources being readily available to the best of our knowledge. As such, it is crucial in building tools that improve knowledge in this domains and the public health effects it implies, as well as building data models that can be utilized to further discover relations and links in the food and nutrition domain.
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