# An Overview of Blockchain for Higher Education

Timothy Arndt<sup>®a</sup>

Department of Information Systems, Cleveland State University, 2121 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH, 44118, U.S.A.

Keywords: Blockchain, Higher Education, Certification, Smart Contract.

Abstract: Blockchain technology is one of the most widely acclaimed technologies of recent years. It enables the creation of distributed applications involving multiple actors or organizations in which transactions and data are not under the control of a central authority. Transactions are stored in a distributed public ledger in an immutable format in such a way that they can be verified by participants. This disintermediation promises to remove much of the "friction" (and lower costs) from distributed transactions by cutting out the intermediary party, thus enabling a variety of applications in finance, government, health, etc. This paper provides an overview of recent research in the application of blockchain technology to higher education for those who are interested in working in this area or just understanding how higher education may be positively impacted in the future by blockchain.

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Blockchain was introduced in a paper published by the pseudonymous Satoshi Nakamoto in 2008 (Nakamoto, 2008) and subsequently deployed in the cryptocurrency bitcoin in the following year. Blockchain is an open, distributed ledger that can efficiently record transactions between two parties in a verifiable and immutable (permanent) fashion without the need for a trusted third party (disintermediation).

Bitcoin uses a peer-to-peer architecture and relies on proof-of-work, a piece of data which is difficult (time consuming, computationally) to produce, but easy for others to verify (via "miners" who are rewarded with bitcoin for this work) and which satisfies certain requirements as a consensus mechanism. Consensus mechanisms allow for the correctness or "truth" of a transaction to be confirmed (depending on a set of rules) when multiple distributed actors may perform transactions, and some of those actors may be untrustworthy. Blockchain thus leads to what have been called Distributed Autonomous Organisations (DAOs).

Subsequent developments have allowed blockchain to be programmed (via smart contracts) to trigger transactions automatically (Iansiti and Lakhani, 2017). As a foundational technology, blockchain has been used or proposed for use in applications far beyond cryptocurrencies (Arndt, 2018), including banking (Peters and Panayi, 2016), land registration – especially in developing countries (Underwood, 2016), insurance (Lamberti, F., et al., 2017), and online voting (Ayed, 2017). Alternative consensus mechanisms (such as proof of stake and mechanisms based on Byzantine Fault Tolerance) have been proposed and alternative architectures used (e.g. client-server). These variations may be more useful in specific applications of blockchain. Some of these variations and blockchain platforms using them are discussed in the following section.

In this position paper, we give an overview of some recent research on the application of blockchain in the area of higher education, and our thoughts on that research, for those who may be interested in starting research in the area or who are interested in understanding what is going there to see how it may impact higher education in the future. As a position paper, we make no claims to this being an exhaustive review of research in the area. To dig deeper, (Yumna, 2019) and (Yokubov, 2018) (related works section) will be helpful. The best way to incorporate the topic of blockchain into the higher education curriculum for various academic disciplines (computer science, information systems, finance, business, etc.) is another interesting question, but is not addressed by the research surveyed here.

DOI: 10.5220/0008343902310235 In Proceedings of the 11th International Joint Conference on Knowledge Discovery, Knowledge Engineering and Knowledge Management (IC3K 2019), pages 231-235 ISBN: 978-989-758-382-7

Copyright © 2019 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6451-9918

# 2 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

#### 2.1 Types of Blockchain

There are currently three generally recognized types of blockchain systems which differ in governance and architecture (Zheng, 2017):

- **Public Blockchain** all records are visible to the public and everyone can take part in the consensus process. Immutability is high in this type of blockchain, but efficiency is low;
- **Private Blockchain** belong to a specific organization, and only nodes coming from that organization are allowed to join the consensus process. Compared to public blockchains, private blockchains have lower immutability, but higher efficiency;
- Consortium Blockchain a combination of the two previous types of system in which a preselected group of users can participate in the consensus process, and not all users belong to the same organization. Immutability and efficiency are similar to the private blockchain, while consortium blockchain is intermediate in terms of centralization between the decentralized public blockchain and centralized private blockchain. This type is also known as a permissioned blockchain.

#### 2.2 When Is Blockchain Useful?

Blockchain is a highly hyped, perhaps overhyped, technology. It is not applicable to all projects. Relational databases are a more stable, longer established technology which meet the needs of many, if not most, projects. On the other hand, there are certain usage scenarios in which blockchain may be applied. A good set of guidelines for when blockchain may usefully be integrated into a project has been given by (Greenspan, 2015). Specifically, blockchain may be useful if the following conditions hold:

- Shared Database the project will require a database to be used as a shared ledger;
- **Multiple Writers** more than one entity will be generating transactions which will be modifying the database. Further, the writers will hold a copy of the database and relay transactions in a peer-to-peer fashion;
- Absence of Trust users are not willing to allow other users to modify the database entries which they own;

- **Disintermediation** the multiple non-trusting entities want to operate without a centralized trusted intermediary;
- **Transaction Interaction** the transactions of different users depend on those of others;
- Set of Rules given the previous conditions, it is logical that the database should embed rules which restrict the transactions;
- Authoritative Transaction Log the blockchain serves as the final transaction log on whose contents all users provably agree;
- Asset Store the blockchain serves as a ledger of real-world assets.

If all or most of these conditions hold, blockchain technology may well be applicable and useful for your project. Some reflection will show that many use cases in education have these conditions. The projects surveyed in the next chapter are examples of these types of higher education use cases.

### 2.3 Blockchain Platforms

Several platforms for building blockchain systems have been developed in recent years. Amongst the most popular of these are the following:

- Ethereum (Ethereum, 2019) is an open-source, public blockchain platform first proposed by Vitalik Buterin in 2013. Ethereum features Ether, a token (cryptocurrency) which can be used to pay for "gas", a unit of computation performed by smart contracts (scripts) which run on the Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM). Ethereum supports a modified version of Nakamoto consensus (Bitcoin's consensus protocol). Ethereum is widely used for the development of Peer to Peer (P2P) Distributed (or Decentralized) Apps (Dapps).
- Hyperledger Fabric (Hyperledger Fabric, 2019) is a permissioned (private) blockchain infrastructure developed by IBM and Digital Asset which features a modular architecture, smart contracts, and configurable consensus and membership services. Besides being a private blockchain, Hyperledger Fabric differs from Ethereum in not having a built-in token, and in its degree of configurability, among other items. Hyperledger Fabric is one of a number of "Hyperledger" projects developed under the aegis of the Linux Foundation and is aimed at the enterprise with a special significance for Business to Business (B2B) applications.
- **Corda** (Corda, 2019) was developed by the R3 blockchain technology company. Corda is an

open-source distributed ledger and smart contract platform developed with the needs of business in mind. Like Hyperledger Fabric, Corda is permissioned and does not have a native token, however it is more specialized, aimed specifically at the needs of the financial services industry.

- **Openchain** (Openchain, 2019) developed by CoinPrism is an open-source blockchain platform for organizations that want to issue and manage digital assets in a secure, and scalable manner. Smart contracts are supported and partitioned consensus is used so that users can create unique instances that will have a single authority. Tokens on Openchain can be pegged to Bitcoin. Openchain uses a client-server architecture rather than the more widely used blockchain P2P architecture. There is also no miner required for consensus, so transactions are instant and free.
- BigChainDB (BigChainDB, 2019) is an opensource distributed storage system which aims to combine the advantages of NoSQL databases with blockchain (distributed storage, immutability, no central authority). BigChainDB is built out of number of NoSQL database nodes (e.g. MongoDB instances) which store immutable information about transactions which are kept synchronized by using the Tendermint Byzantine Fault Tolerance (BFT) scheme for consensus. BigChainDB can be either public, private, or permissioned.

## **3 REPRESENTATIVE BLOCKCHAIN IN HIGHER EDUCATION PROJECTS**

In this section we will look at some recent representative projects in the application of blockchain technology in higher education.

A number of researchers have explored the use of blockchain to store university grades, i.e. university transcripts. A group at the University of Glasgow has developed a functional prototype for storage of student grades at the institution (Rooksby, 2017). The platform chosen was Ethereum, hence it was built on a public blockchain. This was exploratory research, and they identified several challenges, including in the use of smart contracts to calculate grades in an algorithmic manner. Another project giving a prototype implementation is (Arndt, 2018), where a private BigChainDB blockchain is used for storage of student transcripts (not grades within a course as in the previously described research, though). Initial results were promising. (Yokubov, 2018) describes a prototype implementation of a university transcript system using an Ethereum private blockchain and ERC-20 tokens (a standard for tokens, which are needed to carry out smart contracts, on Ethereum) on that blockchain. Students are able to read their grades, while professors and administrative personnel can record grades.

EduCTX (Turkanović, 2018) is an ambitious project for the development of a higher education credit platform based on the concept of the European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS), a framework which has been approved by the EU. The decentralized higher education credit and grading system can offer a globally unified viewpoint for students, higher education institutions, and other potential stakeholders such as prospective employers. A prototype implementation has been built on the ARK blockchain platform (ARK, 2019). ARK is a public blockchain, but the researchers changed it to a private (permissioned) one by taking advantage of the flexible nature of ARK to change the parameters of the consensus algorithm used – DPoS (delegate proof of stake). Rules to ensure the validity of transactions on the blockchain have been defined. ECTX tokens are used to represents credits that students gain for completing courses (analogous to the way that ERC-20 tokens are used in the work above). The authors propose to apply the prototype system firstly at their home institution, the University of Maribor, and then at a select set of institutions of higher education. They anticipate that this or a similar system could potentially evolve into a unified, simplified and globally ubiquitous higher education credit and grading system.

A more theoretical investigation is given in (Kuvshinov, 2018) where the architecture for the Disciplina platform for student records is given and main issues arising from storing student records in a blockchain are analysed. Their platform incorporates both private blockchains (maintained by individual institutions of higher learning and public blockchains, managed by "Witnesses" who witness the fact that a private block was produced by a valid institution. A good discussion of the problems of privacy, provability, and data disclosure in this context are given in a theoretical manner.

Beyond traditional transcripts, blockchain is also being used or proposed for various alternative types of educational credentialing. Blockchain promises permanent authentication and storage for a myriad of alternative credentials made up of diverse microcredentials, nanodegrees, MOOCs, and certificates/badges from various types of training programmes. These credentials can then be directly controlled and managed by users (Jirgensons, 2018).

Among the most well-known of these projects, MIT's Media Lab created Blockcerts, a mobile app for educational credentialing built on Bitcoin (MIT, 2019). At the Open University, researchers have developed the OpenLearn system built on the Ethereum public blockchain which awards OpenLearn badges for completing sections of a course and passing assessments (OpenLearn, 2019). The creators of that system are now working on a blockchain project to create a permanent distributed of intellectual effort and associated record reputational reward that instantiates and democratises educational reputation beyond the academic (Sharples 2016). Blockchain community for Education (Gräther, 2018) is another prototype system supporting the storage, retrieval and verification of certificates via blockchain technology. Certificates are an important means of proving lifelong learning achievement in today's environment, however they are susceptible to forgery. Blockchain helps to solve this problem. The prototype system uses Ethereum and its smart contracts to manage identities of registered certificate authorities and the hashes of certificates which are stored in a separate, centralized document management system, while the profile information of authorities is stored certificate using the Interplanetary File System (IPFS) distributed file system. Storing data off the blockchain allows it to be be deleted as is required, for example, by the European General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) for personal information.

Other uses of blockchain in higher education have also been contemplated, including motivation, assessment, advising, etc. (Chen, 2018). A prototype system for a blockchain based learning analytics platform built on Ethereum has been proposed as well (Ocheja, 2018).

#### **4** CONCLUSIONS

One of the factors influencing the choice of a particular blockchain platform is the availability of an API in a particular language. Researchers will naturally prefer a solution which allows them to program in their chosen language. Ethereum has proven to be a popular platform in higher education projects due to its smart contracts and generalist orientation, but other platforms have been widely used as well.

Among the areas in higher education where blockchain is currently being most widely used are a pair of emerging application areas which involve multiple, distributed actors, and which thus lend themselves naturally to the use of blockchain as a part of a system, namely for transfer of credits among highly mobile students crossing international borders (in the EU), and as a means of consolidating, new, diverse educational credentials (certificates, badges, MOOCs, etc.) in a more flexible, student-oriented approach to lifelong learning. Other areas of higher education could profit from the use of blockchain as well, however the criteria given in section 2 should be carefully considered before incorporating blockchain in order to avoid using inappropriate technology simply because it is new and "hot".

#### REFERENCES

- ARK, 2019. https://ark.io [Accessed 7 June 2019].
- Arndt, T., 2018. Empowering University Students with Blockchain-Based Transcripts. In *Proceedings of CELDA 2018*. Budapest, Hungary, October 21-23, 2018.
- Ayed, A. B., 2017. A Conceptual Secure Blockchain-Based Electronic Voting System. International Journal of Network Security & Its Applications, Volume 93.
- BigChainDB, 2019. https://www.bigchaindb.com [Accessed 2 June 2019].
- Chen, G., et al., 2018. Exploring Blockchain Technology and its Potential Applications for Education. *Smart Learning Environments*, Volume 5(1).
- Corda, 2019. https://www.r3.com/corda-platform/ [Accessed 2 June 2019].
- Ethereum, 2019. https://www.ethereum.org [Accessed 2 June 2019].
- Gräther, W., et al., 2018. Blockchain for Education: Lifelong Learning Passport. In Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> ERCIM Blockchain Workshop 2018, Reports of the Society for Socially Embedded Technologies.
- Greenspan, G., 2015. Avoiding the Pointless Blockchain Project. Available at:
- https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/avoiding-pointless-block chain-project-gideon-greenspan/ [Accessed 1 June 2019].
- Hyperledger Fabric, 2019.
- https://www.hyperledger.org/projects/fabric [Accessed 2 June 2019].
- Iansiti, M. and Lakhani, K. R., 2017. The Truth About Blockchain. *Harvard Business Review*, Volume 95(1), pp. 118-127.
- Jirgensons, M., Kapenicks, J., 2018. Blockchain and the future of digital learning credential assessment and management. *Journal of Teacher Education for Sustainability*, 20, pp. 145-156.
- Kuvshinov, K., 2018. Disciplina: Blockchain for Education. Available at:

- https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Disciplina-%3A-Blockchain-for-Education-Kuvshinov-Nikiforov/958c 1a5760b3f41f65482593eb8e365dfc4ccf3f [Accessed 1 June 2019].
- Lamberti, F., Gatteschi, V., Demartini, C., Pranteda, C., and Santamaria, V., 2017. Blockchain or Not Blockchain, That is the Question of the Insurance and Other Sectors. *IT Professional*.
- MIT, 2019. https://www.blockcerts.org [Accessed 8 June 2019].
- Nakamoto, S., 2008. Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System. https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf [Accessed 5 June 2019].
- Ocheja, B., et al., 2018. Connecting Decentralized Learning Records: A Blockchain Based Learning Analytics Platform. In Proceedings of International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge, Sydney, Australia, March 2018.
- Openchain, 2019. https://www.openchain.org [Accessed 2 June 2019].
- OpenLearn, 2019. https://www.open.edu/openlearn/getstarted/badges-come-openlearn [Accessed 8 June 2019].
- Peters, G. W. and Panayi, E., 2016. Understanding Modern Banking Ledgers Through Blockchain Technologies: Future of Transaction Processing and Smart Contracts on the Internet of Money. In *Banking Beyond Banks* and Money. Cham: Springer, pp. 239-278.
- Rooksby, J. and Dimitrov, K., 2017. Trustless Education? A Blockchain System for University Grades. New Value Transactions Understanding and Designing for Distributed Autonomous Organisations Workshop at DIS 2017. Available at
- http://johnrooksby.org/papers/DAOworkshop\_rooksby.pdf [Accessed 3 June 2019].
- Sharples, M. and Domingue J., 2016. The Blockchain and Kudos: A Distributed System for Educational Record, Reputation and Reward. In: Verbert K., Sharples M., Klobučar T. (eds) Adaptive and Adaptable Learning. EC-TEL 2016. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 9891. Cham: Springer, pp. 490-496.
- Turkanović, M., et al., 2018. EduCTX: A Blockchain-Based Higher Education Credit Platform. *IEEE Access*, Volume 6, pp. 5112-5127.
- Underwood, S., 2016. Blockchain beyond bitcoin. *Communications of the ACM*, Volume 59(11), pp. 15-17.
- Yokubov, B., 2018. Blockchain Based Storage of Students Career. Master Degree Thesis, Politecnico di Torino, https://webthesis.biblio.polito.it/9471/. [Accessed 3 June 2019].
- Yumna, H., et al., 2019. Use of Blockchain in Education: A Systematic Literature Review. In: Nguyen N., Gaol F., Hong TP., Trawiński B. (eds) Intelligent Information and Database Systems. ACIIDS 2019. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 11432. Cham: Springer, pp. 191-202.
- Zheng, Z., et al., 2017. An Overview of Blockchain Technology: Architecture, Consensus, and Future

Trends. In: 2017 IEEE 6<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Big Data, pp. 557-564.