# Implementing Value-at-Risk and Expected Shortfall for Real Time Risk Monitoring

#### Petra Ristau

JRC Capital Management & Research GmbH, Kurfürstendamm 186, 10707 Berlin, Germany

Keywords: Cloud Appliance, Financial Risk Measures, Value-at-Risk, Expected Shortfall, Monte-Carlo Simulations.

Abstract: Regulatory standards require financial service providers and banks to calculate certain risk figures, such as Value at Risk (VaR) and Expected Shortfall (ES). If properly calculated, their formulas are based on a Monte-Carlo simulation, which is computationally complex. This paper describes architecture and development considerations of a use case building a demonstrator for a big data analytics cloud platform developed in the project CloudDBAppliance (CDBA). The chosen approach will allow for real time risk monitoring using cloud computing and a fast analytical processing platform and data base.

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

The way Investment Banks monitor Market Risk is rapidly changing both for complying with regulatory requirements and for enhancing competitiveness. In today's lower return / higher risk business environment, one of the main challenges in asset management is to provide detailed risk information in a timely manner, i.e. in real time. The goal of the use case described in this paper is to overcome the current practice of leaving the operational data in external data bases of data providers and brokers and downloading copies on a daily basis for off-line batch evaluations. Instead, it shall support traders, risk managers and sales who negotiate with the customers in doing aggregations and calculations on the fly and let them do what-if analysis over the live operational data.

Modern risk calculation techniques used by banking industry operate on different kinds of simulated scenarios together with complex mathematical modelling to evaluate the outcome of those scenarios. In addition to marking-to-market current trading positions, the vast majority of the data are pricing simulations that compute the value and the sensitivities of financial trades to market changes. Results are being aggregated into measures such as Value-At-Risk (VaR) and portfolio diversity based on market correlation. All these evaluations depend on calculations consisting of large scale matrix-vector operations. Using traditional

approaches such as e.g. relational databases, these processes can be very time consuming. Usually, processing is done once a day, and thus depending on past data, which obviously reduces its value for the business and increases overall risk.

In addition, regulations require banks to be able to do back-testing analysis in a timely manner over the whole portfolio. This requires the ability to effectively store, retrieve and aggregate billions of returns done for each trade historically on a large time scale. Existing solutions cannot cope with that without significant delays and usually require a lot of customization in each case, while regulators expect banks to have flexible analysis frameworks.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: The next chapter briefly explains the needed calculation, section 3 deals with requirements analysis while section 4 introduces the platform architecture and section 5 presents conclusions.

# 2 EXPLANATION OF THE CALCULATION

Value at risk is a risk measure, that can be calculated for any type of financial instrument that has a liquid enough market price. We will focus on the VaR calculation of a portfolio of capital market products such as stocks and bonds. VaR is determined by two parameters, a time interval and a confidence level. A

Ristau, P.

DOI: 10.5220/0008318704590464

In Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Data Science, Technology and Applications (DATA 2019), pages 459-464 ISBN: 978-989-758-377-3

Copyright © 2019 by SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, Lda. All rights reserved

Implementing Value-at-Risk and Expected Shortfall for Real Time Risk Monitoring

t-day, x-per cent VaR figure would be interpreted as the maximum loss for an x% confidence level that will not be breached within the next t days. Translated into regular language that would mean that

$$VaR_{10d,99.9\%} = 72\%$$

would be interpreted such as "I am 99.9% confident that my portfolio will not drop more that 72% in value within the next ten days".

In order to calculate this figure, the risk manager needs to calculate the multivariate distribution of the entire portfolio's daily returns. This is dependent on (a) the portfolio's instruments weight (b) their riskreturn profile (expected return and return variance) and (c) their correlation. According to modern portfolio theory (Elton et.al., 2014), the crosscorrelations between financial product's prices have a big effect on the entire portfolio value. This is why investment professionals try to diversify risk by including uncorrelated or even negatively correlated instruments into their portfolio.

Each statistic is calculated using the standard formula that can be found in every statistician's textbook. Partly represented as a number, partly represented by a matrix, these figures are put together so that a joint or multivariate return distribution can be estimated. This is the return distribution of the portfolio.

Next, the risk manager needs to proceed with the Monte-Carlo simulation, which is a simulation of Figure 1: VaR as a quantile of return distribution. future returns of the portfolio in this case. Deducted from the multivariate distribution, the risk manager randomly generates future return scenarios of length t. These can be summed up to yield a portfolio return over the t-day future. Repeating this simulation ntimes yields a distribution of portfolio returns after tdays. The x% confidence level of the VaR calculation can be deducted looking for the x% onesided quantile of the overall return distribution. This return figure is the VaR figure (see figure 1). VaR is technically a percentile of the loss distribution (Krokhmal, Palmquist, Uryasev, 2001) of an asset.

The ES is the simple average (the expectation value) of all simulated returns that are below the x% quantile.

#### 3 **REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS**

The Real-time Risk Monitoring for Investment Banking use case implements a risk assessment and monitoring application that does, on the one hand, comply with regulatory requirements of the financial

supervisory authorities, and on the other hand, speeds up the risk valuation so that it can be used intraday not only for regularly or ad-hoc queries, but even for pre-trade analysis of potentially new trades before the traders actually give the order.

The usage of the system is role based:

Risk Controllers have access to all use cases, i.e. the calculation of risk measures (VaR and ES) as well as the corresponding sensitivities. The pre-trade analysis may be triggered by both, Risk Controllers and Traders who just detected an investment opportunity and have to assess portfolio risk as a what-if scenario, as if the new trade was already carried out.

#### Return distribution of asset



#### **Input and Output Streams** 3.1

The basis for every risk evaluation is the trade history, consisting of past closed positions and currently open positions, in combination with the history of market price data. The result is a time series of returns of the portfolio, the return vector (or PnL vector), that will serve as input for the variancecovariance matrix and finally, for the correlation matrix.

What-if-Analysis requires as input a potential new trade, that a trader may wish to add to the portfolio. In order to provide also pre-trade analysis, the trader may enter the position he/she may intend to enter and the system will evaluate the changes of risk measures that would be caused by this additional trade.

On the output side we receive the risk measures VaR and ES for the current portfolio together with their sensitivities to a range of parameters.

In case that a pre-trade analysis was triggered, the output will consist of the newly calculated risk measures VaR and ES for the expanded portfolio (what-if-scenario VaR).

The sequence of operations is rather straightforward. From the login of the risk controller or trader up to the calculation of the VaR and ES risk measures as a single figure or as a diagram of historical values.

# **4 PLATFORM ARCHITECTURE**

In the real-time risk monitoring use case, the aim was to develop a solution, capable of highly nonlinear financial risk computation on large portfolios of trades, changing in real-time (new trades coming in, what-if scenarios, etc.). The goal is to utilize inmemory capabilities of the solution to avoid expensive brute-force re-computations and make it possible to both, compute risk measures much faster but also to allow marginal computations of risk for new incoming transactions. The risk monitoring application is designed to use fast analytical and streaming processing capabilities of third-party systems, i.e. the Big Data Analytics Engine, the Operational DB and the Streaming Analytics Engine shown in figure 2 below.

Two major streams of input data from external sources supply the application with data:

- Real-time market data, a high frequency data stream of financial price data for stocks, bonds, futures, currencies, etc. as offered by numerous third-party data providers like Bloomberg, ThomsonReuters, or Metastock.
- Portfolio input stream, consisting of trades list from the electronic order platform, portfolio data and single new trades for What-if-analysis.

Concerning the second type of input, the trade history, we can distinguish between order data and portfolio data. The order data consists of all trades and can be derived via an API directly from the electronic order platform. Each broker offers a dedicated order platform where traders enter the new trades that are then instantaneously forwarded to the accounts held by the broker. The portfolio Data is entered by the risk manager directly from the GUI and contains information about the asset allocation of the portfolio, but also potential new trades entered for the pre-trade analysis. For creating the Portfolio Input-Steam, the trades have to be evaluated and the list of current assets contained in the portfolio is being created and passed to both, the DSE (where it serves for filtering only those streams related to the current portfolio) and the Operational DB where it is stored.

In contrast to the real-time market data Application Programming Interface (API), the interface to the order platform is not time critical since the frequency with which new orders arrive depends on the size of the institute, its number of clients and Assets under Management (AUM). However, the frequency is by far lower than the market data update. Therefore, no streaming engine support is needed here.



Figure 2: Use case architecture and data flow.

The Parameter Input-Stream is fed from the GUI and contains all parameters for the scenario generation that usually remain fixed, but might be subject to change in the case that the risk controller needs to make adjustments.

The first data source, the real time market data, connect to the Data Streaming Engine (DSE) via a dedicated API. The task of the streaming engine is to filter only those assets that are contained in the current portfolio and to align all incoming data into streams with synchronous time stamps (e.g. on 1 second basis). This is a prerequisite for the calculation of the variance-covariance matrix. The DSE forwards the (aligned) real-time data (prices and returns) to the Operational Database (DB) on the one hand, where it is immediately passed through into the Fast data Analytics Engine. The return vectors, on the other hand, are forwarded to one of the integrated Machine Learning Algorithms, i.e. the fast correlation detection algorithm. The fast Analytics Engine can be configured via a GUI where also the results are presented to the user.

Finally, the configuration of the scenario engine and the data analytics engine is done via the GUI, that also receives outputs for presenting them to the user.

## 4.1 Scenario Engine

Scenario analysis is the systematic investigation of the impact of different sets of model inputs on key model outputs, where no analytical results are available. The scenario engine is responsible for the generation of parameterised simulations where each set of input parameters defines one scenario. As input, the scenario engine takes the correlation matrix generated by the CDBA fast correlation algorithm and derives from it the distributional properties. Each scenario represents one possible future development of market returns. Therefore, the scenario engine uses randomly generated values for uncertain variables and runs Monte Carlo simulations on these values. The outputs of the scenario engine are a huge number of return vectors, one for each simulation run. These are loaded into the analytics platform in order to be further processed.

# 5 INTEGRATION WITH THE CDBA PLATFORM

This section describes the usage of the core components of the CDBA platform in the real time risk monitoring use case.

### 5.1 Integration with the DSE

The usage of the DSE in this use case is threefold.

#### 5.1.1 Data Synchronization

Since one of the main tasks of the fast correlation detection algorithm is the generation of correlation matrices, the input data streams of market data have to be synchronized (aligned). We made the decision to use a pulsing based on a one second level, but also larger data compression intervals are possible and usual (e.g. 1-minute, or 5-minutes). The task of the streaming engine is to summarize several market prices of the same time series arriving within the same second by building an average value on the one hand and to enter placeholder prices by repeating the last observed data, in case there are gaps of more than a second length.

## 5.1.2 Calculation of Returns

In addition to aligning the price itself, the DSE has to calculate the returns as well, i.e. the relative changes of two subsequent price data of the same instrument. This is the recommended practice in financial data analysis, i.e. correlation analysis. In contrast to the raw market price data, return time series have other, more desired statistical properties. In particular, they are stationary, meaning that e.g. their mean and variance do not depend on the previous time series element. Therefore, the input to the correlation detection algorithm consists of return vectors instead of price vectors.

#### 5.1.3 Alarm Generation

The third task of the DSE is to trigger a (complete or incremental) recalculation of risk measures in the case of unexpected large market moves. For this purpose, the DSE monitors incoming market data, whether predefined ranges are being kept and generates an alarm in the case of breaches. However, instead of fixed numeric values, the thresholds have to be dynamic and they have to adapt to the fluctuations of market prices and shall only be triggered, if there are significant peaks or drops. Therefore, they have to be updated in real time as well. As a realization, we build a channel around the market prices by adding/subtracting e.g. 2 standard deviations.

If a price for a certain symbol arrives, that lies outside the range, the DSE sends an alarm that is stored in an extra table in the data base. The alarm automatically triggers a recalculation of the risk measures. The configuration of the thresholds in terms of the factor of standard deviations is done via the GUI.

In a final step, an API complying with the FIX protocol is being built to connect a real time data feed to the streaming engine. FIX stands for Financial Information eXchange and is an open standard for the exchange of information between banks, brokers, stock exchanges and service providers. In particular, we use the byte oriented binary coding FAST (FIX Adapted for STreaming) since it is more appropriate for high frequency data streams.

# 5.2 Integration with the Operational Data Base

The operational data is used for storing the existing data histories of market prices as well as the newly incoming market data from the data feed. In addition, it stores the return values calculated by the DSE as the percentage change from one price value to the next. These data are passed directly from the DSE. Hence, each record of market data consists of 4 attributes:

```
(symbol_ID, time_stamp, price,
return),
```

where symbol\_ID is the provider specific identifier for the trading instrument, e.g. 6-letter representation in the case of currencies (as e.g. EURUSD, USDJPY, etc.), time\_stamp is a numeric value for

Unix time, price and return are both of type double. On the other hand, trade data are stored in the data base. These consist of lists of order data, indicating time stamped buy or sell information:

```
(symbol_ID, time_stamp, price,
amount|position size, buy|sell)
```

The final data to be stored are the lists of current assets, just being the time stamped list of symbol IDs of the assets contained in the portfolio at a certain time.

```
(time_stamp, symbol_ID1, ...
symbol IDn)
```

### 5.3 Integration with ML Algorithms

The correlation discovery algorithm is being used for building the correlation-matrix. The decisive requirement for the CDBA project is real-time computation. For the calculation of VaR one of the computationally most complex steps is the calculation of the variance-covariance matrix and/or the correlation matrix respectively. In this use case the time series correlation discovery algorithm will be used to set up the correlation matrix through pairwise measuring of correlations of all return vectors corresponding to all assets in the portfolio.

As a precondition for the matrix setup, the time series have to be synchronised. We agreed to synchronise all of the time series on a 1-second basis. This is done by the DSE as described previously. This means, that in the most frequent update case this computationally intensive calculation is repeated every second, over the length of the time window that is shifted every second by one. This way, the window always contains the most recent data. The sliding length is the frequency of updates (here one second as pseudo real time).

The computational complexity, of course, will depend on the size of the portfolio, i.e. the number of different assets contained therein. Therefore, for large portfolios, the preferred mode of deployment may be based on the trigger alarm of the DSE and a re-calculation of the correlation matrix only performed in case of an alarm, or on user request, e.g. for a what-if-scenario as pre-trade analysis.

#### 5.4 Fast Analytics Engine

Since the financial sector, i.e. risk management is one of the main application areas of the fast analytics engine, there exists already support for this use case on several levels. In particular, there is an open source project available for the calculation of VaR, that was taken and expanded to the needs of the use case.

The VaR project receives the P&L vectors as generated by the scenario engine and calculates the VaR risk measure that is then returned to the GUI. The analysis has been expanded by the ES calculation that is based on the same set of scenarios.

### 5.5 Usage

The use case application is configured and the risk assessment run from a central GUI.

The log in dialog offers two roles for users, distinguishing between trader and risk controller. While the trader can only enter one or several (potential) new trades and then push the "VaR-button", the risk controller is also able to enter or change parameters such as confidence level, sliding window length, number of scenarios to be generated, training sample length and forecasting period length.

# 6 CONCLUSION

The presented risk monitoring use case is a dataintensive application in a critical infrastructure. It does not require many different functionalities, but focusses on a central aspect in the daily risk management procedures of banks and financial institutes.

The challenge of the application lies in the computational complexity of the calculation of the risk measures. This is where it exploits the capabilities of the underlying existing big data and streaming analytics platforms.

The chosen architecture design is kept modular and will allow for the replacement of single components, either on the side of data base or analytical platform, but also with respect to the data sources like a change of the real time market data provider or of the electronic order platform by simply replacing the interface. This will keep the design sustainable and open for future extensions of requirements and functionalities.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 732051. The authors acknowledge consortium members' support in the described work and efforts in the implementation of the platform components.

# REFERENCES

- Elton, E., Gruber, M., Brown, S., Goetzmann, W. "Modern Portfolio Theory and Investment Analysis", 9<sup>th</sup> ed., Wiley, 2014
- Krokhmal, P., Palmquist, J., Uryasev, S., "Portfolio Optimization with conditional Value-at-Risk Objective and Constraints", 2001