Security Issues of Scientific based Big Data Circulation Analysis

Anastasia Andreasyan¹editor, Artem Balyakin²editor, Marina Nurbina³editor and
Alina Mukhamedzhanova⁴editor

¹Open Law Limited, 9, Vetoshnyi lane, Moscow, Russia
²National Research Center Limited, 1, ac. Kurchatov sq., Moscow, Russia
³Federal State Budgetary Institution of Higher Education "Russian State University of Justice" 69,
Novocheremushkinskaya St., Moscow, Russia

Abstract: The paper deals with legal issues arising from the need to regulate Big Data. For the purpose of this study it is suggested that aspects of legal definition of Big Data should be considered, as well as its classification, and analysis of risks in the global experience of legal regulation of Big Data. The authors believe that in the context of onrush technology it is extremely important to strike the balance, protect sensitive data, and do not bar from technological development, taking into account socio-economic impact of Big Data technology. We stress, that it is more important to control the application of Big Data analysis, rather than the information itself used in data sets.

1 INTRODUCTION

The modern world increasingly relies on its development on progressive technology, and society is being digitized at present. Progressive digital technology used in various spheres - from IT to medical research - offers solutions to the most complex modern challenges. Once it became possible to analyse large amounts of data, such concepts as “Big Data” emerged.

Firstly, Big Data were received in operating a scientific installation, namely the Large Hadron Collider. Currently scientific projects in the “megascience” category remain one of the key “suppliers” of Big Data.

Besides, the amount of Big Data to be received in the near future from the Large Hadron Collider alone is predicted to surpass the same received from non-scientific sources.

Thus, despite the fact that the largest amount of Big Data is received from scientific installations in the “megascience” category, Big Data are also receivable in other areas. For example, Big Data are widely used in advertising and sales, which in part enabled such technological and economic giants as Amazon, Google, and Facebook to form and prosper. The development rates and the level of involvement of Big Data in their activities raise public concerns. It is worth noting that the 2018 editorial of The Economist calls on the governments of all countries to invigorate antimonopoly measures to regulate digital economy markets, otherwise irreparable damage will be done: the digital economy will no longer be a market economy, but will be controlled by a group of corporate monopolies having market power which is unavailable to 20th century monopolies and the governments of developed countries (https://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21735021-dominance-google-facebook-andamazon-bad-consumers-and-competition-how-tame, 2018). Significantly, the economy is not the only sphere where Big Data are used; currently the active integration in medicine, banking, public administration, taxes, cellular communication, and
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other areas is observed. The rates of increase in Big Data amount give raise to technological issues connected with processing methods and the necessary technical capacities, ethical issues on data types and their collection methods, and legal issues on data protection.

Figure 1: Increase in the amount of data in natural sciences: the SKA telescope of the 1st and 2nd generations, the XFEL free-electron laser; the WLCG research at CERN. For comparison, the amount of information is shown that is contained in the genome of all living humans on the planet (Balyakin A. and Mun D., 2017).
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Figure 2: Comparative amount of Big Data to date. Expected growth in scientific data from the Large Hadron Collider is shown (Balyakin A. and Mun D., 2017).
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2 THE CONCEPT AND ESSENCE OF BIG DATA FROM A LEGAL STANDPOINT

At the beginning of this paper, we indicated that the growth of the involvement of Big Data in various spheres of public life requires, first of all, adequate legal regulation. In order to build legal regulation, it is required to determine the object of such regulation, therefore we consider it important to determine the concept of “Big Data”.

In fact, Big Data may be defined as a set of data and information which defies ordering and sorting at the current stage of human development.

After examining various sources, one can imagine that currently there is no common understanding and approach to the definition of Big Data.


Also one can come across the following definition in business literature. Big Data refer to a process offering insight into decision-making. The process is used by humans and machines for quick analysis of large amounts of various data (conventional datasheets and unstructured data such as pictures, videos, emails, data on transactions and social networking) from different sources to generate practical knowledge (Kalyvas and Overly, 2015).

We approve the position that Big Data are not a legal term at the moment, but rather describes a phenomenon with a large variety of implications in scientific disciplines such as economics, technical disciplines, legal and social sciences, and likely in many other areas in the years to come (Fenwick, Kaal et al., 2016).

It is clear from the above definitions that there is currently no uniform understanding of Big Data. It is important to note that there is no single approach to the essence of Big Data, whether they are a process, a data set, or a technology.

Such a strong discrepancy in understanding may give rise to legal uncertainty in the area. To our mind, the most applicable definition should emphasize the new qualitative property of data treated as “Big Data” in comparison with usual data set; thus Big Data are perceived as a complex of both large amount of data, and approaches and methods to analyse them. In this case, Big Data are a process, not an object.

Since Big Data, as mentioned above, are actively integrated in areas of society’s life in almost all the world, it seems logical that a single approach to understanding should be developed. It is also worth to mention, that there is no widely accepted vocabulary in such a field. The development of universal glossary and its implementation should be the first step in Big Data legal regulations. This issue can be only solved with joint efforts of scientists, law-makers, businessmen, etc.

Consider as an example such a property of Big Data as publicity. Using scientifically-received Big Data as an example, data can be categorised
according to their availability and the importance of such information can be determined.

First group includes public data, for example data obtained from SQUARE KILOMETRE ARRAY facility (https://www.skatelescope.org/technical/info-sheets/). The publicity of such information attracts different scientists and contributes to popularising astronomy.

Second group includes partially available Big Data, such as published results; data for educational purposes (so called “abridged” data) available to authorised users; reconstructed data that become available after a certain time; “raw” or unprocessed data that are not made publicly available (http://opendata.cern.ch/record/413).

And the third group of data are closed data that are not available to the general public. As a rule, these are private scientific projects or defence-related research. The European Free-Electron Laser is an example of such closed data (https://www.xfel.eu/).

Consider as an example an estimate of the EU open data market which has a considerable economic potential. In particular, experts estimate that the total economic value of such data is expected to increase from 52 billion euros in 2018 to 75.7 billion euros in 2020 (https://ec.europa.eu/commission/commissioners/2014-2019/ansip/blog/future-open-data-europe_en).

Having discussed the property of data publicity and given an example of possible classification of Big Data, we consider several risks.

The risks of a leak of data, distortion, violation of secrecy (secrecy of communication, bank secrecy, tax secrecy, medical secrecy, etc.), sale of data, and human rights violations seem to be the most important. Thus Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg admitted in 2018 a leak of the data of 50 million Facebook users (https://www.newscientist.com/article/2181099-massive-facebook-data-breach-left-50-million-accounts-exposed/). The data of 25 million Gmail accounts (email addresses and passwords) were put up for sale in 2017 (https://www.wsj.com/articles/google-exposed-user-data-feared-repercussions-of-disclosing-to-public-1539017194?mod=hp_lead_pos1).

Another risk is connected with sharing the responsibility after the decision based on Big Data analysis was taken. This issue is especially vulnerable in science and high technology fields where harmful consequences can be of great scale. Here we need to point out, that Big Data are a tool to arrive at right decision, but not the decision itself, it provides one with new information, but does not give the very answer.

The above-mentioned challenges require legal response and support. It is important to note that such response and support must be uniform throughout the world, i.e. rely upon universally accepted concepts, requirements, and approaches. Currently, no single approach and understanding of the Big Data essence exists.

3 **INSUFFICIENCY OF LEGAL REGULATION OF BIG DATA IN MODERN WORLD. GLOBAL REGULATION PRACTICE**

As we discuss aspects of legal regulation and risk prevention, we first of all think about personal data or personal information. Though the most laws and rules are indeed focused on personal information, this is only one type of data for which business may impose legal obligations. Currently, business is striving to be a certain spectrum of confidential information that requires an adequate level of protection. At the same time, personal information is mostly exposed to risk.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (adopted by the UN General Assembly on 10 December 1948) is the fundamental international treaty in respect of personal information and privacy (http://www.un.org/ru/universal-declaration-human-rights/index.html). Article 17 of the Declaration has a provision under which no one may be subjected to arbitrary interference with private and family life or arbitrary infringement on a person’s correspondence; as well as confirms the right of every person for legal protection against such interferences.

The principle of protection of privacy saw its development when the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights was adopted (1966) (http://www.un.org/ru/documents/decl_conv/conventions/pactpol.shtml), in its Article 17, which actually repeated the article of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. In its General Comment No 27, the Human Rights Committee, as it commented on a provision for a possible limitation of the right for purposes of public security and protection of public order, noted that right limitation must serve the achievement of permitted goals and be necessary for such protection and as unrestricted as possible.

Considering the above and the risks outlined in Section 2 hereof, toughening of the laws on the personal data protection is the trend of recent years. It should be noted that this direction of development of legislation is well-founded.

One of the most widely discussed document in this area is Regulation (EU) No 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of the
European Union on the protection of natural persons when processing personal data and on the free movement of such data, which terminates Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (hereinafter referred to as “the GDPR”) (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/law-topic/data-protection_en). The main data protection aspects in the GDPR are: explicit consent of the User; accuracy of collection purposes; specific time frames; and the right to be forgotten, destroy and modify data. This approach is intended to prevent violations.

At the same time, the US Privacy Act 1974 (https://www.justice.gov/opcl/definitions) has a limited scope of application and concerns aspects of personal data (US citizens or permanent residents) processing by federal executive agencies. The strictest requirements for personal data processing in an electronic environment among all US regulations have been established in California where the California Consumer Privacy Act was adopted in June 2018. It will be effective since January 1, 2020 (https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB375). Under the general rule, US legislation does not require explicit consent of the User and considers that collection notification shall suffice: the exceptions are medical and geolocation data and data about persons under 13.

The above regulatory examples represent different approaches, which in turn may cause legal uncertainty and regulatory conflicts, so again a number of technical questions arise about how and where information should be stored since data is mainly required to be stored on servers located in the country of citizenship of the User; ethical questions about regulatory methods and amounts of data also arise.

It is suggested that court practice concerning secrecy of communication should be considered as part of this problem. The Digital Rights Ireland case to invalidate Directive 2006/24/EC on the storage of data generated or processed in connection with the provision of publicly available electronic communications services or public communications networks. The case was brought by the non-governmental organisation Digital Rights Ireland and about 12,000 Austrian residents. The Directive was adopted following a number of terrorist acts in Madrid and London in 2005. The Directive required storing data of fixed-line, mobile, and internet telephony, as well as emails for a period of 6 to 24 months. The regulation was introduced to ensure availability of data for the period of an investigation, detection of grave crimes as defined by the law. The provisions of the Directive were highly debatable, including the compliance of its provisions with national constitutions. Disputes led to the ruling of the Court of Justice of the European Union discussed herein (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A62012CC0293). The CJEU ruled that the Directive led to serious interference with the rights secured by Articles 7 and 8 of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights. Though the CJEU admitted that such interference met its purpose, it established that the interference was incommensurate with the purposes of the Directive. Thus the interference did not differentiate between communication facilities, types of data, or types of users. Besides, no data access procedure and data-storing time was objectively determined; in particular, the CJEU considered the time frames unfounded and unsubstantiated statistically. Besides, this case explicitly admitted the dangers connected with the collection of Big Data. For example, as regards the fact that such collection may provide accurate details regarding the private life of specific individuals.

Considering the above, we think that different approaches to legal regulation of the data protection may cause imbalance in the legal protection of data around the world because the degree of regulation is country dependent. The legal protection of Big Data, including personal, requires single approach.

3.1 Big Data in Science

The main particular feature of using Big Data in science is their role in the transformation of society due to the fact that technologies are now inseparable from the social, economic and political life. In the formal language of documents of title it means that, for instance, in the European Union decision-making is based on the need for tackling social and humanitarian challenges in all their manifestations (Florio et al., 2015). There is a discussion in the EU with regard to procedures of Big Data management and regulation; biomedicine (decision-making artificial intellect) has been chosen as the first field of application with metadata collection and development of regulation ethical principles currently underway.

At the same time, there is a concern voiced in the EU that the growing recent demands for protection of personal data may lead to suspension of works with Big Data. General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) (https://gdpr-info.eu/) is cited as an example of such an obstacle. This policy is followed up by the recently adopted EU copyright directive. One of the possible solutions is isolation of the so-called

Another problem is storing and accessing Big Data. The most common proposals include more spacious data repositories, an advanced search system, maximum complementarity and connectedness of information. With a view to obtaining maximum results and exercising the equal access right the EU is actively introducing the open science principles: thus, the 2016 ROARMAP report identifies 779 organizational declarations regulating the open access (https://roarmap.eprints.org/).

In general, Big Data generate an illusion of knowledge in science, when quantity substitutes quality. For example, the CISCO report indicates that the unsorted data are growing at an enormous rate and according to expert estimates at present up to 90% of them are useless, since they are overfilled with information collected for some unknown purposes (https://www.gartner.com/doc/3100227). Thus, the main problem of using Big Data is the current lack of culture of handling this new tool of the scientific and technological progress. We believe that Big Data should be viewed as a new instrument of world cognition that has both positive and negative aspects. This leads us to a conclusion on the need for managing the social dimension of high technologies.

4 LEGAL REGULATION OF BIG DATA IN RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Within the framework of this study, we suggest a brief overview of the Russian practice in legal regulation of Big Data.

The Russian legislation defines Big Data as a technology, which is explicitly specified in Directive No 1632-r of the Government of the Russian Federation, dated 28 July 2017, “On the Approval of the Programme ‘Digital Economy of the Russian Federation’” (http://pravo.gov.ru/proxy/lps/?docbody=&nd=10244091&intelservice=%D0%E0%F1%EF%EE%F0%FF%E6%E5%ED%E8%E5%CF%F0%E0%E2%E8%F2%EB%FC%F1%F2%E2%E0+%D0%D4+%EE%F2+28.07.2017+N+1632-%F0).

The General section of the Directive reads that Big Data are an “end-to-end” technology. At the same time, this approach seems to be disputable. The initiative to develop the digital economy is positive. The inclusion of Big Data in such a programme seems to be a smart move. Russia proposes that the scientific potential of the country should concentrate on solving a number of problems which first of all include risks to a person and sustainable social development.

Besides, Russian science approaches the classification of Big Data based on their receiving method, dividing them into Big User Data and Big Industrial Data (Saveliyev A., 2018). At the same time, there is still no legal definition of Big Data in the Russian Federation. Bill No 571124-7 “On the Introduction of Amendments to Federal Law ‘On Information, Information Technology, and on the Protection of Information’” (http://sozd.duma.gov.ru/bill/571124-7) is currently under consideration; it suggests introducing the term “Big User Data” defined as a set of information from the internet or other sources about individuals and their behaviour (without personal data) that does not allow identifying a specific individual without additional information or additional processing.

The above definition seems to be more correct in terms of defining Big Data as a set of information, not a technology.

Consider as an example the case against the Gmail heard by the Moscow City Court in 2015 No 33-30344/2015 (https://www.mos-gorsud.ru/mgs/services/cases/appeal-civil/details/071f6a5-4062-4b9a-a7c2-45a4e178f4ef). A service user used Google LLC because he thought that his right of secrecy of communication had been violated. The user drew the conclusion based on the context advertising shown to him which contained elements corresponding to the contents of the complainant’s electronic correspondence. In the opinion of Google LLC, the complainant’s right of secrecy of communication had not been violated since email analysis and follow-up advertising was performed by a robot; Google LLC actually provides no mail services or posts no advertisements since they are posted and set up by AdWords users. The Moscow City Court supported the complainant and held Google LLC responsible based on the following. The panel came to the conclusion that the respondent, Google LLC, in fulfilling its obligations to third parties under contracts for placing advertisements and for their effective dissemination as part of the Google product, monitors, inter alia, email messages and places said advertisements in the private correspondence of Google product users in the Russian Federation based on the results of monitoring of a specific product user.

Having considered Russian practice in the regulation of Big Data, the general lack of uniform
understanding of the Big Data essence may be noted. At the same time, Russia is agreeing a correct approach to science and research development, but the issue of a single approach to Big Data requires further efforts.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Having considered various methods of legal regulation of Big Data through the EU, US, and Russia examples, it is safe to say that different approaches are used to define the Big Data essence. To our mind, such a diversified definitions may jeopardize single approach to regulating Big Data.

Considering that Big Data are actively integrated in every area of human activity, it is important to agree on a single approach to understanding Big Data which could be used as the basis for developing uniform legal regulation. The development of such an approach is possible through joint efforts and through the involvement of international organizations. Since Big Data have scientific origin, it is important to make use of the area best practices. The experience of co-operation on Big Data in the scientific field is based exactly on co-operation between international organisations and states, which is determined by development of “megascience” projects requiring active international cooperation.

The total amount of Big Data received will soon put the international community in the face of technological, ethical, and legal questions which can be answered by agreeing a single approach to understanding Big Data.
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